RESEARCH PAPER

Complementation of the embryo-lethal T-DNA insertion mutant of AUXIN-BINDING-PROTEIN 1 (ABP1) with abp1 point mutated versions reveals crosstalk of ABP1 and phytochromes
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Abstract

The function of the extracytoplasmic AUXIN-BINDING-PROTEIN1 (ABP1) is largely enigmatic. We complemented a homozygous T-DNA insertion null mutant of ABP1 in Arabidopsis thaliana Wassilewskia with three mutated and one wild-type (wt) ABP1 cDNA, all tagged C-terminally with a strepII–FLAG tag upstream the KDEL signal. Based on in silico modelling, the abp1 mutants were predicted to have altered geometries of the auxin binding pocket and calculated auxin binding energies lower than the wt. Phenotypes linked to auxin transport were compromised in these three complemented abp1 mutants. Red light effects, such as elongation of hypocotyls in constant red (R) and far-red (FR) light, in white light supplemented by FR light simulating shade, and inhibition of gravitropism by R or FR, were all compromised in the complemented lines. Using auxin- or light-induced expression of marker genes, we showed that auxin-induced expression was delayed already after 10 min, and light-induced expression within 60 min, even though TIR1/AFB or phyB are thought to act as receptors relevant for gene expression regulation. The expression of marker genes in seedlings responding to both auxin and shade showed that for both stimuli regulation of marker gene expression was altered after 10–20 min in the wild type and phyB mutant. The rapidity of expression responses provides a framework for the mechanics of functional interaction of ABP1 and phyB to trigger interwoven signalling pathways.
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Introduction

For many years the function(s) of AUXIN BINDING PROTEIN1 (ABP1) remained enigmatic. In earlier work, ABP1 functions were associated with the plasma membrane (Napier, 1995). Besides regulation of K⁺ channel activity and membrane potential, protein kinase activity, phospholipase A activity, calcium influx, and other very rapid responses were described, which all are too rapid to be initiated by transcription and protein biosynthesis. Instead, post-translational...
mechanisms are suggested to initiate these rapid responses. For these ABP1 is thought to function as an auxin receptor (Scherer, 2011).

A conditional ABP1 mutant was created by expressing an antibody against ABP1 in the apoplast which suppressed ABP1 functions like leaf expansion, endomitosis, cell division, and cell expansion (David et al., 2007; Braun et al., 2008; Paque et al., 2014), results verified with an inducible mutant (Jones et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2001a). The only known T-DNA insertion mutant of this gene proved to be embryo-lethal (Chen et al., 2001b). The point mutation abp1-5, obtained by TILLING, was useful to uncover the interaction of ABP1, PIN proteins, and ROP/RIC signalling in protein trafficking (Robert et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2010). More detailed investigations using the heterozygous ABP1/abp1 T-DNA insertion line revealed that mutations like auxin-induced gene expression, phototropism, gravitropism, and auxin transport are defective in this mutant (Effendi et al., 2011; Effendi and Scherer, 2011). Recently ABP1 has been linked to red light physiology, using ABP1/abp1 and abp1-5 (Effendi et al., 2013), and to control of TIR1 activity (Effendi et al., 2011; Tomas et al., 2013).

Both ABP1/abp1 and abp1-5 have weak phenotypes so that progress in ABP1 research based on these mutants is still limited. On the other hand, the embryo lethality of a homozygous T-DNA insertion plant (Chen et al., 2001b) opened up the possibility to complement this plant not only with wild-type but also with point-mutated cDNAs. We describe here such a series of mutants based on complementation of the knock-out plant that show more severe auxin-related phenotypes than previous abp1 mutants. These results reveal that not only auxin but also phytochrome signalling is compromised in these lines.

Material and methods

Quantum chemical modelling

A theoretical examination of the geometry, electronic structure, and electronic binding energies (ΔE) of the auxin binding pocket of ABP1 were performed. The structural data was obtained from the crystal structure of ABP1 (Protein Data Bank with accession codes 1LRH). The pocket containing the 1-NAA molecule and the surrounding amino acids at 6 Ångstroms (~400 atoms) was isolated (amino acids: 122, L24, W44, Q46, I48, T54, P55, H57, H59, E63, F65, H106, V108, V121, I130, L132, F149, W151). The geometric structure of the wild-type pocket was optimized taking into account previous analysis of auxin molecules (Ferro et al., 2006) and protein cavities (Rolo-Naranjo et al., 2010). The optimization was carried out using Density Function Theory (DFT) using the b3-lyp function (Becke, 1988, Lee et al., 1988, Stephens et al., 1994) including the Van der Waals correction D3 ( Grimme et al., 2010) and atomic basis sets at triple zeta level (def-TZVP) (Eichkorn et al., 1997). The input geometry constrained 17 atoms in order to conserve the pocket structure and the start charge of the pocket was +2 owing to the influence of Zn²⁺. All calculations have been performed with the program package TURBOMOLE (http://www.turbomole.com).

