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INTRODUCTION

There are various techniques used to observe waves at sea. These techniques can be divided into two main techniques. The first one is in-situ technique in which instruments are deployed in the water such as wave buoys, wave poles, inverted echo-sounders, pressure transducers and current meters. The second is remote-sensing technique, in which instruments are deployed at some distance above the water surface such as imaging techniques and altimetry.

The pressure transducer, one of the in-situ techniques, is used in this study. Traditionally, a pressure transducer can measure wave-induced pressure fluctuations at various depths below the water surface. These fluctuations, in combination with the linear wave theory (Airy theory), can be used to estimate wave characteristics. There have been many studies on application of this technique in estimation of wave parameters [1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 9].

In this study, under water pressures upon a small vertical cylinder are measured. These pressure records, in combination with the first-order diffraction theory [6, 7 & 8], can be used to derive water surface wave heights.

Therefore, this research focuses on further study on estimation of wave heights from subsurface pressures upon a small vertical cylinder.

EXPERIMENT

A scale 1:12 physical model was constructed in the large wave flume (GWK) in Hannover, Germany to experiment waves attacking on a tripod structure as well as scour development around the structure. The tests were performed with a water depth of 2.5 meters at the location of the tripod structure. The wave attacks, under sea state condition of the significant wave height $H_s = 0.66 \text{ m}$ and the peak wave period $T_p = 5.52 \text{ s}$, were measured by using seven pressure sensors mounted on the surface of the structure (see Figure 1). The sampling rate of the experiment is 100 Hz.

The pressure transducer, one of the in-situ techniques, is used in this study. Traditionally, a pressure transducer can measure wave-induced pressure fluctuations at various depths below the water surface. These fluctuations, in combination with the linear wave theory (Airy theory), can be used to estimate wave characteristics. There have been many studies on application of this technique in estimation of wave parameters [1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 9].

In this study, under water pressures upon a small vertical cylinder are measured. These pressure records, in combination with the first-order diffraction theory [6, 7 & 8], can be used to derive water surface wave heights.

Therefore, this research focuses on further study on estimation of wave heights from subsurface pressures upon a small vertical cylinder.

THEORETICAL ASPECT

From the linear feature of potential flow, the total potential function, $\phi$, can be written as the sum of two potential functions: $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$. In which, $\phi_1$ is the potential function of the undisturbed incident wave and $\phi_2$ is the potential function of the scattered (reflected and diffracted) wave. In the case of small cylinder (i.e. $D/L < 0.2$, is the cylinder diameter and $L$ is the wave length), only the pressure fluctuations at various depths below the water surface. These fluctuations, in combination with the linear wave theory (Airy theory), can be used to estimate wave characteristics. There have been many studies on application of this technique in estimation of wave parameters [1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 9].

In this study, under water pressures upon a small vertical cylinder are measured. These pressure records, in combination with the first-order diffraction theory [6, 7 & 8], can be used to derive water surface wave heights.

Therefore, this research focuses on further study on estimation of wave heights from subsurface pressures upon a small vertical cylinder.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The empirical factors for all pressure records with respect to wave gauge WP13 and WP14 are presented in Figure 3. Eq. 4 is applied to estimate these empirical factors from the measured waves and their concurrent pressure data. Overview from two bottom plots of Figure 3 shows that the empirical factors of DMD 33 & 34 range from 0.9 to 1.1 in frequency range of 0.13 Hz to 0.4 Hz (0.75 $f_{pm}$ to 2.18 $f_{pm}$) and the others are much higher than 1.1.

The predicted and measured wave spectral densities are shown in the top left plot of Figure 3 and it shows that the predicted wave spectrum from DMD34 fits quite well to the measured wave spectrum of the wave gauge WP14 which measured wave heights synchronously next to the structure. Additionally, the ratio of the predicted significant wave height ($H'_s$) and the measured significant wave height ($H_s$) is presented for all tests in Figure 4.

The significant wave heights estimated from sensor DMD34 ($i = 180^\circ$) are the best agreement with the measured values of WP14 and have the ratio of $H'_s/H_s$ almost equals 1. The values estimated from DMD33 ($i = 150^\circ$) have also good agreement to the measured values ($H'_s/H_s = 0.97$ to 1.01). For the other sensors (at $i = 0^\circ$ to 120°) the estimated significant wave heights are much lower than the measured values in which the ratio $H'_s/H_s$ ranges from 0.85 to 0.95.
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