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Hexacyclinsäure ist ein komplexes Polyketid, welches von Streptomyces cellulosae subsp. griseorubiginosus (Stamm S1013) produziert wird. Die Isolierung und Strukturaufklärung des Naturstoffs wurde im Jahr 2000 von Zeeck et al. veröffentlicht. Aufgrund seiner Komplexität und strukturellen Ähnlichkeit zu (-)-FR182877, einem Naturstoff mit vielversprechenden antineoplastischen Eigenschaften, stellt Hexacyclinsäure eine interessante synthetische Herausforderung dar.

Im Mittelpunkt des ersten Teils dieser Arbeit stand die Untersuchung des stereochemischen Verlaufs der Tandem-Michael-Addition/Aldol-Reaktion als einen Schlüsselschritt im Aufbau des C-Rings von Hexacyclinsäure. Infolge dieser Studien wurde festgestellt, dass die Reaktion unter kinetischen Bedingungen ein Siloxycyclobutan mit einer Selektivität von 7:1 liefert, dessen relative Stereochemie mit dem Naturstoff identisch ist. Die Aufklärung der relativen Stereochemie wurde mit Hilfe von NOE-NMR-Experimenten durchgeführt.

Darauf folgende Untersuchungen der Retroaldol-Reaktion haben gezeigt, dass das kinetische Siloxycyclobutan-Produkt bei tiefen Temperaturen ( $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TBAF}$ ) in das gewünschte Methylketon ohne Epimerisierung überführt werden kann. Im Gegenteil dazu lieferte die Reaktion bei Raumtemperatur das epimerisierte Produkt. Dieser Befund erklärt die Tatsache, dass laut der Röntgenstrukturanalyse des vollständig entschützten ABC-Fragments eins von neun Stereozentren die falsche Stereochemie besaß (T. Stellfeld, Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 2004). Dies war das Resultat einer Epimerisierung während der Entschützung mit TBAF bei Raumtemperatur.

Die Addition des Grignard-Reagenzes aus 1-(Benzyloxy)-3-brompropan an das Methylketon lieferte das unerwünschte Felkin-Produkt mit einer Selektivität von 1:5. Die Aufklärung der relativen Stereochemie wurde mit Hilfe von ROESY-NMR-Experimenten durchgeführt.

Im zweiten Teil der Arbeit wurden Studien zur Entwicklung eines synthetischen Zugangs zum DEF-Fragment des Naturstoffs durchgeführt. Entsprechend dem synthetischen Plan sollte der Aufbau des DEF-Fragments ausgehend von einem 9-gliedrigen Lacton mit Hilfe einer Dieckmann-Kondensation als Schlüsselschritt erfolgen.
Die zur Synthese des 9-gliedrigen Lactons durchzuführende Ringschluß-Metathese war mit verschiedenen Zyklisierungs-Vorläufern und Katalysatoren nicht erfolgreich. Eine Umstellung des retrosynthetischen Ansatzes führte schließlich zur erfolgreichen Synthese des 9-gliedrigen Lactons mit Hilfe einer Grignard-Reaktion, einer Evans-Aldol-Reaktion und einer Yamaguchi-Macrolactonisierung. Trotz erfolgsversprechender Modellstudien der Dieckmann-Kondensation mit einem Acetat- und Propionat-Vorläufer konnte die Reaktion nicht erfolgreich auf den fortgeschrittenen 9-gliedrigen Lacton-Vorläufer übertragen werden. Eine plausible Erklärung für diese Tatsache wurde vorgeschlagen und die synthetische Strategie zum Aufbau des trizyklischen DEF-Fragments wurde entsprechend überarbeitet.

Abstract<br>Stelmakh, Andriy

## Towards the Total Synthesis of Hexacyclinic Acid

Keywords: Total Synthesis, Chemistry of Natural Products, Hexacyclinic Acid, FR182877. Hexacyclinic acid is a complex polyketide, produced by the Streptomyces cellulosae subsp. griseorubiginosus (strain S1013). The isolation of the natural product and elucidation of its structure was reported by Zeeck et al. in 2000. Due to the complex structure and similarity to (-)-FR182877, a natural product with promising antineoplastic properties, hexacyclinic acid presents a challenging synthetic target.

In the first part of this work the stereochemical outcome of the Tandem Michael Addition-Aldol reaction as a key step in the construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid was studied. As a result of this investigation it was found that under kinetic conditions the reaction furnishes a siloxycyclobutane product with a selectivity of 7:1, and the relative stereochemistry identical to that of the natural product. Elucidation of the relative stereochemistry was performed using NOE NMR experiments.

The following study of the retroaldol reaction has shown that the kinetic siloxycyclobutane product could be converted into the desired methylketone without epimerization at low temperature (TBAF, $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). In contrast, conducting the reaction at rt afforded epimerized product. This experimental finding explained the wrong stereochemistry of one out of the nine stereocenters in the X-ray structure analysis of the totally deprotected ABC fragment (T. Stellfeld, Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 2004). This was a result of the epimerization that took place during deprotection with TBAF at room temperature.

Addition of a Grignard reagent generated from 1-(benzyloxy)-3-brompropane to the methylketone furnished the undesired Felkin product with a selectivity of 1:5. The elucidation of the relative stereochemistry was conducted using ROESY NMR experiments.

In the second part of the thesis the studies were aimed at the elaboration of a synthetic approach towards the DEF fragment of the natural product. According to the synthetic plan the construction of the DEF cyclic fragment had to be straightforward from a 9-membered lactone precursor using the Dieckmann condensation as a key step.

The ring closing olefin metathesis reaction, that we intended to use for the synthesis of the 9-membered lactone, proved to be not successful with a set of catalysts and different substrates. A new, reconsidered retrosynthetic approach, featuring a Grignard reaction, an Evans aldol reaction and a Yamaguchi macrolactonization led to the successful synthesis of the 9-membered lactone. Despite the promising model studies of the Dieckmann condensation with acetate and propionate precursor, the reaction could not be successfully transferred to the advanced 9-membered lactone precursor. A reasonable explanation for this fact has been proposed and the synthetic approach towards the tricyclic DEF fragment has been reconsidered.
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## List of Abbreviations

| Ac | acetyl |
| :---: | :---: |
| AcOEt | ethyl acetate |
| AcOH | acetic acid |
| ACP | acetyl carrier protein |
| Ar | aryl or aromatic |
| AT | acetyl transferase |
| atm | Atmosphere (unit); $1 \mathrm{~atm}=101325 \mathrm{~Pa}$ |
| Bn | benzyl |
| bp | boiling point |
| Bu | butyl |
| cat. | catalytic |
| COSY | homonuclear correlation spectroscopy, usually H,H-COSY |
| CSA | camphorsulfonic acid |
| dba | dibenzylideneacetone or 1,5-diphenylpenta-1,4-dien-3-one |
| DBU | 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene |
| DDQ | 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone |
| DEAD | diethylazodicarboxylate |
| DEPT | distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer |
| DH | dehydratase |
| DIBAL | diisobutylaluminium hydride (DiBAlH, DIBAL-H or DIBALH) |
| DMAP | 4-N,N-dimethylaminopyridine |
| DMF | N,N-dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| dppf | 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene |
| dr | diastereomeric ratio |
| equiv | equivalent(s) |
| Et | ethyl |
| $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | median growth inhibition concentration |


| h | hour(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| hexane | $n$-hexane |
| HMDS | hexamethyldisilazane, bis(trimethylsilyl)amine |
| HMPA | hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPT, hempa, hexametapol) |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HRMS ESI | high resolution mass spectroscopy (electrospray ionization) |
| IC 50 | the half maximal inhibitory concentration |
| inhib. | inhibitor |
| IR | infrared |
| KHMDS | potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide, potassium hexamethyldisilazide |
| KR | ketoreductase |
| KS | ketoacetyl synthase |
| LA | Lewis acid |
| LDA | lithium diisopropylamide |
| LiHMDS | lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide |
| Lit. or lit. | literature |
| $m$-methe | meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid |
| Me | methyl |
| NMP | nes |


| NOE | nuclear Overhauser effect |
| :--- | :--- |
| NOESY | nuclear Overhauser enhancement and exchange spectroscopy |
| Nu | nucleophiles |
| PG | protecting group |
| Ph | phenyl |
| PKS | polyketide synthase |
| PMB | p-methoxybenzyl |
| PPTS | pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate |
| Py | pyridine |
| rt | room temperature |
| TBAF | tetrabutylammonium fluoride |
| TBAI | tetrabutylammonium iodide |
| TBS | tert-butyldimethylsilyl |
| TES | triethylsilyl |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| TMS | trimethylsilyl |
| Ts | $p$-toluenesulfonyl, tosyl |
| UV | ultraviolet light, usually 254 nm |

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Natural products in drug discovery

The ability of small organic molecules to interact with specific macromolecules of living organisms makes them useful, both as tools to understand biological processes, and as valuable agents to treat health disorders. The most remarkable feature of natural products is the diversity of chemical structures, which reflects the diversity of enzymes and genes responsible for their biosynthesis. Natural products, which can be identified in screens of extracts, possess useful and often specific macromolecule-perturbing properties. These are reasons why natural products have become the target of new drug discovery. Cholesterollowering drugs (1), immunosuppressors (2 and 4), antibiotics ( $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ ) and antitumor agents (6) are representative examples (Figure 1).


Mevinolin (1)
competitive inhibitor of hydroxymethylglutarylCoA reductase and cholesterol-lowering agent Source: Aspergillus terreus (fungal cultures)


Rapamycin or Sirolimus (4)
T- and B-cells blocker, immunosuppressant in transplantation surgery
Source: Streptomyces hygroscopicus (bacterial)


Fujimycin (2)
immunosuppressive drug in transplantation surgery Source: Streptomyces tsukubaensis (bacterial)


Tetracyclin (5)
broad-spectrum antibiotic Source: Streptomyces (bacterial)


Erythromycin A (3)
antibiotic for treatment of respiratory infections, outbreaks of chlamydia, syphilis, acne and gonorrhea Source: Actinomyces Saccaropolyspora erythraea (bacterial)


Anthracyclines (6)
inhibitor of DNA and RNA
synthesis; prevents the replication of growing cells; used to treat a wide range of cancers Source: Streptomyces (bacterial)

Figure 1. Selected polyketides that have found application as medicines

Once an active natural product has been identified, the compound often becomes a target for chemical synthesis. Growing demand for the cost effective synthesis of complex substances results in the development of new synthetic methods and the perfection of spectroscopic and analytical techniques.

### 1.2 From fatty acids to polyketides

Polyketides (or acetogenines) were named in 1890s to refer to a structurally diverse group of natural products that contain carbonyl and alcohol functional groups, separated by methylene groups. ${ }^{1}$
The term "polyketide" may be used in different senses. ${ }^{2}$ One covers a wide range of compounds including fatty acids while the other is limited to non-fatty acid natural products, biosynthetically derived from fatty acids. Common fatty acids are primary metabolites. Nonfatty acid polyketides are secondary metabolites and they are the subject of the study for natural products chemists.
Investigations on the molecular biology of polyketide biosynthesis have demonstrated that genes of fatty acid synthases (FAS) and microbial polyketide synthases (PKSs) have a significant homology and they are recognized to have evolved from the same prototype gene. The chain elongation mechanism in the biosynthesis of such polyketides as erythromycin A (3) and rapamycin (4) is basically the same as that for FAS. It is therefore reasonable that fatty acids are included within polyketides when their biosynthesis is discussed at the enzyme and gene levels. At the same time there are significant differences in the biosynthesis of polyketides in microorganisms, fungi and plants.
For E. coli. bacteria, for example, the synthesis of fatty acids involves eight enzymes. Important for the biosynthesis are coenzyme A (CoA) (7) and acyl carrier protein (ACP) (8), both possessing a terminal -SH function, crucial for the biosynthetic process (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Phosphopantetheine in coenzyme A and acyl carrier protein (ACP)
The CoA activates a carboxylate anion towards nucleophilic substitution by its conversion into a thioester. This process is catalyzed by acyl-CoA synthase and can be considered as the first stage of the fatty acid synthesis (Scheme 1). It is worth to note, that four different acylCoA synthases are found in cells, with specificities for fatty acids whose chains are short $\left(<\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, medium ( $\mathrm{C}_{6-12}$ ), long ( $\mathrm{C}_{12-16}$ ), or very long ( $>\mathrm{C}_{16}$ ).



Scheme 1. Mechanism of the formation of acyl-CoA, catalyzed by acyl-CoA synthase

The second stage consists of the carboxylation of acetyl CoA to form malonyl CoA in a reaction catalyzed by the biotin-dependent enzyme acetyl-CoA carboxylase. This process is the key regulatory step of fatty acid synthesis (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Mechanism of acetyl-CoA carboxylase

In Escherichia coli, three separate protein subunits carry out this transformation: a carrier protein called biotin carboxyl carrier protein (BCCP) and two enzymes, a biotin carboxylase and a transcarboxylase. In animals and yeast, all these functions are combined and performed by a single modular protein.

Assembly of fatty acids may be divided into five stages: (1) loading, (2) condensation, (3) reduction, (4) dehydration and (5) a further reduction (Scheme 3).







Scheme 3. Stages in the biosynthesis of fatty acids from acetyl CoA and malonyl CoA in $E$. coli

1. Loading: two enzymes, acetyl CoA:ACP transacylase and malonyl CoA:ACP transacylase, are required for the loading steps, in which acetyl CoA and malonyl CoA are transesterified with ACP.
2. Condensation: ketoacyl-ACP synthase (KS), also called condensing enzyme, accepts an acetyl group from acetyl-ACP, and ACP-SH is released. KS then catalyzes transfer of the acetyl group to malonyl-ACP, evolving $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and forming acetoacetyl-ACP.
3. Reduction: the ketone of acetoacetyl-ACP is converted to an alcohol, forming D- $\beta$ -hydroxybutyryl-ACP, in an NADPH-dependent reaction catalyzed by ketoacyl-ACP reductase.
4. Dehydration: a dehydrase catalyzes the removal of water, with formation of a double bond.
5. Further reduction: the product of dehydration, trans-butenoyl-ACP, undergoes reduction to form butyryl-ACP, in a reaction catalyzed by NADPH-dependant enoyl-ACP reductase.
Steps 2-5 are repeated until a $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ palmitoyl group is formed. A thiolase enzyme catalyzes hydrolytic cleavage of palmitoyl-ACP and furnishes palmitate.
Complex polyketides are synthesized by the essentially the same basic mechanism that was discussed in above. A series of decarboxylative condensation reactions between small carboxylic acid units and malonate proceed under enzymatic catalysis with the so called polyketide synthases (PKSs). ${ }^{\text {a,3 }}$

### 1.3 Biosynthesis of polyketides by modular synthases

Known PKSs have been classified into three main types. ${ }^{4}$ Type I PKSs (or noniterative PKS) are multifunctional enzymes, organized into modules. Each module is responsible for a set of certain non-iteratively acting activities in a single cycle of the chain-elongation sequence, as exemplified in a simplified form by the biosynthesis of erythromycin A (3) (Scheme 4). ${ }^{5}$ Type II PKSs are multienzyme complexes that carry a single set of iteratively acting activities, as exemplified by the tetracenomycin C (9) biosynthesis (Scheme 4). Type III PKSs, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ are homodimeric enzymes. A single III PKS catalyzes iterative condensation of small fatty acids, as exemplified by the biosynthesis of flavolin (10) (Scheme 4).
Type I and II PKSs use acyl carrier protein (ACP) to activate the acyl CoA substrates whereas type III PKSs act directly on the acyl CoA substrates without ACP involvement. Despite these mechanistic differences, biosynthesis catalyzed by any PKSs proceeds through sequential decarboxylative condensation of acyl CoA precursors, and the ketoacetyl synthase (KS) catalyzes the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-forming step.

[^0]
## a) Type I PKS (noniterative)


b) Type II PKS (iterative)



c) Type III PKS (iterative, ACP-independent )



Scheme 4. The three types of PKSs in the biosynthesis of polyketides

Since the first reports of bacterial type I PKS in 1990, ${ }^{5}$ type II PKS in $1984^{6}$ and type III PKS in $1999^{7}$ the PKS paradigms have helped the scientific community to classify and explain the vast structural diversity observed for polyketide natural products, and the biotechnological platform to produce 'unnatural' natural products by combination of biosynthetic methods with engineered PKSs. ${ }^{8}$
There are about 7,000 identified polyketides, but this represents only a small fraction of what nature is capable of producing. ${ }^{8}$ Current and future research on polyketide biosynthesis will be driven by the unparalleled biological activities and commercial value ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ of natural products, which remain the most promising candidates for new drug discovery. Remarkable versatility and amenability of PKSs allow the synthesis of novel compounds, difficult to access by conventional synthetic methods, by combinatorial biosynthesis. ${ }^{8}$ Integration of biological tools with chemical knowledge will evidently open new frontiers both for science and industry.

### 1.4 Secondary metabolites and the OSMAC methodology

It has been long known that cultivation conditions have strong influence on the production of microbial products. In nature, a changing environment results into changes in transcriptome, proteome, and finally metabolome. In the course of evolution these changes allow an organism to adapt to the new conditions and to survive. One can speculate on the function of secondary metabolites. ${ }^{9}$ Some may bring clear advantage for a living organism, others - not. In fact, any change of a cultivating parameter may influence every single biosynthetic step. Since there is a huge number of such steps on translational, transcriptional or enzymatic levels, the approach provides an endless number of possible combinations that results into a vast number of microbial products produced in this way. Systematic alteration of cultivating parameters (media composition, pH , temperature, addition of enzyme inhibitors, salts, oxygen supply even the type of culture vessel etc.) is a simple and natural approach to influence the production of secondary metabolites and, probably, to obtain new ones. ${ }^{10}$ This way of releasing nature's chemical diversity has been termed by Zeeck et al. an OSMAC (One Strain - MAny Compounds) approach, which resulted from the observation that small changes in the cultivation conditions can completely shift the metabolic profile of microorganisms. ${ }^{11,12}$

[^1]
### 1.5 Hexacyclinic acid-a polyketide from the Streptomyces

In 2000 Zeeck et al. described the isolation of hexacyclinic acid (11, Figure 3), a new natural product with an unusual structure, from Streptomyces cellulosae subsp. griseorubiginosus (strain S1013). ${ }^{12}$
Following the OSMAC approach strain S1013 has been cultivated in different culture vessels, using a rolled oats medium. In the extract of culture filtrate from a 10 L fermenter a new metabolite has been detected. The substance was identified by $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3\right.$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 9:1) as an intensive blue spot when anisaldehyde/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was used as a staining reagent. Unfortunately, low yields obtained did not allow the complete structure elucidation.
Optimization of the fermentation process was conducted. The influence of different alkali metal halides on was investigated. It was found that sodium bromide present in a concentration of $1 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ in the cultivating medium resulted in 10-20 fold increased production of the unknown metabolite. In special fermentation vessels the yield of the compound rose to $13 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$. Additional optimization of the C-source allowed to obtain up to $56 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ of the natural product. These efforts allowed the isolation of the substance in reasonable quantities, and structure elucidation using spectroscopic methods ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HRMS ESI, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY and X-ray structural analysis). ${ }^{13}$ Absolute stereochemistry could be defined using advanced Mosher's ester methodology. ${ }^{14}$


hexacyclinic acid (11)

Figure 3. Hexacyclinic acid (11), a new polyketide from Streptomyces cellulosae subsp. Griseorubiginosus (strain S1013) ${ }^{\text {d }}$

Hexacyclinic acid showed weak cytotoxicity towards three tested cell lines (HM02, HEPG2 and MCF7) with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values as low as $14.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.
In order get insight into the biosynthesis of 11, feeding experiments were conducted. ${ }^{15}$ Feeding of the growing strain with $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ acetate and comparing ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the so obtained

[^2]metabolite with the original sample revealed signal enhancement for $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-16, \mathrm{C}$ 18 and C-27. The increment of values for specific incorporations of C-5 and C-12 was within the experimental error. Another feeding experiment with $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ propionate showed strong enrichment of C-5, C-10, C-14 an C-22. Combination of these two experiments led to the conclusion that hexacyclinic acid is a polyketide built by seven acetate and four propionate units (Figure 4).


Figure 4. The polyketide nature of hexacyclinic acid was revealed from the labeling pattern
At this stage hexacyclinic acid was believed to arise from a single polyketide chain precursor built by a modular type I polyketide synthase (see Section 1.3). The transformations that could lead from this precursor to $\mathbf{1 1}$ were not clear. It was nevertheless proposed that these could be an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction, aldol reaction, lactonization and ketalization.
Since more understanding of the biosynthetic pathways leading to $\mathbf{1 1}$ was desired Zeeck et al. conducted advanced feeding experiments. ${ }^{15}$ This time the strain was fed with $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ labelled propionate.


Figure 5. Results of the feeding experiments with $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ propionate

As a result of this experiment, it was found that $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-14$ were directly connected to an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enriched oxygen (Figure 5.). Interestingly, no ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation was found near to $\mathrm{C}-7$ suggesting that hemiketal OH was ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enriched.

Based on these results Zeeck et al. suggested that the D-ring of hexacyclinic acid was probably not formed in a hetero Diels-Alder reaction, as was proposed for a related natural product, (-)-FR182877 (12) (Scheme 5), ${ }^{51 \mathrm{a}}$ otherwise there should have been an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ label at C-7.

(-)-FR182877 (WS9885B) (12)
Scheme 5. Proposed biosynthetic approach towards (-)-FR182877 (WS9885B) that features transannular hetero Diels-Alder reaction (Sorensen et al.)

Zeeck et al. proposed a biosynthesis featuring a vinylogous Prins reaction in the formation of the C-ring. In this transformation the trisubstituted alkene acts as a nucleophile adding to the Michael acceptor followed by addition of water (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6. Possible pathway in the biosynthesis of hexacyclinic acid (Zeeck et al.)
Especially interesting and valuable for the understanding of the biosyntheses of both (-)FR182877 (12) and hexacyclinic acid (11) could be a feeding experiment of the Streptomyces strain producing (-)-FR182877 with $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ labelled propionate. Investigation of the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ label incorporation could support or reject the proposed biosynthesis of hexacyclinic acid. To the best of our knowledge such experiment has not hitherto been described.