Different computational chemistry experiments were conducted to analyse the influence of mutations of the amino acids at positions 25, 54, 106, and 151 and the substitution of IAA in the position of 1-NAA. The substitution (mutants) H106 to N106, L25 to Y25, T54 to L54 were modelled and their geometries re-optimized at DFT level with b3-lyp and the D3-correction. The re-optimizations included both pocket–auxin pairs and pockets alone to investigate ΔE. The ΔE energies were calculated by a single point calculation with b3-lyp and TZVP basis set following the equation: ΔEbind=ΔEpocket – aux – (ΔEpocket + ΔEaux), comparing each mutant with the wild type. The calculation solve the electronic problem accurately and, neglecting changes of pressure and volume in the cell, we hold that the electronic energy and the enthalpy are approximately equal (ΔE=ΔH).

Our calculation will not allow for entropic processes according to the AG. For further analysis of the potential surface and electric field of the pocket we used the theory of deformed atoms in molecules (DAM; Rico et al., 2004) as well as the comparison of electronic features using quantum similarity measures (Ferro et al., 2006), applied now at pocket level using the auto values ZA(r)(Ω)=∫ρ(r)dr/(∫ρ(r)dr), where the operator at Ω were Coulomb and Overlap. This analysis offers details about the differences of the electronic features of each pocket.

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana Wassilewskija (Ws) heterozygous wt plants containing a T-DNA insertion and kanamycin resistance were used for transformation. ABP1 cDNA containing FLAG-tag and strep-tag II directly before the C-terminal KDEI under control of the 35S promoter was provided by T. Reinard (University of Hannover). This construct was then cloned into pENTR D-TOPO (Invitrogen) where site-directed mutation was performed using QuikChange™ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagen). Entry vectors were cloned into destination vector pB2GW7 (basta resistance: Karimi et al., 2002). The complete ABP1 cDNA sequences in the vector were sequenced after transformation into Agrobacterium and the designed mutations verified (MWG-Biotech AG Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, info-eu@eurofins.com). Confirmed vectors were used to transform Arabidopsis thaliana heterozygous ABP1/abp1 plants (Chen et al., 2001b). Progenies of the transformed plants were selected on agar plates containing kanamycin (50 µg/ml) and BASTA (30 µg/ml). Surviving seedlings were PCR genotyped to identify homozygous null ABP1 wt plants (primer list: see Supplementary Table S2). Double homozygous lines were selected from these.

Seedling experiments were performed on sterile 1% (w/v) agar (growth experiments), 0.5% (w/v) gelrite to stabilize tropism experiments (Santner and Watson, 2006), or liquid (seedlings for RNA extraction) half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 1% (w/v) sucrose at 22 °C for 10 d or as otherwise indicated (Figs 2 and 3). Experiments were repeated two to three times independently (n=75–90).

Auxin sensitivity was repeated twice by transferring light-grown seedlings at day 4 to media containing increasing IAA concentrations (0–10 µM) or mock for further growth for 6 days (Figs 2D–F; 5L, M). Hypocotyl lengths in light-grown or auxin-treated seedlings were calculated by subtracting the lengths obtained without auxin (Fig. 5L, M). Dark-grown seedlings were pre-grown in liquid half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 1% (w/v) sucrose at 22 °C for 10 d or as otherwise indicated (Figs 2 and 3). Experiments were repeated two to three times independently (n=75–90).

An alternative auxin was applied to the root tip and segments cut after 8 h (5–10 mm, 10–15 mm, and 15–20 mm from tip) and counted after 18 h. The 5–10 mm segment in the wt was set as 100% and others calculated accordingly (Fig. 2J).

Plants were cultured in soil on a growth chamber at 22 °C constant 8h/16h (light/dark; SD) on peat-based compost soil (Einheitserde, http://www.einheitserde.de/) containing 30% silica sand. Leaves were measured from the three largest leaves from each of 60 adult plants per genotype. Rosette leaf number at 59 d and flowering time was measured from the three largest leaves from each of 60 adult plants per genotype. Seedling experiments were performed on sterile 1% (w/v) agar (growth experiments), 0.5% (w/v) gelrite to stabilize tropism experiments (Santner and Watson, 2006), or liquid (seedlings for RNA extraction) half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 1% (w/v) sucrose at 22 °C for 10 d or as otherwise indicated (Figs 2 and 3). Experiments were repeated two to three times independently (n=75–90).

Auxin sensitivity was repeated twice by transferring light-grown seedlings at day 4 to media containing increasing IAA concentrations (0–10 µM) or mock for further growth for 6 days (Figs 2D–F; 5L, M). Hypocotyl lengths in light-grown or auxin-treated seedlings were calculated by subtracting the lengths obtained without auxin (Fig. 5L, M). Dark-grown seedlings were pre-grown in liquid half-strength MS for two days without auxin (Fig. 5L). Auxin was added and the increments of hypocotyl lengths after 12 h were determined. Basipetal auxin transport was measured according to Lewis and Munday (2009). Radioactive auxin was applied to the root tip and segments cut after 8 h (5–10 mm, 10–15 mm, and 15–20 mm from tip) and counted after 18 h. The 5–10 mm segment in the wt was set as 100% and others calculated accordingly (Fig. 2J).

