Coupling symmetry of quantum dot states
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Transport measurements on two-dimensional (2D), 1D, and 0D (Ref. 1) systems have provided important insights into semiconductor-based nanophysics during past decades. In recent years noninvasive probing methods have complemented the researchers’ utilities. These methods are based on charge detection via capacitive coupling between adjacent nanostructures. A reliable way to probe quantum dots (QDs) is to use 1D quantum point contacts (QPCs) as charge detectors. This was successfully demonstrated on lateral single and double dots fabricated using electron-beam lithography2,3 or local anodic oxidation with atomic force microscopes.4–6 In pulsed measurements QPCs can also be used for spin readout7 or to probe excited dot states.8,9

In this paper we demonstrate that one can probe excited dot states in dc measurements. We study the tunneling rates $\Gamma_S$ and $\Gamma_D$ for electrons traversing the dot via source and drain leads. Indeed, these rates can be measured individually when only one barrier is used while the other one is kept far open without a QD. But these results cannot be transferred one-to-one to a regime with a QD as the wave-function overlap is changed when defining the dot. Thus this method gives only a rough estimate. Instead we use the QPC to investigate the rates in a very sensitive way keeping the dot in the Coulomb blockade regime. Configurations can be detected where both rates are equal. The positions of these configurations in the parameter space roughly follow a line. Detailed analysis reveals that the coupling symmetry depends on individual QD states and on the number of channels used for transport. With these insights, asymmetry can be introduced systematically, which is useful for the investigation of effects that arise from the interplay of dot and leads (e.g., Kondo effect, Fano effect, spin blocking mechanisms, or conductances of ground and excited states). Asymmetric barriers can, for example, lead to negative differential conductance.10

Our QD device is based on a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure with a two-dimensional electron system (2DES) 34 nm below the surface. The sheet density is $n=4.59 \times 10^{15}$ m$^{-2}$, the mobility is $\mu=64.3$ m$^2$/Vs. We use an atomic force microscope (AFM) to write oxide lines on the sample surface corresponding to bright lines in the AFM image of our device.8–11 These oxide lines deplete the 2DES below and thus create an electronic potential in the plane of the 2DES forming the coupled QD/QPC system.6 They are visible as bright lines in the AFM image of our device [inset of Fig. 1(a)]. QDs and QPCs are coupled individually to source and drain leads (S1 and D1 for the QD, S2 and D2 for the QPC). Two side gates G1 and G2 control the tunneling rates of the dot, a third gate G3 controls the transparency of the QPC.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) differential QD conductance $G$, QPC current $I_{QPC}$, and dot charge as a function of $V_{G1}$. $G$ shows Coulomb blockade peaks typical for single quantum dots. At each peak a step in $I_{QPC}$ is visible due to capacitive coupling. This still holds when the Coulomb peaks vanish. Inset: AFM image of the device. (b) Charge diagram for $G$. Bright means high differential conductance, dark means low. (c) Derivative $dI_{QPC}/dV_G$ of QPC current corresponding to (b). Dark means charging event, bright means constant charge. The color encoding is used throughout this work.

Transport measurements via a quantum point contact is used to map the dot dynamics in a regime where the current through the dot is too low for transport measurements. In this way we investigate and compare the tunneling rates from the dot to source and drain. We find a symmetry line on which the tunneling rates to both leads are equal. In this situation ground states as well as excited states influence the mean charge of the dot. A detailed study in this regime reveals that the coupling symmetry depends on the number of states contributing to transport and on the spatial distribution of individual states.
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Our device is characterized in a $^3$He/$^4$He dilution refrigerator at a base temperature of 40 mK. We measure the differential conductance $G$ through the QD and additionally the dc current $I_{QPC}$ through the QPC. Due to its close vicinity to the QD, the QPC is capacitively coupled to the charge on the dot. Thus it is extremely sensitive to changes of the dot charge. In Fig. 1(a) $G$ and $I_{QPC}$ are plotted as a function of the voltage applied to gate $G_1$. $G$ shows Coulomb peaks typical for single quantum dots in an opaque regime. Each peak corresponds to a charging event of the dot. Thus two adjacent minima reflect a charge difference of one electron. Correlated with $G$, the QPC current $I_{QPC}$ shows a sawtooth-like behavior. Each electron entering the dot changes the potential of the QPC, thus reducing the current. The charge on the dot is extracted by measuring the difference in gate voltage between the measured QPC current and the smooth curve of an ideal QPC which is influenced only by the gate voltages but not by charging events on the dot. The charge reveals a steplike behavior [see upper curve in Fig. 1(a)].