### 1.6 Structurally related compounds

Bicyclo[4.3.0]nonane structural fragment found in hexacyclinic acid (11) and (-)-FR182877 (12) is often found in metabolites produced by the Streptomyces bacteria. Selected examples are presented in Figure 6.

hexacyclinic acid (11)

(-)-FR182877 (12)

macquarimicin $B$ (13b)


macquarimicin A (13a)




macquarimicin $C$ (13c)

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ : cochleamycin A (14a)
$\mathrm{R}=i-\mathrm{Pr}$ : cochleamycin A 2 (14b)

$R=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ : cochleamycin $\mathrm{B}(15 a)$
$\mathrm{R}=i$-Pr: cochleamycin B 2 (15b)

stawamycin (16)

Figure 6. Selected natural products from the Streptomyces

The macquarimicins A-C (13a-13c, Figure 6) were isolated from Micromonospora chalcea by researchers at Abbott Laboratories in 1995. ${ }^{16}$
Macquarimicin A (13a) was isolated as a very weak antibacterial agent, while macquarimicins B (13b) and C (13c) were found to exhibit cytotoxicity against the P388 leukemia cell line ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is 0.3 and $30.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively). ${ }^{16 \mathrm{a}}$ In 1999, researchers at Sankyo Co. disclosed that $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ is a selective inhibitor of membrane-bound neutral sphingomyelinase ( N -SMase). ${ }^{17}$ An improved understanding of SMase-dependent signaling may provide novel strategies for the treatment of inflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases, as well as cancer. Thus, selective inhibitors of N -SMase are used to gain insight into the enzyme mechanism, which is
currently unknown, and more importantly into the experimental therapy of such diseases. The total synthesis of macquarimicins inspired by a biosynthetic pathway and using an intramolecular Diels-Alder approach was successfully accomplished by Tadano and coworkers. ${ }^{18}$
Another natural product of this family, cochleamycin A (14a, Figure 6), was isolated from Streptomyces DT136 in 1992 by Shindo and co-workers. ${ }^{19}$ In addition to displaying antimicrobial activity against gram-positive bacteria, cochleamycin A is cytotoxic toward a variety of tumor cell lines. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}, 20}$ The total synthesis of the compound was described by the group of W. Roush in 2004. ${ }^{21}$
In 1995, stawamycin (16), ${ }^{22}$ a new natural product from the pyrroloketoindane family was isolated by Miao et al. from a liquid culture of Streptomyces sp. and displayed moderate inhibitory activity against the binding of the Epstein-Barr virus ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ BZLF1 transcription factor to DNA with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in a DNA binding assay (Figure 6).
(-)-FR182877 or cyclostreptin, a substance formerly known as WS9885B and described by Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company, ${ }^{23}$ has been identified in screens as an agent that binds and stabilizes cellular microtubules. ${ }^{24}$ Formation of microtubules involves polymerization of heterodimeric $\alpha / \beta$-tubulin subunits which is regulated by several microtubule-associated proteins and involves a hydrolysis of guanosine 5 '-triphosphate. ${ }^{25}$ Intact microtubule function is required for the formation and functioning of the mitotic spindle. That is why cells treated with agents that bind either tubulin subunits or polymerized microtubules exhibit alterations in spindle formation leading to arrest at the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, which is associated with the induction of apoptosis. ${ }^{26}$ Compounds that target microtubules are potent cytotoxic agents, exemplified by a variety of plant and marine natural products. Representative examples are epothilones, ${ }^{27}$ discodermolide, ${ }^{28}$ eleutherobins, ${ }^{29}$ dolastatins, ${ }^{30}$ cryptophycins, ${ }^{31}$ indanocine, ${ }^{32}$ halicondrin $\mathrm{B},{ }^{33}$ peloruside $\mathrm{A},{ }^{34}$ laulimalide ${ }^{35}$ and paclitaxel. ${ }^{38}$ Inhibitors of microtubule assembly may also show prominent anti-tumor activities, as exemplified by vinblastine. ${ }^{36}$ Important that not all compounds that affect microtubule function are useful as anticancer drugs (e.g., colchicine). Furthermore, recent data suggest that at least a part of the anticancer activity exhibited by this class of drugs involves antiangiogenic effects on tumorassociated endothelial cells. ${ }^{37}$
Preclinical studies indicated that FR182877 binds and stabilizes microtubules in a manner similar but not identical to that of paclitaxel ${ }^{38}$ and the epothilones. ${ }^{39}$

[^3]The molecule of (-)-FR182877 features a strained anti-Bredt bridgehead olefin that is believed to be the active site for the covalent binding to tubulin.
In 2004 the scientists from the Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company, reported on the isolation and structure elucidation of FR182876 (12a), a new water soluble natural product, produced by the Streptomyces. ${ }^{40}$ 3-Methylhistidine moiety found in FR182876, is believed to contribute to both solubility in water and activity in promoting tubulin polymerization. 12a showed potent cytotoxicity against a panel of cancer cells at concentrations of $28-75 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$.
Natural products 11-16, possessing bicyclo[4.3.0]nonane skeleton may share a biosynthetic pathway that involves intramolecular Diels-Alder (IMDA) reaction of polyketide intermediates. ${ }^{19 \mathrm{~d}, 50,41}$
Oikawa et al. proposed that Diels-Alder reactions may be involved in the biosynthesis of more than a hundred of natural products. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ However, to date, only three natural enzymes, solanapyrone synthase (SPS), ${ }^{42}$ lovastatin nonaketide synthase (LNKS), ${ }^{43}$ and macrophomate synthase (MPS), ${ }^{44}$ have been shown to catalyze Diels-Alder reactions in purified or partially purified form. ${ }^{45}$ At the same time, definitive proof that MPS and the other two enzymes catalyze the Diels-Alder reaction remains somewhat elusive. ${ }^{46}$
In 2003, the group of Tanaka and Oikawa elucidated the structure of Diels-Alderase for the first time by X-ray crystallography of MPS. ${ }^{47}$
Artificial ribozymes that catalyze the Diels-Alder reaction have been described. ${ }^{48}$
Details of the biosyntheses of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are still unclear. Therefore, the development of a synthetic methodology may help to elucidate the details by supplying synthetic probes. This intriguing feature of this class of natural products, combined with biological activities and a formidable molecular architecture, makes them highly attractive targets for synthetic chemists.

## 2 Discussion

### 2.1 Synthetic progress towards hexacyclinic acid and related substances

In 1998 scientists of the Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company reported on the discovery of a novel microtubulin stabilizing agent, WS9885B (12), later called as FR182877. ${ }^{23}$ Fujisawa scientists originally incorrectly assigned $\mathbf{1 2}$ with the opposite absolute configuration to that shown in Figure 6. Their assignment based on the Mosher ester method was later corrected. ${ }^{49}$ In 1999, Sorensen and co-workers reported the first synthetic study towards FR182877 (12) and proposed the biosynthetic pathway for the antibiotic. ${ }^{50}$ The first total synthesis of FR182877 by Sorensen was actually that of the non-natural enantiomer. ${ }^{51}$ Later the group elaborated an optimized synthesis to the naturally occurring enantiomer, (-)-FR182877. ${ }^{52}$ Soon after the synthesis of Sorensen, synthetic investigations towards hexacyclinic acid (11) and FR182877 (12) were reported by the groups of Evans, ${ }^{53}$ Prunet, ${ }^{55}$ Armstrong, ${ }^{61}$ Nakada, ${ }^{57}$ Clarke, ${ }^{54,59}$ Roush, ${ }^{58}$ Landais ${ }^{72}$ and Kalesse. ${ }^{69}$ These synthetic contributions will be discussed in this section.

### 2.2 Synthesis of the A-ring of hexacyclinic acid and (-)-FR182877 by Prunet et. al.

In the course of the studies towards the synthesis of $(-)$-FR182877 Prunet and co-workers ${ }^{55}$ reported on the construction of the A-ring of the natural product exploiting the ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) (Scheme 7).



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 19a: } R=T B S & \text { 20a: } R=T B S, 94 \% \text { yield } \\
\text { 19b: } R=B n & \text { 20b: } R=B n, 70 \% \text { yield }
\end{array}
$$

(a) $\mathrm{CH} \equiv \mathrm{CMgBr}, 75 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 82 \%$; (c) DIBAL, $89 \%$; (d) TBSOTf, 2,6 -lutidine, $82 \%$ or $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}$, TBAI, $90 \%$; (e) Grubbs' II catalyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.03 \mathrm{M}$, ethylene.

Scheme 7. RCM in the construction of the A-ring of (-)-FR182877 (Prunet et al.)

A synthetic approach towards the ABC cyclic fragment of FR182877 featuring a Diels-Alder reaction as the key step was also proposed (Scheme 8 ). ${ }^{56}$

(a) Olefin migration; (b) retro Diels-Alder reaction; (c) Diels-Alder reaction.

Scheme 8. Retrosynthetic approach towards the ABC fragment of (-)-FR182877 (Prunet et al.)

### 2.3 Synthesis of the bicyclic AB-fragment of (+)-FR182877 by Nakada et al.

Nakada and co-workers ${ }^{57}$ found that the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction (IMDA) of the all-trans substituted precursor 21 proceeds through the endo- transition state furnishing the cycloadduct with the relative stereochemistry corresponding to that of the AB cyclic fragment of (+)-FR182877 (Scheme 9).

(a) 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 9. Synthesis of the AB cyclic fragment of (+)-FR182877 (Nakada et al.)

### 2.4 Construction of the C-ring of (-)-FR182877 by Roush et al.

Roush ${ }^{58}$ recognized that the C-ring of (-)-FR182877 may be constructed utilizing an intramolecular vinylogous Morita-Baylis-Hillman Reaction. Indeed, treatment of the acrylate $\mathbf{2 4}$ with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ (4 equiv) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 3:1 resulted in the formation of $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ with $84 \%$ yield and 6:1 dr with the desired diastereomer favored (Scheme 10).

(a) 4 equiv $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, 0.03 \mathrm{M}$ tert-amyl alcohol, 20 h .

Scheme 10. Intramolecular vinylogous Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction in the construction of the C-ring of (-)-FR182877 (Roush et al.)

A retrosynthetic approach towards (-)-FR182877 based on the vinylogous Baylis-Hillman reaction has been proposed (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11. Retrosynthetic approach towards the ABC fragment of FR182877 (Roush et al.)

### 2.5 Synthesis of the DEF-cores of FR182877 and hexacyclinic acid by Clarke et al.

Clarke and co-workers proposed a universal approach to the DEF-ring fragments of both FR182877 and hexacyclinic acid utilizing a transannular cationic cyclization of a $\beta$-ketoester 26 to an appropriately positioned double bond (Scheme 12). ${ }^{59}$


hexacyclinic acid (11)




26

(-)-FR182877 (12)

Scheme 12. Construction of the DEF cores of hexacyclinic acid (11) and FR182877 (12)

Carbocyclic precursor 26 was synthesized in six steps starting from nerol. The key step in the sequence is the intramolecular C -alkylation of a $\beta$-ketoester with a $\pi$-allyl Pd-complex. ${ }^{60}$



(a) DMAP, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, 18 \mathrm{~h} 100 \%$; (b) $m \mathrm{CPBA}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{HIO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; (e) TBSOTf, $\mathrm{Py},-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{NaH}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{dppf}$, THF, reflux.

Scheme 13. Construction of the nine-membered $\beta$-ketoester 26

When 26 was treated with iodine and silver acetate in AcOH at rt transannular iodocyclization occurred (Scheme 14). Four products 34, 35, $\mathbf{3 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}$ were obtained. It is noteworthy that $\mathbf{3 4}$ embodies the DF-ring fragment of hexacyclinic acid whereas $\mathbf{3 7}$ - the DF cyclic fragment of FR182877.

(a) Iodine, silver acetate, $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 14. Transannular iodocyclization of $\beta$-ketoester 26

After the removal of the protecting groups the lactonization was conducted under acidic conditions furnishing the DEF cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid (38) with excellent yield (Scheme 15).


DEF cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid
(a) $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (b) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 4 days, $100 \%$.

Scheme 15. Construction of the DEF core of hexacyclinic acid

Though the construction of the DEF core of FR182877 was straightforward from 37, it was not possible to influence the course of the iodocyclization to favor the formation of $\mathbf{3 7}$. The conversion of $\mathbf{3 4}$ into the DEF cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid (41) required 4 additional synthetic steps (Scheme 16).

(a) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{DMF}, 23 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, $93 \%$; (c) $40 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \%$; (d) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 100 \%$.

Scheme 16. Construction of the DEF core of FR182877

Though a universal approach towards the DEF-cyclic fragment of both $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ has been achieved, no synthetic strategy towards these natural products based on the transannular iodocyclization has been proposed.

### 2.6 Studies towards the DE fragment of FR182877 by Armstrong et al.

Armstrong and co-workers envisioned that the synthesis of the DE core of FR182877 may be achieved in one step starting from commercially available compound 42 (Scheme 17). ${ }^{61}$

(a) $\mathrm{LDA}, \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{R}^{3},-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight then aqueous workup.

Scheme 17. Synthesis of the DE core of FR182877 by Armstrong and co-workers

Reaction of a carbonyl compound with the $\gamma$-enolate generated from $\mathbf{4 2}$ furnished the product 43 in a yield of $40-80 \%$ after a standard aqueous workup. Aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes, ketones, cyclohexanone, acrolein and diethyl ketomalonate were successfully used as carbonyl components.

No synthetic approach towards the natural product was proposed by Armstrong et al.

### 2.7 The total syntheses of (+)-FR182877 and (-)-FR182877 by Sorensen et al.

Sorensen et al. recognized that four of the six rings of the target molecule and seven of its stereocenters may be constructed in a sequence of two cycloadditions starting form polyunsaturated precursor 44 (Scheme 18). If 44 initially participated in an intramolecular Diels-Alder (IMDA) reaction with endo-selectivity to furnish 45, subsequent intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation could then provide 47, a substrate suitable for the transannular hetero-Diels-Alder reaction between the enone and the trisubstituted olefin that would provide 48. Alternatively, 44 could first participate in a Knoevenagel condensation to afford the 19membered cyclic pentaene 46 poised to undergo a tandem of a transannular Diels-Alder reaction (TADA) and the hetero-Diels-Alder reaction to furnish 48. In either routes, a final lactonization would complete the target molecule 12.


Scheme 18. Biomimetic retrosynthetic approach to (-)-FR182877 by Sorensen et al.

Early investigations revealed that compounds such as $\mathbf{4 5}$ could, indeed, be synthesized with the help of the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Unfortunately, all attempts to accomplish intramolecular or intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation failed (Scheme 19). ${ }^{50}$


Scheme 19. Attempted intra- and intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation

Sorensen and co-workers decided access the 19-membered pentaene precursor 46 omitting the Knoevenagel condensation. ${ }^{62}$ A new retrosynthetic approach to that end has been proposed (Scheme 20).


Scheme 20. Sorensen's retrosynthetic analysis of (-)-FR182877 (12)

Cyclic tetraene 54 was recognized to be a suitable precursor for the pentaene 46 (Scheme 18). Sorensen and co-workers expected to introduce the missing double bond via a selenationelimination sequence. ${ }^{63}$ Compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ had to be synthesized according to the Tsuji-Trost protocol ${ }^{64}$ starting from the acyclic carbonate 55, which may be accessible from the Weinreb amide 56. This compound was divided into two precursors $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 8}$ of approximately equal size that have to be merged using the Pd-catalyzed $\pi$-allyl Stille reaction. ${ }^{65}$ Both $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 8}$ were effectively constructed utilizing the Evans aldol protocol (Scheme 21 and Scheme 22). ${ }^{66}$

(a) (R)-4-phenyl-N-propionyloxazolidin-2-one, $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$, $i$-PrNEt ${ }_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} ;-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h} ; 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 100 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{THF},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) TMSCl, imidazole, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \mathrm{~min}$; $87 \%$ overall yield.

Scheme 21. Synthesis of the Weinreb amide 57

(a) (R)-(-)-4-benzyl- $N$-propionyloxazolidin-2-one, $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}, i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-78 \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 74 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$; (c) TMSCl, imidazole, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, THF, then $(E)$ - $\beta$-iodomethacrolein, THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) PPTS, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$ over four steps; (g) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH},-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (h) TESCl, imidazole, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$ over two steps; (i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnSnMe}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}, i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{PhH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95 \%$.

Scheme 22. Synthesis of the vinylstannane 58

According to the retrosynthetic plan the Stille coupling of 57 and 58 proceeded smoothly to provide the product 65 in $91 \%$ yield (Scheme 23 ).

(a) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}, \mathrm{LiCl}, i$-Pr $2 \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{NMP}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$; (b) LDA, $t$-BuOAc, THF, $-78 \rightarrow 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$. (c) TBAF, THF, $-30 \rightarrow-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) MeOCOCl , pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) TMSCl , imidazole, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 82 \%$ over three steps; (f) $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$, THF ( 0.05 M ), $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60-85 \%$; (g) NaHMDS, $\mathrm{PhSeBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},<1 \mathrm{~min}, 89 \%$, 10:1 dr; (h) $m \mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}(E: Z=2.2: 1) ; \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 61-66 \%$; (i) PPTS, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0 \rightarrow 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$; (j) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 9: 1,0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; (k) Mukaiyama's reagent, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} 9: 1,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$.

Scheme 23. Completion of the total synthesis of (-)-FR182877 by Sorensen and co-workers

The Weinreb amide $\mathbf{6 5}$ was transferred into the keto ester $\mathbf{6 6}$ and the deprotected primary allylic alcohol was converted into carbonate 67 needed for the Tsuji-Trost reaction. Fortunately, when a diluted THF solution of $\mathbf{6 7}$ was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$, product 68 was obtained in a $60-85 \%$ yield.
To introduce the double bond required for the tandem Diels-Alder/hetero-Diels-Alder sequence, $\mathbf{6 8}$ was treated with NaHMDS followed by PhSeBr providing a 10:1 diastereomeric mixture of products 69 . When this mixture was treated with $m \mathrm{CPBA}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, oxidationelimination sequence provided a $2.2: 1$ mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-olefins 70. Tandem transannular Diels-Alder/hetero-Diels-Alder reaction proceeded when the above diastereomeric mixture was heated in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} /$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to furnish the cycloadduct 71
in $61-66 \%$ yield along with 72 ( $8-15 \%$ ) and 73 (8-15\%) (Scheme 24). Both products are believed to derive from the $(Z)$-olefin obtained after the selenation - elimination sequence.


71: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{TES}$



73: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{TES}$

Scheme 24. The tandem transannular Diels-Alder/hetero-Diels-Alder reaction

In order to complete the synthesis, the TMS protected alcohol was deprotected to give 74 and the tert-Bu ester was hydrolyzed to furnish the seco-acid 75. The lactonization was accomplished when 75 was treated with the Mukaiyama's reagent to yield the (-)-FR182877 (12) (Scheme 23).

### 2.8 The total synthesis of (-)-FR182877 by Evans et al.

In 2002, the Evans group from Harvard university reported a successful completion of the total synthesis of (-)-FR182877. In its strategy the synthesis is similar to that of the Sorensen group, featuring the key sequence of a tandem transannular Diels-Alder/hetero-Diels-Alder reaction.

Instead of the Stille coupling used by Sorensen et al. Evans and co-workers utilized Pdcatalyzed Miyaura-Suzuki coupling to merge fragments 76 and 77 (Scheme 25). Weinreb amide 78 was reduced with DIBAL to the aldehyde and converted to the $\beta$-ketoester 79 according to the Roskamp protocol. ${ }^{67}$ The primary allylic alcohol was deprotected with TBAF and transformed into allyliodide 79. Intramolecular alkylation of the $\beta$-ketoester, promoted by $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ furnished the 19-membered macrocycle $\mathbf{8 0}$.
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(a) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 84 \%$; (b) DIBAL, THF; (c) ethyl diazoacetate, $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ (cat.); (d) TBAF, AcOH ; (e) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$; (f) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 49 \%$ over six steps; (g) $(\mathrm{PhSeO})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (h) HF/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1: 20)$; (i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (j) TMSOK, THF; (k) Mukaiyama's reagent, $44 \%$ over four steps.

Scheme 25. The synthesis of (-)-FR182877 by Evans et al.

To introduce the unsaturation needed for the tandem cycloaddition step, the group of Evans utilized $(\mathrm{PhSeO})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Py}$. Remarkably the desired $E$ - olefin was obtained exclusively and underwent subsequent tandem transannular Diels-Alder/hetero-Diels-Alder reaction at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide 81 in $63 \%$ yield.

The silyl protecting groups were removed with HF in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The vinylic bromine was substituted with a methyl group in a Pd-catalyzed Suzuki reaction with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{68}$ The ethyl ester was saponificated with TMSOK and the lactonization was successfully effected with the Mukaiyama's reagent to provide (-)-FR182877 (12).

### 2.9 Synthesis of the ABC cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid by Kalesse et al.

Kalesse et al. reported on the synthesis of the ABC cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid. ${ }^{69}$ The synthesis started with the alcohol 82 (Scheme 26, Scheme 27).

(a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) $(E)-(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{LDA}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{rt}, 70 \%$ over three steps; (d) DIBAL, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 94 \%$; (f) $4(\mathrm{~S})$-benzyl-3-propionyloxazolidin-2-one, $n$-BuBOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 99 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{NHMe}(\mathrm{OMe}) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}^{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $78 \%$; (h) TBSOTf, lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$; (i) DIBAL, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$; (j) $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CMgBr}^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$; (k) Dess-Martin, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 99 \%$; (1) toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$; (m) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHMgBr}, \mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, THF, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 84 \%$; (n) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 96 \%$, dr 20:1.

Scheme 26. The synthesis of the ABC-cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid
After TBS protection and ozonolysis, aldehyde $\mathbf{8 3}$ was subjected to the HWE olefination with $(E)-(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ to give the $(E)-,(E)$ - diene selectively. This was converted to the aldehyde 84 through reduction with DIBAL and reoxidation of the allylic alcohol with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$. The boronate aldol reaction according to the Evans protocol furnished the aldol in $99 \%$ yield. This was treated with $\mathrm{NMe}(\mathrm{OMe}) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ to give the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{8 5}$. After the protection of the secondary alcohol as a TBS ether, the amide function was reduced with DIBAL to the aldehyde and reacted with $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CMgBr}$. Reoxidation of the secondary alcohol with the Dess-Martin reagent furnished alkynone 86 (Scheme 26).
The Diels-Alder reaction took place when $\mathbf{8 6}$ was heated in toluene to give $\mathbf{8 7}$ in $78 \%$ yield. Substrate controlled conjugate addition of vinylmagnesium bromide to 87 provided 88. It was found that the reduction of the ketone functionality in the A-ring with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the desired diastereomeric alcohol 89 with excellent selectivity (Scheme 26).

(o) 4 equiv methyl acrylate, $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Grubbs II catalyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 80 \%$; (p) TBDPSCl, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}, \mathrm{DMAP}, 5 \mathrm{~d}, 98 \%$;
(q) $\mathrm{CSA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 82 \%$; (r) TPAP, NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 99 \%$; (s) TMSI, HMDS, 1,2-dichloroethane, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then 2 h at rt ; (t) TBAF, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 68 \%$; (u) TBAF, THF, rt, 3 h .

Scheme 27. The synthesis of the ABC-cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid

Alkene cross-metathesis with methyl acrylate gave acrylate 90. The hydroxy function in the A-ring was protected as a TBS ether. The secondary alcohol in the side chain was deprotected and oxidized to furnish methylketone $\mathbf{9 1}$. When 91 was treated with TMSI and HMDS in 1,2dichloroethane the tandem Michael-aldol reaction took place to give an inseparable mixture of four diastereomers 92. When this was treated with TBAF at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 min at THF a retroaldol reaction took place yielding the methyl ketone 93 as $4: 1$ diastereomeric mixture (Scheme 27).
The relative stereochemistry of the major diastereomer was determined with the aid of NOESY experiments. A cross peak between H 20 and H 16 suggested the desired configuration at C19. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ at C 9 was observed as a quartet with $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, suggesting that the desired configuration at C8 (Scheme 27).
When 93 was subjected to the action of TBAF (THF, rt, 3 h ), total deprotection took place. The diol 94, which was obtained as $6: 1$ mixture of diastereomers, was crystalline making it thus possible to obtain an X-ray crystal structure. According to the X-ray structure analysis (Figure 7), eight out of nine stereocenters were constructed correctly, leaving only the configuration at C 8 to be opposite to the natural product. ${ }^{70}$



Figure 7. X-ray structure analysis of $\mathbf{9 4}$

### 2.10 Synthesis of the ABC cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic Acid by Landais et al.

Landais et al. reported the synthesis of the ABC core of hexacyclinic acid utilizing the freeradical 5 -exo-trig cyclization ${ }^{71}$ of a chiral 3 -silylhepta-1,6-diene 99 (construction of the Aring) followed by the Pauson-Khand cyclization (construction of rings B and C ) (Scheme 28). ${ }^{72}$

(a) LDA, THF, $0.5 \mathrm{~h},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98 / 9765: 35,40 \%$, chromatographic separation; (b) $\mathrm{TsONa}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, E / Z 95: 5$, $94 \%$; (c) 0.15 equiv $\mathrm{TsSePh}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hv, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%,>95: 5$; (d) DIBAL; (e) MOMCl, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}, 60 \%$ over three steps; (f) $n$-BuLi, $t$-BuOK, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMSC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, 35 \%$ conversion, $65 \%$ corrected yield, $4: 1$; (g) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{TMANO}, \mathrm{THF}, 74 \%$.

Scheme 28. The synthesis of the ABC core of hexacyclinic acid (Landais et al.)

Aldol reaction between 95 and 96 furnished the desired diene 98 along with 97 . Pd-catalyzed allylation of TsONa gave tosylate 99. Radical 5-exo-trig cyclization of 99 was conducted under irradiation with a sun lamp ( 300 W ) at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using catalytic amounts of $p$ - TsSePh and AIBN and yielded cyclopentane $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ in $77 \%$ yield and $>95: 5 \mathrm{dr}$. After the reduction of the carbomethoxy group with DIBAL and MOM protection of the diol, 101 was obtained. Deprotonation of the sulfonate 101 with Schlosser base and reaction with 1-TMS-3-bromopropyne-1 furnished $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ in $65 \%$ corrected yield and $4: 1 \mathrm{dr}$. The Pauson-Khand reaction provided 103 with $74 \%$ yield and completed the synthesis of the ABC cyclic core of hexacyclinic acid in seven steps starting from the readily available $\beta$-silyl ester 96.

The strategy towards the natural product based on the elaborated approach (5-exo-trig cyclization/alkylation/intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction) has not been proposed.

### 2.11 Biomimetic approach in the construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid

As was discussed in Section 1.2 feeding experiments conducted by Zeeck et. al. led to the assumption that the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid may be biosynthetically constructed in a process that mimics cationic $\pi$-cyclizations of alkenes.

Inspired by the findings of Zeeck we proposed a biomimetic approach towards the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid (Scheme 29).




106
Scheme 29. Biomimetic construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid

The acid-catalyzed intramolecular reactions of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones with aromatic rings has been studied by Stork et. al., Dutta et. al. in the 1950s and by Ziegler et al. in the 1970s. ${ }^{73}$ Andersen et al. studied the application of cationic cyclizations of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes in the synthesis of hydroazulenic sesquiterpenes (guaiol, bulnesol). ${ }^{74}$ It was found that treatment of aldehydes 107a-c with a mixture of perchloric acid and acetic anhydride in $\mathrm{AcOEt}^{75}$ at rt resulted into formation of the products of a stereospecific cationic cyclization 108a-d (Scheme 30).