Plants were cultured in soil on a growth chamber at 22 °C constant 8h/16h (light/dark; SD) on peat-based compost soil (Einhaitaerde, http://www.einheiterde.de/) containing 30% silica sand. Leaves were measured from the three largest leaves from each of 60 adult plants per genotype. Rosette leaf number at 59 d and flowering time was measured in two independent replications (30 plants each). Flowering time (first flower with white petals) for each genotype was recorded. Apical dominance at 90–92 d was measured as the number of branches at the bottom of fully grown plants with 100 plants each grown in SD (Fig. 4H).
For seedling light experiments, seeds were stratified for 4 d, plates were placed in horizontal position at 22 °C under white light (W) for 2 h before transfer for 1 d into darkness. Then they were kept for 3 d either in constant R, FR, or B (0.1 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ or 1 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) or dark (Fig. 5A–K). For shade avoidance experiments, seeds on plates prepared like as were exposed to 24.5 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ constant white LED light for 3 d. Then to W either low R/FR ratio (0.098) or high R/FR ratio (2.1) was added for 3 d (spectra: see Effendi et al., 2013). For RNA extraction, seedlings received the low red (LR) or high red (HR) treatment for 1 h after 3 d in W (Fig. 7; Fig. 8). In experiments with NPA (naphthalene acetic acid) this was added to the plates from the start of the experiment (Fig. 6). Data were obtained from three independent replications and each replication was consisted of more than 40 seedlings. Light experiments were done without sucrose in the medium in an LED chamber (CLF, Plant Climatics) (Effendi et al., 2013) (Fig. 5). All quantifications were done by scanning the plates with CanonScan 8800F (resolution of 600 dots per inch; Canon, http://www.canon-europe.com) and evaluating lengths or angles with AXIOVISIOLE version 4.6 software (Zeiss, http://www.zeiss.com/) and analysed using the t-test in Excel.

Nucleic acid analysis

Seedlings were grown on half-strength MS liquid medium in W for 14 d for auxin treatment (for light treatments see above). Seedlings were then equilibrated for 2 h in fresh half-strength MS liquid media and then 10 μM IAA or mock was added. qPCR and statistics were performed as described (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001; Pfaff et al., 2002; Effendi et al. 2013). Test gene and reference gene primers are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Results

Modified auxin binding binding box in ABP1

We designed and developed new Arabidopsis abp1 mutants by transforming the kanamycin resistant ABP1/abp1 mutant (Chen et al., 2001b) with wild type (wt) ABP1 cDNA or ABP1 cDNA containing point mutations in the auxin-binding site of ABP1 (Woo et al., 2002) (Fig. 1) using Basta selection. A strep II tag and a FLAG tag were inserted immediately upstream of the C-terminal ER retention motif KDEL. We were able to isolate four stable abp1 mutants, abp1-8 (T54>154), abp1-9 (L25>Y25), abp1-10 (H106>N106), and abp1-11 (no point mutation but tagged) in the background of the homozygous T-DNA insertion null mutant. The isolation showed that doubly resistant transformed T1 seedlings could be obtained and selected. Other lines did not propagate or produced very few doubly resistant plant progeny. From progeny of the mutant lines we theoretically expected one in four plants to have no wt ABP1 owing to homozygosity of the T-DNA insertion, but we needed to genotype 500–700 individuals until we found the desired mutant, still homozygous for the basta marker. Selling then gave lines homozygous for the basta marker. Owing to the difficulty in producing suitable lines we chose to consider those four orthologous lines as a set rather than isolating several lines of each mutant.

Quantum chemical modelling

We concentrated the analysis on an accurate quantum chemical model using density functional theory (DFT) for describing geometry, chemical bonds, electronic properties, and electronic binding energies of the wild-type auxin-binding pocket of ABP1 and the three site-specific mutations. The optimized structures of all binding pockets (Fig. 1A, B, G–I) demonstrated that the coordination number of the Zn²⁺ atom was 5 with square-based pyramidal geometry (Alberts et al., 1998), one of which coordinates the carboxyl group of the auxin ligand.

The electron donor-acceptor regions or frontier molecular orbitals (Fig. 1D, J–L) of the wt auxin pocket (Fig. 1C, D) play an important role to determine the activity of auxin and auxin-like molecules (Ferro et al., 2006). The calculations of binding pocket geometry were complemented by visualizing the pocket surface (Fig. 1E, F). We determined the interaction to ligands by observing the frontier orbitals (HOMO, highest occupied molecular orbital; LUMO, lowest unoccupied molecular orbital; Fig. 1C, D). The electron donor W151 (wt) is plotted in yellow-red (HOMO) and the acceptor, concentrated around H59, is plotted in blue-cyan (LUMO) surrounding the Zn²⁺, and both are independent of the presence of 1-NAA or IAA. In the presence of either 1-NAA or IAA the localization of the frontier molecular orbitals is nearly identical and the surface pattern potentially exposed to ligand is strongly polarized (Fig. 1E, F). The region formed by the Zn²⁺ complex presents the negative potential (blue), and the remainder of the pocket is dominated by the positive potential (red) of other amino acids. Both results, the position of the frontier orbitals in the pocket and the polarized potential, are consistent. The green lines represent the electrostatic field lines or force produced by the atoms.

