### Highlights

- Relative importance of (a)biotic controls of methane oxidation was determined.
- Edaphic properties seem to be more important in regulating methane oxidation.
- Initial composition determines the trajectory of methanotroph succession.
- Type II methanotrophs were favored during re-colonization.

1	Disentangling abiotic and biotic controls of aerobic methane							
2	oxidation during re-colonization.							
3								
4								
5	Thomas Kaupper <sup>1#</sup> , Janita Luehrs <sup>1#</sup> , Hyo Jung Lee <sup>2</sup> , Yongliang Mo <sup>3</sup> , Zhongjun Jia <sup>3</sup> , Marcus A.							
6	Horn <sup>1</sup> *, Adrian Ho <sup>1</sup> *.							
7								
8	<sup>1</sup> Institute for Microbiology, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Herrenhäuser Str. 2, 30419							
9	Hannover, Germany.							
10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Kunsan National University, Gunsan, Republic of Korea.							
11	<sup>3</sup> Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 71 East Beijing Road, Xuan-Wu							
12	District, Nanjing City, P.R. China.							
13								
14	<sup>#</sup> Equal contribution.							
15								
16	*Correspondence:							
17	Dr. Adrian Ho ( <u>Adrian.ho@ifmb.uni-hannover.de</u> ) Tel no.: +4951176214385; Marcus A. Horn							
18	( <u>horn@ifmb.uni-hannover.de</u> ) Tel no.: +4951176217980							
19								
20	Running title: (A)biotic controls of aerobic methane oxidation.							
21	Keywords: pmoA / Rice paddy / Upland soil / Methylocystis / Methylobacter / Rice paddy							
22	clusters.							
23								

24 Abstract

25

Aerobic methane oxidation is driven by both abiotic and biotic factors which are often 26 confounded in the soil environment. Using a laboratory-scale reciprocal inoculation 27 experiment with two native soils (paddy and upland agricultural soils) and the gamma-28 29 irradiated fraction of these soils, we aim to disentangle and determine the relative 30 contribution of abiotic (i.e., soil edaphic properties) and biotic (i.e., initial methanotrophic 31 community composition) controls of methane oxidation during re-colonization. Methane uptake was appreciably higher in incubations containing gamma-irradiated paddy than 32 upland soil after inoculation with both native soils despite of different initial methanotrophic 33 34 community composition, suggesting an overriding effect of the soil edaphic properties in 35 positively regulating methane oxidation. Community composition was similar in incubations with the same starting inoculum as determined by quantitative and qualitative pmoA gene 36 analyses. Thus, results suggested that the initial community composition affects the 37 trajectory of community succession to an extent, but not at the expense of the 38 methanotrophic activity under high methane availability; edaphic properties override initial 39 40 community composition in regulating methane oxidation.

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

49

Aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (methanotrophs) represent a specialized microbial guild 50 characterized by their ability to use methane as a carbon and energy source. Aerobic 51 52 methanotrophs belong to Verrucomicrobia and Proteobacteria, with members of the 53 proteobacterial methanotrophs falling within the classes Gammaproteobacteria (comprising 54 of subgroups type Ia and Ib) and Alphaproteobacteria (subgroup type II). While the 55 verrucomicrobial methanotrophs were discovered in low pH (< 5) and high temperature (> 50°C) geothermal environments, the proteobacterial methanotrophs are widespread, but 56 show habitat specificity (Op den Camp HJM et al., 2009; Knief et al., 2015). Methanotrophs 57 possess the enzyme methane monooxygenase that enables them to oxidize methane to 58 59 methanol, the initial step in methane oxidation (Semrau et al., 2010). Typically, the structural genes encoding for the soluble and particulate form of the methane monooxygenase 60 enzyme (*mmoX* and *pmoA*, respectively) are targeted to survey the methanotrophic diversity 61 in complex microbial communities (Wen et al., 2016). In wetland ecosystems, 62 63 methanotrophs inhabit oxic-anoxic interfaces with oxygen-methane counter-gradients (e.g., 64 soil-overlaying water, aquatic plant roots) where they act as a filter to consume methane produced in the deeper anoxic sediment layers (Reim et al., 2012). On the other hand, 65 66 methanotrophs in well-aerated upland soils serve as a methane sink, consuming atmospheric methane (Kolb et al., 2009; Shrestha et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2015a; 2019; 67 Pratscher et al., 2018). In both these roles, abiotic (e.g., substrate concentrations, 68 69 micronutrients, and other soil physico-chemical properties; Hütsch et al., 1994; Bodelier 70 2011; Veraart et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2013; 2018; Semrau et al., 2018) and biotic factors (e.g., 71 methanotrophic community composition/abundance and interaction-induced response in