(a) $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in AcOEt at rt.

Scheme 30. Stereospecific cationic cyclizations of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes (Andersen et al.)

The first example of direct cationic olefin cyclization of a simple unsaturated ketone was reported by Cooper and Harding (Scheme 31). ${ }^{76}$ When $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ was treated with perchloric acid in a mixture of acetic anhydride and acetic acid, furnished the ketoalcohol 110 in $50 \%$ overall yield (trans-110 : cis-110 4:1) together with the ketone $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ in $12 \%$ yield.

(a) $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}$ and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{AcOEt}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$ at rt; (b) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in AcOEt , rt.

Scheme 31. Cationic olefin cyclization of an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones

Interestingly, when treated with a variety of protic and Lewis acids $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ in acetic acid, $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in acetic acid) $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ could be recovered unchanged, and with ( $p$-toluene)-sulfonic acid the reaction was sluggish.
Dienone $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ underwent cyclization to keto acetate $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ in good yield when treated with boron trifluoride etherate in AcOH at rt. ${ }^{77}$ At the same time $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ was unreactive under same reaction conditions (Scheme 31).

Another example of a cationic cyclization of an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone was published by Dastur. ${ }^{78}$ The cyclization of $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ proceeds at rt in formic acid to furnish $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ (Scheme 32).

(a) $\mathrm{HCOOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, 50 \%$ yield.

Scheme 32. A cationic cyclization of $\alpha, \beta-\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ketone (Dastur et al.)
Barry Snider et al. ${ }^{79}$ investigated Lewis acid catalyzed reactions of alkynyl and alkenyl esters and alkynyl ketones with alkenes 117 (Scheme 33).


Scheme 33. Intermolecular cationic addition of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones to alkenes (Snider et $a l$.

The first intermolecular addition of an enone, as an electrophile, to an alkene was described by the same group. Remarkable are specific termination of the reaction by a series of alkyl and hydride shifts and the absence of polymerization.

In order to investigate cationic alkene cyclizations as a tool for the biomimetic construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid a model compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was proposed and synthesized (Scheme 34).


Scheme 34. Investigation of cationic alkene cyclization in the construction of the C-ring on a model compound 120

Details on the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ and the investigation of cationic alkene cyclizations are discussed in the synthetic part, Section 3.4.

## 3 Synthetic Part

### 3.1 Retrosynthetic analysis of 25-decarboxy hexacyclinic acid

Remarkable structural features of the target molecule are the combination of 6 fused rings and its 14 stereocenters. To simplify the synthetic target and to focus on the construction of the polycyclic backbone we worked on a synthetic approach towards 25 -decarboxy hexacyclinic acid 123 (Scheme 35).

hexacyclinic acid (11)


25-decarboxy-hexacyclinic acid (123)

Scheme 35. Hexacyclinic acid (11) and 25-decarboxy hexacyclinic acid (123)
We divided the molecule into two parts: the ABC and the DEF cyclic fragments. The retrosynthetic analysis of the ABC cyclic fragment has been previously elaborated and supported by a successful synthesis of $\mathbf{9 3}$ (Section 2.9) is presented in Scheme 36.
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Scheme 36. Retrosynthetic analysis of the ABC cyclic fragment

The strategy for the construction of the DEF cyclic fragment should not only provide access to the target fragment but also be compatible with the previously elaborated approach towards ABC cyclic fragment $\mathbf{9 3}^{69,70}$ (Scheme 37).




Scheme 37. Retrosynthetic analysis of 25-decarboxy hexacyclinic acid (123)
The key step of our approach was the Dieckmann condensation of the imide $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ that would provide access to 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 125. Subsequent deprotection of the tert-alcohol and the formation of the hemiketal should furnish the complete cyclic framework of the natural product.

### 3.2 Synthetic goals

Though the synthesis of the ABC cyclic fragment was successfully completed, the X-ray structure analysis of the totally deprotected $A B C$ fragment $\mathbf{9 4}$ suggested that eight out of nine stereocenters were constructed correctly leaving the configuration at C 8 to be opposite to that of the natural product. The following explanations were proposed to explain this observation: ${ }^{70}$

1) formation of a trans-fused bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative as the product of the tandem Michael-aldol reaction;
2) epimerization under basic deprotection conditions (4 equiv TBAF, THF, rt);
3) epimerization under storage in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Finding out the reason for the wrong configuration at C 8 was a pivotal goal for the further elaboration of the synthesis of the natural product.

Another goal was the elaboration of the synthetic approach towards the DEF cyclic fragment of hexacyclinic acid. Importantly, this approach had to be compatible with our approach for the construction of the ABC cyclic fragment.

### 3.3 The model study of the tandem Michael-aldol reaction

In order to understand the stereochemical outcome of the tandem Michael-aldol reaction and to establish the conditions of the retro-aldol reaction that avoid epimerization, we studied these transformations on model methyl ketone $\mathbf{1 3 0}$.
Compound 173 was synthesized in five steps starting from cyclohexene-1-carbaldehyde (126) (Scheme 38). Conjugate addition ${ }^{80,81}$ of $\mathbf{1 2 7}^{82}$ to the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ in the presence of TMSCl and HMPA yielded 128. Silyl ether $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ was treated with TBAF to give aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ which was subjected to equilibration using $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ in hexane/ AcOEt 6:1 at rt to establish the desired trans substituted cyclohexane derivative with $8: 1$ selectivity. Subsequently, the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester was introduced according to the Horner-Emmons protocol. The 1,3-dioxolane protecting group was removed in refluxing aqueous acetone with 0.3 equiv of PPTS to provide methyl ketone $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ as mixture of the cis- and trans-diastereomer (1:7) which were separated by HPLC. ${ }^{\text {g }}$

(a) HMPA, $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; (b) 1.2 equiv TBAF, THF, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$; (c) $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, hexane/AcOEt 6:1, 24 h , rt, $54 \%$; (d) 1.7 equiv (EtO) $)_{2} \mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaH}$, THF, $46 \%$; (e) 0.3 equiv PPTS, 3 h , reflux in aqueous acetone, $92 \%$.

Scheme 38. The synthesis of the model compound 130

[^4]The key step in the sequence is the conjugated addition ${ }^{80}$ of $\mathbf{1 2 7}^{82,83,84}$ to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde in the presence of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl},{ }^{81} \mathrm{HMPA}$ and $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. The reaction proceeded with good yield and no 1,2-addition product isolated.
The deprotection of the TMS-enol ether $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ turned out to be a problematic step. A number of reaction conditions were screened (Table 1). A selectivity of 1:7 (cis : trans) could be achieved (entries 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

Table 1. Deprotection of the TMS enol ether 128.


| entry | (a) conditions | Yield, $\%$ | trans $\mathbf{- 1 2 9}:$ cis $\mathbf{- 1 2 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.3 equiv TBAF, THF, $5.5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 54 | $7: 1$ |
| 2 | 1.2 equiv TBAF, THF, $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 49 | $7: 1$ |
| 3 | 1.2 equiv TBAF, THF, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 53 | $7: 1$ |
| 4 | EtONa, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 1.5$ equiv $\mathrm{TBAF}, \mathrm{THF}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 52 | $6: 1$ |
| 5 | 1.2 equiv TBAF, THF, $18 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 49 | $6: 1$ |
| 6 | 1.2 equiv TBAF, THF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 50 | $3.4: 1$ |
| 7 | 1.2 equiv TBAF, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 61 | $1: 2$ |
| 8 | $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 5.5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 15 | $1: 2$ |
| 9 | 1.7 equiv KF in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 53 | $1: 2.5$ |
| 10 | 2.3 equiv $\mathrm{TBAF}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 5.5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 75 | $1: 3$ |
| 11 | 0.6 equiv $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 34 | $1: 3.2$ |
| 12 | silica gel, hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt} 7: 3,5$ days, rt |  | no reaction |
| 13 | $37 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 1 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{rt}$ |  | no reaction |
| 14 | $37 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ |  | no reaction |

The trans-acrylate moiety was introduced according to the Horner-Emmons protocol (Scheme 38). The yield of 129a appeared to be proportional to the excess of the diethyl ethoxycarbonylmethanephosphonate and NaH used: $38 \%$ with 1.05 equiv and $46 \%$ with 1.7 equiv of the phosphonate. According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the trans- olefin was obtained with a selectivity better than 9:1. The Wittig protocol was tested as well. Though the reaction with methoxycarbonyl methylidenetriphenylphosphorane was rather slow at rt, after $100 \mathrm{~h} 64 \%$ of the product could be obtained.

The 1,3-dioxolane protection of the keto functionality was removed by reflux of 129a in wet acetone in the presence of $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{PPTS}^{85}$ to provide $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ with $92 \%$ yield.

With $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ in hands, the diastereoselectivity of the tandem Michael-aldol ${ }^{86}$ reaction (Scheme 39) was studied. The results are summarized in Table 2.

a) 1.5 equiv HMDS, 1.3 equiv TMSI, in $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, for details see Table 2 .

Scheme 39. Tandem Michael-aldol reaction

When the reaction was carried out at $0,-20$ or $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the kinetic product 131a was obtained as the major product. On the other hand, when the reaction was performed at rt for more than 1 h , the thermodynamic product (131b) was formed selectively. In general, longer reaction times favor the thermodynamic product with better yields, whereas shorter reaction times favor the kinetic product (Table 2).

Table 2. TMSI-HMDS mediated tandem Michael-aldol reaction

| entry | conditions $^{b}$ | dr $\mathbf{1 3 1 a}: \mathbf{1 3 1 b}^{c}$ | Yield (\%) $^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | $1.0: 17.5$ | 78 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $1.0: 14.3$ | 79 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{rt}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ | $1.0: 4.4$ | 82 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{rt}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $1.8: 1.0$ | $87^{a}$ |
| 5 | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | $1.0: 1.7$ | $77^{a}$ |
| 6 | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $1.5: 1.0$ | $82^{a}$ |
| 7 | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ | $5.4: 1.0$ | $81^{a}$ |
| 8 | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $8.2: 1.0$ | $83^{a}$ |
| 9 | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | $8.2: 1.0$ | $70^{a}$ |
| 10 | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ | $12.4: 1.0$ | $81^{a}$ |
| 11 | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $16.2: 1.0$ | $49^{a}$ |
| 12 | $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $16.2: 1.0$ | $79^{a}$ |
| 13 | $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$ | $17.6: 1.0$ | $71^{a}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Incomplete reaction. ${ }^{b}$ For all entries in Table 2 the concentration of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ was $0.1 \mathrm{M} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{dr}$ was determined by GC. Other products were also detected (up to 6), but not listed in Table 1. Analysis were done on crude products. The composition of crude products was also controlled by the intensity of the signals corresponding to $\operatorname{OSi}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ group ( $\delta 0.26-0.15 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ). ${ }^{d}$ For the mixture of diastereomers after chromatographic purification (hexane-AcOEt 50:1, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.10-0.15$ ).

Both 131a and 131b were isolated in pure form and analyzed with NOE experiments in order to assign the relative stereochemistry.

NOESY spectra of 131a support the structure shown in Figure 8 with cis-fusion between the cyclopentane and the cyclobutane rings and trans-orientation between H 5 and H 6 as in hexacyclinic acid.


131a: NOESY


Figure 8. Stereochemistry assignment for 131a using NOESY and for 131b with the help of NOE.

In the case of the 131b, a NOE between H 4 and H 11 is a strong argument to support the proposed structure (Figure 8).
With 131a in hand we performed the retro-aldol reaction putting our particular focus on the potential epimerization.
When 131a was treated with TBAF ( 1.0 equiv, $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), the corresponding methyl ketone 132a was obtained in $80 \%$ yield. The relative stereochemistry of 132a was assigned using NOESY NMR experiments (Scheme 40).



132a: NOESY
(a) 1.0 equiv TBAF $30 \mathrm{~min},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) TBAF 3 h , rt .

Scheme 40. Retroaldol reaction of 131a

When methyl ketone 132a was treated with TBAF at rt (Scheme 40), epimerized compound 133a could be isolated as the sole product. Again, the relative stereochemistry was assigned with the help of NOE NMR experiments (Figure 9).

irrad. at 2.56 ppm

irrad. at 2.83 ppm

133a: NOE
Figure 9. Assignment of the relative configuration of 133a using NOE experiments

When 131b was treated with TBAF for 30 min at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a $2.8: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of two methyl ketones 132b and 133b respectively was isolated. After treatment of this diastereomeric mixture with TBAF at rt ( 3 equiv, 3 h ), only isomer 133b could be isolated (Scheme 41).

(a) 1.0 equiv TBAF, $30 \mathrm{~min},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) TBAF, 3 h , rt.

Scheme 41. Retroaldol reaction of 131b and epimerization of 132b into 133b

The structure of $\mathbf{1 3 3 b}$ was studied with the help of ROESY NMR experiments (Figure 10).


133b: ROESY
Figure 10. Assignment of the configuration of 133b by ROESY experiment
With the results obtained, we can explain the unexpected epimerization at C 8 center of $\mathbf{9 3}$ (Section 2.9) under the conditions for silyl deprotection with TBAF and provide a reliable path for the further elaboration of the total synthesis of hexacyclinic acid. Additionally, we
provided a method which allows the diastereoselective synthesis of 1,2-disubstituted octahydroindene derivatives, with four diastereomers accessible through either the kinetic or thermodynamic product and subsequent epimerization.

### 3.3.1 The Grignard reaction with a model methylketone

The selectivity of the Grignard reaction of methyl ketones 93 and 132a can be predicted ${ }^{87}$ in terms of the Felkin-Anh model as shown in Scheme 42. The Felkin transition state will lead to the undesired addition product, whereas the anti-Felkin transition state will furnish the desired tert-alcohol.


Felkin-Anh Model
$\mathrm{L}=$ Large
$\mathrm{M}=$ Middle
$\mathrm{S}=$ Small


Felkin-TS


Anti Felkin-TS


Scheme 42. Predicting the selectivity of the Grignard reaction of methyl ketones 93 and 132a With compound 132a in our hands its Grignard reaction with (3-(benzyloxy)propyl)magnesium bromide was investigated. When the reaction was conducted at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the product was obtained as a 5:1 diastereomeric mixture that could be separated by chromatography.


Scheme 43. The Grignard reaction of a model methylketone 132a

We expected to distinguish between the two products of the Grignard reaction with the help of the ROESY NMR spectra for both compounds. In order to be able to interpret the results of the ROESY experiments, a better understanding of conformational preferences of $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 5}$
was required. Molecular modeling was conducted using Schrödinger MacroModel (ver. 8.1) ${ }^{88}$ and Schrödinger Maestro interface (ver. 5.1.020) under SuSE Linux OS (ver. 10).
Energy minimization was carried out for $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ by 500 steps of steepest descent until the RMS gradient of the potential energy was less than $0.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. The calculation was simulated in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as the solvent.
The searching of favorable conformations was conducted using MCMM conformational search protocol. The Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum (MCMM) ${ }^{89}$ method implemented in MacroModel is highly efficient in performing global searching, exploring close, as well as distant areas of the potential energy surface. The search proceeds by random changes in torsion angles. Automatic setup of torsions was performed and the calculation was simulated in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as above. The method consisted of 1,000 conformational search steps with MMFF94s force field. ${ }^{90}$ Other parameters were left by default. Only unique structures within a $50 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ energy window above the found global minimum were saved. If a found structure was not converged it was subjected to MMFF94s minimization (in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) until the RMS of the conjugate gradient was less than $0.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. So obtained structures $\mathbf{1 3 4 a}$, 134b, 135a, 135b and their potential MMFF94s energies are presented in Scheme 44.


134a, $206.10 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

$135 \mathrm{a}, 206.06 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$


134b, $204.19 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$


135b, $201.92 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Scheme 44. Favorable conformations 134a, 134b, 135a, 135b and their potential energies (hydrogen atoms and bond order are not shown)

It is worth to note that according to the modeling results, conformations with a "bigger" $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ substituent in a pseudo-axial position are energetically preferred over those conformations where this substituent takes a pseudo-equatorial position.
Results of molecular modeling and the results of the ROESY experiments were compared (Scheme 45).



Scheme 45. Elucidation of the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ with the help of ROESY

In the ROESY spectrum of the major product 134 a cross peaks between the methyl group and H3 proton (specific for conformation 134a) strongly supports the proposed structure (Scheme 45). In the ROESY spectrum of the minor diastereomer 135 a cross peak between the methyl group and H 4 (specific for the conformation 135a) support the relative stereochemistry presented in Scheme 45.
The relative stereochemistry of the lactone $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ corresponds to that of hexacyclinic acid (11). In agreement with the Felkin-Anh model the Grignard reaction afforded the undesired product 134 selectively.
It is worth to note that unreacted methylketone, isolated after the Grignard reaction was identical with the starting material 132a according to TLC and 1H NMR, suggesting that no epimerization of 132a takes place during the Grignard reaction.

Lactone 134 was converted to the corresponding tert-alcohol 134 c when treated with NaOMe in MeOH (Scheme 46).

(a) 0.22 M NaOMe in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ at rt; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{DAED}, \mathrm{PhCOOH}$.

Scheme 46. Reaction of lactone $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ with NaOMe in MeOH
It is worth to note that $\mathbf{1 3 4} \mathbf{c}$ was prone to undergo spontaneous cyclization (during workup and purification by chromatography) furnishing the starting lactone $\mathbf{1 3 4}$. One should to point on the possibility to convert the undesired tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 3 4} \mathbf{c}$ to the desired $\mathbf{1 3 5} \mathbf{c}$ according to the Mitsunobu protocol. ${ }^{91}$

### 3.4 The C-Ring via a nucleophilic addition of an alkene to the Michael acceptor

In order to investigate cationic alkene cyclizations as a tool for the biomimetic construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid, a model compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was chosen (Scheme 34, Section 2.11). Compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was synthesized in five steps starting from cyclohexanone. Alkylation of the lithium enolate of cyclohexanone with neryl bromide afforded 2-nerylcyclohexnone (136). Methylvinylether 137 was prepared according to the Wittig olefination protocol (Scheme 47).

(a) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; neryl bromide, $50 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{MeOCH}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 68 \%$; (c) $5 \% \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{THF} 4: 1$, reflux $10 \mathrm{~min}, 35 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $92 \%$; (e) (EtO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 52 \%$.

Scheme 47. The synthesis of the model compound 120

Unfortunately, the acid catalyzed hydrolysis of methylvinylether 137 gave two byproducts together with the desired aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ in low yields. In order to optimize the yield of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ a number of reaction conditions was tested. Best results were obtained when 137 was briefly refluxed ( 5 min ) in a $4: 1$ mixture of THF and $5 \%$ aqueous HCl , furnishing 138 as a $1: 1$ mixture of the cis- and trans- isomers as suggested by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The desired trans- $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ could be selectively obtained when the $1: 1$ mixture was subjected to reflux in aqueous $\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$. A cis : trans selectivity of 1:7 was achieved.
The HWE olefination and the Wittig protocol were two alternative methods to introduce the required acrylate moiety. Whereas the HWE olefination furnished the trans-acrylate with 52\% yield, the Wittig olefination afforded the product in $79 \%$ yield.
With the compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ in hand, we investigated cationic alkene cyclizations. A set of Brønsted and Lewis acids was tested for the ability to induce cationic alkene cyclizations of 120 (Table 3).

Table 3. Investigation of cationic alkene cyclization of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$


| entry | conditions | notes ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 15 equiv $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, 50 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}$ | the starting material and a new product, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35-0.31$ |
| 2 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 3 | 2 equiv $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 4 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{TPPB}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 24 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{THF}-,78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 5 | 2 equiv $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 6 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{Sc}\left(\mathrm{OTf}_{3}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right.$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1,0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | starting material |
| 7 | 160 equiv aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | starting material |
| 8 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | products with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40-0.35 ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33-0.29$, decomp. |
| 9 | 2.5 equiv $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | product with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40-0.35$ |
| $10^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 2.5 equiv $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | two products, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40-0.35 ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33-0.29$ |
| 11 | 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | two products, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40-0.35 ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33-0.29$ |

[^5]Reaction of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ with an excess of trifluoroacetic acid was rather slow even at rt (entry 1). A new product, obtained in pure form after chromatographic purification, was assigned a structure of 139 (Scheme 48). According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 139 possessed one olefinic proton less compared to the starting material while both acrylate protons were retained. Strong IR absorption at $1167(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F})$ and $1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{CO}\right)$ supported the proposed structure.


Scheme 48. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ with an excess of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$

Such Lewis acids as $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$, TPPB, $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ failed to promote any transformation of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$. To the contrary, when $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ was used, the formation of up to 4 new products could be observed. Two of these new products were isolated in pure form and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. In both cases the two acrylate protons could be identified with their usual chemical shifts suggesting that the new compounds are not the result of the desired transformation but are the side-products.

### 3.5 First generation approach towards the DEF fragment

Compound $\mathbf{9 3}$ is accessible in twenty synthetic steps from alcohol $\mathbf{8 2}$ with an overall yield of less than $8 \%$ (see Scheme 26, Section 2.9). That is why for the elaboration of the synthetic approach towards the DEF-core we decided to start form an easily accessible model compound. This had to have the same arrangement of functional groups as in $\mathbf{9 3}$ in order to make the synthesis of the DEF-core applicable to 93 (Scheme 49).

Compound 132a can be a suitable model as it is structurally closely related to 93 and is accessible in only seven steps from cyclohexene-1-carbaldehyde (126) (see Scheme 38, Scheme 39 and Scheme 40, Section 3.3).

Alternatively, methyl 5-oxohexanoate (140) can be a suitable model compound (Scheme 49). It posses the same set of functional groups as $\mathbf{9 3}$ does, separated by a chain of three carbon atoms. Importantly, $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ is a commercially available substance that is also available in a single step starting from methyl acrylate and acetylacetone. ${ }^{126}$


Scheme 49. Model compounds 132a and 140 for the elaboration of the synthetic approach towards the DEF core of hexacyclinic acid

Our first generation approach towards the DEF core of hexacyclinic acid is shown in Scheme 50. We decided to construct the target fragment starting from the readily available $\mathbf{1 4 0}$. Our retrosynthetic approach features the Grignard reaction (g) furnishing 144, the Evans aldol reaction (f), esterification (e), the ring closing olefin metathesis (d) the catalytic hydrogenation (c), the Dieckmann condensation (b) and the formation of the hemiketal 141 (a).


Scheme 50. First generation approach towards the DEF core of hexacyclinic acid
We considered an asymmetric synthesis of 144a using the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation ${ }^{92}$ as the key step (Scheme 51).


Scheme 51. Asymmetric approach to $\mathbf{1 4 4 a}$

The major disadvantage of such synthesis is that it can not be transferred to the ABC fragment 93 (Scheme 49).

A simple addition of common organometallic nucleophiles to $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ will produce racemic mixture of the addition products. The major drawback of conducting the synthesis with racemic $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ is the formation of diastereomeric mixtures e.g. of $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ after the esterification step. This means complication of the spectroscopic characterization by NMR and the need of separation(s) at later synthetic steps. In order to investigate the proposed approach and to reveal the optimal sequence of synthetic transformations we decided to conduct the synthesis with racemic 144.

The synthesis of the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ was conducted according to a described procedure (Scheme 52). ${ }^{99}$

(a) 1) $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2) acrolein, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 75 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$.

Scheme 52. The synthesis of the Weinreb amide 145
The Evans aldol reaction with acrolein furnished diastereomerically pure hydroxy imide $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ with a $75 \%$ yield. Transamination with $N, O$-dimethyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ afforded $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ in $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ yield. Weinreb amide $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ was used in a 6 step synthesis of 143a (Scheme 53).

(a) Methylvinylketone, $\mathrm{MeONa}, 66 \%$; (b) vinylmagnesium bromide, $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}, 64 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 \%$; (d) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, $75 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{LiOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF/MeOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, quant.; (f) 2,4,6-trichlorbenzoylchloride, THF, then 152, $80 \%$.

Scheme 53. Synthesis of the Weinreb amide 143a

As was mentioned above, methyl-5-oxohexanoate (140) was synthesized from methylacrylate and acetylacetone according to a published procedure. ${ }^{126}$ With 140 in hand we studied its reactions with organometallic nucleophiles in order to obtain the tert-alcohol 151.
Reaction of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ with vinylmagnesium bromide afforded a mixture of $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ together with the corresponding lactone $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ in a $42 \%$ yield while the reaction with vinyllithium provided the product in $34 \%$ yield. The relatively low yields can be explained by the low chemoselectivity of the highly reactive Grignard and organolithium reagents when a keto and a carbomethoxy functions are present in the substrate. Surprisingly, when the reaction was conducted in the presence of equimolar amount of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$, the product was obtained in a $64 \%$ yield. ${ }^{93}$ After the so obtained lactone-alcohol mixture was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in MeOH , tert-alcohol 151 was obtained in excellent yield. Its hydroxy function was protected as a triethylsilyl ether and the carbomethoxy function was saponificated using LiOH in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture affording acid 152. Compound 143a was obtained according to the Yamaguchi esterification protocol ${ }^{94}$ from the acid 152 and the Weinreb amide 145.