With decreasing gate voltage, the Coulomb peaks vanish due to reduced tunneling rates. But still the dot is able to adjust its charge and the QPC measurement shows the typical steps. The accessible parameter space is extended. This is also visible in the charge diagram in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Figure 1(b) shows $G$ as a function of gate voltages $V_{G_1}$ and $V_{G_2}$. Figure 1(c) shows the derivative of the corresponding QPC current. The measurement can be divided into three regions depending on the transparency of the dot barriers. Region I corresponds to the configuration where both barriers are sufficiently permeable for transport measurements. This transport region is bounded to the left and to the bottom marked with two dotted lines. Here the barriers lose their ability to give a measurable differential conductance (currents $>0.2$ pA). But still they allow for charging events visible in the QPC measurement in region II. This charging region is again bounded towards lower voltages marked by two lines parallel to those lines limiting region I. These new lines correspond to the configuration, where the barriers decouple the dot from the leads so that charging becomes impossible. Thus in region III no signal is observed, neither in QD nor in QPC transport.

In addition to the extension of the parameter space, we will show that the QPC can also be used to investigate the symmetry of the tunneling rates $\Gamma_S$ and $\Gamma_D$. For this purpose we performed nonlinear measurements. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show a measurement along line A in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), $G$ and the derivative of $I_{QPC}$ are plotted as a function of $V_{SD}$ and $V_{G_2}$ [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively]. The differential conductance shows the typical Coulomb diamonds including excited dot states. Lines with positive slope correspond to dot transitions due to resonances of QD states with source (with chemical potential $\mu_S$) while lines with negative slope correspond to resonances with drain (\(\mu_D\)). Although $G$ shows full diamonds, the QPC measurement reveals only the lines with negative slope. The lines with positive slope as well as lines corresponding to transitions involving excited states are not visible. Thus the charge on the dot is not altered by these transitions. Only when a ground state (with a chemical potential $\mu_S$) comes in resonance with drain (\(\mu_N=\mu_D\)) a charging event is detected.

We find the same configuration in the upper part of Fig. 2(c) which is taken along line B in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Again, only lines with negative slopes are visible. But in contrast to Fig. 2(b) the configuration changes in the lower part of Fig. 2(c). Here only lines with positive slopes are visible. Thus here resonances of ground states with source are detected ($\mu_N=\mu_S$). Only in the middle of the figure both slopes are detected. Ground-state transitions are visible as well as transitions with excited states.

This is explained taking into account the ratio of the barriers’ tunneling rates [see sketches in Fig. 2(c)]. In the upper part of Fig. 2(c) the barrier on the source side is more opaque than the one on the drain side ($\Gamma_S<\Gamma_D$, sketches 1 and 2). Here the dot charge is governed by the drain’s chemical potential $\mu_D$. On average an $N$-electron ground state with $\mu_N>\mu_D$ is empty no matter what the chemical potential of the source $\mu_S$ is and it is filled when $\mu_N<\mu_D$. If $\mu_N>\mu_D$ electrons entering the state (if any) can immediately leave the dot through the drain and no additional charge is placed on the dot on average (sketch 1). If $\mu_N<\mu_D$ electrons leaving the state (if any) are immediately replaced by electrons injected from the drain. On average the state is occupied with one electron (sketch 2). Thus the dot charge is altered only when $\mu_N=\mu_D$ which gives a line with negative slope in the QPC measurement. Excited states do not affect the dot’s
The detection of symmetric configurations is now realized with drain at lower voltages. This is visible in Fig. 3. The QPC current is recorded as a function of when a perpendicular magnetic field is applied. A charge appears at higher gate voltages than with drain. Overall this measurement reveals a complete line of states as well as excited states at resonance with source and drain. If the drain is more opaque ($\Gamma_D > \Gamma_D$) as in the lower part of Fig. 2(c) (sketches 5 and 6) the situation is the opposite. Now only lines with a positive slope are visible since only resonances with source ($\mu_N = \mu_D$) change the mean charge of the system. For symmetric barriers ($\Gamma_S = \Gamma_D$, sketches 3 and 4) both slopes appear. Transitions are detected for ground states as well as excited states at resonance with source and drain.

While Fig. 2(b) shows only an asymmetric configuration with $\Gamma_S < \Gamma_D$, the transition between both configurations is visible in Fig. 2(c). In the upper part of the figure, the lines with a negative slope reflect the configuration $\Gamma_S < \Gamma_D$. The lower part features $\Gamma_S > \Gamma_D$. In between we find the transition where both tunneling rates are equal ($\Gamma_S = \Gamma_D$).