community functioning; Ho et al., 2016a; Malghani et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2018; Reumer
et al., 2018; Schnyder et al., 2018; Veraart et al., 2018) are known to drive aerobic methane
oxidation. Collectively, we refer to the non-biological attributes inherent to the soil as abiotic
parameters, whereas biotic determinants are exemplified by the initial methanotrophic
community composition.

77

Soil manipulation (e.g., amendment) studies, and experimental design capitalizing on soil chronosequence with natural environmental gradients are typically used to relate changes in (a)biotic factors to community functioning (e.g., Rousk et al., 2010; Bissett et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2013; 2018; Palmer & Horn, 2015; Shiau et al., 2018). Often, the abiotic and biotic determinants are confounded, obscuring the contribution of either factors to the regulation of methane oxidation. Rarely are there factors explicitly tested independently and simultaneously.

85

Here, we aim to disentangle abiotic and biotic controls of methane oxidation by employing a 86 87 reciprocal inoculation experimental design using two native soils and the gamma-irradiated (25 kGy; <sup>60</sup>Co) fractions of these soils (Figure 1), which enabled us to relate the (a)biotic 88 determinants to methane oxidation. We anticipate that if (i) abiotic determinants exert a 89 90 stronger control than biotic determinants in regulating methane oxidation, the same abiotic environment (i.e., gamma-irradiated soil) will consistently support high methane uptake 91 92 regardless of the initial community composition, (ii) biotic determinants exert a stronger 93 control than abiotic determinants, the same native soil harboring the initial methanotrophic 94 community will consistently exhibit high methane uptake regardless of the edaphic 95 properties, and (iii) there is no consistent effect, less predictive and/or stochastic factors

96 (e.g., priority effect, site history) may have an overriding impact on the contemporary methanotrophic activity. To address our suppositions, we incubated oxic soil microcosms 97 containing native and gamma-irradiated native soils in all combinations (Figures 1) for 35 98 days, and followed the potential methane oxidation rate over time (Figure 2). Two soils 99 100 (wetland paddy and upland agricultural soils) with distinct physico-chemical properties and 101 different methanotrophic communities with proven methane uptake capacity under high 102 methane availability (> 2 %<sub>v/v</sub>) were used (Table 1; Ho et al., 2013; 2015a). Soil processing, 103 and soil microcosm setup and sampling are detailed in the Supplementary Materials.

104

The temporal succession of the methanotrophic community composition was monitored 105 106 during the incubation using group-specific qPCR assays (i.e., MBAC, MCOC, and TYPEII assays 107 targeting the methanotroph subgroups type Ia, Ib, and II, respectively; Kolb et al., 2003; Ho et al., 2016b; Supplementary Materials) and Illumina MiSeq sequencing of pmoA gene 108 amplicons. The pmoA gene, instead of the mmoX gene, was targeted because the mmoX 109 gene transcript or the methanotrophs harbouring only the *mmoX* gene were not detected or 110 111 below the detection limit in these soils (Reim et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2015a). While the group-112 specific qPCR assays were performed to be used as proxies for methanotroph abundances, 113 high throughput sequencing of the pmoA gene was performed to follow compositional 114 changes in the methanotrophic community. The pmoA gene sequences were analysed as 115 described before (Reumer et al., 2018; Supplementary Materials), and were deposited at the 116 NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the accession number SRR9924748 (NCBI BioProject 117 PRJNA559227).

118

2.0 Abiotic parameters exert a stronger effect on aerobic methane oxidation than the initial
methanotrophic community composition.