### 3.5.2 RCM in the construction of the 9-membered lactone

According to the retrosynthetic plan (Scheme 50), the 9-membered lactone $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ should be accessible from a linear precursor $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ via a ring closing olefin metathesis ( RCM ) followed by hydrogenation of the double bond. Having 143a in hand we studied the RCM reaction (Table 4) using different ruthenium catalysts (153-157) (Scheme 54).

Table 4. Attempted ring closing olefin metathesis of 143a


| entry | substrate | conditions | notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 b}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 4}$, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}=2.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$ | no reaction |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 b}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, 24 h | no reaction |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 7}$, toluene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}=4.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$ | no reaction |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 5}$, toluene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}=3.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$ | no reaction |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 6}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 24 h | no reaction |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 7}$, toluene, reflux, 24 h | no reaction |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 7}$, toluene, reflux, 24 h | no reaction |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $25 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}=1.64 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$ | no reaction |
| 9 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{c}=1.64 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$ | no reaction |

Unfortunately none of the tested catalysts (Scheme 54) was able to induce the ring closing olefin metathesis of 143a under the conditions tested. We believed that the proximity of the sterically demanding silyl protecting group to the reacting double bond might prohibit the desired reaction. The triethylsilyl protecting group was removed by treatment $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ with acetic acid in THF furnishing the tert-alcohol 143b. Attempts to induce the RCM of 143b using the Grubbs II catalyst were not successful.


Scheme 54. Ru- catalysts tested for the ring closing olefin metathesis of 143a

We then reconsidered our approach towards the 9 -membered lactone $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ by changing the order of synthetic steps: we expected to be able to conduct a cross metathesis (CM) between 158 and 144a followed by macrolactonization reaction and hydrogenation.
The CM reaction between 158 and $\mathbf{1 4 4 a}$ was studied in the presence of $5-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{1 5 4}$, $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ or $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ as catalysts (Scheme 55). Catalyst $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ was not effective, while $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ resulted in the consumption of only one of the reaction partners, compound 158, from the reaction mixture and the formation of a homodimerization product 159, as suggested by HRMS ESI ((M + Na) ${ }^{+}: 573.2195$ found $)$.



(a) $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{1 5 4}$ or $\mathbf{1 5 5}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$, reflux 6 h .

Scheme 55. Attempted olefin cross metathesis between 158 and 144a

### 3.5.3 Dieckmann condensation

$N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamides (Weinreb amides) are known to react with C-nucleophiles affording ketones. ${ }^{95}$ Enolates derived from ketones, esters, acetonitrile and acetone dimethylhydrazone react with $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylbenzamide to produce $\beta$-dicarbonyl compounds in moderate yields. ${ }^{96}$ At the same time there are several reports on inefficient condensations of $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamides with ester enolates. ${ }^{97}$ Sibi reported chemoselective Dieckmann-like condensations using $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamides (Scheme 56). ${ }^{98}$


Scheme 56. Dieckmann condensation of $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamides 160.

Depending on the base and the reaction conditions it was possible to selectively obtain one of the two possible reaction products $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 6 2}$.
We investigated the Dieckmann condensation of two model substrates, acetate $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ and the propionate 164, easily and directly available from the aldol product 146.


Scheme 57. Dieckmann condensation of 163 and 164.

The acetate $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ underwent the Dieckmann condensation when 3 equiv of LiHMDS were used as the base and the reaction was conducted for 3 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, furnishing 1,3-diketone $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ in a $19 \%$ yield after chromatographic purification. The Dieckmann condensation of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ furnished 166 as a mixture of diastereomers in $23 \%$ yield when the propionate was treated with 4 equiv of KHMDS for 3 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

With these positive results we expected to conduct the Dieckmann condensation with the more complex substrates 143a and 143c. A number of bases was investigated (Table 5).

Table 5. The Dieckmann Condensation of 143a

|  |  |  | 167 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | conditions |  | notes |
| 1 | 143a | 3.0 equiv LD | A, 18 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF | no reaction |
| 2 | 143a | 1.2 equiv L | HMDS, 16 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF | no reaction |
| 3 | 143a | 1.2 equiv KH | HMDS, 16 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF | no reaction |
| 4 | 143c | 4.0 equiv KH | HMDS, 16 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF | no reaction |

### 3.6 Second generation approach towards the DEF fragment

Since the synthesis of the 9 -menmbered lactone $\mathbf{1 4 2}$, required for the construction of the DEF fragment, was not achieved using olefin metathesis, a new synthetic approach was proposed (Scheme 58).


Scheme 58. The second generation approach to the DEF fragment of hexacyclinic acid
Such key steps as the Dieckmann condensation in the construction of the E-ring, the hemiketalization and the macrolactonization of the seco-acid $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ to access $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ remained the same. The seco-acid 168 should be accessible from the aliphatic aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ utilizing the Evans aldol protocol.

To synthesize the aldehyde 169 we started from the precursor, mentioned in Section 3.5, the methyl 5-oxo-hexanoate (140) (Scheme 59). The Grignard reaction of 140 with a known organomagnesium reagent 175 followed by the opening of the lactone should provide the methyl ester 173.

(a) See Table 6 for reaction conditions; (b) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in MeOH or NaOMe in MeOH ; (c) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (d) acetone, PPTS.

Scheme 59. The synthesis of the aldehyde 169

After the tert-alcohol is protected as a triethylsilyl ether the selective removal of the dioxolane protecting group should afford the desired aldehyde 169. These synthetic transformations will be discussed in this section.

### 3.6.1 Grignard reaction

The Grignard reagent 175 was prepared from 2-(2-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane ${ }^{82}$ according to a published procedure. When the reaction of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ was conducted under described reaction conditions, only a poor yield of the desired product was obtained, suggesting that an optimization of the reaction conditions was required.
The tested reaction conditions are summarized in Table 6 . When THF/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1$ or $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PhH}$ 2:1 were used as solvent and the reaction was conducted at 0 to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yields of 87 and $77 \%$ respectively, could be obtained.
Under the conditions of the Grignard reaction, alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ partly underwent cyclization giving lactone 172. To obtain the desired tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ the crude reaction product was treated with NaOMe in MeOH at rt .

Table 6. Optimization of the Grignard reaction


140


172 (lactone)


173

| entry | conditions | yield, ${ }^{j} \%$ | notes |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | incomplete reaction |
| 2 | 2 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | incomplete reaction |
| 3 | 2 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 37 | incomplete reaction |
| 4 | 2 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF}, 0--5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 32 | complete reaction |
| 5 | 2 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}$ | 30 | complete reaction |
| 6 | 1 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{rt}$; then NaOMe in MeOH | 60 | incomplete reaction |
| 7 | 1.3 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}$, "One-pot", $\mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}$ | - | incomplete reaction |
| 8 | 1.3 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1,0$ to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOMe}$ | 87 | almost complete reaction |
| 9 | 1.3 equiv $\mathbf{1 7 5}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PhH} 2: 1,0$ to $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOMe}$ | 77 | almost complete reaction |

The protection of the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ as a triethylsilyl ether also required the optimization of the reaction conditions (Scheme 60). The temperature control appeared to be important for the desired outcome of the reaction.



(a) 2.0 equiv TESOTf, 3.0 equiv 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; (b) 1.5 equiv TESOTf, 2.0 equiv 2,6 -lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched while cold, $80 \%$ after flash; (c) 2.0 equiv TESOTf, 1.7 equiv 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ rt, $30 \%$.

Scheme 60. Reaction of the tert-alcohol 173 with TESOTf and 2,6-lutidine

Conducting the reaction at rt resulted in the formation of a complex mixture of products. When the addition of TESOTf was performed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by warming the reaction

[^6]mixture to $\mathbf{r t}$, the undesired product 177 was obtained with $30 \%$ yield. The desired silyl ether 174 could be obtained in a yield of $80 \%$ when 1.5 equiv of TESOTf and 2.0 equiv of 2,6lutidine were used and the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by quenching while cold.

### 3.6.2 1,3-Dioxolane deprotection

A number of different reagents and reaction conditions was tested in order to selectively cleave the dioxolane protecting group of $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ in the presence of the triethylsiloxy group and to obtain the aldehyde 169 (Table 7).

Table 7. Cleavage of the 1,3-dioxolane protection in the presence of the TES-ether


| entry | conditions | notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 30 mol $\%$ PPTS, acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10: 1$, reflux, 5 h | no reaction |
| 2 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ PPTS, wet acetone, reflux, 2 h | no reaction |
| 3 | 1 equiv PPTS, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | deprotection of the TES group |
| 4 | cat. $p$-TsOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone | simultaneous TES and dioxolane deprotection |
| 5 | 1.3 equiv $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$, acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 15: 1$, reflux, 4 h | simultaneous TES and dioxolane deprotection |
| 6 | 3.75 equiv $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} l_{2}$, rt | simultaneous TES and dioxolane deprotection |
| 7 | 50 mol $\% \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}$, wet acetone, rt, dark, 3d | decomposition |

### 3.6.3 Protection of the tert-alcohol as MTM ether

As it was not possible to find reaction conditions that allowed the deprotection of the aldehyde function leaving the TES group intact, we decided to check if MTM protection of the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ allow the chemoselective cleavage of the 1,3-dioxolane moiety. To introduce the MTM protecting group, the alcohol 173 was treated with the mixture of DMSO and acetic anhydride at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the desired MTM ether $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ in an $85 \%$ yield. The mechanism of this transformation resembles the Pummerer rearrangement with the difference that in the last mechanistic step the alcohol acts as a nucleophile instead the acetate anion (Scheme 61).


(a) DMSO, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$.

Scheme 61. MTM protection of the tert-alcohol 173

We tested a set of reaction conditions in order to selectively deprotect the 1,3-dioxolane in the presence of the MTM protecting group (Table 8, compare with Table 7). Unfortunately, the desired aldehyde 169 could not be obtained.

Table 8. Cleavage of the 1,3-dioxolane protection in the presence of the MTM ether


| entry | conditions | notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $48 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TsOH}$, acetone, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | decomposition |
| 2 | 3.75 equiv $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ acetone $4: 1, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | decomposition, traces of the product |
| 3 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPTS}$, acetone, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | no reaction |
| 4 | $45 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPTS}$, acetone, $48 \mathrm{~h}, 40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | decomposition |
| 5 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPTS}$, reflux in wet acetone, 12 h | decomposition |
| 6 | conc. AcOH, acetone | no reaction |
| 7 | $50 \%$ aqueous AcOH, acetone | no reaction |

### 3.6.4 Protection of the tert-alcohol as a benzyl ether

It is well known that alkyl ethers are less prone to cleavage under acidic conditions compared to silyl, and especially triethylsilyl ethers. We decided to protect the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ as a benzyl ether, since that would allow to use strong acids (aq. HCl ) for the chemoselective cleavage of the 1,3-dioxolane.
The reaction of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ with BnBr in the presence of KH unexpectedly gave compound $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ as the product (Scheme 62).


Scheme 62. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ with BnBr and KH

Since the reaction with BnBr under basic conditions led to the formation of the lactone $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ we investigated the possibility to protect alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ as PMB ether under acidic conditions (Scheme 63).

(a) $\operatorname{PMBOC}(=\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{CCl}_{3}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TfOH}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CSA}$ in two portions, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$ yield.

Scheme 63. Protection of the alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ as a PMB ether

The crude reaction product required extensive chromatographic purification, that resulted in a diminished yield of $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ ( $36 \%$ after two chromatographic separations).

### 3.6.5 Grignard reaction with 3-(benzyloxy)-propylmagnesium bromide

Having investigated a number of different groups (TES, MTM, Bn and PMB) for the protection of the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ and have not found a suitable one we considered the possibility of masking the aldehyde group of $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ with such a function which can be removed under neutral conditions that tolerate a tert-triethylsilyl ether. For example, aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ is directly accessible by the oxidation of the corresponding primary alcohol, which in turn can be temporarily protected as a Bn ether 184 (Scheme 64). Important, that the deprotection of a Bn ether and the oxidation of a primary alcohol can be conducted under neutral conditions, compatible with a TES group.
The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ started from 1,3-propanediol (Scheme 64). Alkylation of one of the two hydroxy groups with BnCl furnished alcohol 181. This alcohol was converted to the corresponding bromide $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ by treatment with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and NBS.


(a) $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{BnCl}, o$-xylene, $65 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NBS}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 64 \%$; (c) 3 equiv Mg , methyl 5-oxo-hexanoate; (d) NaOMe , $\mathrm{MeOH}, 83 \%$; (e) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, $80 \%$.

Scheme 64. Synthesis of the methyl ester 184

Bromide 182 was required for the synthesis of 3-(benzyloxy)-propylmagnesium bromide, ${ }^{134}$ the Grignard reagent, which was reacted with the methyl 5-oxohexanoate (140) (compare with Section 3.6.1). The crude product of the Grignard reaction was treated with NaOMe in MeOH affording the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ in $80 \%$ yield. As we did with $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ (see Section 3.6.1), the alcohol 183 was converted to the triethylsilyl ether 184 (Scheme 64) by treatment with a slight excess of TESOTf and 2,6-lutidine at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A number of conditions was tested to selectively cleave the primary Bn-ether of $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ as summarized in Table 9. Catalytic hydrogenation with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ catalysts, transfer hydrogenation using 1,4-cyclohexadiene as a hydrogen donor and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst as well as the Birch reduction protocol did not afford the desired alcohol 185.

Table 9. Hydrogenolytic cleavage of the Bn -ether $\mathbf{1 8 4}$


| entry | conditions | notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | cleavage of both Bn and TES groups |
| 2 | $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (inhib.), $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | starting material |
| 3 | $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 1,4-$ cyclohexadiene, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ | cleavage of both Bn and TES groups |
| 4 | Na in liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | decomposition |
| 5 | Ni Raney W2 catalyst, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ | $98 \%$ yield |

Fortunately, 185 was obtained with an excellent yield of $98 \%$ when the reaction was conducted using Ni Raney W2 catalyst (Scheme 65). ${ }^{135}$

Oxidation of the primary alcohol 185 was conducted according to the Swern protocol ${ }^{k}$ and afforded aldehyde 169 in an $86 \%$ yield (Scheme 65).

(a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Raney Ni "W2"; MeOH, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) DMSO, $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 86 \%$.

Scheme 65. Completion of the synthesis of the aldehyde 169

### 3.6.6 The Evans aldol reaction

With the aldehyde 169 in hand the Evans aldol reaction was studied. When the reaction was conducted under the published reaction conditions, ${ }^{99}$ no aldol product could be isolated since most of the aldehyde was isolated unchanged. In order to obtain the required aldol product with a suitable yield the reaction conditions were optimized as summarized in Table 10.

Table 10. The optimization of the Evans aldol reaction

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | 170 | $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}$ |  | 69 | conditions | yield, \% | notes |
|  |  | equiv |  | equiv | $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 25.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \min \text { at }-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \\ & \text { then to rt } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | no reaction |
| 2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 41.8 | 40 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | traces | not reaction |
| 3 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 68.0 | 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 h at rt | 40 | $33 \%$ of 169 left, a few side-products |
| 4 | 2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.97 | 81.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~h} \text { at } 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { and } 15 \mathrm{~h} \text { at } \mathrm{rt} \end{aligned}$ | 44 | incomplete, byproducts |
| 5 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 57.1 | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $<30$ | complete, byproducts |
| 6 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 34.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~h} \text { at } 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { and } 5 \mathrm{~h} \text { at } \mathrm{rt} \end{aligned}$ | $<30$ | incomplete |
| 7 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.97 | 57.6 | 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 h at rt | $<30$ | incomplete, byproduct |
| 8 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.97 | 19.8 | 2 h at -10 to $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 84 | complete, little byproduct |
| 9 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.97 | 56.8 | 6 h at -10 to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 h at rt | 60 | $20 \%$ of 169 left, byproduct |

[^7]It was found that if the aldol reaction was conducted at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ no or little aldol product was formed (entry 1, 2). When the reaction was conducted at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the desired product could be isolated in $40 \%$ yield together with approx. $30 \%$ of the starting aldehyde (entry 3 ). When 2 equiv of the boron enolate were used, a complex mixture of reaction products was obtained with a little improvement of the yield (entry 4). Fortunately, when the reaction was conducted at -10 to $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 2 equiv of the boron enolate, the desired aldol product $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ could be isolated with $84 \%$ yield. The aldehyde was almost completely consumed and only traces of byproducts were detected by TLC (entry 8).

With the aldol product 186 in hand we considered two possible ways towards the construction of the 9 -membered lactone 187, an analogue of 142 (Scheme 58). One possibility was to exploit the Yamaguchi macrolactonization ${ }^{94}$ protocol which required seco-acid 168a as the starting material and the other possibility was to conduct a direct intramolecular transesterification of the aldol product 186 (Scheme 66). We first decided to investigate the formation of the lactone $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ by an intramolecular transesterification since this pathway has the advantage of less synthetic steps.




direct macrolactoniyation through


Scheme 66. Two possible ways towards the construction of the 9-membered lactone 187

### 3.6.7 Macrolactonization through intramolecular transesterification

Transesterification has been carried out traditionally and most frequently using acidic or basic catalysts. ${ }^{100}$ When the substrate posses no labile functional groups, is cheap and available, strong mineral acids are probably best catalysts. For the substrates, which are not compatible with strong acids or bases, neutral transesterification catalysts are required.
Several organotin compounds, which are neither strong acids nor strong bases, are known to catalyze transesterification reactions (Scheme 67). ${ }^{100,101}$ The activity of these catalysts can be attributed to the ability of tin to enhance the nucleophilicity of a heteroatom bound to it, making alcohols more reactive in a transesterification process.


Scheme 67. Organotin transesterification catalysts

The first two catalysts, $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}$ and $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, were tested under conventional reflux conditions. When 186 was refluxed in toluene in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the catalyst over 48 h , the 9 -membered lactone $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ could be obtained with a $20 \%$ yield. It is worth to note that $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ appeared to be more effective under the specified reaction conditions (Scheme 68).

(a) $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, toluene, reflux $48 \mathrm{~h}, 20 \%$.

Scheme 68. $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ catalyzed intramolecular transesterification of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$

The product 187 was obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers. Chromatographic separation on silica gel using hexane/AcOEt 10:1 proved to be difficult because of close $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ values of the products. Nevertheless each diastereomer of the 9-membered lactone $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ could be obtained in pure form and analyzed with the help of spectroscopic methods. Figure 11 and Figure 12 present the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of both compounds.

The use of microwave assisted heating allowed conducting the reaction at a temperature exceeding the boiling point of the solvent used. For $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}$ and $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ catalysts there was no yield enhancement when microwave heating was used.
We investigated two other catalysts, $\left[n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ and $\left[n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{ClSn}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ using a combination of microwave heating and HPLC to analyze the reaction mixture. Results are summarized in Table 11.


Figure 11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 8 7}$


Figure 12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 8 7}$

Table 11. Intramolecular transesterification of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ using organotin catalysts


Having investigated a number of catalysts and reaction conditions we were able to obtained the desired 9 -membered lactone $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ with yields up to $30 \%$. Though direct transesterification has the advantage of less synthetic steps compared to the saponification/Yamaguchi macrolactonization approach (Scheme 66), we could not be satisfied with the low yields of 187 and investigated the alternative synthetic way.

### 3.6.8 Saponification of the methyl ester

For the Yamaguchi macrocyclization the seco-acid 168a was required (Scheme 66). In order to selectively saponificate the methyl ester in the presence of the oxazolidine imide a number of reaction conditions was investigated (Table 12).

[^8]Table 12. Attempted saponification of the methyl ester $\mathbf{1 8 6}$


None of the tested reaction conditions allowed a chemoselective cleavage of the methyl ester of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$, leaving the oxazolidine ring intact.

We believe that the secondary hydroxy group of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ facilities intramolecular cleavage of the Evans auxiliary as shown in the Scheme 69.


Scheme 69. Anchimerically assisted methanolysis of the oxazolidine auxiliary

The seco-acid 168a was therefore not directly accessible from the aldol product $\mathbf{1 8 6}$.

### 3.6.9 Synthesis of the seco-acid

In order to get access to the seco-acid required for the Yamaguchi macrocyclization we decided first to convert imide 186 into the Weinreb amide 194 and then to saponificate 194 to the seco-acid 195 (Scheme 70).

(a) 3.4 equiv $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, 3.4$ equiv $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (b) 0.43 M LiOH in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ $1: 1,2.5 \mathrm{~h}$ at rt, $95 \%$.

Scheme 70. Synthesis of the seco-acid 195

This approach proved to be successful. The transamination furnished the Weinreb amide with a yield of $75 \%$ when 186 was treated with $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$. The reaction of 194 with LiOH in THF/MeOH 1:1 afforded the desired seco-acid 195 in 95\% yield.

### 3.6.10 Yamaguchi macrocyclization

With the seco-acid 195 in hand we could carry out the Yamaguchi macrocyclization. ${ }^{94,102}$ First, the solution of the seco-acid 195 in THF was treated with 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as the base to afford the mixed anhydride. The macrolactonization took place when the solution of the mixed anhydride was slowly added to a refluxing solution of DMAP in toluene. The 9-membered macrolactone 196 was obtained with yields of $75-90 \%$ after a simple extractive workup and a chromatographic purification (Scheme 71).

(a) 1) 3 equiv 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, 3 equiv $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF; 2) add to 6 equiv of DMAP in toluene over 8 h at reflux, $75-90 \%$ yield.

Scheme 71. Yamaguchi macrolactonization of Weinreb amide 195

### 3.6.11 Dieckmann condensation

Having achieved some positive results with the Dieckmann condensation of two model substrates (Section 3.5.3) we investigated the reaction of $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ with strong bases. Reactions of 196 with various bases are summarized in Table 13. No reaction took place when the solution of 196 was refluxed with NaH in toluene (entry 2). Also with KHMDS in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ no reaction progress was detected (entry 6). When the addition of KHMDS was done at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to rt , the starting material was consumed and a complex mixture of products was obtained (entry 7).
Four new products could be isolated when the reaction was conducted with 2 equiv of LDA at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 3). After chromatographic separation and thorough analysis using spectroscopic methods two of the products could be assigned structures 198 and 199 (Scheme 72).

(a) 2 equiv LDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 55 \%$.

Scheme 72. Products of the reaction of $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ with LDA

Table 13. Attempted Dieckmann condensation of the Weinreb amide 196

|  |  <br> conditions <br> 196 |  <br> 197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | conditions | notes |
| 1 | 2 equiv NaHMDS, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h | starting material |
| 2 | 2.5 equiv NaH , reflux in toluene | starting material |
| 3 | 2 equiv LDA, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 4 new spots, complete reaction |
| 4 | 4 equiv LiHMDS, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 5 | 4 equiv LiHMDS, 3 equiv TMSI, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | starting material |
| 6 | 2 equiv KHMDS, 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, | starting material |
| 7 | 2 equiv KHMDS, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$ | decomposition |
| 8 | 2 equiv, $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then TBAF | decomposition |
| 9 | 6.5 equiv KHMDS, 3 equiv TMSCl | 4 unidentified products |
| 10 | 4 equiv KHMDS, reversed slow addition, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | decomposition |
| 11 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CLi}$, rt, 14 h | starting material, decomposition |
| 12 | 4 equiv $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CLi}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$ | starting material |

Formation of 198 and 199 from 196 under the action of LDA was neither desired nor expected. Having studied the literature we have found that a similar, rather unusual, transformation of a Weinreb amide has been reported by Graham and Scholz. ${ }^{103}$ They observed the fragmentation of a Weinreb amide resulting into formation of an N -methylamide and formaldehyde. It was proposed that the reaction involves an E2-elimination (Scheme 73). Two other groups have made similar observations. ${ }^{104}$


Scheme 73. Fragmentation of Weinreb amides
Unfortunately, it was not possible to find a base and reaction conditions that afforded the desired 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 197. We attribute the failure of the Dieckmann condensation of $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ to the hampered enolization of the desired 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (Scheme 74).

The ester condensation is a reversible, thermodynamically controlled reaction and the formation of a resonance-stabilized enolate of the 1,3-dicarbonyl compound is its driving force. If the enolization of the 1,3 -diketone is not possible, such product is not formed. ${ }^{105}$ The Bredt's rule says that a bridged bicyclic hydrocarbon cannot have a double bond involving a bridgehead carbon unless one of the rings contains at least eight carbons atoms. Such a bridged double bond common for the two small ring is equal to a trans-double bond in a small ring, what obviously causes severe strain.
In the case of the enol-197 we have a bridged [2.2.5]bicyclic alkene with a trans-double bond in a 9 -membered ring (Scheme 74). Therefore, one may expect that enol-197 is higher in its energy compared to keto-197 making enolization unfavorable and resulting in the failure of the Dieckmann condensation.