Calculations were done for the substitutions of the amino acids L25>Y25 (abp1-9), T54>154 (abp1-8), and H106>N106 (abp1-10) in the wt structure of the auxin pocket of ABP1 (Fig. 1G–O). The polarization of the surface potential observed in the wt pocket was lost in the mutations (Fig. 1J–L). In addition, the HOMO–LUMO localization depicted (Fig. 1G–I) showed that every mutation changes the localization of the electron acceptor (LUMO) from the H59 to the E63 (Fig. 1J–L).

The changes in the Coulomb matrix have previously been connected with the biological activity of the auxin molecules and in binding specificity of auxin molecules (Ferro et al., 2006). To correlate the physiological properties of the mutants with modelling, we focussed on further quantum chemical calculations of dE, Overlay, and Coulomb matrices. The electronic binding energies suggest that the mutants H106>N106 [abp1-10: −37.51 dE (Kcal/mol), and T54>154 [abp1-8: −35.90 dE (Kcal/mol)] offer less stability for binding the auxin molecule. Two mutants, abp1-10 and abp1-8, also showed similar trends in the changes of the Overlay and Coulomb auto values (Supplementary Table S1) indicating similar binding properties. Though the calculated binding energies are similar in both the wt [-41.10 dE (Kcal/mol)] and abp1-9 [-42.14 dE (Kcal/mol)], differences in Overlay and Coulomb matrices and geometry will influence binding because the smaller L25 is replaced by the bulky Y25, increasing electronic interaction with auxin similar to W151 (Woo et al., 2002) but, at the same time, also restricting pocket space. Accordingly, the Coulomb auto value, representing the charge surface of the pocket for
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Electrostatic interactions with the ligand, and the Overlap auto value, representing the electron density of the pocket surface, in abp1-9 showed the strongest differences to the wt of these two parameters (Supplementary Table S1). Combined with the effects of dE this indicates a decrease of function in abp1-9. The strep tag is already outside of the main part of the

**Fig. 1.** Modelling of changes in the geometry and electronic structure of the ABP1 pocket resulting from substitutions in the amino acid sequence. (A–F) The panels of the wild type ABP1-auxin binding pocket show optimized geometry (A, B), frontier orbitals (C, D), and potential surfaces (E, F). Mutated amino acids are highlighted in blue in panel B and individually in panels G–I. Note that in mutants not only geometries are changed (G–I) but also the geometries of the highest occupied molecular orbital [HOMO (red-yellow)] on W151 and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital [LUMO (blue-cyan)] on H59 of the Zn2+ complex (K–N).
ABP1 and thus most probably does not interfere with auxin binding (see Supplementary Fig. S1).

Auxin-related functions are compromised and auxin sensitivity is lower in abp1 mutants

The mutants transcribed the mutated ABP1 genes at about 1–1.7-fold the level of the wt Ws (Fig. 2A). The ABP1/abp1 mutant (Ws) was transcribed only at about 50% wt level and the abp1-5 mutant (Col-0) at about 80% of the corresponding wt.

All four abp1 mutants had longer hypocotyls (Fig. 2B, C) than wt, although in abp1-11 this phenotype was modest. When abp1 mutants were grown on auxin, abp1-8, abp1-9, and abp1-11 roots were longer than wt roots. All other genotypes had lengths similar to the wt (Fig. 2D, E). In response to 0.03 μM or higher auxin, a clear decrease in lateral root number was found in abp1-8, abp1-9, and abp1-10, but not in abp1-11 (Fig. 2D, F). These data indicated lower auxin sensitivities for the three abp1 mutants.

Phototropic and gravitropic bending of hypocotyls and gravitropic bending of roots of abp1 mutants was slower than for wt and abp1-11 (Fig. 2G–I). Gravitropic bending of abp1-11 mutants was slower than for wt and abp1-11 (Fig. 2G–I). Gravitropic bending of auxin-related properties were less prominent in abp1-11, and to responses involving auxin transport than wt. Mutants generally were less sensitive in their responses to auxin.

From these data we conclude that the three abp1 site-directed mutants had altered responses to continuous FR, R, or blue (B) light and in darkness to test for the involvement of a photoreceptor (Fig. 5). In continuous R, all abp1 seedlings had significantly longer hypocotyls than wt seedlings (Fig. 5A, B), similar to phyB but not to phyA seedlings. In continuous FR, all abp1 mutants also displayed longer hypocotyls in comparison to wt seedlings, but were shorter than phyA seedlings (Fig. 5C, D). As hypocotyl elongation is inhibited by continuous FR in a fluence- and PHYA-dependent manner (Whitelam et al., 1993) the data indicate that abp1 mutants interfere with phyA-mediated responses. However, not all phyA deficiency responses in detiolated seedlings were observed in abp1 mutants because they had opened and expanded cotyledons and displayed no apical hook, both responses not found in phyA seedlings (Fig. 5C). Hypocotyl elongation of abp1 mutants displayed small differences under continuous B like phyA seedlings (Fig. 5E, F). Because of the small magnitude of B insensitivity of abp1 seedlings, similar to phyA seedlings, assigning B insensitivity to either compromised phyA function or to insensitivity of a B receptor was not possible (Fankhauser and Casal, 2004). A dark phenotype was not obvious (Fig. 5G, H). Qualitatively similar but quantitatively smaller results were obtained when plants were grown in 1 μm–1 s–2 monochromatic light (see Supplementary Fig. S4).