121

The potential for methane oxidation (total methane consumed) was consistently significantly 122 higher in the microcosms containing the gamma-irradiated paddy soil (PP, 190  $\pm$  15  $\mu$ moles g 123 dw<sup>-1</sup>; UP, 231  $\pm$  42 µmoles g dw<sup>-1</sup>) than those containing the gamma-irradiated upland soil 124 (PU, 66  $\pm$  26  $\mu$ moles g dw<sup>-1</sup>; and UU, 64  $\pm$  17  $\mu$ moles g dw<sup>-1</sup>), regardless of the initial 125 community composition (Figure 2). This suggests that the abiotic rather than the biotic 126 determinants, more strongly regulated methane oxidation, which is in line with our first 127 supposition. Higher methanotrophic activity in microcosms containing gamma-irradiated 128 129 paddy than upland soil coincided with the higher initial ammonium concentration (paddy soil,  $3.4 - 4.2 \mu$ moles g dw soil<sup>-1</sup>; upland soil,  $2.0 - 2.7 \mu$ moles g dw soil<sup>-1</sup>; Figure S2). Also, 130 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations in the inoculum was on average 8-10 folds higher in the paddy 131 than upland soil, whereas  $PO_4^{3-}$  was comparable in both soils (Table 1). Higher inorganic N 132 133 concentrations likely alleviated N limitation when coupled to high methane availability in the 134 gamma-irradiated paddy soil, stimulating methanotrophic growth and methane uptake (< 12 135 days) when compared to the incubations containing the gamma-irradiated upland soil. However, we cannot exclude the availability of other N compounds (e.g., organic N) given 136 137 the high total N in these soils (Table 1). Besides macronutrients, methanotrophic activity can be restricted by micronutrients (e.g., lanthanides, copper; Knapp et al., 2007; Semrau et al., 138 139 2010; 2018). Hence, we cannot completely exclude that differences in the micronutrient and 140 trace element contents in the paddy and upland soils may have also affected methane 141 oxidation rates. pH, and water content that may restrict methane and oxygen diffusion into the soil were similar during the incubation of both soils (Table 1; Supplementary Materials); 142

143 therefore, difference in methane uptake between the soils were likely caused by factors other than these (Hiltbrunner et al., 2012; Shrestha et al., 2012). However, the water 144 potential which may affect water availability for microbial activity, potentially contributing to 145 shifts in the community composition, could be different in both soils (Harris, 1981). 146 147 Admittedly, not all abiotic factors potentially contributing to the difference in methane 148 uptake in both soils were determined. Nevertheless, it became evident that the soil abiotic 149 parameters more strongly affected the methanotrophic activity, resulting in significantly 150 higher methane uptake rates during the early stage (< 35 days) of recolonization under high 151 methane availability.

152

153 Microorganisms compete for nutrients as well as space, and occupy specific niches in the soil 154 according to their physiological requirements. These are examples for mechanisms shaping 155 the microbial activity and community composition (Little et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2014). Here, the gamma-irradiated soils provide open niches, allowing rapid recolonization by the 156 inoculum-borne methanotrophs under high methane availability. The microcosms containing 157 158 native soils and their gamma-irradiated fractions (PP and UU) thus represent the optimum 159 native/gamma-irradiated soil combinations because the inoculum consists of methanotrophs with established niche specialization (e.g., as a result of shared site history) 160 161 for the specific soil. Interestingly, although PP exhibited significantly higher methane uptake than PU as anticipated, methane uptake in UU was significantly lower than UP, indicating 162 163 that conditions in the upland soil were not optimal and constrained methanotrophic activity; 164 the paddy soil likely possessed more suitable conditions (e.g., high inorganic N), favoring the 165 survival of methanotrophs. This suggests that the methanotrophic community composition,

although indigenous to the upland soil, plays a relatively less important role in determiningcontemporary methane uptake rates than abiotic controls (Ho et al., 2016c).

