Exact BPS bound for noncommutative baby Skyrmions
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A B S T R A C T

The noncommutative baby Skyrme model is a Moyal deformation of the two-dimensional sigma model plus a Skyrme term, with a group-valued or Grassmannian target. Exact abelian solitonic solutions have been identified analytically in this model, with a singular commutative limit. Inside any given Grassmannian, we establish a BPS bound for the energy functional, which is saturated by these baby Skyrmions. This asserts their stability for unit charge, as we also test in second-order perturbation theory. © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and summary

The baby Skyrme model is a useful laboratory for studying soliton physics. It is the 2+1 dimensional analog of the usual Skyrme model [1], which describes the low-energy chiral dynamics of quantum chromodynamics [2]. This model has direct applications in condensed matter physics [3], where baby Skyrmions give an effective description in quantum Hall systems. The action of this model consists of three terms: a kinetic sigma-model term (scale invariant), the (four-derivative) Skyrme term (breaking scale invariance) and a potential (or mass) term (stabilizing the size of solutions). All three terms are needed to prevent the collapse of topological configurations which yield to Skyrmion solutions. These stable baby Skyrmions can be determined numerically [4]. Their mass is strictly larger than the Bogomol’nyi bound given by the topological charge (Skyrmion number), and the two-Skyrmion configuration becomes stable showing the existence of bound states [4].

A noncommutative deformation (for reviews see [5]) serves as a substitute for the potential term, because it introduces a new length scale into the theory, which also stabilizes solitons against collapse or spreading. Moreover, Moyal-deformed field theories have a much richer soliton spectrum than their commutative counterparts (see, e.g., [6,7] and references therein). Indeed, the noncommutativity gives rise to a new class of baby Skyrmions, as was shown in [8]. Furthermore, the noncommutative deformation may be of help in semi-classically quantizing the (perturbatively non-renormalizable) baby Skyrme model, since it introduces a regulating parameter. The two above applications of noncommutativity are our main motivation for Moyal-deforming the baby Skyrme model.

In a previous paper [8] by one of the authors on this subject, the Moyal-deformed baby Skyrme model was introduced for group-valued or Grassmannian target spaces and without a potential term. In the abelian case, a class of exact analytic solitonic solutions was discovered, which are stable against scaling due to the noncommutativity but have no analogues in the commutative theory. This surprising feat succeeded because certain BPS configurations of the Moyal-deformed ordinary sigma model extremize the Skyrme part of the energy as well. The static energy of these noncommutative baby Skyrmions and their repulsive potential at large distances was computed [8]. However, their stability could not be ascertained, because a BPS bound for the full baby Skyrme model (in a given Grassmannian) was not available.

In the present Letter, we fill this gap. After reviewing the salient features of the noncommutative baby Skyrme model and its known solutions, we prove the expected BPS bound for the Skyrme term in the energy functional. The special case of unit topological charge is established independently by mapping it to the quantum mechanical uncertainty relation. Finally, we develop the second-order perturbation of the energy functional around a classical solution and apply it to the charge-one baby Skyrmion, affirming our previous results.
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2. The noncommutative abelian baby Skyrme model

The Moyal-deformed baby Skyrme model was first introduced in [8]. Its abelian version describes maps $g$ from a time interval $I \ni t$ into the unitaries $U(\mathcal{H})$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ or into a Grassmannian subspace

$$\text{Gr}_k \equiv \text{Gr}(P) = \frac{U(\mathcal{H})}{U(\text{im}P) \times U(\ker P)}$$

(2.1)

for a hermitian projector $P$ of finite rank $k$. In other words, the field variable $g(t)$ is a unitary operator-valued function of time. Inside the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_k \subset U(\mathcal{H})$, it satisfies the constraint

$$g^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow g^\dagger = g \Leftrightarrow g = 1 - 2P$$

(2.2)

defining a hermitian projector $P(t)$ of rank $k$ as an alternative field variable. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ carries a representation of the Heisenberg algebra,

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1,$$

(2.3)

which acts on the orthonormal basis states

$$|m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} (a^\dagger)^m |0\rangle \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } a|0\rangle = 0$$

(2.4)

in the following way,

$$a |m\rangle = \sqrt{m} |m-1\rangle, \quad a^\dagger |m\rangle = \sqrt{m+1} |m+1\rangle, \quad N |m\rangle := a^\dagger a |m\rangle = m |m\rangle.$$