In white light (W) a decrease in the R/FR ratio is the main cue for plants to perceive the presence of neighbours as physiological shade. W supplemented by a low ratio R:FR (LR) leads to strong elongation. W with added high ratio R:FR (HR) represses elongation. Responses to shade depend mainly on a low phyB signalling input (Fankhauser and Casal, 2004). Hypocotyl lengths in LR and HR were analysed (Fig. 5I–K). Seedlings of abp1 mutants displayed significantly longer hypocotyls than wt under LR, whereas abp1-11 seedlings were only slightly longer (Fig. 5I, K). Surprisingly,
Fig. 2. Developmental physiology of abp1 mutant seedlings. (A) Level of ABP1 transcript in abp1 mutants in comparison to the respective wild types ABP1 (abp1-5 is in Col-O, all others in Ws). Value for WT ABP1 is set as 1 (asterisk: different by P<0.05). (B) Representative light-grown seedlings (7 d; Ws, abp1 mutants). Bar=5 mm. (C) Hypocotyl length of light-grown seedlings (14 d) (n=23–30; SEM, P<0.01). (D) Root development without auxin (upper row) and in the presence of 0.1 µM IAA (lower row) (n=30–39; SEM). (E) Auxin sensitivity of primary root length and (F) lateral root number (n=30–39; SEM). Error bars are either visible or smaller than symbols. Non-overlapping symbols or error bars in E and F were significantly different from each other (P<0.01 or lower). (G) Delayed phototropic responses of hypocotyls of dark-grown (3 d) seedlings. Phototropism was induced by lateral blue light (10 µmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹) for 8 h (SEM, n=70–130). (H) Delayed gravitropic responses in hypocotyls of 3-day-old dark-grown seedlings after 24 h tilting by 90° (n=52–90). (I) Average bending angles±SEM (* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001). (J) Acropetal auxin transport in roots of 4-day-old light-grown seedlings. Dark blue bars: 5–10 mm from tip; purple bars: 10–15 mm from tip; light blue bars: 15–20 mm from tip. (n=40; *: P<0.05). (K–O) Epidermal pattern of primary leaves. (K) Wassilewskia wt; (L) abp1-8; (M) abp1-9; (N) abp1-10; (O) abp1-11. In each photo two cells are outlined for comparison. Bars=100 µm. Cell areas and lobe numbers of epidermis cells are presented in Supplementary Fig. S2.
Functions of AUXIN-BINDING-PROTEIN 1

abp1 mutants in HR also had hypocotyls longer than the wt, except abp1-11 (Fig. 5J, K). This indicated that the strong abp1 mutant alleles might be defective in phyB-mediated responses to physiological shade.

We tested the effect of auxin on light- and dark-grown seedlings. IAA applied in the light increased hypocotyl elongation slightly in the wt. In abp1-9 auxin inhibited slightly and in abp1-8, abp1-10, and abp1-11 it had no effect (Fig. 5L).
However, exogenous IAA in 2-day-old dark-grown seedlings did stimulate elongation in wt and abp1-11, with an optimum at 0.05 µM IAA. This was not the case in abp1-8, abp1-9, or abp1-10 (Fig. 5M) suggesting that ABP1 was a receptor for growth in dark-grown tissue. Reduced growth repression in R (Fig. 5A, B) in the abp1 mutants was consistent with the
Fig. 5. Elongation in monochromatic continuous light (R, FR, B) of 4 d-old seedlings in Ws and abp1 mutants, phyA and phyB. (A, C, E, G) Representative images of seedlings grown in FR, R, B (0.1 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ each) or dark, respectively. Bar=5 mm. (B, D, F, H) Hypocotyl lengths (n>80; SEM). (I–K) Responses of hypocotyls of 3-d-old seedlings grown in W and then transferred for three more days to W with added low ratio R:FR (FR-enriched light) and high ratio R:FR (R-enriched light) in Ws wt, abp1 mutants, and phyA and phyB. (I, J) Representative images of seedlings. (K) Hypocotyl lengths. (n>120; SEM). (B, D, F, H, K) Shown by asterisks is significance between the wt and mutants (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001). When error bars do not overlap values of different bars in one graph are significantly different from each other (P<0.05). (L, M) Hypocotyl elongation in light (L) and dark (M) in the presence of increasing auxin concentrations. (L) Hypocotyl length increment induced by auxin of light-grown seedlings (see also Fig. 2A). (n=20–30, SEM). (M) Seedlings were grown in dark for 2 d and the length increment during subsequent 12 h was recorded (n=20–30, SEM). Error bars are either visible or smaller than symbols. Data in L and M are significantly different between control and auxin-treated seedlings for each line when symbols or error bars do not overlap.
observation that a fully functional ABP1 supports repression of growth in the light.