168

3.0 Methanotroph population dynamics; the emergence of the alphaproteobacterial
methanotrophs during recolonization.

171

The total methanotroph abundance was at or below the detection limit of the qPCR assays 172  $(1.8 - 8.5 \times 10^5 \text{ copy no. of target molecules g dw soil^{-1}})$  at the beginning of the incubations 173 and appreciably increased in all microcosms by approximately three to four orders of 174 magnitude during incubation (Figure 3), consistent with previous recolonization studies (Ho 175 176 et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2014). In particular, the significant increase (p<0.01) in all 177 methanotroph sub-groups (days 12-35; type Ia, four-fold; type Ib, seven-fold; type II, threefold) corroborated the higher total methane uptake in the UP incubations (Figures 2 and 3). 178 On the other hand, PP microcosms which exhibited similar total methane uptake to UP, 179 180 showed a significant increase (p<0.01) in type II methanotroph abundance during the same time (Figure 3). Likewise, the abundance of type II methanotrophs significantly increased 181 182 (p<0.01) in the other incubations (from days 12-35 and days 12-19 in PU and UU, respectively). Before being succeeded by the type II methanotrophs, type Ib methanotrophs 183 184 formed the majority in the paddy soil-inoculated microcosms (< 19 days; PP and PU), in agreement with their general predominance in rice paddy environments (Lüke et al., 2013). 185 186 However, the high abundance of type Ib methanotrophs in PU is no consistent with the 187 relatively low methane uptake detected in this microcosm. This may be attributable to the relatively more oligotrophic condition (e.g., lower inorganic N, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) or faster 188 transition to oligotrophic condition in the gamma-irradiated upland soil than in the gamma-189

190 irradiated paddy soil incubations, resulting in lower cell-specific activity (Ho et al., 2011) not 191 only in PU, but also in the UU microcosms. Although the methanotroph sub-groups were 192 differentially affected during recolonization, the trajectory of the methanotroph succession was consistent across all microcosms, with the type II methanotrophs increasing in 193 abundance and being generally more responsive than the type I during recolonization (> 12 194 195 days; Figure 3). This may reflect on the ecological characteristics of the community members 196 in the native soil (see discussion below; Ho et al., 2017). Nevertheless, irrespective of the 197 community members, it is likely that reduced competition coupled to high methane availability spurred recolonization. 198

199

The pmoA gene sequences, visualized as a principal component analysis (PCA; Figure 4) 200 201 revealed a divergent community composition in the PP/PU and UP/UU incubations which could be largely separated along PC axis 2 (Figure 4). Over 90 % of the variation in the 202 methanotrophic community composition could be explained by PC1 and PC2 (62.24% and 203 204 30.71% of the total variance, respectively). The predominant methanotrophs were represented by members of type Ia (Methylobacter), type Ib (Rice Paddy Clusters, RPCs), and 205 206 type II (Methylocystis). The RPCs are putative methanotrophs closely related to 207 Methylocaldum (Lüke et al., 2013; Shiau et al., 2019). Hence, the MiSeq sequencing enabled 208 the identification of key methanotrophs within each sub-group. The PCA revealed a RPCs-209 dominated population in microcosms inoculated with native paddy soils (PP and PU), and 210 Methylobacter dominated the community inoculated with native upland soils (UP and UU), 211 with the UU incubation having a broader inventory of dominant methanotrophs comprising 212 of Methylocystis, besides Methylobacter, reflecting on the dynamic shifts in the community 213 composition. Hence, comparing the qPCR and pmoA gene sequencing analyses (Figures 3 and 4), the general trend in community dominance and succession was consistent in bothanalyses.

216

When the same community was inoculated in different gamma-irradiated soils, similar 217 218 predominant communities developed, indicating that the initial community composition 219 plays a role in shaping the dynamics of the methanotrophic population, but not to an extent 220 that profoundly affects methane uptake. In particular, gammaproteobacterial 221 methanotrophs affiliated to Methylobacter and RPCs were predominant in the microcosms inoculated with the upland and paddy soil, respectively, while type II methanotrophs related 222 to Methylocystis increased in abundance, more pronounced in the UU incubation (Figures 4 223 224 and S4). Previously, the potentially active community members when incubated near in-situ methane concentrations in the paddy (~1% $_{v/v}$ ) and upland (30-40 ppm $_v$ ) soils were 225 predominantly comprised of type I and type II methanotrophs, respectively (Ho et al., 2013; 226 2019). Here, type I methanotrophs were initially dominant in the microcosms inoculated 227 228 with both soils. Considering that the gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs, particularly 229 members of type Ia (e.g., Methylobacter, Methylosarcina, Methylomicrobium, 230 Methylomonas), are thought to be more competitive under high or excess methane 231 availability, these methanotrophs may have been favored during incubation under high 232  $(10\%_{v/v})$  methane concentrations (Krause et al., 2012; Reim et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2017 and references therein). However, consistent in all incubations, type II methanotrophs 233 234 presumably comprised of *Methylocystis* significantly increased over time, and even 235 dominated the population after 19 days, despite of the prevalence of type I methanotrophs 236 (Figures 3 and 4). The emergence of *Methylocystis* during recolonization is not entirely 237 unexpected. Another alphaproteobacterial methanotroph (*Methylosinus*) showed