Scheme 74. The hindered enolization of 197

We attempted to carry out the Dieckmann condensation with three another substrates: 187, 202 and 203, but, unfortunately, without success (Table 14, Table 15, Table 16).

Table 14. Attempted Dieckmann condensation of the imide 187


| entry | conditions | notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $1,2,3$ equiv LDA, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | starting material |
| 2 | 2.5 equiv LiHMDS, $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | starting material |

Table 15. Attempted Dieckmann condensation of the Weinreb amide 202


Table 16. Attempted Dieckmann condensation of the imide 203


Though the Dieckmann condensation failed to afford 197 it was possible to trap the enolate of 196 with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and to obtain the corresponding iodide (Scheme 75).

(a) 2.7 equiv $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CLi},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 6 equiv $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, warm to rt .

Scheme 75. Trapping the enolate of $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ with iodine

In addition it was possible to conduct the reduction of the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ to the aldehyde 201 with DIBAL (Scheme 76).

(a) 4 equiv DIBAL, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 13 \%$.

Scheme 76. Reduction of the Weinreb amide 196

### 3.7 Conclusion and outlook

Investigation of the stereochemical outcome of the Tandem Michael Addition - Aldol reaction together with the study of the retroaldol reaction and the epimerization provided insights into the reactions involved in the construction of the C-ring of hexacyclinic acid. It also helped to find the reason for the wrong stereochemistry of a C8 stereocenter in the X-ray structure analysis of the totally deprotected ABC fragment 94.
It was shown that the reaction of a Grignard reagent generated from 1-(benzyloxy)-3brompropane with the methylketone 132a selectively furnishes the undesired Felkin product 134. This finding will be of value for the work on the total synthesis of hexacyclinic acid.

Several strategies towards the construction of the DEF core have been studied. The ring closing alkene metathesis using a number of catalysts and different substrates did not prove to be successful in the synthesis of the 9-membered lactone 196.
A new, reconsidered retrosynthetic approach, featuring a Grignard reaction, an Evans aldol reaction and a Yamaguchi macrolactonization led to the successful synthesis of the 9membered lactone 196.

Despite the promising model studies of the Dieckmann condensation with an acetate and a propionate precursor, the reaction could not be successfully transferred to the advanced substrate 196. The failure of the Dieckmann condensation we attributed to the hindered enolization of the desired product, the 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 197.
Since the key step of our approach, the Dieckmann condensation, has failed, it is important to propose and to investigate other possibilities to access the DEF cyclic fragment. In this regard an approach featuring an intramolecular aldol reaction ${ }^{106}$ could be useful (Scheme 77).


Scheme 77. The construction of the DEF ring fragment via intramolecular aldol reaction

A possible complication associated with this approach may arise from the epimerization of 201 ( $\alpha$-position to aldehyde function) under the conditions of the aldol reaction.
According to another promising approach the construction of the E-ring can be achieved in an intramolecular Reformatsky reaction (Scheme 78). Since the classical method employing zinc dust as a reductant has been established, many improvements and modifications have been reported ${ }^{107}$ employing either alternative elemental reductants, such as $\mathrm{Cd},{ }^{108} \mathrm{Ni},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Co}^{11}{ }^{110}$ $\mathrm{Ce},{ }^{111} \mathrm{Mn},{ }^{112} \mathrm{Mg},{ }^{113} \mathrm{Ge},{ }^{114} \mathrm{Sn},{ }^{115} \mathrm{In}^{116}$ or low-valent organometallic species, such as $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II}),{ }^{117}$ titanocene(III), ${ }^{118} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2},{ }^{119}$ and $\mathrm{SmI}_{2} .{ }^{120,121}$
It is worth to note that the reaction may be investigated on an easily accessible model aldehyde $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ as shown in the Scheme 78.

(a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CLi}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) DIBAL; (c) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) Dess-Martin oxidation, DCM.

Scheme 78. A model system to investigate the intramolecular Reformatsky reaction in the construction of the E-ring of hexacyclinic acid (11).

If the reaction affords the lactone 214 in a diastereomerically pure form (without epimerization), it can be applied to the advanced lactone 215, furnishing the desired DEF fragment of the natural product (Scheme 79).

(a) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) Dess-Martin oxidation, DCM.

Scheme 79. Reformatsky reaction in the synthesis of the DEF fragment of hexacyclinic acid

We hope that a reliable strategy for the construction of the DEF cyclic fragment combined with the previously elaborated approach towards the ABC fragment will result in the successful synthesis of the hexacyclinic acid (11).

## 4 Experimental Part

### 4.1 General Methods

NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AVS-500, AVS-400 or AM-200 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to tetramethylsilane ( 0.00 $\mathrm{ppm})$ on $\delta$-scale. Corresponding solvent signal served as an internal standard: for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ - the singlet of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $\delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$, in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ - the singlet of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 7.16$ ppm; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ - the triplet at $\delta 77.00 \mathrm{ppm}$, in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ - the triplet at $\delta 128.40 \mathrm{ppm}$. Values of the coupling constant, J, are given in hertz (Hz). Following abbreviations are used in the experimental section for the description of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet (q), multiplet (m), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of triplet (dt), doublet of quartets (dq), doublet of doublets of doublets (ddd), broad singlet (bs), broad doublet (bd) and broad triplet (bt). The chemical shifts of complex multiplets are given as the range of their occurrence.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Signals were assigned by means of standard DEPT 135 and DEPT 90 experiments and the following abbreviations are used in the experimental part: quaternary $(\mathrm{Cq})$, methyne $(\mathrm{CH})$, methylene $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and methyl carbon atom $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ respectively. Further assignment of NMRsignals was achieved using two-dimensional NMR experiments when appropriate (H,HCOSY, HMQC and HMBC).
Infrared spectra were recorded with Bruker 580 FT-IR photometer. Samples were solved in dichloromethane or applied neat according to the "Golden-Gate" ATR method.
High-resolution electrospray-mass spectra (HRMS-ESI) were recorded with Waters Micromass LCT spectrometer with a Lock-Spray unit.
Elemental analyses were conducted on an Elementar Vario EL device with acetanilide as a standard.

Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin Elmer PE-241 instrument at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and using a light with 589 nm (D-line of sodium emission spectrum) and a cuvette of 10 cm length.
Analytical gas chromatography (GC) was performed with HP 6890 device using MachereyNagel "Optima 5" capillary column ( $30 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \%$ phenyl $-95 \%$ dimethylpolysiloxan) and a flame ionization detector. Nitrogen served as the carrier gas. According to the standard method used, the column temperature was maintained $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min then rose to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ rate and was maintained at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till the end of the run. The overall run time was 20 min .

All air- and moisture sensitive reactions were performed under argon or nitrogen gas in heat gun-dried glassware.

Microwave heating was carried out with a CEM Discover ${ }^{\circledR}$ LabMate ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ single-mode device. Reactions were conducted in 10 mL sealed Pyrex vessels, with a maximum operating temperature of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a maximum pressure of 8 bar.
All experiments were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) performed on Merck 60 F-254 ( 0.2 mm thick) silica gel aluminium supported plates. Spots were visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light ( 254 nm ) or by staining with a "Vanillin" ( $85 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeOH}, 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{AcOH}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ vanillin (added last) ), "Cer reagent" ( $10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}, 25 \mathrm{~g}$ molybdophosphoric acid, $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to 1000 mL ), followed by heating or by "permanganate reagent" ( $3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, 20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 5 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, 300 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). Flash chromatography was performed using J. T. Baker brand silica gel ( $40-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 60 \AA$ pores). Solvents for chromatography (usually $n$-hexane and ethyl acetate) were distilled prior to use and mixed in volumetric parts.

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled under argon from sodium/benzophenone. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride under argon.
Commercially available reagents were used as supplied.

### 4.2 Experimental procedures and spectral data

## 2-(2-Bromo-ethyl)-2-methyl-[1,3]-dioxolane (127)


$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$
Mol. Wt.: 195,05
Method a): $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ was obtained from methylviylketone ( 10.0 g ), bromotrimethylsilane ( 24.9 g ), ethylene glycol $(10.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate $(0.7 \mathrm{~g})$ as a catalyst according to the reported procedure ${ }^{83}$ to give $17.5 \mathrm{~g}(57 \%)$ of the product after vacuum distillation at 85 $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 19-23 \mathrm{mmHg}$. Lit. ${ }^{83}$ yield: $82 \%$, bp $42-46^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.4 \mathrm{mmHg}$; lit..$^{122}$ bp $88-94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 27 \mathrm{mmHg}$. Method b): $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ was obtained from methylvinylketone ( $24.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $48 \%$ aqueous HBr solution ( $72 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.645 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), ethylene glycol ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate ( 1.5 g ) according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{83}$ Modification: 1.5 equiv of ethylene glycol were used; it is not necessary to wash the toluene solution after the addition of HBr to the methylvinylketone; the crude product was distilled under reduced pressure $\left(61-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 15\right.$ mmHg ) to give $23.9 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$ of 127 as a yellow liquid. Lit. ${ }^{83}$ yield: $54 \%$, $\mathrm{bp} 88-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 27$ mmHg .

Analytical sample ( 5.0 g ) was obtained by flash chromatographic purification on a $10 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ column with hexane/AcOEt 10:1 as eluent. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.23-0.25$, the spot is UV inactive but visible with "Vanillin" staining reagent.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): 84.05-3.90(m, 4H), 3.50-3.35(m, 2 H$), 2.35-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.33 (s. 3H).

HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.

## Trimethyl-(2-(2-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl)-ethyl)-cyclohexylidene-methoxy)-silane (128)


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 298.49
Mg turnings ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) were ground in a mortar and transferred immediately to a Schlenk flask fitted with an argon-filled balloon. THF ( 3 mL ) and 1,2-dibromoethane ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. A solution of 2-(2-bromoethyl)-2-methyl-1,3-dioxolane
( $2.92 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise over 2 h to Mg turnings while stirring at $22-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (water bath). After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was diluted with THF ( 15 mL ) and stirred for 1 h at rt . The resulting grey solution was transferred with a syringe into another 100 mL Schlenk flask fitted with an argon-filled balloon. The flask was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution of $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(0.107 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in HMPA ( $3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added. After the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a mixture of 1 -cyclohexene-1-carbaldehyde ( $929 \mathrm{mg}, 8.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMSCl $(2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added within 8 h to the reaction mixture while stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After additional 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by hexane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was separated. Aqueous layer was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with hexane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined hexane extract was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ 100:10:1 furnished $\mathbf{1 2 8}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a colorless oil. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ in hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt} 7: 3$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.00-1.40 (m, 11H), $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{6}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 131.93(\mathrm{CH}), 125.03(\mathrm{Cq}), 111.02(\mathrm{Cq}), 65.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.34$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.35(\mathrm{CH}), 38.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.27$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.17\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Elemental Analysis: Anal. C, $63.26 \%$; H, $9.94 \%$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, $64.38 \%$; H , $10.13 \%$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.

## 2-[2-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl)-ethyl]-cyclohexane carbaldehyde (129)


1.0 M TBAF solution in THF ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at rt it was then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Flash
chromatography on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 as eluent furnished $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ as a colorless oil ( $53.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 9.82(\mathrm{~s}), 9.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-0.70$ (complex m, 17H). Signals at 9.82 (s) and $9.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ correspond to the absorption of the CHO proton of the cis- and the trans- isomer respectively. According ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the product was obtained as a 1:7.1 cis : trans diastereomeric mixture.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right)$ : for the cis : trans mixture: $8204.62(\mathrm{CH}), 204.39(\mathrm{CH}), 110.70$ $(\mathrm{Cq}), 110.69(\mathrm{Cq}), 65.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.12(\mathrm{CH}), 52.58(\mathrm{CH}), 38.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.32$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.18(\mathrm{CH}), 37.14(\mathrm{CH}), 37.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.51$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.68$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 277.1623; found: 227.1640.

## trans-129:

The solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ in hexane/AcOEt 6:1 was stirred with silica gel ( 100 mg ) for 24 h at rt . The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of cotton and concentrated to give the product. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the cis : trans ratio was 1:8.

## (E)-methyl 3-(2-(2-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclohexyl)acrylate



A solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{COOMe}\left(0.502 \mathrm{~g}, 1.504 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at reflux to the solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 9}(0.168 \mathrm{~g} 0.743 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a two necked flask fitted with a reflux condenser and an argon balloon.
The mixture was refluxed for 9 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the rest was triturated with hexane. After the solution was filtered and concentrated, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to give $134 \mathrm{mg}(0.475 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%)$ of the desired acrylate.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right.$ ): $\delta 6.85-6.75$ (dd, $J=9.46,15.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $\delta 5.80-5.75$ (dd, $J=0.61,15.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.76$ (complex m, 2H), 1.751.42 (complex m, 7H), 1.28-1.02 (complex m, 9H), 0.98-0.84 (complex m, 1H).

According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum the product obtained is a 1:7 mixture of diastereomers (cis- to trans- in relevance to the substituents on the cyclohexane ring). The olefinic protons of the minor (cis-) diastereomer are found at: $87.17-7.09$ (dd, $J=8.85,15.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $\delta 5.84-5.79$ (dd, $J=1.22,15.87 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right): 8167.16(\mathrm{CO}), 153.94(\mathrm{CH}), 120.30(\mathrm{CH}), 110.15(\mathrm{Cq}), 64.56$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.93(\mathrm{CH}), 41.07(\mathrm{CH}), 35.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.00$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
Signals from olefinic protons of the minor isomer are found at 150.85 and 121.18 ppm .
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}$ ]: 283.1909, for [ $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}$ ]: 305.1729; found: 283.1921, 305.1742.

## (E)-ethyl 3-(2-(2-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl)cyclohexyl)-acrylate (129a)



A solution of diethyl ethoxycarbonylmethanephosphonate ( $688 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv) in toluene ( 3 mL ) was added to a suspension of NaH in mineral oil $(60 \%, 106 \mathrm{mg}, 2.66 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) in toluene ( 4 mL ) at rt forming a clear colorless solution which was additionally stirred for 20 min at rt . The solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in toluene ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise over 20 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the reaction was complete (TLC with hexane/AcOEt $7: 3$, product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45$, UV), the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extract was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Chromatographic purification on silica gel using hexane/AcOEt 8:2 as eluent furnished purified 129a as a colorless oil ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right.$ ): $\delta 6.38-6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. According ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the product was obtained as a 1:6.6 cis : trans mixture of isomers (in respect to the substitution pattern on the cyclohexane ring). Olefinic protons of the minor cis isomer are observed at $7.20-7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 166.68(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 153.53(\mathrm{CH}), 120.62(\mathrm{CH}), 110.07(\mathrm{Cq})$, $64.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.84(\mathrm{CH}), 40.97(\mathrm{CH}), 35.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]:$ 297.2066; found: 297.2070.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, neat): 2981 (m), 2924 ( s$), 2854$ (m), 1716 ( s$), 1650,1447,1370,1323,1263,1223$, 1174, 1039, 987, 947, 859, 720.

## (E)-3-[2-(3-oxo-butyl)-cyclohexyl]-acrylic acid ethyl ester (173)


$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 252,35
A solution of 129a ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and PPTS ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.256 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in aqueous acetone ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was refluxed for 4 h . When the reaction was complete (TLC with hexane/AcOEt 7:3, product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35, \mathrm{UV}$ ) the excess of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, MTBE ( 15 mL ) was added and the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt 8:2 as eluent to give $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ as colorless oil ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 6.80-6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-5.72(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the product was obtained is a $1: 6$. mixture of isomers (cis : trans- in respect to the substitution pattern on the cyclohexane ring). Olefinic protons of the minor cis isomer are observed at: 7.14-7.08 (dd, $J=8.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 208.82(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 166.55(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 153.00(\mathrm{CH}), 120.94(\mathrm{CH})$, $60.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.83(\mathrm{CH}), 40.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.48(\mathrm{CH}), 32.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 275.1623; found: 275.1628.
IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, neat): 2925 ( s$), 2854(\mathrm{~m}), 1712$ ( s$), 1650(\mathrm{~m}), 1447,1367,1324,1266,1225,1161$, 1133, 1039, 986, 844, 734.

The cis-trans mixture was separated using HPLC on a $250 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ "Reprosil-Pur 120 C 18 AQ $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ " column with a $30 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ "Reprosil-Pur $120 \mathrm{C} 18 \mathrm{AQ} 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ " precolumn and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 48: 52$ as eluent at $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate. Detection with L-7400 "LaChrome" UV detector at 254 nm . The minor diastereomer had a retention time of 57.0 min . The major one: 59.9 min . In a single run $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solution of the diastereomeric mixture in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, containing 60 mg of the substance, was injected.

## Siloxycyclobutane 131a (kinetic product)


$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 324,53


Rac

TMSI ( $58 ~ \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was dropwise added to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ ( $83.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and hexamethyldisilazane ( $104 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 3 h it was diluted with MTBE $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the extraction with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \times 270 \mathrm{~mm}$ column) with hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 50:1 as eluent to give $131 \mathrm{a}(56.6 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$ as a colorless oil. According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the product is a $11: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45-0.52$ in hexane/AcOEt $10: 1 ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.17$ 0.13 in hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 60:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 4.03(\mathrm{dq}, J=3.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dq}, J=3.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05-3.02 (d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 172.70(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 74.26(\mathrm{Cq}), 60.12(\mathrm{CH}), 59.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.45$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 50.77(\mathrm{CH}), 49.40(\mathrm{CH}), 37.54(\mathrm{CH}), 32.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.27$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.50\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 325.2199, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ : 388.2284; found: 325.2394, 388.2424.

## Siloxycyclobutane 131b (thermodynamic product)


$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 324,53

TMSI ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.383 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ ( $74.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{TMS}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(93 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.442 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in $1,2-$ dichloroethane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 5 mL ), quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $25 \times 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ column) with hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 60: 1$ as eluent to give 131b ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The content of the fractions was judged by the GC. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45-0.50$ in hexane/AcOEt 10:1, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15-0.12$ in hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 60:1.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 4.15(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.01-2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.13(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=12.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 8172.25(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 74.47(\mathrm{Cq}), 59.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.97(\mathrm{CH}), 48.97$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 48.71(\mathrm{CH}), 43.30(\mathrm{CH}), 37.16(\mathrm{CH}), 32.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.92$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 325.2199 , for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 388.2284; found: 325.2191, 388.2304.

## Methyl ketone 132a


$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 252,35
1.0 M solution of TBAF in THF ( $0.214 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.214 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the solution of silyl ether 131a ( $69.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.214 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted
with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \times 240 \mathrm{~mm}$ column) with hexane/AcOEt 20:1 as eluent to give 132a ( $43.2 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 84.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.7,8.7$ and 8.7 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (ddd, $J=8.7,11.7$ and $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{ddd}, J=20.7,11.1$ and $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ (dddd, $J=11.3,11.2$, $11.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 213.38(\mathrm{Cq}), 173.91(\mathrm{Cq}), 60.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.04(\mathrm{CH}), 49.88$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 45.53(\mathrm{CH}), 43.71(\mathrm{CH}), 36.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.09$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 275.1623, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 316.1889; found: 275.1636, 316.1883.

## Methyl ketone 133a


1.0 M solution of TBAF in THF ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution of methyl ketone 132a ( $27.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.111 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt. After 3 h the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with AcOEt $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $160 \times 15$ mm column) with hexane/AcOEt 10:1 as eluent to give 133a ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 500 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.5,7.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dddd}, J=10.9,7.3,9.2$ and $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H) $2.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.7,7.0$ and 2.9 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.3,12.1,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-$ $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{dddd}, J=11.1$, 11.1, 11.1 and $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): ~ \$ 210.76(\mathrm{Cq}), 172.94(\mathrm{Cq}), 60.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.40(\mathrm{CH}), 51.72$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 44.52(\mathrm{CH}), 42.95(\mathrm{CH}), 37.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.07$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 253.1798, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 275.1618$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 316.1889$; found 253.1742, 275.1669, 316.1901.

## Methyl ketone 133b


1.0 M TBAF solution in THF ( $0.066 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to the solution of 131b ( $21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then quenched while cold with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ), MTBE ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated. After flash chromatographic purification (hexane/AcOEt 8:1, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.17-0.20$ ) 13.2 mg ( $79 \%$ ) of a colorless oil was obtained. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR the product was a 2.8:1.0 diastereomeric mixture. The signals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group were found at $\delta 1.91 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1 3 2 b})$ and $\delta 1.93 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{1 3 3 b})$, respectively (in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ).
1.0 M TBAF solution in THF ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added to the solution of the above 2.8:1.0 diastereomeric mixture ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt. After 3 h at rt the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt $8: 1, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.17-0.20$ to give $\mathbf{1 3 3 b}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a colorless oil. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR the product was diastereomerically pure with the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group at $\delta 1.93 \mathrm{ppm}$ (in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): $\delta 3.96(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (dddd, $J=2.9,5.2,10.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ (ddd, $J=3.2,8.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dd, $J=5.2,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.2,8.5$, $12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.8,11.8,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.75$ (dddd, $J=2.6,11.3,13.0,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{D}_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 208.26(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.12(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 60.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.95(\mathrm{CH})$, $48.16(\mathrm{CH}), 43.41(\mathrm{CH}), 39.97(\mathrm{CH}), 36.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 275.1623, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 316.1889; found: 275.1653, 316.1885.

## Lactones 134 and 135



Preparation of the 0.2 M solution of the Grignard reagent: ${ }^{134}$
The solution of benzyl 3-brompropyl ether ( $458 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added over 10 min via a syringe to a suspension of Mg turnings $^{\mathrm{p}}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in THF ( 1 mL ) at rt . The exothermic reaction has an induction period of ca. 10 min , but when the reaction starts, the color of the reaction mixture changes to yellow-green and the temperature may rise up to $40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 45 min at rt it was diluted with THF ( 7 mL ) to provide 0.2 M solution of the Grignard reagent.

## The Grignard reaction:

0.2 M solution ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of the Grignard reagent was dropwise added to the solution of the methyl ketone 132a( $26.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) in THF ( 1 mL ) at rt . After 1 h at rt $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 min . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the rest was diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ). The grey suspension was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ), extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and concentrated to furnish a pale oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt 8:2 as eluent giving two fractions: 135: $3.6 \mathrm{mg}(10 \%)$ with an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20-0.21$ and 134: 18 mg (48\%) with an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.17-0.19 .134$

[^9]required additional purification by flash chromatography with hexane/MTBE 3:1 (134: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.21-0.24$, impurity $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25-0.27$, "Vanillin").

## Lactone 135 (minor):


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 87.43-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66$ (dd, $J=16.39,8.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.56,4.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04$ (dddd, $J=10.84,10.84,8.19,5.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{dddd}, J=10.84,10.84,10.84,3.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 172.90(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.43(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.35(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $127.58(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.54(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 84.49(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.65(\mathrm{CH})$, $44.70(\mathrm{CH}), 43.04(\mathrm{CH}), 39.09(\mathrm{CH}), 37.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]: 357.2430$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 379.2249 ; found: 357.2445, 379.2262.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2922 ( s$), 2850$ (m), 1737 ( s$), 1447$ (m), 1366 (s), 1274 (w), 1217 (s), 1096 (m), 1028 (w), 988 (w), 953 (w), 737 (m), $698(\mathrm{~m})$.

## Lactone 134 (major):


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 87.41-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (dd, $J=16.38,8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.73,2.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23$ (ddd, $J=10.75,10.75$, $7.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ (dddd, $J=10.58,10.67,8.36,2.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{dddd}, J=10.80,10.80,10.80,2.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 172.74(\mathrm{Cq}), 138.40(\mathrm{Cq}), 128.30(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.52$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.48(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 83.92(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.40(\mathrm{CH}), 44.37(\mathrm{CH})$, $43.81(\mathrm{CH}), 38.39(\mathrm{CH}), 35.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.79\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]: 357.2430$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 379.2249$; found: 357.2434, 379.2256.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2921 (s), 2851 (m), 1731 (s), 1453 (m), 1347 (w), 1274 (m), 1213 (w), 1100 (s), 1075 (m), 1014 (w), 971 (w), 950 (w), 737 (m), 698 (m).