(2.5)

With the help of the auxiliary gauge potentials

$$A_1 = g^\dagger \dot{g} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = g^\dagger [a^\dagger, g]$$

as well as $A_2 = g^\dagger [a, g] = (A_2)^\dagger$,

(2.6)

the model is defined by its action functional,

$$S = -2\pi \int dt \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2} A_1^2 + A_2 A_2^\dagger - \kappa^2 A_1 A_2^\dagger A_1 A_2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\theta} [A_2, A_2]^2 \right\},$$

(2.7)

which depends on two parameters: the noncommutativity scale $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^\ast$ of the dimension of length and a coupling parameter $\kappa$ of the dimension of length. Note that no potential term is needed, because the presence of the scale $\theta$ stabilizes the solitonic solutions. In the limit $\theta \to 0$, which includes scaling away the central charge of the Heisenberg algebra (2.3), one recovers the commutative $U(1)$ baby Skyrme model on $\mathbb{R}^2$, which is a free theory because all commutators vanish. Sending the Skyrme coupling $\kappa \to 0$ also removes the quartic terms, leaving us with the Moyal-deformed abelian sigma model. The latter has been investigated intensively and features static BPS solitons (see, e.g. [10,13]).

In this Letter we are concerned with static solutions to the equation of motion, $\dot{g} = 0$. These extremize the energy functional

$$E = 2\pi \int dt \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ A_2 A_2^\dagger + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\theta} [A_2, A_2]^2 \right\} = E_0 + \frac{\kappa^2}{\theta} E_1$$

$$= 2\pi \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ Q a^\dagger P a + Q a P a^\dagger \right\} + 32\pi \kappa^2 \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ P a^\dagger P a Q a^\dagger + P a^\dagger Q a^\dagger P a Q a - P a^\dagger Q a^\dagger P a Q a \right\}$$

(2.8)

which, for later convenience, we have expressed in terms of the projectors $P$ and $Q = 1 - P$ via

$$A_2 = -2(Q a^\dagger P + Pa^\dagger Q) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = -2(Q a P + Pa Q).$$

(2.9)

The energy depends only on the dimensionless combination $\frac{\kappa^2}{\theta}$.

It was shown in [8] that the diagonal projectors

$$p^{(k)} := \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} |n\rangle \langle n|$$

(2.10)

and their translates

$$p^{(k)(\alpha)} := e^{\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^\dagger a} p^{(k)} e^{-\alpha a^\dagger + \alpha^\dagger a}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

(2.11)

extremize both $E_0$ and $E_1$. The Moyal deformation is essential for this property; in the commutative (nonabelian) case, sigma-model BPS solitons can never obey the baby Skyrme equation of motion. The projector $p^{(k)}$ can be interpreted (via the Moyal–Weyl map) as a localized rank-$k$ baby Skyrmion, formed by $k$ rank-one baby Skyrmions sitting on top of each other. These configurations form a complex one-parameter subfamily inside the complex $k$-parameter family of BPS projectors for the noncommutative abelian sigma model (at $\kappa = 0$), where they saturate the bound

$$E_0 = 8\pi \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ Q a^\dagger Pa + Q a P a^\dagger \right\} = 8\pi \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left\{ P + 2Q a P a^\dagger \right\}$$

$$= 8\pi k + 16\pi \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} |Q a P|^2 \geq 8\pi k.$$  

(2.12)

No such bound was known for $E_1$, but the full energy of $p^{(k)(\alpha)}$ was easily computed [8].

$$E[p^{(k)(\alpha)}] = 8\pi \left( k + \frac{4\kappa^2}{\theta} k^2 \right)$$

(2.13)

and is independent of $\alpha$. The ensuing inequality

$$E[p^{(k)(\alpha)}] \geq E[p^{(1)(\alpha_1)}] + E[p^{(1)(\alpha_2)}] + \ldots + E[p^{(1)(\alpha_k)}]$$

(2.14)

signals an instability of the localized rank-$k$ baby Skyrmion against decay into its constituents, a collection of $k$ well-separated rank-one baby Skyrmions. Indeed, a repulsive force between two rank-one baby Skyrmions was found in [8]. General multi-center BPS solitons of the $k = 0$ sigma model do not solve the baby Skyrme equation of motion, but approach a classical solution for near-infinite mutual separation. This observation suggests a BPS bound also for the Skyrme term,

$$E_1 \geq 32\pi k.$$  

(2.15)

We will establish this bound in the following section.