These measurements demonstrate that one can find symmetric configurations by sweeping $V_{SD}$. This is still possible when a perpendicular magnetic field is applied. A charge diagram similar to Fig. 1 for $B = 3.7$ T is shown in Fig. 3. The QPC current is recorded as a function of $V_{G1}$ and $V_{G2}$. The detection of symmetric configurations is now realized with $V_{SD}$ set to 1 mV. When $V_{SD} > 0$, resonances with source appear at higher gate voltages than with $V_{SD} = 0$ and resonances with drain at lower voltages. This is visible in Fig. 3. The lines on the left appear at higher voltages (higher $V_{G1}$, see Fig. 3) than those on the right. In the middle, both lines are visible with additional lines in between. These additional lines correspond to excited states revealing a symmetric configuration while the lines on the left and right are those for ground-state transitions due to resonance with source or drain. Overall this measurement reveals a complete line of symmetric barriers (marked as dotted line) that connects region I with region III introduced in the context of Fig. 1. On the left we have $\Gamma_S > \Gamma_D$ and on the right $\Gamma_S < \Gamma_D$.

Now we will investigate the symmetric configuration in more detail. For this purpose we take a closer look at the region marked with a dashed rectangle in Fig. 3. The charge of the dot for this region gained with the same method as in Fig. 1(a) is shown in a 3D plot in Fig. 4(a). A few large steps that are equal in height are clearly visible. They correspond to the addition of electrons to the dot leading to electron numbers $N$, $N+1$, $N+2$ and so on. Furthermore, each step features several smaller steps that continuously lead from a smaller electron number to a larger one. Three of them at the transition $N-1$ to $N$ are marked with white lines. These features correspond to the occupation of ground and excited states visible due to the transition $\Gamma_S > \Gamma_D \rightarrow \Gamma_S < \Gamma_D$.

The charge along the marked lines and along the features at the next large step is plotted in Fig. 4(b) as a function of $V_I$ which is the voltage parallel to the Coulomb blockade peaks in a rotated coordinate system (see Fig. 3). The exact position of symmetric coupling ($\Gamma_S = \Gamma_D$) is given for half integer electron numbers at the intersections with the dashed lines in Fig. 4(b). From additional nonlinear measurements we know that all features between constant electron numbers appear due to resonances with drain. Therefore the lowest lines (squares) corresponding to the occupation of a ground state reflect the coupling characteristics of only this state, because no other state is used as a transport channel. Thus the configuration of equal tunneling rates is identical with the ground state placed symmetrically between both leads. The other lines (circles and triangles) reflect resonances of ex-
cited states which contribute to the transport only together with the ground state. Thus only the coupling characteristics of the total system can be detected, but not the symmetry of each individual state. This is confirmed by the observation that the symmetric configuration shifts to lower voltages with the inclusion of excited states as transport channels. If more than one channel is in the transport window electrons have several ways to enter the dot but still only one way to exit. Thus the mean occupation increases even if the barriers remain unchanged. Consequently, the symmetric configuration shifts to lower voltages. This effect is visible at all large steps.

However, there are differences between the transitions $N-1 \rightarrow N$ and $N \rightarrow N+1$. The positions of the lines for excited states are not exactly the same. The line for the first excited state at $N-1 \rightarrow N$ appears closer to the line for the corresponding ground state than at $N \rightarrow N+1$. This is not due to a larger energy difference between ground and excited state. The difference in $V_{\perp}$ which corresponds to the energy difference is even bigger for $N-1 \rightarrow N$ (as can be seen in Fig. 3). The difference in $V_{0}$ is rather influenced by the spatial distribution of ground and excited states. Thus for $N-1 \rightarrow N$ the excited state seems to be shifted to the source lead compared to $N \rightarrow N+1$. Thus the coupling symmetry is influenced not only by the barriers which are controlled by the gate voltages. It is also affected by the number of states contributing to transport and their spatial distribution.

In summary, we performed transport measurements on a coupled system containing a QD and a QPC. We used the QPC to detect charging events on the dot. In this way the accessible parameter space was extended. We used the charge detection to investigate the ratio of the tunneling rates to source and drain leads of the dot in dc measurements. We found a line of symmetry where both tunneling rates are equal. Along this line ground as well as excited states were detected. Their behavior concerning symmetric tunneling rates was studied in detail. The influence of the spatial distribution of ground and excited dot states was investigated and a dependence on the number of transport channels was found.
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12 With increasing differential conductance the QPC signal gets smoother. In addition, we observe a broadening and overlapping of two Coulomb peaks at $V_{G1} = -250$ mV leading to a smooth charging profile. This is ascribed to a local impurity in one barrier developing a single moleculelike state also visible in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c).