colonization potential in a soil and sediment, increasing in numerical abundance over time (<</li>
3.5 months incubation; Ho et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2014). Likewise, in a synthetic community
comprising of aerobic methanotrophs, only alphaproteobacterial ones (*Methylosinus* or *Methylocystis*) became dominant over time (Schnyder et al., 2018). The successional
trajectory indicates that type II methanotrophs may become important for community
functioning during late succession when conditions turned oligotrophic (e.g., after nutrient,
including ammonium depletion; Ho et al., 2017).

245

Overall, results support our first supposition, indicating that methane oxidation is primarily 246 governed by the soil physico-chemical properties, provided methane is available. The initial 247 248 community composition influences the population dynamics of the methanotrophs without 249 having pronounced effects on methane oxidation. Considering accumulating evidence indicating the relevance of biotic determinants in modulating methane oxidation (e.g., Ho et 250 al., 2016a; Chang et al., 2018; Veraart et al., 2018), we further suggest that while soil edaphic 251 properties modulate the methanotrophic activity at the pioneering stages of recolonization, 252 253 biotic determinants (e.g., methanotrophic community structure, and interaction) may become relevant in established communities. 254

255

#### 256 Acknowledgements

257

We are grateful to Natalie Röder for excellent technical assistance. Daria Frohloff and Stefanie Hetz are acknowledged for their help with the ammonium assay. Funding was provided by the German Research Association (DFG grant HO4020/3-1) and the Leibniz Universität Hannover (Hannover, Germany).

262	
263	All authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript.
264	
265	Declarations of interest: none.
266	
267	References
268	
269	Bissett, A., Abell, G.C.J., Bodrossy, L., Richardson, A.E., Thrall, P.H., 2012. Methanotrophic
270	communities in Australian woodlan soils of varying salinity. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 80,
271	685-695.
272	
273	Bodelier, P.L.E., 2011. Interactions between nitrogenous fertilizers and methane cycling in
274	wetland and upland soils. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 3, 379-388.
275	
276	Chang, J., Gu, W., Park, D., Semrau, J.D., DiSpirito, A.A., Yoon, S., 2018. Methanobactin from
277	Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b inhibits $N_2O$ reduction in denitrifiers. ISME J 12, 2086-
278	2089.
279	
280	Harris, R., 1981. Effect of water potential on microbial growth and activity. In: Parr, J.,
281	Gardner, W., Elliot, L. (Eds.), Water Potential Relations in Soil Microbiology. Soil Science
282	Society of America, Madison, 23-95.

Hiltbrunner, D., Zimmermann, S., Karbin, S., Hagedorn, F., Niklaus, P.A., 2012. Increasing soil
methane sink along a 120-year afforestation chronosequence is driven by soil moisture.
Global Change Biology Bioenergy 18, 3664-3571.

287

Ho, A., Lee, H.Y., Reumer, M., Meima-Franke, M., Raaijmakers, C., Zweers, H., de Boer, W.,
van der Putten, W.H., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2019. Unexpected role of canonical aerobic
methanotrops in upland agricultural soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 131, 1-8.

291

Ho, A., Mo, Y., Lee, H.J., Sauheitl, L., Jia, Z., Horn, M.A., 2018. Effects of salt stress on aerobic
methane oxidation and associated methanotrophs; a microcosm study of a natural
community from a non-saline environment. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 125, 210-214.

295

Ho, A., Di Lonardo, D.P., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2017. Revisiting life strategy concepts in
environmental microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93, fix006.

298

Ho, A., Angel, A., Veraart, A.J., Daebeler, A., Jia, Z., Kim, S.Y., Kerckhof, F-M., Boon, N.,
Bodelier, P.L.E., 2016a. Biotic interactions in microbial communities as modulators of
biogeochemical processes: methanotrophy as a model system. Frontiers in Microbiology 7,
1285.