3. BPS bound for the Skyrme term

It is well known that, inside the full group of $U(\mathcal{H})$, one can connect any Grassmannian solution to the vacuum via

$$g(s) = e^{i(s-\pi)P} = 1 - (1 + e^{-is}) P$$

with $p^\dagger = P^2$ and $s \in [0, \pi[$.

(3.1)

which monotonically decreases the energy from that of $g(0) = 1 - 2P$ to the zero value of the vacuum $g(\pi) = 1$ [8]. Therefore,  

---

noncommutative baby Skyrmions can be stable only in the Grassmannian models. Moreover, in $G_{k1}$, only configurations of $k$ well-separated rank-one baby Skyrmions have a chance to be stable, as we argued above.

To prove this assertion, we rewrite the energy functional as

$$
E = 8\pi \text{Tr}_H \left\{ |F|^2 + |G|^2 \right\} + 2\frac{K^2}{\theta} (FF^\dagger - G^\dagger G)^2 + 2\frac{K^2}{\theta} (F^\dagger F - GG^\dagger)^2 \right\}
$$

(3.2)

with the abbreviations

$F = Pa^\dagger Q$ and $G = QaP \Rightarrow \left\{ FF^\dagger, FF^\dagger - G^\dagger G \right\} = \text{Tr}_H \left\{ Pa^\dagger P - Pa^\dagger a \right\} = k.$

(3.3)

The positivity of this expression is obvious, but improving the lower bound requires using the Heisenberg algebra (2.3) and the topological charge formula [10]

$$
\text{Tr}_H \left\{ FF^\dagger - G^\dagger G \right\} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i|^2 \left( |\alpha_i| + |\alpha_j| \right)^{-1} \left( |\alpha_j| + |\alpha_i| \right)
$$

for $\alpha_i \in C$ and $|\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \to \infty$

(3.4)

employing $k$ coherent states defined by $|\alpha_i\rangle = e^{\pi a^\dagger |0\rangle}$ and the matrix of their overlaps $\langle \alpha_i|\alpha_j\rangle$. These are the only configurations saturating the BPS bound (3.12).

This confirms the exclusive stability of the noncommutative abelian rank-one baby Skyrmion and widely separated collections of them,

$$p(k|\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k |\alpha_i|(|\alpha_i| |\alpha_j|)_{ij}^{-1} |\alpha_j|$$

(3.5)

with the abbreviations

$$F = Pa^\dagger Q \quad G = QaP \quad G^\dagger = Pa^\dagger a \quad H = Pa^\dagger P - Pa^\dagger a = k.$$
which recovers the familiar bound (2.12) for $E_0$. To estimate $E_1$, we need the (stronger) Schrödinger uncertainty relation [15],

$$|\langle x \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle x, p \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d}{d x} |\langle x, p \rangle|^2 \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle x \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} (xp + px) \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

(3.19)

which bounds the second curly bracket on each line of (3.15) by 1 and thus yields $E_1 \geq \frac{32}{5^2}$, as anticipated. Mathematically, it is nothing but the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality at work.

4. Second-order perturbation around baby Skyrminons

It is instructive to study the energy functional in the neighborhood of a classical solution $g$. In order to remain inside the Grassmannian, where $g' = g = \tilde{g} - 2P$, we set up a multiplicative perturbation expansion,

$$g(\epsilon) = g(\epsilon)$$

which is ‘odd’ with respect to $P$ in the sense that

$$P \phi = Q \phi \quad \text{and} \quad P \phi = Q \phi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi = P \phi + Q \phi.$$  

(4.2)

To second order in the perturbation, we compute

$$P(\epsilon) = P - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{g} - 2P) \left( \phi + \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \right)$$

$$= P + \frac{1}{2} [P, \phi] + \frac{1}{8} [P, \phi, \phi] + O(\epsilon^3)$$

(4.3)

and introduce the abbreviations

$$\tilde{A} = A + g[a^*, g], \quad \tilde{B} = [a + \tilde{A}, \phi].$$

(4.4)

The equation of motion takes the form

$$[a, C] + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{C}] = 0 \quad \text{with}$$

$$C = -\frac{k^2}{\theta} [A, [A, \tilde{A}]] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C} = \tilde{A} - \frac{k^2}{\theta} [\tilde{A}, [\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}]].$$

(4.5)