**NPA as an indicator for interaction of ABP1 and phytochromes in shade-induced elongation and inhibition of hypocotyl gravitropism**

The elongation response to shade includes regulation of polar auxin transport (Nagashima et al., 2008ab). Therefore, we tested the influence of the polar transport inhibitor naphthalphthalamic acid (NPA) on elongation in LR and HR light (Fig. 6A, B). NPA inhibited elongation strongly at 0.5 µM. In LR, phyB and more so phyA plants were more resistant to NPA than the wt. This property was observed only in abp1-9 to some extent at 0.5 µM and 1 µM NPA so that an NPA insensitivity was not clearly indicated in abp1 mutants (Fig. 6A, B). In HR, phyB seedlings were clearly more resistant to NPA than all other genotypes (Fig. 6B).

We noticed that inhibition of hypocotyl gravitropism was increased by the combination of either LR or HR with NPA (Nagashima et al., 2008ab). In LR abp1-8, abp1-9, abp1-10, but not abp1-11, grew less vertical compared with wt (Fig. 6C). This gravitropism inhibition also was the case in the presence of 1 µM NPA for all four abp1 mutants. In LR alone, phyA and phyB seedlings responded as the wt, but phyA seedlings in LR in the presence of NPA clearly displayed inhibited hypocotyl gravitropism so that the response of the abp1 mutants was more similar to phyA than to phyB seedlings.

In HR alone abp1 seedlings grew more upright than wt seedlings, similar to phyB seedlings. This is consistent with a compromised phyB signalling as found in low continuous R (Fig. 5A, B). In HR and added NPA the abp1 mutants showed a tendency towards inhibited gravitropism but this was not statistically significant as in phyA and phyB seedlings. LR and HR clearly inhibited gravitropism in abp1 mutants as in phyA and phyB seedlings (Fig. 6C, D) (Liscum and Hangarter, 1993; Robson and Smith, 1996). In abp1-11, R or FR inhibition of gravitropism was absent, in LR and in the presence of NPA inhibition was apparent. Taking all four sets of data together, inhibition of gravitropism was weakest in abp1-11 compared with the three abp1 mutants.

**Expression of light-induced genes in abp1 mutants**

We investigated expression of ten shade-induced genes (ATHB2, HFR1, PIL1, PIF1, PIF5, IAA19, IAA29, PIN3, FIN219; Fig. 7). References for primers are in Supplementary Table S2. We restricted FR or R light to a short induction period of 1 h in W (Wang et al. 2011).

In LR, phytochrome mutants and all abp1 mutants clearly showed a different expression of shade-induced genes than wt. In phyA, being wt with respect to phyB, high induction of IAA29 similar to wt was found, and this was also found in abp1-8, abp1-9 and abp1-10 but not in phyB and abp1-11. HFR1 was repressed both in phyA, phyB and in the abp1 mutants. ATHB2 was de-repressed in phyA and abp1-8, abp1-10, and abp1-11, but not in phyB and abp1-9. In phyB all test genes were repressed in comparison to the wt. Overall, expression of shade marker genes in abp1 mutants was, in general, more similar to phyA than to phyB in LR.

In HR and with phyB eight out of nine genes tested were more strongly de-repressed than in the wt, whereas in phyA only five genes were de-repressed but generally less than in...
phyB. FIN219, a phyA-dependent gene (Wang et al., 2011), was repressed in phyA. In abp1 mutants several genes (4–8) were de-repressed, and therefore in HR they were clearly more similar to phyB than to phyA.

Expression of shade-induced and auxin-induced genes in phyB seedlings

The expression of a number of genes (IAA3, IAA19, IAA19, SAUR15, ATHB2, FIN219) is co-regulated by auxin and...
shade (Steindler et al., 1999; Devlin et al., 2003; Kunihiro et al., 2011; Leivar et al., 2012) and we included the auxin biosynthesis and shade-induced gene, TAA1 (Tao et al., 2008) and ABP1 (Effendi et al., 2011 2013) into the analysis. We showed that abp1 mutants misregulate expression of auxin-regulated genes after 10–30 min (Fig. 3) and of light-regulated genes after 1 h (Fig. 7). However, little is known whether phyB seedlings misregulate the expression of auxin-induced genes. Therefore, we investigated the kinetics of induction of these genes by auxin or shade in phyB seedlings (Fig. 8).

In the wt (Col), shade induced a rise in expression of SAUR15, ATHB2, IAA3, and IAA19 and weakly in IAA29 at 10–20 min. The responses peaked at 1–2 h with a tendency to decline at 3 h (Fig. 8A). Expression of ABP1 and TAA1 stayed low in wt and phyB seedlings. In phyB seedlings, shade-induced expression of ABP1, TAA1, and IAA3 was higher than in wt seedlings suggesting that phyB represses these genes. All time courses were fast which indicates a rather direct signal pathway from phyB to its target genes.

We quantified auxin-induced expression in wt seedlings (Fig. 8B). As expected, all genes were induced, although TAA1 only at a low level. Again, expression started to rise at 10–20 min, peaked and declined towards 3 h. In phyB seedlings, expression of most markers was lower than in wt seedlings; only SAUR15 was higher in phyB than in wt and, surprisingly, expression of ABP1 was not elevated by auxin in phyB. Hence, the phyB mutant had a partially aberrant auxin physiology with respect to the expression of marker the genes used here.