## Neryl bromide


$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}$
Mol. Wt.: 217,15
The substance was prepared according to a published procedure. ${ }^{123}$ Pyridine ( 1.0 mL , $12.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=0.978)$ was added to a stirred solution of nerol $(19.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 123 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv, $\mathrm{d}=0.877)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a dropwise addition of a solution of $\operatorname{PBr}_{3}(14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=2.88,5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in hexane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which a white suspension formed and a weak exothermic effect was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min , whereupon the solution had became clear and a pink precipitate of the phosphorous salts $\left[\mathrm{Py} \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]$ had formed. TLC analysis indicated incomplete reaction (nerol was left). Additional portion of the solution of $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in hexane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added so that the nerol was consumed. The yellow solution was decantated from the precipitate onto ice $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, the precipitate was washed with hexane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the washings were combined with the main portion of the product. Organic layer was separated and the aqueous was extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 17.0 g ( $97 \%$ ) of yellow oil. TLC (hexane): nerol $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ ca. 0 , product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$. Important to note that a sample of the product decomposed during an attempted chromatographic purification on silica gel. The crude product was successfully purified by bulb to bulb distillation at $110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$.
In general, isoprenyl bromides should be stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over Ag threads. In this way the substance may be stored over a month.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 85.65-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.00(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.31(\mathrm{Cq}), 132.30(\mathrm{Cq}), 123.45(\mathrm{CH}), 121.34(\mathrm{CH}), 31.73$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

The position of the signals corresponding to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups (at $\delta 25.65,23.51$ and 17.65 ppm ) in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR suggests the cis- geometry of the double bond. ${ }^{124}$

HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.
IR (cm ${ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}$, neat): 3035 (w), 2968 (s), 2929 (s), 2859 (m), 1655 (m), 1447 (s), 1377 (m), 1200, 1109, 985, 840 (s).

## 2-(3,7-Dimethyl-octa-2,6-dienyl)-cyclohexanone (136)


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}$
Mol. Wt.: 234.38
2.5 M solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexanes was added to the solution of diisopropylamine ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(34 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The so obtained LDA solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 15 min and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The solution of cyclohexanone $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{~d}=0.947,0.903 \mathrm{~g}, 9.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 8 mL ) was slowly added to the LDA solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution of neryl bromide $(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 4.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dropwise added over 0.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 12 h , warmed to rt and stirred over 4 h at ambient temperature (TLC with hexane/AcOEt 15:1: neryl bromide $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ (green-blue, "Vanillin"); cyclohexanone $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (violet, "Vanillin"); product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45$ (yellow-brown, "Vanillin"). When there was no starting neryl bromide, the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ solution $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was separated, diluted with MTBE ( 20 mL ) and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ) and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 1.15 g of a yellow oil. Crude 136 was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $15: 1 \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30-0.35\right)$ to furnish $539 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$ of a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 5.10-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.15-2.05 (m, 1H), 2.05-1.95 (m, 4H), 2.00-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.75 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.50 (m, $11 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 212.89$ (C=O), 136.51 (Cq), 131.37 (Cq), 124.15 (CH), $122.63(\mathrm{CH}), 51.127(\mathrm{CH}), 41.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The position of the signals corresponding to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups ( at $\delta 25.60,23.32$ and 17.51 ppm ) in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum suggests the cis- geometry of the double bond in the neryl rest. ${ }^{124}$
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 257.1881$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ ]: 298.2147; found: 257.1890, 298.2151.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): $2930(\mathrm{~s}), 2859(\mathrm{~m}), 1712(\mathrm{~m}), 1448(\mathrm{~m}), 1376,1313,1225,1127,1068,960$, 831, 740.

## 1-(3,7-Dimethyl-octa-2,6-dienyl)-2-methoxymethylene-cyclohexane (137)


$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}$
Mol. Wt.: 262.43
2.5 $\mathrm{M} n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ solution in hexanes $(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dropwise added to the solution of diisopropylamine $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min .
Methoxymethyl triphenylphosphonium bromide ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the above solution of LDA as suspension in THF ( 60 mL ) while stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ forming a red solution. After 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution of the ketone $\mathbf{1 3 6}(1.72 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added over 30 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 12 h . According to the TLC the reaction was complete (starting material $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30-0.35$, product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ ). The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was washed with brine ( 25 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the residue of the solid $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ and the oily product. This was triturated with hexane/AcOEt 15:1 and the cloudy solution was filtered. Evaporation gave 2.35 g of yellow oil. Bulb to bulb distillation ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) allows to some extent to separate $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ from the byproduct, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$. A portion of $\mathbf{1 3 7}(224 \mathrm{mg})$ was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt

100:1 to give $152.5 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ of the purified product. TLC product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ (hexane); 0.45 (hexane/AcOEt 50:1); 0.7 (hexane/MTBE 10:1); 0.25 (hexane/AcOEt 100:1).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 85.80-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-1.15$ (complex m, 24H). From the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra it is clearly seen that the product is a mixture of the two $\pi$-diastereomers. Signals at $\delta 7.2-7.3$ suggest that $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ could not be completely separated even after chromatographic purification with hexane/AcOEt 100:1.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.34(\mathrm{CH}), 138.93(\mathrm{CH}), \underline{137.22 \& 137.11(\mathrm{Cq}), 135.46}$ $(\mathrm{Cq}), 135.19(\mathrm{Cq}), 133.80(\mathrm{CH}), 133.61(\mathrm{CH}), 131.45(\mathrm{Cq}), 131.33(\mathrm{Cq}), 128.66(\mathrm{CH}), 128.49$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 128.42(\mathrm{CH}), 124.52(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 124.39(\mathrm{CH}), 124.27(\mathrm{CH}), 121.26(\mathrm{Cq}), 120.86(\mathrm{Cq})$, $59.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 59.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.86(\mathrm{CH}), 33.38(\mathrm{CH}), 33.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
Total are: $12 \mathrm{CH}, 7 \times \mathrm{Cq}, 14 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 8 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}=41$ signals. Total need: $8 \times \mathrm{CH}, 6 \times \mathrm{Cq}, 14 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, $8 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}=36$ carbon atoms. The admixture of $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ is the reason for 3 additional CH signals (one of which is a doublet) between $\delta 120-140$ and one $\mathrm{Cq}(137.22 \& 137.11, \mathrm{~d}, J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}$ on P). According to the NMR the product was obtained as E/Z-mixture.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}$ ]: 285.2194; found: 285.2187.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2926 ( s ), 2853 (m), 1716 (v), 1680 (m), 1447 (m), 1376, 1234, 1199, 1128 (s), 1089, 995, 834, 743, 697.

GC, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}, \boldsymbol{m i n}$ (intensity): 10.43 (42\%), 10.612 (49\%).

## 2-(3,7-Dimethyl-octa-2,6-dienyl)-cyclohexane carbaldehyde (138)


$\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}$
Mol. Wt.: 248.40
$5 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 2 mL ) was added at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the solution of the methylvinylether 137 ( 1000 mg ) in THF ( 16 mL ). After the reaction mixture was refluxed for 20 min in was cooled to rt and poured into a mixture of ice $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a yellow oil of crude 138. TLC: starting material $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.6$ (hexane/AcOEt 15:1); 0.4 (hexane/AcOEt 50:1). Product $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.5$ (hexane/AcOEt 15:1); 0.3-0.25 (hexane/AcOEt 50:1).

The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 50:1 to give $0.33 \mathrm{~g}(35 \%)$ of a pale oil of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$.
The column was gradually washed with hexane/AcOEt 15:1 and 7:3 to obtain the two main byproducts: 0.127 g of a colorless oil $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\right.$ in hexane $/$ AcOEt 15:1) and 0.125 g of a pale oil $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35\right.$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3). According to the IR both are alcohols.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{H})$, the ratio of the integrals of the aldehyde protons is 1.2:1 (cis : trans), 5.13-5.05 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.47 (m, $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.20-1.10 (m, 24H), 1.03-0.92 (m, 1/2H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ : $8205.52(\mathrm{CH}), 205.17(\mathrm{CH}), 137.02(\mathrm{Cq}), 136.67(\mathrm{Cq}), 131.56$ $(\mathrm{Cq}), 131.51(\mathrm{Cq}), 124.17(\mathrm{CH}), 124.14(\mathrm{CH}), 123.45(\mathrm{CH}), 122.55(\mathrm{CH}), 55.33(\mathrm{CH}), 51.84$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 37.86(\mathrm{CH}), 37.50(\mathrm{CH}), 32.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.29$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.00$ (w, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $23.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.70\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Since both diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ are structurarly similar, not all signals in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ has been resolved. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups of the neryl side chain have very close $\delta$ values for both diastereomers.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 271.2038; found: 271.2033.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2926 (s), 2855 (m), 1723 (s), 1448 (m), 1376 (w), 835.
trans-2-(3,7-Dimethyl-octa-2,6-dienyl)-cyclohexane carbaldehyde (trans-138)

$\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}$
Mol. Wt.: 248.40
$5 \%$ aqueous KOH solution ( 20 mL ) was added to the solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3 8}(0.16 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was refluxed for 5 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the extract was washed with brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 0.144 g of a yellow oil ( $92 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, the ratio of the integrals of the aldehyde protons is 1:8.5 (cis : trans), $\delta 5.20-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 2.20-0.80(\mathrm{~m}$, 25H).
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 271.2038; found: 271.2031.

## 3-[2-(3,7-Dimethyl-octa-2,6-dienyl)-cyclohexyl]-acrylic acid ethyl ester (120)


$\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Mol. Wt.: 318.49
The solution of diethyl ethoxycarbonylmethanephosphonate ( $915 \mathrm{mg}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was dropwise added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ in mineral oil ( $153.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to form a clear colorless solution that was additionally stirred for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution of the aldehyde trans- $\mathbf{1 3 8}(0.317 \mathrm{~g}, 1.276 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 8 mL ) was added over 5 min . The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to rt and stirred over 12 h at ambient temperature, then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 50:1 to provide $209.3 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$ of a colorless oil of 120. The product $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25-0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 50:1 (permanganate).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $87.20-7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.53,15.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.75$ (dd, $J=9.47,15.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.96,1.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.10(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.20(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Multiplets: $\delta 7.20-7.05$ (dd) and $\delta 5.85-5.77$ (dd) correspond to a minor (cis on the ring) isomer. Multiplets: $86.90-6.75$ (dd) and $85.83-5.75$ (d) correspond to a major (trans on the ring) isomer. The ratio cis : trans is 1:6.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ : $8166.70(\mathrm{Cq}), 153.71(\mathrm{CH}), 136.05(\mathrm{Cq}), 131.33(\mathrm{Cq}), 124.29$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 123.06(\mathrm{CH}), 120.60(\mathrm{CH}), 60.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.63(\mathrm{CH}), 42.03(\mathrm{CH}), 32.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.63$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.40$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 341.2456; found: 341.2460 .
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, neat): 2925 ( s$), 2854$ (m), 1721 ( s$), 1651$ (m), 1447 (m), 1368, 1323, 1265, 1224, 1163, 1131, 1040, 986, 840, 719.

## 3-\{2-[3,7-Dimethyl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoro-acetoxy)-oct-2-enyl]-cyclohexyl\}-acrylic acid ethyl ester (139)


$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Mol. Wt.: 432.52
Trifluoroacetic acid ( $82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.942 \mathrm{mmol}, 15$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.48$ ) was added to the solution of the acrylate $\mathbf{1 2 0}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred over 48 h at rt . The progress of the reaction was controlled by TLC. The starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25-0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 50:1 (permanganate) and the product an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ in hexane/AcOEt 50:1 and $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 15:1.
The reaction mixture was quenched with 0.3 M aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), stirred for 20 min at rt , then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a yellow oil of the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography with hexane/ AcOEt $30: 1$ furnished 8.3 mg ( $30 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 3 9}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.90-6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.56,9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90-5.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.10(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 433.2566, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]: 319.2632$, for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 341.2451$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 382.2716$; found: 319.2735, 341.2601, 382.2783. Elimination of trifluoroacetic acid took place during the acquisition.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): $2930(\mathrm{~m}), 2856,2255(\mathrm{w}), 1777\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{s}\right), 1715(\mathrm{~m}), 1651,1449,1372$, 1270, 1221, 1167 (C-F, s), 1124, 1041, 988, 911 (s), 736 (s), 650.

## (R)-(-)-4-Benzyl-3-propionyl-oxazolidin-2-one (170)


$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ Mol. Wt.: 233.26
2.5 $\mathrm{M} n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ solution ( $11.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexanes was added over 10 min to a solution of ( $R$ )-4-benzyl-oxazolidine-2-one ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 28.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 85 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by propionyl chloride ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{~d}=1.059,32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added in one portion while stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred over 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then slowly warmed to rt and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 20 mL ). Organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the rest was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with 1 M NaOH solution ( 20 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 7.11 g of a yellow oil.

The crude product was cooled with liquid nitrogen and left overnight to crystallize in the refrigerator. The crystals were triturated with cold hexane, filtered off and dried on air to afford $6.42 \mathrm{~g}(97.6 \%)$ of a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 42-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Lit. $\mathrm{mp} 44-46{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{125}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $87.45-7.15$ (m, 5H, Ar), 4.75-4.60 (dddd, $J=9.81,6.46,3.51$, $3.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.30,3.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.85(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=2.79,7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.30,9.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 256.0950, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 297.1215; found: 256.0956, 297.1220.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}(\mathrm{EtOH}, c=1.01)=-104^{\circ}(98 \% \mathrm{ee})$.

## 4(R)-Benzyl-3-(3(S)-hydroxy-2(R)-methyl-pent-4-enoyl)-oxazolidin-2-one (146) ${ }^{99}$


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Mol. Wt.: 289.33
1.0 M solution of dibutylboryltriflate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(29.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was dropwise added to the solution of the imide $\mathbf{1 7 0}\left(6.3 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL}$,
in a 250 mL flask) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Diisopropylethylamine ( $5.66 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{~d}=0.742,32.41 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture at such a rate that internal temperature was bellow $3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the resulting clear colorless solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acrolein $(9.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 135.04 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=0.843)$ was added over 5 min . After being stirred 30 min at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution was allowed to warm to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by addition of 1.0 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffer ( 30 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was carefully added, so as to keep the internal temperature bellow $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and water ( 100 mL ) was added. The mixture was extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ), brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give 9.0 g of pale oil.
$\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15-0.20$ (hexane/AcOEt 7:3). Flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 on a $6 \times 30$ cm column afforded $5.85 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ as a pale oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $87.40-7.15$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar)}, \mathrm{5.95-5.75} \mathrm{(ddd} J=17.19,$,10.54 , $5.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.30$ (ddd, $J=17.25,1.57,1.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.30-5.15$ (ddd, $J=10.51,1.54$, $1.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.60$ (dddd, $J=9.81,6.46,3.39,3.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.60-4.45 (dddd, $J=5.02$, $1.60,1.60,1-2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.80(\mathrm{dq}, J=3.39,7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.20$ (dd, $J=13.36,3.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.36,9.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30-1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}$ ]: 353.1477; found: 353.1470.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1.42\right)=-68.6^{\circ}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.97\right)=-83.4^{\circ}$.

## Acetic acid 1-[2-(4-benzyl-2-oxo-oxazolidin-3-yl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-ethyl]-allyl ester (163)


$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
Mol. Wt.: 331.36
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.3\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) were added to the solution of $\mathbf{1 4 6}\left(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.346 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt followed by DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23$ equiv). After 30 min at rt the reaction mixture was diluted with AcOEt ( 10 mL ), organic layer was washed with $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 10 mL ), by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 15 mL ) and brine ( 15 mL ). Aqueous washings were
extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed as before. Combined extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a pale oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/AcOEt 8:2) to afford $97.4 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of a colorless oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 8:2 and $0.40-0.45$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3; the starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $87.40-7.15$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 5.84 (ddd, $J=17.10,10.76,5.87 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.23,1.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.62,1.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.63-4.55 (m, 1H), 4.27-4.21 (m, 1H), 4.19-4.13 (m, 1H), 4.11-4.03 (m, 1H), $3.26(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.30,3.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.66,13.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $8173.41(\mathrm{Cq}), 170.08(\mathrm{Cq}), 153.46(\mathrm{Cq}), 135.23(\mathrm{Cq}), 134.01$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.36(2 \times \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 128.84(2 \times \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 127.26(\mathrm{CH}), 117.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.97(\mathrm{CH}), 66.25$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.58(\mathrm{CH}), 41.63(\mathrm{CH}), 37.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 354.1312, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 395.1583; found: 354.1317, 395.1587.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): 3029 (w), 2986 (w), 2941 (w), 2360 (w), 1777 (s), 1739 (m), 1699 (m), 1647(w), 1605(w), 1498, 1455 (w), 1386 (m), 1371 (m), 1350, 1291, 1230 (s), 1100, 1047, 1020 (m), 973 (m), 945, 841, 763, 742, 704.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=1.0\right)=-90.5^{\circ}$.

## Propionic acid 1-[2-(4-benzyl-2-oxo-oxazolidin-3-yl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-ethyl]-allyl ester

 (164)
$\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
Mol. Wt.: 345.39
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 4$ equiv) and propionic acid anhydride ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) were added to the solution of the aldol $146(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.346 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and DMAP ( 8.5 mg , $0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at rt . The reaction was complete judging by TLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with AcOEt $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, organic layer was washed with $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then by saturated
aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) and brine ( 20 mL ). Inorganic rests were extracted with AcOEt ( 20 mL ) and washed as before. Combined extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a pale oil. Crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 8:2 to afford 116.2 mg of colorless oil ( $97.2 \%$ ). The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ in hexane/AcOEt $8: 2$ and $0.45-0.50$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3 (permanganate); the starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 8:2.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(400 ~ M H z, ~ \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ): $87.40-7.15$ (m, 5H, Ar), 5.90-5.79 (ddd, $J=17.19,10.67$, $5.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.23,1.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.20(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=10.58,1.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.30,3.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.66,13.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=1.69,7.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.46(\mathrm{Cq}), 173.41(\mathrm{Cq}), 153.47(\mathrm{Cq}), 135.25(\mathrm{Cq}), 134.13$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.35(2 \times \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 128.84(2 \times \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 127.25(\mathrm{CH}), 117.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.65(\mathrm{CH}), 66.25$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.59(\mathrm{CH}), 41.67(\mathrm{CH}), 37.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.89\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 368.1468, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 409.1734; found: 368.1487, 409.1791.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): 3030 (w), 2983 (w), 2944 (w), 2360 (w), 1778 (s), 1735 (m), 1700 (m), 1647(w), 1605(w), 1498, 1456 (w), 1387 (m), 1351 (m), 1269, 1239, 1211, 1182 (s), 1099, 1081, 1010, 982, 935, 807, 762, 743, 704.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=1.0\right)=-90.9^{\circ}$.

## 5-Methyl-6-vinyl-dihydro-pyran-2,4-dione (165)


$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 154.16
A solution of the acetate $\mathbf{1 6 3}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1509 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of LiHMDS ( 0.45 mL of 1.0 M solution in hexanes, 3 equiv, 0.453 mmol ) in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture was quenched while cold by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. AcOEt $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The organic layer contained the chiral auxiliary. The aqueous basic layer ( $\mathrm{pH} 9-10$ ) was titrated with 0.3 N aqueous HCl solution to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated
to give $9.4 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ of the crude product as a yellow oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10-0.15$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3 and $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15-0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 (UV, permanganate, "Vanillin"). Purification by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ afforded 4.3 mg (18.5\%) of a pale solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 5.90-5.70$ (ddd, $J=17.10,10.76,5.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.50(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=0.88,17.07 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.69,10.73 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dq}, J=4.52,7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $8201.94(\mathrm{Cq}), 167.11(\mathrm{Cq}), 130.73(\mathrm{CH}), 120.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 78.78$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 46.46(\mathrm{CH}), 45.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 9.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 177.0528; found: 177.0537.

## 3,5-Dimethyl-6-vinyl-dihydro-pyran-2,4-dione (166)


$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 168.19
Freshly prepared solution of KHMDS ( 1.2 mL of 0.5 M solution in toluene, 0.580 mmol , 4.0 equiv) was added to the solution of the propionate $\mathbf{1 6 4}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at this temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 4 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ while cold. $\mathrm{AcOEt}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. Aqueous layer was acidified with 1.0 M aqueous HCl solution to pH ca . 2 and extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 13.8 mg of a white solid (57\%). Chromatographic purification with hexane/AcOEt 1:1 afforded $5.6 \mathrm{mg}(23 \%)$ of a white solid. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10-0.15$ in hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}$ 7:3 and $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 (UV, permanganate, "Vanillin").
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.91-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.59(\mathrm{q}, \quad J=6.73 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 3.31(\mathrm{q}, J=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}) \quad 1 \mathrm{H}, 2.79-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz})+1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3 \mathrm{H}, 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 191.0684; found: 191.0691.

## 3(S)-Hydroxy-2(R)-methyl-pent-4-enoic acid methoxy-methyl-amide (145) ${ }^{99}$


$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 173.21
2.0 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ solution in heptane ( 5.8 mL , 2 equiv) was added over 20 min to a suspension of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $1.304 \mathrm{~g}, 11.485 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (caution: gas evolution!). After the clear reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h it was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of the aldol product $\mathbf{1 4 6}(1.662 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.744 mmol , 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via cannula (intense gas evolution!). Clear reaction mixture was slowly warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h at ambient temperature.

Reaction mixture was cannulated into 1.0 M aqueous tartaric acid solution ( 50 mL ) under vigorous stirring. Organic layer was separated and the aqueous was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/MTBE 1:2 to afford $0.861 \mathrm{~g}(86.5 \%)$ of a yellow oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ in hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ and $0.20-0.25$ in hexane/MTBE $1: 2$. Evans auxiliary has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 and 0.10 in hexane/MTBE 1:2.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $85.95-5.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.19,10.54,5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.45-5.25(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=17.23,1.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.50,1.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
Elemental Analysis: Anal. C, $54.13 \%$; H, $8.39 \%, \mathrm{~N}, 7.85 \%$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, $55.47 \%$; H, 8.73\%; N, 8.09\%.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 196.0950; found: 196.0937.
5-Oxo-hexanoic acid methyl ester (140) ${ }^{126}$

$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 144.17
Methyl acrylate $(45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{~d}=0.956,500 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetylacetone $(51.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 500 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\mathrm{d}=0.975$ ) were added to a solution of sodium methoxide prepared from absolute methanol $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium metal $(0.83 \mathrm{~g})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . It was left at rt overnight. Glacial acetic acid ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The rest was solved in MTBE ( 100 mL ), washed with water
$(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated. The residue was distilled at $\left.93-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 11-12 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to afford 47.6 g (66\%) of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.89 (qui, $J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
Low resolution MS (M ${ }^{+}$(\%)): 28.00 (100.00\%), 31.99 (25.32\%), 43.02 (29.28\%), 55.02 (5.83\%), 59.03 (7.04\%), 74.04 (8.57\%), $85.07(5.33 \%), 112.05$ (10.37\%), 113.06 (6.70\%).

Elemental Analysis: Anal. C, $57.81 \%$; H, $8.21 \%$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, $58.32 \%$; H, $8.39 \%$.

6-Methyl-6-vinyl-tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (150) and 5-hydroxy-5-methyl-hept-6-enoic acid methyl ester (151)

$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Mol. Wt.: 140.18

$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 172.22
$\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $757 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was quickly ground in a mortar and placed into a 50 mL Schlenck flask. The flask was heated under vacuum $\left(160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.01 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ while stirred over 2 h . After the flask was cooled and the inert gas was introduced, THF ( 5 mL ) was added. The flask was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution of vinylmagnesium bromide was introduced ( 2.0 mL of 1.0 M solution, 2.0 mmol , 1.5 equiv). The mixture was stirred over 10 min and the methyl 5-oxo-hexanoate (140) $(0.195 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in THF ( 5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 20 mL ), warmed up and the organic layer was separated. The inorganic layer was extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 0.276 g of yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 to afford 0.148 g (64\%) of a pale oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): 86.00-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-1.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46 \& 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ (crude product is a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$, lactone $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ and alcohol $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ ). The product was used in the next step without further purification.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.

## 5-Hydroxy-5-methyl-hept-6-enoic acid methyl ester (151)


$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Mol. Wt.: 172.22
Method a): The solution of the mixture of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 1}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution of NaOMe obtained from Na metal ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MeOH $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 h at rt .
Method b): $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution of the mixture of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 1}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 h at rt .
The workup is the same for both methods: The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 1.0 M aqueous solution of tartaric acid $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 mL ) and MTBE ( 10 mL ). Organic layer was separated and the rest was extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 0.112 g ( $92 \%$ for Method a) ) and $0.116 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%$ for Method b)) of $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ as a pale oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.95-5.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.25,10.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.20-5.00$ (dd, $J=17.38,2.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.60,2.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.35-2.20 (t, $J=7.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.

## 5-Methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-hept-6-enoic acid methyl ester (144a)


$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 286,48
2,6-Lutidune ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \operatorname{SiOTf}(1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.25 equiv) were added at rt to the solution of the alcohol $151(680 \mathrm{mg}, 3.948 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at rt and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The starting alcohol has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3 and the product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
The reaction mixture was quenched with 1.0 M phosphate buffer $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was separated. The rest was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the oily
product. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 50:1 to afford $0.849 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of a colorless oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ in hexane/AcOEt 50:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( 200 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.90-5.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.32,10.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.20-5.05$ (dd, $J=1.51,17.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.51,10.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.50(\mathrm{q}, J=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 174.10(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 145.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 111.93(\mathrm{CH}), 76.68(\mathrm{Cq})$, $51.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.16\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 309.1862; found: 309.1850.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2954 (s), 2913, 2877, 1743 (s), 1459, 1436, 1415, 1370, 1240, 1173 (s), 1119, 1045 (s), 1008 (s), 921, 873, 725.