After a straightforward but lengthy calculation, the energy functional inside $Gr_k$, expanded to second order in $\epsilon$ around a classical projector $P$ subject to (4.5), can be simplified to

$$E[P(\epsilon)] = E[P] + \pi \text{Tr}_H \left[ 2B\tilde{B} - [C, \phi]\tilde{B} - [\tilde{C}, \phi]B \right]$$

$$+ 2\pi \frac{k^2}{\theta} \text{Tr}_H \left\{ 2B\tilde{B}AB + 2\tilde{B}AB\tilde{B} + \tilde{B}ABA + \tilde{B}ABA - \tilde{B}ABA \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left( \phi^2 + O(\epsilon^3) \right).$$

(4.6)

Note that $B$ and $\tilde{B}$ contain $\phi$ and are thus of $O(\epsilon)$, and there is a hidden $k$ dependence in $C$ and $\tilde{C}$.

Let us evaluate this expression for the unique (up to translation) rank-one baby Skyrminon,

$$P^{(1)} = |0\rangle\langle 0| \Rightarrow A = -2|1\rangle\langle 0| \quad \text{and} \quad C = \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{\theta} \right) A,$$

(4.7)

and the most general perturbation inside $Gr_k$,

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \phi_n |0\rangle\langle n| - \phi_n^* |n\rangle\langle 0| \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_n \in C.$$

(4.8)

One finds that

$$B = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \phi_n |1\rangle\langle n| + \sqrt{n} \phi_n |0\rangle\langle n-1| \right\}$$

$$- 2\delta_{n1} \phi_1 |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{n+1} \phi_n^* |n+1\rangle\langle 0|$$

(4.9)

and

$$[C, \phi] = -2 \left( 1 + \frac{k^2}{\theta} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \phi_n |1\rangle\langle n| - \delta_{n1} \phi_1 |0\rangle\langle 0| \right\}$$

(4.10)

and finally

$$E[P^{(1)}(\epsilon)] = 8\pi \left( 1 + 4\frac{k^2}{\theta} \right) + 8\pi |\phi_2|^2$$

$$+ 4\pi \left( 1 + 2\frac{k^2}{\theta} \right) \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n|\phi_n|^2 + O(\epsilon^3).$$

(4.11)

A $\phi_1$ perturbation corresponds to the translational mode and does not cost any energy. The Skyrme term does not see the $\phi_2$ perturbation either. Clearly, the bound (3.12) for $k = 1$ is respected.

One can go beyond perturbation theory by probing all basis directions in $Gr_1$ exactly, $^5$

$$P_n^{(1)}(\epsilon) = |\psi_n(\epsilon)\rangle\langle \psi_n(\epsilon)|$$

with

$$|\psi_n(\epsilon)| = \cos(\epsilon) |0\rangle$$

(4.12)

and $\epsilon \in [0.2\pi]$. Inserting these projector families into (3.15), we arrive at

$$E[P_n^{(1)}(\epsilon)] = \begin{cases}
8\pi (1 + 2\frac{k^2}{\theta}) + 32\pi \frac{k^2}{\theta} (1 + 2\sin^2 \epsilon) & \text{for } n = 1, \\
8\pi (1 + 4\sin^2 \epsilon) + 32\pi \frac{k^2}{\theta} (1 + 6\sin^4 \epsilon) & \text{for } n = 2, \\
8\pi (1 + 2n\sin^2 \epsilon) + 32\pi \frac{k^2}{\theta} (1 + n\sin^2 \epsilon + n\sin^4 \epsilon) & \text{for } n \geq 3.
\end{cases}$$

(4.13)

To order $\epsilon^2$, this precisely reproduces the coefficients of $|\phi_n|^2$ in (4.11) after matching $|\phi_n|^2 = 4\epsilon^2$. Again, it is apparent that only $P_n^{(1)}(0) = P^{(1)}$ is stable. Beyond $O(\epsilon^2)$, the flat valley traced by $P^{(1)}(0) = e^{-i|\phi|/\alpha}$ deviates from the curves defined in (4.12).

We close with a list of open problems. It would be interesting to work out the scattering of two rank-one baby Skyrminons in the Moyal plane. It is also an open question whether there exist abelian noncommutative baby Skyrminons not based on diagonal projectors. Another promising task is to deform the full Skyrme model (on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$) and to construct noncommutative Skyrminons from noncommutative instantons [16].
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