Discussion

Genetic engineering of stable mutant alleles of ABP1 by complementation

There is only one ABP1 gene in the Arabidopsis genome (Chen et al., 2001b). The embryo lethality of the T-insertion mutant and the still largely unsuccessful attempts to search for other types of mutants (Braun et al., 2008; Robert et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2010; Effendi et al., 2011) prompted us to engineer strong point mutation alleles by complementation of the knockout to aid investigation of ABP1 functions.

The novel abp1 mutants are loss-of-function

Modelling of the mutated binding sites showed that the protein surface contacting 1-NAA is distorted in all mutants (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1). The thermodynamic surface description of the binding pockets and calculated binding energies for the wt and the abp1 mutants provided an explanation for why we could obtain only a few mutant alleles and why all were loss-of-function mutants.

The mutated and the wt protein in all four complementation lines is tagged, but upstream of the ER retention signal KDEL. Alterations to KDEL and additions like GFP have substantial effects on the exocytosis/endocytosis balance (Robert et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013). Thus, an important aspect of our lines is that they are characterized in a wide variety of experiments and abp1-11, expressing the tagged wt ABP1, is phenotypically similar to wt. Owing to the immense difficulties associated with isolation of these mutants we isolated only one line per genotype so we cannot fully exclude positional effects of the new cDNAs on the respective phenotypes of the four mutants.

The C-terminus and thus its tag protrudes from the protein (Woo et al., 2002) and therefore can be expected to negatively interfere in abp1-11 with the interaction to essential partners, such as with the four recently identified receptor kinases (Dai et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014). This notion is supported by the finding that the C-terminus may be mobile and participates in its signalling function (Thiel et al., 1993). Effects originating from overexpression of the mutated ABP1 cDNA are less likely because the overexpression level reached only 1.5–1.7-fold in the mutants and 1.3-fold in abp1-11 as compared with the wt (Fig. 2A). Moreover, expression of 50% ABP1 in ABP1/abp1 (Fig. 2A) can cause a phenotype very similar to the one observed here in the point mutants (Effendi et al., 2011) so that overexpression is an unlikely cause for the mild phenotype in abp1-11.

A near-wild-type phenotype was recorded for abp1-11 in induction of lateral roots by IAA, phototropism, hypocotyl gravitropism, root gravitropism and lobe formation (Fig. 2). Auxin-induced elongation growth in the dark was dependent on the presence of wt ABP1 in abp1-11 but was not found in the other three mutants (Fig. 5M). Delay of marker gene expression was apparent but quantitatively lowest in abp1-11 as compared with abp1-8, abp1-9, or abp1-10 (Fig. 3). The permanently high expression of PIN2 and PIN3 in all lines (Fig. 3B) may relate to disturbances in tropisms (Petrášek and Friml, 2009) and the response to shade (Keuskamp et al., 2010). In abp1-8 this increased expression of PIN2 was not found and PIN3 expression was lowest as compared with the wt. This could provide an explanation for the similarity of auxin transport in abp1-8 and the wt (Fig. 2I). In response to various light conditions, abp1-11 had a light-related phenotype weaker than the other three mutants: in hypocotyl length in W light (Fig. 2B); leaf blade shape (Fig. 4A–D), flowering time (Fig. 4E–G), and hypocotyl elongation in response to high ratio R:FR+W (Fig. 5K) and constant B (Fig. 5F); and increase of the bilateral angle in low ratio R:FR (Fig. 6C) and high ratio R:FR (Fig. 6D) in the presence of 1 μM NPA.

Auxin phenotypes in abp1 mutants are linked to auxin transport

Most auxin actions are interwoven with changes in polar auxin transport (Petrášek and Friml, 2009). Here (Fig. 2) and in ABP1/abp1 (Effendi et al., 2011) we showed that basipetal auxin transport in root tips of abp1 mutants was delayed. Functions such as lateral root formation, tropisms (Petrášek and Friml, 2009), and emergence and growth of epidermal cell lobes (Xu et al., 2010) were all affected in abp1 mutants and are all dependent on polar auxin transport, supporting the suggestion that ABP1 function is linked to auxin transport-dependent functions.
ABP1 at the apoplastic side of the plasma membrane and the ER lumen cannot directly interact with TIR1 in the nucleus, yet ABP1 is necessary for efficient stimulus–response coupling between the two receptors within 10 min (Figs 3 and 8). Four transmembrane receptor kinases were recently found to bind to ABP1 and provide a mechanism for the long sought transmembrane signalling (Dai et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014). Auxin-induced expression of ABP1 is detected after 10 min (compare Fig. 8B and Effendi et al., 2011; Effendi and Scherer, 2011), but a secreted protein needs roughly 1 h to reach the plasma membrane (Scherer, 2011) so that TIR1 cannot regulate the presence or activity of ABP1 in less than 1 h (Fig. 9). Short-term effects of NPA inhibition showed down-regulation of PIN protein activity, which consequently would lead to an increased auxin concentration in the cytosol (Covanová et al., 2013) with the logical consequence of