303

Ho, A., van den Brink, E., Reim, A., Krause, S.M.B., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2016b. Recurrence and
frequency of disturbance have cumulative effect on methanotrophic activity, abundance,
and community structure. Frontiers in Microbiology 6, 1493.

307

Ho, A., Lüke, C., Reim, A., Frenzel, P., 2016c. Resilience of (seed bank) aerobic
methanotrophs and methanotrophic activity to desiccation and heat stress. Soil Biology &
Biochemistry 101, 130-138.

311

Ho, A., Reim, A., Kim, S.Y., Meima-Franke, M., Termorshuizen, A., de Boer, W., van der Putten, W.H., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2015a. Unexpected stimulation of soil methane uptake as emergent property of agricultural soils following bio-based residue application. Global Change Biology 21, 3864-3879.

Ho, A., El-Hawwary, A., Kim, S.Y., Meima-Franke, M., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2015b. Manureassociated stimulation of soil-borne methanogenic activity in agricultural soils. Biology and Fertility of Soils 51, 511-516.

319

Ho, A., Lüke, C., Reim, A., Frenzel, P., 2013. Selective stimulation in a natural community of
methane oxidizing bacteria: effects of copper on *pmoA* transcription and activity. Soil Biology
& Biochemistry 65, 211-216.

323

Ho, A., Lüke, C., Frenzel, P., 2011. Recovery of methanotrophs from disturbance: population
dynamics, evenness and functioning. ISME J 5, 750-758.

326

Hütsch, B.W., Webster, C.P., Powlson, D.S., 1994. Methane oxidation in soil as affected by
land use, soil pH and N fertilization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 26, 1613-1622.

329

Knapp, C.W., Fowle, D.A., Kulczycki, E., Roberts, J.A., Graham, D.W., 2007. Methane
 monooxygenase gene expression mediated by methanobactin in the presence of mineral

copper sources. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 104, 12040-12045.

334

Knief, C., 2015. Diversity and habitat preferences of cultivated and uncultivated aerobic
methanotrophic bacteria evaluated based on *pmoA* as molecular marker. Frontiers in
Microbiology 6, 1346.

338

Kolb, S., 2009. The quest for atmospheric methane oxidizers in forest soils. Environmental
Microbiology Reports 1, 336-346.

341

Kolb, S., Knief, C., Stubner, S., Conrad, R., 2003. Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in
soil by novel *pmoA*-targeted real-time PCR assays. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
69, 2423-2429.

345

Krause, S.M.B., Lüke, C., Frenzel, P., 2012. Methane source strength and energy flow shape
methanotrophic communities in oxygen-methane counter-gradients. Environmental
Microbiology Reports 4, 203-208.

349

Little, A.E.F., Robinson, C.J., Peterson, S.B., Raffa, K.F., Handelsman, J., 2008. Rules of engagement: interspecies interactions that regulate microbial communities. Annual Review of Microbiology 62, 375-401.

353

354	Lüke, C., Frenzel, P., Ho, A., Fiantis, D., Schad, P., Schneider, B., Schwark, L., Utami, S.R.,
355	2013. Macroecology of methane-oxidizing bacteria: the $\beta$ -diversity of <i>pmoA</i> genotypes in
356	tropical and subtropical rice paddies. Environmental Microbiology 16, 72-83.

357

Malghani, S., Reim, A., von Fischer, J., Conrad, R., Kuebler, K., Trumbore, S.E., 2016. Soil methanotroph abundance and community composition are not influenced by substrate availability in laboratory incubations. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 101, 184-194.

361

Op den Camp, H.J.M., Islam, T., Stott, M.B., Harhangi, H.R., Hynes, A., Schouten, S., Jetten,
M.S.M., Birkeland, N-K., Pol, A., Dunfield, P.F., 2009. Environmental, genomic and taxonomic
perspectives on methanotrophic *Verrucomicrobia*. Environmental Microbiology Reports 1,
293-306.

366

Palmer, K., Horn, M.A., 2015. Denitrification activity of a remarkably diverse fen denitrifier
community in Finnish Lapland is N-oxide limited. PLoS ONE 10, e0123123.

369

Pan, Y., Abell, G.C.J., Bodelier, P.L.E., Meima-Franke, M., Sessitsch, A., Bodrossy, L., 2014.
Remarkable recovery and colonization behavior of methane oxidizing bacteria in soil after
disturbance is controlled by methane source only. Microbial Ecology 68, 259-270.