## 5-Methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-hept-6-enoic acid (152)


$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 272,46
Method a): 1.0 M aqueous LiOH solution ${ }^{\mathrm{q}}$ ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) was added to the solution of the ester $\mathbf{1 4 4 a}(46.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) and MeOH ( 0.5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred at ambient temperature overnight. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3 and the product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3-0.4$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.

The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ) and quenched with $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 7 mL ). Organic layer was separated and the inorganic rest was extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 15 mL ), brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 44.3 mg ( $99.7 \%$ ) of pale oil.

Method b): Ester 144a ( $482 \mathrm{mg}, 1.682 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was refluxed with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}(335 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.346 mmol , 0.8 equiv) in toluene ( 10 mL ) for 86 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.

[^10]The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $8: 2$ to afford $0.296 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of the acid 152. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35-0.4$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3 and 0.20-0.30 in hexane/AcOEt 8:2; the starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ): $85.90-5.75$ (dd, $J=10.68,17.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.20-5.10 (dd, $J=17.28,1.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.64,1.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.55$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. The acidic proton is not seen.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 179.81(\mathrm{COOH}), 145.17(\mathrm{CH}), 112.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.20(\mathrm{Cq})$, $43.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3500-3000 (broad), 2955, 2912, 2877, 1709 (s), 1459, 1413, 1371, 1275, 1236, 1175, 1119, 1045 (s), 1006 (s), 972, 921, 819, 724 (s).

## 5-Methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-hept-6-enoic acid 1-[1-(methoxy-methyl-carbamoyl)-ethyl]-allyl ester (143a)


$\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 427.65
The reaction is performed according to the general procedure described by Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{94}$ $2,4,6$-trichlorobenzoylchloride $(47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 73.2 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{d}=1.561,0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $)$ was added to a mixture of the acid $152\left(81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt . The white precipitate formed was removed by filtration ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. ${ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ The mixed anhydride was solved in toluene ( 2 mL ) and treated with the solution of the alcohol $(51.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in toluene ( 2 mL ) and DMAP ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in toluene ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 3 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.

[^11]The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ) and quenched by water ( 5 mL ). Organic layer was separated, washed successively with $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 10 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and brine ( 10 mL ). Aqueous layers were collected and extracted with MTBE $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 150.7 mg of a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 to afford $102.45 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 4 3 a}$ as a colorless oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30-0.35$ in hexane/MTBE 7:5 and $0.30-0.35$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.95-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.40(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.20$ (dt, $J=17.16,<1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.52,<1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.28$, $1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.68,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-1.12(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.45(\mathrm{q}, J=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 174.30(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 172.57$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $145.24 \& 145.23$ (CH), $134.56(\mathrm{CH}), 117.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 111.90 \& 111.89\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.38(\mathrm{CH}), 75.37(\mathrm{CH}), 75.18(\mathrm{Cq})$, $61.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.33(\mathrm{CH}), 34.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $13.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 450.2652$; found: 450.2640 .
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3088 (w), 2957 (s), 2913, 2877(m), 2360 (w), 1739 (s), 1667 (s), 1461 (m) , 1415 (m), 1377 (m), 1239 (m), 1173 ( s$), 1118$ (m), 1047 (m), 1000 ( s$), 921$ (m), 742, 725. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.95\right)=-10.21^{\circ}$.

## 5-Hydroxy-5-methyl-hept-6-enoic acid 1-[1-(methoxy-methyl-carbamoyl)-ethyl]-allyl ester (143b)


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
Mol. Wt.: 313.39
Glacial $\mathrm{AcOH}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution of the silyl ether 143a $(6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0164 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.

The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 15 mL ) and extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried
over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 1:2 to afford $4.7 \mathrm{mg}(94 \%)$ of a colorless oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:2.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ): 85.97-5.78 (complex m, 2H), 5.57-5.45 (m, 1H), 5.35-5.15 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.79,4.77,1.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.28(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 336.1787$; found: 336.1778.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3447 (broad), 3087 (w), 2923 (s), 2854, 1735 (s), 1651 (s), 1461, 1416, 1378, 1252, 1175 (s), 1116, 1053 (w), 993 (s), 922 (m), 740.

## 5-Methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-hept-6-enoic acid 1-[2-(4-( $R$ )-benzyl-2-oxo-oxazolidin-3-yl)-1-(R)-methyl-2-(S)-oxo-ethyl]-allyl ester



The reaction is performed according to the general procedure described by Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{94}$ $2,4,6$-trichlorobenzoylchloride $(47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 73.2 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{d}=1.561,0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $)$ was added to a mixture of the acid $152\left(81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt .
The white precipitate formed was removed by filtration ${ }^{t}$ and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. ${ }^{\text {u }}$ The mixed anhydride was solved in toluene $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with the solution of the aldol $146(86.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in toluene ( 2 mL ) and DMAP ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in toluene $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 3 h .
The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ) and quenched with water ( 5 mL ). Organic layer was separated, washed successively with $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 10 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Aqueous layers were collected

[^12]and extracted with MTBE $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 167 mg of colorless oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 9:1 to afford $0.114 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of the product. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ in hexane/AcOEt 10:1, 0.20 in hexane/AcOEt 9:1 and 0.45 in hexane/AcOEt 8:2.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $87.40-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.92-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.37-5.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.23,1.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.62,1.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.12$ (ddd, $J=17.29,2.54,1.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.71,1.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-$ $4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.97,2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.05(\mathrm{dq}, J=4.55,6.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.35-3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.36,3.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.36,9.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.30(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.36(\mathrm{Cq}), 172.74(\mathrm{Cq}), 153.49(\mathrm{Cq}), 145.23(\mathrm{CH}), 135.29$ $(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 134.22(\mathrm{CH}), 129.36(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.84(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.25(\mathrm{CH}), 117.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $111.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.15(\mathrm{Cq}), 73.63(\mathrm{CH}), 66.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.65(\mathrm{CH}), 43.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.74(\mathrm{CH})$, $37.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.61\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 566.2914$; found: 566.2923.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=2.25\right)=-56.33^{\circ}$.

## Methyl ester of hexacyclinic acid



The solution of diazomethane in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added dropwise to the solution of hexacyclinic $\operatorname{acid}^{\mathrm{v}}(5.0 \mathrm{mg})$ solved in $\operatorname{AcOEt}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ until the color of the reaction mixture remained yellow and did not bleach after 3 min . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ to afford $4.3 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of the product. Hexacyclinic acid has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$; the methyl ester has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1,0.23$ in hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ and 0.30 in hexane/AcOEt 1:2,

[^13]UV. According to the TLC the product is stable over months. Biological tests have shown that the substance posses low or no cytotoxicity against the tested cell lines.
 4.52-4.48 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.65 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 1H), 2.98-2.90 (m, 1H), 2.87-2.81 $(\mathrm{t}, J=10.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.89-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.12-1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O D}, \mathbf{3 0 0 K}$ ): 8178.87 , 172.81, 168.57, 140.67, 135.79, 95.91, $80.88,80.58,80.41,74.05,53.57,51.88,46.84,46.32,45.69,43.36,42.69,36.19,32.75$, 32.28, 27.47, 24.21, 23.69, 20.94, 14.53, 14.42, 8.58.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{9}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 527.2257; found: 527.2249.

## 2-(2-Bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (171)


$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$
Mol. Wt.: 181.03
The substance was prepared according to the known procedure ${ }^{82}$ using tetralin ( 0.825 mol ), bromine ( 2.0 mol ), acrolein ( 2.0 mol ) and ethylene glycol ( 2.5 mol ). The product was obtained as a pale heavy oil with a yield of $56 \%(201.67 \mathrm{~g})$ after vacuum distillation (bp 53$\left.63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$. Lit. ${ }^{82 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{bp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{mmHg}$; lit. ${ }^{82 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{bp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{mmHg}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.01(\mathrm{t}, J=4.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2950 ( s ), 1409 (w), 1363 (m), 1262, 1210, 1030 (m), 877, 822 (w).
Methyl 7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-hydroxy-5-methylheptanoate (173)

$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Mol. Wt.: 246,30
Mg turnings ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) were ground in a mortar ( $2-3 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and transferred into a 50 mL Schlenk flask. The flask was evacuated and heated with the heat gun, the turnings were stirred for 30 min under vacuum. Then the vessel was fitted with an Ar balloon and THF ( 5 mL ) was added.

A solution of $\mathbf{1 7 1}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added over 30 min to the Mg turnings while stirring and maintaining the temperature at $22-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cold water bath). The reaction has begun after 5 min . After the solution of $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ was added, the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature.
The grey-colored solution of the organomagnesium compound ( $11.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was separated from the rest of Mg turnings and dropwise added to the solution of $\mathbf{1 4 0}\left(1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 11.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ over 60 min at $0--10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-salt bath). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ in hexane/AcOEt $2: 3$; the product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 2:3; and the lactone has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ in hexane/AcOEt 2:3. The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 30 mL ), quenched by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 1.85 g of pale oil.

The crude product was solved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and this solution was added to the solution of Na metal ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at ambient temperature.
The reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 5 mL ) and quenched by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ). Organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the rest was excessively extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give $1.91 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of a yellow-brown oil, which was bulb to bulb distilled to afford $1.64 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of a pale oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15-0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 84.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.51,4.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.05,7.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (d, $J=1.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 100 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 173.96(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 104.49(\mathrm{CH}), 71.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cq})$, $64.77\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.19\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.46$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 269.1365; found: 269.1375.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3600-3400 (br), 2959 (m), 2883 (m), 1784 (w), 1734 (s), 1437, 1417, 1373 (m), 1238, 1207 (m), 1166, 1128 (m), 1039 (s), 1014, 984, 944, 917 (m), 885, 805.

## Methyl 7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-methyl-5-(triethylsilyloxy)heptanoate (174)


$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 360,56
2,6-Lutidune ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.755 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \operatorname{SiOOTf}(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.566 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) were added with a Hamilton syringe to the solution of $\mathbf{1 7 3}(93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.378 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ while stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC: the starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 while the product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1.

The reaction mixture was quenched while cold with 1.0 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffer ( 15 mL ), extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 15 mL ), brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 5:1 to afford $108.4 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of a colorless oil.

The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20-0.25$ in hexane/AcOEt 5:1, $0.55-0.60$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1, $0.25-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 4:1 and 0.15-0.20 in hexane/AcOEt 8:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 4.80(\mathrm{t}, J=4.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.97 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 8173.95(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 104.85(\mathrm{CH}), 74.64(\mathrm{Cq}), 64.77\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $51.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $7.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 3\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 383.2230$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 425.2573; found: 383.2235, 425.2451.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, neat): 2955 (s), 2912, 2876, 1741 ( s$), 1459,1437,1414,1375,1237,1197,1171$, 1139, 1040, 1016, 944 (w), 889 (w), 724 (s).

## Methyl 7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-methyl-5-(methylthiomethoxy)heptanoate (178)


$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$
Mol. Wt.: 306,42
The transformation was conducted according to a published protocol. ${ }^{127} \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(92 \mathrm{~mL}$, $\mathrm{d}=1.087,0.9 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the solution of the $\mathbf{1 7 3}(4.92 \mathrm{~g}, 19.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(140.0 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{~d}=1.101,1.8 \mathrm{~mol})$ at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred for 60 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into 500 mL of mixture of ice and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The emulsion was stirred until the evolution of the gas has ceased and was extracted with MTBE $(5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ), brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{up}\right.$ to 10 mar$)$ and the oil obtained was further concentrated under high vacuum ( $\mathrm{rt} / 0.5 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) to give 7.48 g of a brown-yellow oil. Bulb to bulb distillation $\left(250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.5-1 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ afforded a yellow oil with a typical smell, 5.23 g (85\%). The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55-0.60$ in hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ and $0.25-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 2:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 4.82(\mathrm{t}, J=4.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 1.14 (m, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): ~ \delta 173.76(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 104.37(\mathrm{CH}), 77.54(\mathrm{Cq}), 66.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.79$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}$ ]: 329.1412; found: 329.1336.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2953 (s), 2881, 1737 (s), 1437, 1376, 1303, 1257, 1198, 1140, 1039, 946, 911, 761, 688.

## 6-(2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)ethyl)-3,3-dibenzyl-6-methyl-tetrahydropyran-2-one (179)



The reaction was conducted according to a published procedure. ${ }^{128}$ The solution of the alcohol 173 ( $1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 352 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added to the suspension of $\mathrm{KH}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ( 1.85 mmol , 1.29 equiv, 74 mg ) in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{BnBr}(1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv, 320 mg ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred at ambient temperature over 12 h . As the reaction was not complete according to the TLC, additional portion of $\mathrm{KH}(0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.65$ equiv, 35 mg ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for additional 3 h . Reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE ( 10 mL ), quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give 395 mg of a brown emulsion.
The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 to afford $119 \mathrm{mg}(21 \%)$ of a colorless oil that formed white crystals later. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ 0.30 in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 87.40-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, J=4.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-3.70$ (m, 4H), 3.55-3.35 (dd, $J=25.47,13.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=39.90,13.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 175.85(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 137.02(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 136.93(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 130.64$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 130.49(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.33(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.24(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 126.85(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $126.80(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 103.98(\mathrm{CH}), 83.50(\mathrm{Cq}), 64.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, dioxolane $), 64.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, dioxolane $)$, $47.82(\mathrm{Cq}), 46.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Bn}\right), 46.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Bn}\right), 35.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.42$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 395.222, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 417.2042$; found: 395.2185, 417.2023.

[^14]IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, neat): $3027(\mathrm{w}), 2933,2879,1705(\mathrm{~s}), 1602(\mathrm{w}), 1494,1454,1372,1278,1203$, 1132(s), 1108(s), 1031(s), 946, 766, 743, 702(s).

## 4-Methoxybenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate


$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
Mol. Wt.: 282.55
The compound was prepared according to the published procedure. ${ }^{129}$ A solution of PMBOH ( $22.65 \mathrm{~g}, 163.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in MTBE ( 25 mL ) was added over 30 min to the stirred suspension of NaH ( 655 mg of $60 \%$ suspension in mineral oil, $16.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) in MTBE ( 40 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 90 min at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and trichloroacetonitrile ( $26.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 179.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.44$ ) was added over 30 min in small portions so that the temperature stayed bellow $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the addition was complete the reaction mixture was stirred additional 15 min at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then slowly warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ). Hexane ( 70 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and celite ( 5 g ) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt and filtered. Filtrate was concentrated to afford $47 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%)$ of a brown oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 8.36$ (br s, 1 H$), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-methylheptanoate (180)


$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
Mol. Wt.: 366.45
4-Methoxybenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 1.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and TfOH $(0.108 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.003 \text { equiv, } 0.0012 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=1.696)^{\mathrm{x}}$ were added to the solution of the alcohol $173(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4060 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . As there was no reaction progress after 1 h

[^15]according to the TLC, additional portion of TfOH ( $0.216 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.006$ equiv) was added. CSA monohydrate ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at rt .
The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by extraction with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified twice by flashed chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 to afford 53.2 mg of a white solid on concentration. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2-0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 430.2206; found: 430.2212.

## 3-(Benzyloxy)propan-1-ol (181)



Mol. Wt.: 166.22
3-(Benzyloxy)-1-propanol was prepared according to the published procedure ${ }^{130}$. Sodium $(25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added in small portions to vigorously stirred solution of 1,3-propanediol $(240 \mathrm{~mL}, 250 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $o$-xylene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature rose by itself up to $120^{\circ}-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, so that the reaction mixture refluxed. After all the sodium has been dissolved, benzyl chloride ( $139 \mathrm{~mL}, 150 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~d}=1.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 1.19 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was slowly added with stirring to the hot $\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution over a period of 2 h . The reaction mixture was heated for 1 h and then cooled to rt. Precipitated NaCl was removed by filtration and washed with toluene. Combined filtrate washings were concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a clear liquid ( 300 g ), which was fractionated under vacuum using a Vigreux column. After a forerun of 1,3propanediol ( 185 g ), bp $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{mmHg})$, the $\gamma$-benzyloxopropanol ( $120 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) distilled as a clear colorless liquid, bp $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1-2 \mathrm{mmHg})$. Lit. ${ }^{130}$ yield: $65 \%$, bp $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1-2$ $\mathrm{mmHg})$, lit. ${ }^{131}$ bp $145-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{mmHg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ): (Not calibrated) $\delta 7.36$ (m, 5H, Ar), 4.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (t, $J=5.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=5.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (qui, $J=5.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 137.97(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.28(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.54(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $127.50(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 73.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3353 (br, s), 3031 (w), 2932, 2859, 1495, 1454, 1364, 1205, 1072 (s), 1026, 972, 919, 735 ( s , 696 ( s ).

## 1-(Benzyloxy)-3-brompropane (182)



Mol. Wt.: 229.11
The substance was prepared according to a described procedure. ${ }^{132} \mathrm{~N}$-bromosuccinimide ( $38.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in small portions to the ice-salt cooled mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 1}(35.7 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.215 mol ) and triphenylphosphine ( $56.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) under mechanical stirring ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ and at such a rate that the temperature of the reaction mixture did not exceed $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$
After the reaction mixture was stirred over 20 h at rt it has been diluted with hexane ( 30 mL ), filtered with suction and the precipitate was washed with hexane $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and MTBE $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the oily rest was diluted with MTBE ( $30-40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), 0.5 M aqueous NaOH solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Inorganic rests were extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the extract was washed as above. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ providing the crude product which was distilled bulb to bulb $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to afford $31.31 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%)$ of a colorless liquid..$^{\text {aa }}$ Lit. ${ }^{132}$ yield: $33.2 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%)$, bp $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{mmHg}$; lit. ${ }^{133}$ bp $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3$ mmHg .
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (t, $J=6.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.19 (qui, $J=6.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}^{\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 100\right.} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 138.14(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.32(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.56(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $127.54(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 73.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3496 (v, br), 3064, 3030, 2858, 1720 (w), 1495, 1453, 1363, 1255, 1205, 1099 (s), 1069 (s), 1027, 909, 881, 735 (s), 696 (s).

[^16]
## Methyl 8-(benzyloxy)-5-hydroxy-5-methyloctanoate (183)



3-(Benzyloxy)propylmagnesium bromide was prepared according to the published procedure. ${ }^{134}$ A solution of $\mathbf{1 8 2}(17.09 \mathrm{~g}, 74.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 30 min to a suspension of Mg turnings ${ }^{\text {bb }}(3.58 \mathrm{~g}, 149 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $22-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the flask was cooled on a cold water bath). The reaction is exothermic and has an induction period of approx. 15 min . When the reaction starts, the color of the reaction mixture becomes olive-green and the temperature may rise to $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
After the addition of $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Green-brown solution of the Grignard reagent was dropwise added to the solution of methyl 5-oxo-hexanoate (140) ( $4.33 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.4$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) over 30 min at rt . An exothermic effect was noticed during the addition.

After the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Then the reaction mixture was added to $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 30 min at rt . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the rest was diluted with MTBE ( 50 mL ). The grey suspension was filtered through sand and celite and the precipitate was washed with MTBE $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}) .15 .5 \mathrm{~g}$ of a yellow oil was obtained on concentration.
The crude product was purified by bulb to bulb distillation $\left(150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.1 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to afford the product as a yellow oil, 8.7 g (98.5\%) as the rest in the distillation flask, and a colorless distillate. According to the NMR, the product is the mixture of the lactone and the methyl ester. It was used in the next step without further purification.

NaOMe solution obtained from Na metal ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 9.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution of the crude product ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 9.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt. Pale cloudy solution was stirred for 2 h at rt . The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution $(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{pH} 2-3)$ and 1.0 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffer ( 2 mL ). After volatiles were removed under reduced pressure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{pH}$ should be neutral) and the mixture was extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to afford 2.23 g

[^17]( $83 \%$ ) of 183 as a yellow oil. The product was used in the next step without further purification. It has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 87.40-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.55-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-$ 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 317.1729; found: 317.1744.

## 8-Benzyloxy-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-octanoic acid methyl ester (184)


$\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 408,65
2,6-Lutidune ( $4.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.30 \mathrm{mg}, 40.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \operatorname{SiOTf}(6.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.42 \mathrm{~g}$, $28.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) were added to the solution of the alcohol $183(5.90 \mathrm{~g}, 20.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the reaction was complete according to the TLC. Reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ while cold. The mixture was warmed to rt and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $10: 1$ to afford 4.60 g $(56 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ as a colorless oil as a product. It has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55-0.60$ in hexane/AcOEt 3:2 and 0.20-0.25 in hexane/AcOEt 10:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): 87.40-7.20 (m, 5H, Ar), $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 8174.05(\mathrm{Cq}), 138.62(\mathrm{Cq}), 128.27(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.53(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $127.39(\mathrm{CH}), 74.99(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $34.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.12\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.83\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 431.2594$; found: 431.2608.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2951 (s), 2911, 2874, 1739 ( s$), 1496,1455,1435,1415,1360,1238,1196$, 1170, 1100, 1008 (s), 722 (s), 697.

## 8-Hydroxy-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-octanoic acid methyl ester (185)


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 318.52
The solution of the benzyl ether $184(1000 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension of $\mathrm{Ni}^{*}$ Raney (W2) ${ }^{135}$ catalyst (ca. 4 mL of the sedimented suspension that corresponds to ca. 2.4 g of dry catalyst) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The flask was evacuated and attached to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-line ( 1 atm ). The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred over 6 h while the hydrogenation was controlled by TLC.

When the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and concentrated to give 0.768 g ( $98.5 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ as a colorless oil. The product was used without further purification in the next step. It has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15-0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.54 (q, $J=7.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 174.09(\mathrm{CO}), 75.14(\mathrm{Cq}), 63.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.56$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.06\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.76$ $\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 341.2124; found: 341.2296 .
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3388 (br), 2951 (s), 2911, 2875, 1740 (s), 1458, 1436, 1415, 1374, 1237, 1196, 1169, 1120, 1053 (s), 1010 (s), 720 (s), 671.

## Methyl 5-methyl-8-oxo-5-(triethylsilyloxy)octanoate (169) ${ }^{136}$


$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 318.52
DMSO ( $334 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 368 \mathrm{mg}, 4.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.101$ ) was added to a solution of oxalylchloride ( $164 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 239 \mathrm{mg}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.455$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred 25 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solution of the alcohol $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.942 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was dropwise added over 2 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \operatorname{EtN}(1000 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 742 \mathrm{mg}, 5.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=0.742)$ was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt .
After the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at rt it was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the oily product. ${ }^{\text {cc }}$ The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $5: 1$ to afford 0.244 g ( $81 \%$ ) of a light yellow oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.22-0.27$ in hexane/AcOEt 5:1 and 0.42 in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.75(\mathrm{t}, J=1.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 100 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 202.71(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $173.83(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 74.46(\mathrm{Cq}), 51.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $41.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05$ $\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.70\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: desired $\mathrm{M}^{+}$was not found.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2954 (s), 2912, 2876 (s), 2719 (w), 1738 (s), 1727 (s), 1459, 1437, 1416, 1377, 1239, 1195, 1170, 1135, 1121, 1043 (s), 1008 (s), 971, 888 (w), 722 (s), 672 (w).

## Methyl (8S,9R)-10-[(4R)-4-benzyl-2-oxo-1,3-oxazolidin-3-yl]-8-hydroxy-5,9-dimethyl-10-oxo-5-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]decanoate (186)


$\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 549.77
1.0 M solution of dibutylboryltriflate ( $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.2 equiv) and diisopropylethylamine ( $1.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) were dropwise added to a solution of the imide $\mathbf{1 7 0}\left(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 4.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was warmed to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice bath) and stirred for 2 h at this temperature.

The light yellow solution was cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/salt bath) and the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ ( 753 mg , $2.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.97$ equiv) was added as a solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10--15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 5 min .