![Fig. 8. Differential co-regulation of expression of auxin- and light-induced genes in phyB and Col wt seedlings. Seedlings were grown for 3 d in w light and then treated in (A) with additional FR in LR light or (B) treated with 10 µM IAA for the times indicated in the graphs. Black symbols: Col; white symbols: phyB. qPCR data were obtained from two biological replications with three technical replications for each gene target. Statistical analysis was as described (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001; Pfaffl et al., 2002). At t=0 min fold expression was set as 1 for each genotype. Values within one graph are significantly different when error bars or symbols do not overlap (P<0.05).](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/)
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The most significant result of this study was the compromised R light signalling in abp1 mutants, although this was indicated in a weaker fashion in abp1-5 and ABP1/abp1 (Effendi et al., 2013). In brief, abp1 mutants are compromised in a number of phyB functions (elongation in R, apical dominance, early flowering, inhibition of gravitropism, misregulation of shade marker genes in LR similar to a phyB mutant), and some phyA functions (broad leaves, elongation in FR, inhibition of gravitropism, misregulation of shade marker genes in HR similar to a phyA mutant).

Interaction between auxin and light in plant growth regulation has been intensively investigated, particularly in responses to shade light (Ruberti et al., 2012). Hypocotyl elongation of the abp1 mutants was partially insensitive to both continuous FR and R (Fig. 5). Insensitivity to B was also observed and could be a consequence of compromised phyA signalling (Fankhauser and Casal, 2004). ABP1 seems to have a dual role, repression of elongation in the light in conjunction with phytochromes, but supporting elongation in the dark (Fig. 5L, M), which offers an explanation for use of etiolated tissue in the classical auxin growth test. In line with the observations on gravitropism by others (Nagashima et al., 2008a/b), compromised phytochrome signalling is indicated by our observations on effects of R and FR light in conjunction with NPA on gravitropism (Fig. 6).

Our data indicate that ABP1 can crosstalk with phyB and phyA, even though these are located in the cytosol and nucleus. Our short-term marker gene expression experiments provide a basis to understand how to link the responses to their receptors (Figs 3, 7, 8, model in Fig. 9). The advantage of short-term kinetics is that negative or positive back-coupling responses can be minimized. In phenotypic assays the final outcome is the sum of many events over time. Thus, even though regulation of auxin-induced expression of auxin marker genes is executed by TIR1 (Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008), their delayed expression in abp1 mutants is observed after only 10 min (Fig. 3). Therefore, ABP1 for this response acts functionally upstream of TIR1 and exerts a strong influence.

That changes in the status of phyB have such early consequences for auxin signalling was unexpected, and seems to integrate phyB into auxin signalling (see also Reddy and Finlayson, 2014). Expression of marker genes under R or FR light control using phytochromes as sensors was altered in abp1 mutants after 1 h (Fig. 7). Therefore mutations in the ABP1 auxin receptor change the light-induced expression of shade marker genes. This argues either for a (i) parallel co-regulation of marker gene expression by auxin-dependent and light-dependent transcription factors or (ii) for a change of the phyB activity status induced by the mutated ABP1, or both (Fig. 9).

For the co-regulation of expression of elongation genes, phyB acts as a repressor activated by R (Steindler et al., 1999; Devlin et al., 2003; Tao et al., 2008; Kunihiro et al., 2011; Leivar et al., 2012). Potential mechanisms of one receptor to regulate the activity of the other are not clear. Because shade does not up-regulate ABP1 expression in the wt (Fig. 8A), the possibility of positive modulation of ABP1 activity by phyB can be excluded. Rather in the phyB mutant ABP1 transcription was slowly increased in shade light, not in the wt. This indicates that a long-term inhibition of ABP1 protein activity by phyB is a possibility (Fig. 9).

Could ABP1 regulate phyB activity? Signalling from ABP1 to phytochromes could start with ABP1 interaction with a transmembrane co-receptor that has the capacity to modulate the phyB phosphorylation status (Effendi et al., 2013; Medzihradszky et al., 2013; Nito et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014). This speculative mechanism could explain how an auxin transmembrane signal to a network of cytosolic proteins could be transmitted.

The physiology of hypocotyl elongation in our abp1 mutants is fully compatible with this model. Elongation in the dark is ABP1-modulated (Fig. 5M) and repressed by phyB in the light. IAA cannot overcome this light repression (Fig. 5L). Shade releases the repression (Fig. 5L–K) by inactivation of phyB. Partial R and FR insensitivity of elongation in abp1 mutants (Fig. 5A–H) is also consistent with this model in that ABP1 supports the action of phyB in light and repression of phyB action is weakened in an abp1 mutant.

Auxin transport and auxin biosynthesis were suggested to play a role in shade-induced elongation (Nagashima et al., 2008a/b; Tao et al., 2008), which is not mutually exclusive for the functions discussed above. The effects of NPA on elongation and on gravitropism in LR and HR (Fig. 6) are consistent with the concept of weakened phyB action. Regulation of auxin transport in shade was suggested to depend on PIN efflux facilitators (Keuskamp et al., 2010) and ABCB efflux transporters (Nagashima et al., 2008a/b), the latter being shown to be directly inhibited by NPA (Bailly et al., 2012).
Ordering early responses into a timeline as a first strategy (Figs 3, 7, 8) is a way to mechanistically explain the functional interaction of ABP1 and phytochromes (Fig. 9) and provides a fresh starting point to investigate auxin and R signalling and growth control.
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