373

Pratscher, J., Vollmers, J., Wiegand, S., Dumont, M.G., Kaster, A-K., 2018. Unravelling the
identity, metabolic potential and global biogeography of the atmospheric methane-oxidizing
upland soil cluster α. Environmental Microbiology 20, 1016-1029.

R Core Team, 2014. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.

380

Reim, A., Lüke, C., Krause, S., Pratscher, J., Frenzel, P., 2012. One millimeter makes the difference: high-resolution analysis of methane-oxidizing bacteria and their specific activity at the oxic-anoxic interface in a flooded paddy soil. ISME J 6, 2128-2139.

384

Reumer, M., Harnisz, M., Lee, H.J., Reim, A., Grunert, O., Putkinen, A., Fritze, H., Bodelier,
P.L.E., Ho, A., 2018. Impact of peat mining and restoration on methane turnover potential
and methane-cycling microorganisms in a northern bog. Applied and Environmental
Microbiology 84, e02218-17.

389

Rousk, J., Bääth, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J.G., Knight, R.,
Fierer, N., 2010. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil.
ISME J 4, 1340-1351.

393

Schnyder, E., Bodelier, P.L.E., Hartmann, M., Henneberger, R., Niklaus, P.A., 2018. Positive
diversity-functioning relationships in model communities of methanotrophic bacteria.
Ecology 99, 714-723.

397

Semrau, J.D., DiSpirito, A.A., Gu, W., Yoon, S., 2018. Metals and methanotrophy. Applied and
Environmental Microbiology 84, e02289-17.

400

Semrau, J.D., DiSpirito, A.A., Yoon, S., 2010. Methanotrophs and copper. FEMS Microbiology
Reviews 34, 496-531.

403

Shiau, Y-J., Cai, Y., Lin, Y-T., Jia, Z., Chiu, C-Y., 2018. Community structure of active aerobic
methanotrophs in red mangrove (*Kandelia obovate*) soils under different frequency of times.
Microbial Ecology 75, 761-770.

407

Shiau, Y-J., Cai, Y., Jia, Z., Chen, C-L., Chiu, C-Y., 2019. Phylogenetically distinct
methanotrophs modulate methane oxidation in rice paddies across Taiwan. Soil Biology &
Biochemistry 124, 59-69.

411

Shrestha, P.M., Kammann, C., Lenhart, K., Dam, B., Liesack, W., 2012. Linking activity,
composition and seasonal dynamics of atmospheric methane oxidizers in a meadow soil.
ISME J 6, 1115-1126.

415

Veraart, A.J., Garbeva, P., van Beersum, F., Ho, A., Hordijk, C.A., Meima-Franke, M., Zweers,
A.J., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2018. Living apart together – bacterial volatiles influence
methanotrophic growth and activity. ISME J 12, 1163-1166.

419

Veraart, A.J., Steenbergh, A.K., Ho, A., Kim, S.Y., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2015. Beyond nitrogen: the
importance of phosphorus for CH₄ oxidation in soils and sediments. Geoderma 259-260, 337346.

423

Wen, X., Yang, S., Liebenr, S., 2016. Evaluation and update of cutoff values for
methanotrophic pmoA gene sequences. Archives of Microbiology 198, 629-636.

**Table 1:** Selected physico-chemical parameters of the wetland and upland agricultural soils.

	Soil (Coordinates)	Texture	рН* (	Total C (μmoles C g dw soil <sup>-1</sup> )	Total N (µmoles N g dw soil <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic matter content (LOI %)	Total nutrient contents (μmoles g dw soil <sup>-1</sup> )			Vegetation (during	Reference
							$NH_4^+$	NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>#</sup>	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	sampling)	
	Paddy soil (45° 20´ N, 8° 25´ E)	Calcareous clay	5.4	1158.3	92.9	4.0	1.0	0.3	6.3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	Rice (fallow)	Ho et al., 2015b
	Upland soil (51° 32 <sup>°</sup> N, 05° 50′ E)	Gley podzol (sandy loam)	5.4	1850.0	92.9	4.7	0.1	3.7x10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.5x10 <sup>-3</sup>	Potato (fallow)	Ho et al., 2015a
C											
1	Abbreviation: LOI, loss on ignition.										
2	*pH determined in 1 M KCl (1:5, vol:vol).										
3	<sup>#</sup> Total		(	of		NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>		a	nd		NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> .