[^18]After the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $-10--15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it was quenched by addition to 0.5 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffer $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-5-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A $2: 1$ mixture of MeOH and $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was carefully added so as to keep the internal temperature bellow $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (occasional cooling in an ice/salt bath). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ and the rest was extracted $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined extract were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 7:3 afforded 935 mg ( $72 \%$ yield) the desired product $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.12-0.17\right)$ as a pale oil and 868 mg of the Evans auxiliary.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 87.40-7.15$ (m, 5H, Ar), 4.80-4.65 (m, 1H), 4.23 (dddd, $J=9.14,7.45,1.24,0.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.03,3.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (dq, $J=3.01,9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.49,3.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.44,3.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.30,9.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.03,0.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.59$ ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 177.21(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 174.00(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 152.95(O-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 135.00(\mathrm{Cq}$, Ar), $129.35(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.87(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 127.32(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 75.07 \& 75.04(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.05$ \& $71.95(\mathrm{CH}), 66.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.05(\mathrm{CH}), 51.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 42.20 \& 42.17(\mathrm{CH}), 41.73 \& 41.43$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.24 \& 38.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.46 \& 28.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.73 \& 27.53$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.78 \& 19.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.54 \& 10.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.08\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.76\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
The doubling of some signals is due to the fact that the product is a mixture of two diastereomers.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 572.3020$; found: 572.3036.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, neat): 3527 (br), 2951 (s), 2875 (s), 1779 ( s), $\delta 1736$ (s), 1696 (s), 1455, 1375 (s), 1289, 1207 (s), 1106, 1045 (s), 1007 (s), 971, 722 (s), 701 (s).

$$
[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1.23\right)=-32.3^{\circ} .
$$

## 4-Benzyl-3-[2-(5-methyl-9-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-oxonan-2-yl)-propionyl]-oxazolidin-

 2-one (187)
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 517,73
"diastereomer I"
and
"diastereomer II"

Method a): The solution of the methyl ester 186 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(tri-nbutyltin(IV)) oxide ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.85 \mathrm{mg}, 9.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, ca. 0.1 equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.17$ ) in toluene ( 5 mL ) was refluxed over 48 h under argon. The progress of the reaction progress was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 15 mL ), extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was flashed with hexane/AcOEt 6:4 to give 34.1 mg of a pale oil. This was additionally purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 5:1 to afford $10.0 \mathrm{mg}(21 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ as a colorless oil. The starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.12-0.17$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3; the product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.7$ in hexane/AcOEt 6:4 and $0.20-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 5:1, "Vanillin".

Method b): The solution of the methyl ester 186 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(tri- $n$ butyltin(IV)) oxide ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.85 \mathrm{mg}, 9.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, ca. 0.1 equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.17$ ) in toluene ( 3 mL ) was heated in the microwave oven over $90 \mathrm{~min}\left(300 \mathrm{~W}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, stirring ON , cooling ON$)$ followed by reflux over 12 h under conventional heating. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 15 mL ), extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was flashed with hexane/AcOEt 10:1 to afford two fractions $5.3 \mathrm{mg}\left(11 \%\right.$, diastereomer I with an $\left.\mathrm{R}_{f}=1.10-1.11\right)$ and $3.1 \mathrm{mg}(7 \%$, diastereomer II with an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.09-0.10$ ).

## Diastereomer I:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 87.30-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.35-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.56(\mathrm{t}$, $J=11.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.29-4.23 (ddd, $J=10.92,5.80,2.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.44$,
$4.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.31,8.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.48,7.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.81-2.72 (dq, $J=6.06,7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.85 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.57-0.50(\mathrm{q}, J=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0 ~ M H z ) : ~} \delta 172.90(\mathrm{Cq}), 171.29(\mathrm{Cq}), 149.60(\mathrm{Cq}), 136.87(\mathrm{Cq}), 129.23$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.52(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.82(\mathrm{CH}), 78.98(\mathrm{CH}), 74.28(\mathrm{Cq}), 62.72\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.42(\mathrm{CH})$, $41.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.13(\mathrm{CH}), 37.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $20.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.09\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.78\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 581.3023; found: 581.3011.
$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1.33\right)=+5.26^{\circ}$.

## Diastereomer II:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): 87.30-7.18 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.33-5.23 (m, 1H), 4.62-4.54 (dd, $J=10.24,11.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.61,4.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-$ 3.19 (dd, $J=13.48,8.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.65,7.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.73$ (dq, $J=5.89,7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.90(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.59-0.51(\mathrm{q}, J=7.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 172.79(\mathrm{Cq}), 171.30(\mathrm{Cq}), 149.53(\mathrm{Cq}), 136.96(\mathrm{Cq}), 129.23$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.54(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.82(\mathrm{CH}), 78.28(\mathrm{CH}), 74.41(\mathrm{Cq}), 62.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.65(\mathrm{CH})$, $42.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.05(\mathrm{CH}), 36.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $19.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.10\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.84\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 581.3023; found: 581.3011. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.53\right)=-8.3^{\circ}$.
(2R,3S)-3-hydroxy-10-methoxy-2,6-dimethyl-10-oxo-6-(triethylsilyloxy)decanoic acid (188) ${ }^{137}$

$\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ Mol. Wt.: 390,59
$35 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution ( $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~d}=1.11,13.82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7276 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) and a solution of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2183 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution of the methyl ester $\mathbf{1 8 6}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1819 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{THF} /$ water $4: 1$ mixture $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at
$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was quenched by addition of a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\left(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7276 \mathrm{mmol}, 4\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 3 mL ) was added so that the pH was neutral or slightly acidic. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ to afford $50 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ as a colorless oil. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 3.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 413.2335; found: 413.2347.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3600-3000 (br), 2953 (s), 2912 (s), 2875 (s), 1706 (s), 1457, 1414, 1374, 1198, 1170, 1119, 1005 (s), 720 (s).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1.05\right)=+6.10^{\circ}$.

## (2R,3S)-Dimethyl 3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-6-(triethylsilyloxy)decanedioate (191) ${ }^{102}$


$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 404,61
The solution of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 11 equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution of the ester $186(20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of the reaction was controlled by TLC. When there was no starting material, AcOEt $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the organic layer was separated. Aqueous layer was acidified with 1.0 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ solution to $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$ and extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}$ to afford 5.0 mg (34\%) of $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ as a colorless oil. It has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 7:3.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 83.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.64 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 427.2492; found: 427.2472.

3-Hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-6-triethylsilanyloxy-decanedioic acid diallyl ester and 3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-6-triethylsilanyloxy-decanedioic acid 1-allyl ester 10-methyl ester ${ }^{138}$


$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 456,69

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si} \\
\text { Mol. Wt.: } 430,65
\end{gathered}
$$

LiBr ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) was premixed with the methyl ester 186 ( 100 mg , 0.182 mmol ) neat, and left for $15-20 \mathrm{~min} .^{\text {dd }}$ Allyl alcohol ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 162$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=0.854)$ and $\operatorname{DBU}(13.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5$ equiv, $\mathrm{d}=1.018)$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt . The progress was monitored by TLC. According the TLC there was no starting material after 15 min . After 2 h at rt the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\operatorname{MTBE}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with MTBE ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was flushed with hexane/AcOEt 8:1 to afford two fractions: "fraction I": 9.7 mg with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.11-0.10$ and "fraction II": 6.5 mg with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10-0.09$.

[^19]Fraction I, 3-Hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-6-triethylsilanyloxy-decanedioic acid diallyl ester

$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 456,69
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 5.95$ (ddd, $J=10.42,5.77,2.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.89 (ddd, $J=10.45,5.74,2.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34$ (ddd, $J=7.72,3.07,1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.72$, $3.07,1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$ (ddd, $J=7.81,2.54,1.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23$ (ddd, $J=7.84,2.57,1.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.61 (ddd, $J=5.80,2.16,1.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.57 (ddd, $J=5.77,1.38,1.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.90-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.15,4.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.40,7.18,3.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.63,7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 479.2805$; found: 479.2796.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.94\right)=-4.89^{\circ}$.

Fraction II: 3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-6-triethylsilanyloxy-decanedioic acid 1-allyl ester 10-methyl ester

$\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 430,65
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}$ ): $\delta 5.92$ (ddd, $J=22.96,10.42,5.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.33 (ddd, $J=17.19,3.01,1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$ (ddd, $J=10.42,2.45,1.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.95$, $1.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.20,1.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.52$, $4.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.40,7.18,3.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=8.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.63,7.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 453.2648; found: 453.2657.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.67\right)=-5.37^{\circ}$.

## (E)-Methyl-10-((R)-1-hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2-ylamino)-5,9-dimethyl-10-oxo-5-(triethylsilyloxy)dec-8-enoate (190)



The solution of the methyl ester $186\left(51.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the slurry of potassium trimethylsilanolate ( $13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.11$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at rt . The progress was controlled by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) followed by extraction with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by chromatography with hexane/ AcOEt 1:1 afforded $12 \mathrm{mg}(25 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 9 0}$. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 (permanganate).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 87.40-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{q}, J=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 528.3121$; found: 528.3110.

## 9-(1-Benzyl-2-hydroxy-ethylcarbamoyl)-8-hydroxy-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxydecanoic acid (189)


$\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 509,75
The solution of the methyl ester $186(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1.60 \mathrm{~g})$ and the resulting suspension was stirred at rt . According to the TLC the reaction was complete in 30 min . Reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution ( 10 mL ) (acidic pH ). The mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford 189. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20-0.30$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 532.3070$; found: 532.3058 .

## 8-Hydroxy-9-(methoxy-methyl-carbamoyl)-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-decanoic acid methyl ester (194)


$\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 433,65
The experiment was conducted according to a published procedure. ${ }^{50 b, 139} \mathrm{~A}$ stirred suspension of $N, O$-dimethyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride ${ }^{\mathrm{ee}}(445 \mathrm{mg}, 4.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.4$ equiv $)$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated slowly with $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ in heptane ( $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.4$ equiv $)^{\mathrm{ff}}$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 30 min before being cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated slowly with the solution of the hydroxy imide $\mathbf{1 8 6}(737 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.34 mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ). The solution was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2.5 h (time is important!). According to the TLC only a small amount of the starting material was left after 1 h and after 2.5 h the reaction was complete.

The reaction mixture was added to saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and $2 \%$ aqueous HCl solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ while stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Resulting mixture was extracted with

[^20]$\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product. It was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt $1: 1$ to afford $437 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ as a colorless oil after concentration.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}$ ): $83.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.17 \& 1.16\left(2 \times_{\mathrm{s}}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(2 \times \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.53(2 \times \mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 178.24,174.00 \& 173.98,75.08 \& 75.07,72.09 \& 72.02$, $61.42,51.35,41.91,41.29,38.54 \& 38.43,38.28,34.40 \& 34.39,28.47 \& 28.39,27.77 \&$ $27.42,19.76 \& 19.74,10.17 \& 10.12,7.07,6.77$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 456.2757$; found: 456.2747.
8-Hydroxy-9-(methoxy-methyl-carbamoyl)-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-decanoic acid (195)


The experiment was conducted according to a described procedure. ${ }^{102}$ The solution of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution of the Winereb amide 194 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/MeOH 1:1 ( 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at rt while the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.
The reaction mixture was diluted with brine ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Aqueous layer was acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$ with 0.1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ and extracted with AcOEt $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated, providing the crude product as a colorless oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on a $18 \times 120 \mathrm{~mm}$ silica gel column with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1$ to afford $43 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of the seco-acid as a colorless oil.
The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.31-0.28$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1, 0.52-0.47 in AcOEt, 0.50-0.45 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1$ and ca. 0 in pure $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The starting material has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.11-0.09$ in hexane/AcOEt 1:1 and 0.22-0.18 in AcOEt.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19 \& 1.18(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(2 \times \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.55(2 \times \mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): ~ \delta 178.89(\mathrm{Cq}), 178.18(\mathrm{Cq}), 124.23(\mathrm{CH}), 75.11(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.22 \&$ $72.15(\mathrm{CH}), 61.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.82 \& 41.19\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.42 \& 38.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.38\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.87$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.50 \& 2843\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.82 \& 27.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.55 \& 19.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.28 \& 10.22$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 442.2601; found: 442.2604 .
N-Methoxy-N-methyl-2-(5-methyl-9-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-oxonan-2-yl)-propionamide (196)

$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ Mol. Wt.: 401,61

The cyclization was conducted according to a reported procedure. ${ }^{94}$ The solution of the secoacid $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 0.403 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added at room temperature to a flask containing a solution prepared by dissolving $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(184 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=0.728 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, 3 equiv) and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride ( $186 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=1.561 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 3$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ). The resulting cloudy solution ${ }^{\text {gg }}$ was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then dissolved in toluene ( 10 mL ). This solution was added over $8.5 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {hh }}$ via syringe pump ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ to a solution of DMAP ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv) in toluene $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ at reflux. The reaction mixture was stirred for additional $3.5 \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{jj}}$, then cooled to room temperature, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), washed with 0.1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatographic purification with hexane/ethyl acetate $3: 1$ afforded a mixture of diastereomers as a yellow oil $(163.8 \mathrm{mg}$, quant. yield, ca. 1:1 by GC).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 85.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69 \& 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.17 \& 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14 \& 1.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91 \& 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.53 \& 0.50(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R ~}_{\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): ~}^{\delta 175.58 \& 175.51(\mathrm{Cq}), 175.16 \& 175.00(\mathrm{Cq}), 77.10(\mathrm{CH}),}$ $76.48,76.40,61.53 \& 61.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.28(\mathrm{CH}), 37.37,37.06$,

[^21]$36.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \& 36.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.75,32.08(\mathrm{CH}), 29.59(\mathrm{CH}), 29.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 27.59, 26.92, 21.38 $(\mathrm{CH}), 20.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.39 \& 14.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.06 \& 7.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.81 \& 6.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]: 465.2761$; found: 465.2758.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 2951 ( s$), 2875$ (m), 2362, 1736 ( s$), 1661$ ( s$), 1459$ (m), 1416, 1376, 1353, 1285, 1246 (m)..1168, 1149, 1130, 1114, 1082, 1055, 1036, 993 (s), 917, 855, 742 (s).
$\mathbf{G C}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}, \boldsymbol{m i n}$ (intensity): 15.015 (48\%), 15.192 (50\%).

## Reaction of the lactone 196 with LDA

Preparation of 0.9 M LDA solution in hexanes/THF:
Diisopropylamine $(700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{d}=0.722)$ was added to a flask containing 2.5 M solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexanes in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clear colorless solution was stirred for 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warmed up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
0.9 M LDA solution ( $550 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to the solution of the lactone $196(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (reaction control by TLC), it was quenched by the addition of aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to rt and extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Chromatographic purification with hexane/AcOEt 1:1 on silica gel afforded four fractions: fraction I with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.37-0.32,13.3 \mathrm{mg}(13 \%)$; fraction II with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.26-0.32,12.2$ mg; (approx. 12\%) fraction III with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.24-0.28,12.8 \mathrm{mg}$ (approx. $13 \%$ ) and fraction IV with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.18-0.24,16.0 \mathrm{mg}(17 \%)$. The column afterwards flushed with hexane/AcOEt 1:2 to provide two additional fractions: fraction V with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.17-0.22,6.5 \mathrm{mg}$ and fraction VI with $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10-0.15,2.5 \mathrm{mg}$. Fractions were investigated using spectroscopic methods and HRMS-ESI. Fraction I was characterized a diastereomer of 199; the structure of the substance constituting fraction II could not be identified, fraction III was identified as a mixture of the unidentified compound with 198 and fraction IV was characterized as a diastereomer of 198.

## $N$-Methyl-2-(5-methyl-9-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-oxonan-2-yl)-propionamide (198)


$\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 371,59
(single diastereomer)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 5.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37$ (dq, $J=7.00,7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-$ $1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.52(\mathrm{q}, J=7.82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 175.88(\mathrm{Cq}), 173.74(\mathrm{Cq}), 77.09(\mathrm{CH}), 76.41(\mathrm{Cq}), 45.43$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 37.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.16\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.31$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.09\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.83\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right]$ : 435.2655; found: 435.2662.
IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, neat): 3294 (br), 2951 (s), 2876 (m), 2361 (m), 1737 (s), 1645 (s), 1558 (s), 1457 (m), 1413, 1374, 1349, 1284, 1241 (s), 1149 (s), 1128, 1114, 1055, 1003 (s), 978, 854, 743, 722.
$N$-Methoxymethyl-2-(5-methyl-9-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-oxonan-2-yl)-propionamide (199)

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathbf{M H z}\right): 86.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (s, 3H), $2.46(\mathrm{dq}, J=6.96,6.96 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.55(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 175.80(\mathrm{Cq}), 174.06(\mathrm{Cq}), 77.02(\mathrm{CH}), 76.76(\mathrm{CH}), 76.23$ $(\mathrm{Cq}), 71.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.58(\mathrm{CH}), 37.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.24$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 13.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.12\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.83\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{Na}$ ]: 424.2495, for [ $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}$ : 465.2761; found: 424.2509, 465.2767.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$, neat): 3307 (br), 2950 ( s$), 2876$ (m), 1737 (s), 1661 ( s$), 1538$ ( s$), 1456$ ( s$), 1374$, 1354, 1283, 1227 (s), 1167 (m), 1129 (m), 1056 (s), 1000 (s), 915 (m), 740 ( s), 722 (s).

## 8-(4-Methoxy-benzyloxy)-9-(methoxy-methyl-carbamoyl)-5-methyl-5-triethylsilanyloxy-

 decanoic acid methyl ester (202)
$\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 553,80
The experiment was conducted according to a known procedure. ${ }^{140}$
$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}(12 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 5 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the solution of the alcohol $194(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and 4-methoxybenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt and the progress was monitored by TLC.

When the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of the saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extract was washed with brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane/MTBE 2:1 affording 40 mg ( $63 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 87.30-7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.61-3.55 (br m, 1H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.11-3.00 (br m, 1H), $2.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14 \& 1.12(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right.$ ): $\delta 176.23$ (Cq, weak), 174.01 (Cq), 159.13 (Cq, Ar ), 130.70 (Cq, Ar), 129.55 (CH, Ar), 129.54 (CH, Ar), 113.71 ( $2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 80.63$ \& 80.62 (CH), 75.05 \& $75.02(\mathrm{Cq}), 72.28 \& 72.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 51.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.98 \& 41.39$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.86 \& 39.73\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$, weak), $37.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.50 \& 34.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, weak), $27.75 \& 27.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.09 \& 26.89\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.79 \& 19.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.55 \& 14.50\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.15$ $\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.84\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}\right]$ : 553.3435, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ : 617.3598; found: 617.3607.
 1460 (m), 1377 (w), 1248 (s), 1173 (m), 1064 (m), 1037 (m), 1004 (m), 778 (s), 743 (s), 631 (s).

## 10-(4-Benzyl-2-oxo-oxazolidin-3-yl)-8-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-5,9-dimethyl-10-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-decanoic acid methyl ester (203)


$\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{Si}$
Mol. Wt.: 669,92
The experiment was conducted according to a known procedure. ${ }^{140}$
$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}(12 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 5 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the solution of the alcohol $186(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and 4-methoxybenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . According to the TLC there was no starting material after the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt .

The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Chromatographic purification on silica gel with hexane/AcOEt 6:1 afforded $58 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): 87.40-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77 \& 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65 \& 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (dd, $J=13.31,3.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.80,10.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27 \& 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{q}, J=7.97 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 175.14 \& 175.10(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 174.05 \& 174.03(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 159.16 \& 159.15 (Cq, Ar), 153.08 \& 153.06 (N-(O-)C=O), 135.46 \& 135.45 (Cq, Ar), 130.67 \& $130.65(\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{Ar}), 129.67$ \& $129.66(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 129.40(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 128.89(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $127.26(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 113.65 \& 113.63(2 \times \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 79.91 \& 79.69(\mathrm{CH}), 75.07 \& 75.06(\mathrm{Cq})$,
$71.56 \& 71.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.71 \& 55.69(\mathrm{CH}), 55.22 \& 55.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 51.38\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $41.82 \& 41.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.15(\mathrm{CH}), 37.88 \& 37.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.48 \& 34.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 27.78 \& $27.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.85 \& 19.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 12.58 \& 12.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.17\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $6.90 \& 6.89\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{Si}\right]$ : 669.3697, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ : 733.3860; found: 669.3690, 733.3858.
 1512 (s), 1456 (m), 1376 (w), 1245 (s), 1209 (s), 1175 ( s), 1033 (s), 1004 (m), 823 (s), 742 (m), $720(\mathrm{~m}), 703(\mathrm{~m}), 655(\mathrm{~m})$.

## 2-(5-Methyl-9-oxo-5-triethylsilanyloxy-oxonan-2-yl)-propionaldehyde (201)


1.5 M solution of DIBAL in toluene ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4$ equiv, 0.10 mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of the Weinreb amide $196(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the excess of DIBAL was quenched by the addition of acetone ( 3 mL ). The mixture was quenched with 1.0 M aqueous solution of tartaric acid ( 10 mL ) and extracted with MTBE $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with hexane/AcOEt 10:1 to afford $1.1 \mathrm{mg}(13 \%)$ of 201. The product has an $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2-0.3$ in hexane $/$ AcOEt 10:1.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H - N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 9.74-9.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.55$ (m, 1H), 2.35-2.20 (m, 2H), 2.10-1.30 (m, 8H), 1.17 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-$ $0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-0.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
HRMS ESI: Calcd. for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right]$ : 342.2226; found: 342.2218.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) PKSs are large multienzyme systems $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=100-10000 \mathrm{kDa}\right)$.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) Also known as chalcone synthase-like PKSs.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) The market of polyketides is estimated to exceed $\$ 10$ billion per annum (http://www.bio.cam.ac.uk/~pflgroup/research.htm).

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ) 3D structure of hexacyclinic acid representing a minimum of potential energy was created and optimized (MM2 method, RMS gradient $=0.01$ ) using Chem3D ${ }^{\circledR}$ software (CambridgeSoft Corp.).

[^3]:    $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for P 388 leukemia cells.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ ) A human herpes virus that infects lymphocytes and epithelial cells. See Dimmock, N. J.; Primrose, S. B. In Introduction to Modern Virology, $4^{\text {th }}$ ed.; Blackwell Science: Oxford, 1994, Chapter 17, p. 266-267.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {g }}$ ) See Experimental part for the details on the separation.

[^5]:    ${ }^{h}$ ) The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC in hexane/AcOEt 15:1.
    ${ }^{i}$ ) Reversed addition: the solution of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was added to the Lewis acid.

[^6]:    ${ }^{j}$ ) For the mixture of the lactone $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ and the tert-alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 3}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{k}$ ) Oxidation with TPAP-NMO was very slow.
    ${ }^{1}$ ) Concentration of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ in the reaction mixture during the aldol reaction.

[^8]:    $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right) 25 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL}), c=9.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. The catalyst was added and the reaction mixture was irradiated in the microwave oven at 300 W for the indicated period of time.
    ${ }^{n}$ ) The yield was determined with the help of HPLC on a LiChrospher 250-4 RP18 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 85:15. For the aldol 186 a 4-point calibration was conducted. 186 had a $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=10.61 \mathrm{~min}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ ) As above. For the macrolactone a 5-point calibration was performed. Diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ had a $R_{t}=13.01$ $\min$ (diastereomer II) and 16.30 min (diastereomer I).

[^9]:    ${ }^{p}$ ) Ground to generate a fresh surface.

[^10]:    $\left.{ }^{q}\right)$ Obtained by the dissolution of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.42 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{r}$ ) Triethylammonium chloride started to appear after 10 min . After 1 h the precipitate was filtered off with the help of a 5 mL plastic syringe by placing a piece of cotton that served as a filter between a needle and a syringe. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ) THF was removed while stirring at reduced pressure, without letting the solution to get in contact with the air.

[^12]:    ${ }^{t}$ ) Triethylammonium chloride started to appear after 10 min . After 1 h the precipitate was filtered off with the help of a 5 mL plastic syringe by placing a piece of cotton that served as a filter between a needle and a syringe. ${ }^{u}$ ) THF was removed while stirring at reduced pressure, without letting the solution to get in contact with the air.

[^13]:    ${ }^{v}$ ) A sample of hexacyclinic acid was kindly granted by Prof. Zeeck, University of Göttingen.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {w }}$ ) $35 \%$-suspension of KH in mineral oil ( 150 mg ) was transferred into a weighted Schlenk flask, washed with hexane over $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the solvent was removed by a syringe and KH was dried under reduced pressure ( 0.1 mmHg ). The flask was weighted.

[^15]:    $\left.{ }^{x}\right) 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the TfOH were solved in ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL}) .0 .1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of this solution was taken.

[^16]:    ${ }^{y}$ ) Good mechanical stirring and inner temperature control are essential.
    ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) The reaction has an induction period of ca. 30 min and is very exothermic! The addition of NBS must be slow enough.
    ${ }^{\text {aa }}$ ) The product, obtained after distillation contains an admixture ( $5 \%-10 \%$ ) of the staring alcohol. From that reason it is advised to take 1.1-1.2 equiv of both $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and NBS.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{bb}}$ ) Ground to generate a fresh surface.

[^18]:    ${ }^{c c}$ ) The product should not be subjected to heating during concentration. Otherwise the crude product becomes dark and the yield will be diminished.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{dd}}$ ) The methyl ester was added as a solution in THF ( 1 mL ) to the LiBr and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {ee }}$ ) After the $N, O$-dimethyl hydroxylamine was transferred to the Schlenk flask it was stirred over 5 min under reduced pressure. Ca .1 mL of toluene was added and removed under reduced pressure to dry the substance.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{ff}}$ ) Fresh $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ of good quality should be used.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\text {gg }}$ ) Precipitated $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ was noticed in ca. 30 min after the addition of the reagents.
    ${ }^{\text {hh }}$ ) Time can be reduced to 5 h .
    ${ }^{i i}$ ) At a speed of $0.045 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{jij}}$ ) This time can be reduced to 30 min .