434 **Figure captions** 

435

Figure 1: Experimental setup showing reciprocal inoculation of native soils in gamma-436 irradiated fractions of the soils. Three microcosms per time and amendment were 437 established. The microcosms consisted of paddy soil + gamma-irradiated paddy soil 438 439 (designated as 'PP'), paddy soil + gamma-irradiated upland soil ('PU'), upland soil + gammairradiated paddy soil ('UP'), and upland soil + gamma-irradiated upland soil ('UU'). To 440 confirm the sterility of the soil after gamma-irradiation, the soil (9.5 g) was saturated with 441 autoclaved deionized water (0.45 ml g dw soil<sup>-1</sup>) in a 120 ml bottle with an adjusted 442 headspace methane concentration of 1  $%_{v/v}$ . The soil was considered free of viable 443 444 methanotrophs when headspace methane remained unchanged over three weeks (Figure S1). 445

446

Figure 2: Methane uptake rate (A) and total methane consumed (B) during re-colonization 447 448 (mean  $\pm$  s.d.; *n*=3). Each soil microcosm consisted of 9.5 g gamma-irradiated soil and 0.5 g 449 native soil in a Petri dish. The soil was saturated with autoclaved deionized water (0.45 ml g dw soil  $^{\text{-1}}$  ) and homogenized before being incubated in a gas tight jar under 10  $\%_{\nu/\nu}$  methane 450 451 in air in the dark at 27°C. Headspace air in the jar was replenish every 2-3 days to ensure that 452 methane was not limiting. At designated intervals (days 8, 12, 19, 26, and 35), individual microcosm was removed from the jar, and placed in a flux chamber to determine the 453 454 methane uptake rate, measured over 5-6 hours (minimum of three time points) by linear 455 regression (A), as described before (Ho et al., 2011). Negligible or no methane uptake was 456 detected < 8 days in the UP and UU incubation hence, the first sampling was performed at day 12, allowing direct comparisons between treatments per time. Additionally, total 457

458 methane consumed for each treatment during the incubation (over 35 days) was 459 determined by integrating the area below the curve of methane uptake rates (B). Headspace 460 methane was measured using gas chromatography (GC) coupled to a thermal conductivity 461 and pulsed discharge helium ionization detector (7890B, Agilent Technologies, JAS GC 462 systems, Moers, Germany). In (B), letters indicate the level of significance (ANOVA; p < 0.01) 463 in the total methane consumed between treatments.

464

465 Figure 3: Response of the pmoA gene abundance of type Ia (A), type Ib (B), and type II (C) methanotrophs during re-colonization. The qPCR was performed in duplicate for each DNA 466 extract (n=3), giving a total of six replicates per time, treatment, and assay. The lower case 467 letters indicate the level of significance (ANOVA; p < 0.01) between treatments per time. The 468 469 upper case letters indicate the level of significance (ANOVA; p < 0.01) between sampling days per treatment. Values at the start of the incubation were at or below the detection 470 limits of the qPCR assays used. The lower detection limit of the qPCR assays is indicated by 471 the dashed line  $(1.8 - 8.5 \times 10^5 \text{ copy no. of target molecules g dw soil^{-1}})$ . 472

473

474 Figure 4: Principal component analysis (PCA) showing the response of the methanotrophic 475 community composition during recolonization. The composition of the methanotrophic 476 community was derived from sequencing of the pmoA gene performed for each DNA extract (n=3) per time and treatment. The PCA was performed in the R statistics software 477 environment (R core Team, 2014) using the function 'prcomp'. Visualization of the PCA was 478 479 performed using the 'ggfortify' package. Rarefaction curves generated for each sample 480 showed a good coverage of the pmoA gene diversity (Figure S3). The affiliation and distribution of the pmoA gene sequences are given in Figure S4. The vectors indicate 481

- 482 predominant methanotrophic genera/group. Abbreviations: RPC, rice paddy cluster (type Ib-
- 483 related methanotroph).

# Gamma-irradiated paddy soil







# Paddy soil





# Upland soil







Figure 4 Click here to download high resolution image

