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#### Abstract

Rising infection rates with multidrug-resistant pathogens calls for antibiotics with novel modes of action. Herein, we identify the inner membrane protein TonB, a motor of active uptake in Gram-negative bacteria, as a novel target in antimicrobial therapy. The interaction of the TonB box of outer membrane transporters with TonB is crucial for the internalization of essential metabolites. We designed TonB box peptides and coupled them with synthetic siderophores in order to facilitate their uptake into bacteria in up to 32 synthetic steps. Three conjugates repressed the growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa cells unable to produce their own siderophores, with minimal inhibitory concentrations between 0.1 and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The transporters mediating uptake of these compounds were identified as PfeA and PirA. The study illustrates 


## - INTRODUCTION

Due to antibiotic overuse and a shallow antibiotic pipeline, nosocomial infections with multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gramnegative bacteria, e.g., Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Escherichia coli, have become increasingly difficult to treat. ${ }^{1-3}$ Moreover, newly approved antimicrobials are largely derivatives of existing classes, while novel modes of action are scarce. ${ }^{4,5}$ "Critical" pathogens on the WHO's priority list are all Gram-negative bacteria, mainly because they effectively prevent the accumulation of antibiotics using their double-layered cell membranes, which operate as tight biological barriers. ${ }^{6}$ The "Trojan horse" strategy outsmarts this hurdle by hijacking prokaryotic nutrient transport systems to increase the penetration of a variety of payloads (e.g., dyes, radioactive labels, and antibiotics) to image and treat infections. ${ }^{7,8}$ A key nutrient of prokaryotes is iron, which fulfills numerous enzymatic and metabolic functions, enabling bacterial growth and pathogenicity. Bacteria evade iron limitation in the host organism through the import of heme and ferric iron. In the latter case, this implies the synthesis and secretion of small organic iron chelators, so-called siderophores (Greek for "iron carriers"). ${ }^{9}$ After iron sequestration from host proteins, the ferric siderophore complexes are recaptured via specific outer-membrane transporters called TonB-dependent
transporters (TBDTs, Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$ Ferric siderophores constitute cargo for TBDTs, but other nutrients such as heme, carbohydrates, nickel complexes, and vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ are also transported by TBDTs. ${ }^{11}$ Interestingly, TBDTs have also been parasitized by bacteriophages and colicins to board the bacterial cell. ${ }^{12}$ These transporters are unique to prokaryotes and present an unparalleled gateway to shuttle antibiotics inside bacterial pathogens. ${ }^{13}$ Natural iron-containing growth factors (sideramines) and their antibiotic iron-containing counterparts, the sideromycins, were first described by Prelog, Zähner, and coauthors in 1960, with many subsequent studies. ${ }^{14,15}$ Braun conducted research on the TonB-dependent internalization and intracellular activation of, e.g., the natural sideromycin albomycin and the TonB-dependent transport of colicins and microcins in E. coli and Salmonella. ${ }^{16-19}$ Later, the Miller group
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Figure 1. Iron delivery by enterobactin (ENT) and envisioned suicide TonB siderophore strategy. (Left) Iron chelators such as heme, enterobactin (ENT), and pyoverdine (PYO) are recognized by their corresponding TonB-dependent transporters (TBDTs) HasR, PfeA, and FpvA in P. aeruginosa. In the case of ferri-enterobactin, after internalization, the esterase PfeE hydrolyzes the siderophore to release the iron. A fraction of ferri-enterobactin interacts with the two-component system PfeS/PfeR to regulate the transcription of pfeA and pfeE. (Right) Schematic depiction of the competitive inhibition of the TonB-TonB box interaction by peptide siderophore conjugates. Synthetic siderophores DOTAM and MECAM are coupled to TonB box peptides originating from the $\mathrm{FpvA}, \mathrm{PfeA}$, or HasR transporter amino acid sequence. The bacteria-specific vectors are transported into the periplasm and interfere with TonB function, thereby inhibiting the uptake of additional iron or heme and consequently repressing bacterial growth.
expanded on this work and prepared many synthetic covalent or cleavable conjugates linked to a variety of antibiotic payloads (e.g., $\beta$-lactams, daptomycin, or ciprofloxacin). ${ }^{20-24}$ The clinical applicability of this concept was demonstrated by the recently approved siderophore-cephalosporin antibiotic cefiderocol (Fetroja). ${ }^{25}$ The ability to transport even large cargo into bacteria is best illustrated by microcin MccE492, a natural product that consists of an 84 amino acid peptide chain attached via a sugar linkage to a triscatecholate chelator originating from enterobactin. ${ }^{26}$ MccE492 exerts its antimicrobial effect at the cytoplasmic membrane after import. The application of natural siderophores as molecular targeting entities is in part hampered by their challenging syntheses, their chemical lability, and in some cases their limited bacterial spectrum. ${ }^{27-30}$ Fortunately, much like piracy, prokaryotes seize so-called "xenosiderophores" (siderophores produced by other organisms or even synthetic siderophore mimetics) to satisfy their iron demands. ${ }^{31}$ Along those lines, we established enterobactin analogues based on synthetic DOTAM and MECAM scaffolds as robust, readily accessible, and variable vectors for bacterial imaging and antibacterial therapy in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. ${ }^{32-34}$
TBDTs are composed of a $\beta$-barrel of $22 \beta$-strands inserted into the outer membrane, a plug domain that closes the channel formed by the barrel, and the N-terminal TonB box. ${ }^{10,35,36}$ The incoming ferric siderophore from the extracellular space binds to a specific site on the plug domain, promoting the proteinprotein interaction (PPI) between the TBDT's TonB box sequence protruding into the periplasm and the periplasmic domain of the TonB protein, which is anchored in the inner membrane. ${ }^{37}$ Located at the N-terminus of the TBDTs, the TonB box is a semiconserved stretch of five to seven amino acids that serves as a signature sequence for this transporter family.

The TonB protein is in complex with two other proteins ExbB and ExbD in the inner membrane (stoichiometry of 1:5:2 for TonB/ExbB/ExbD) and forms a molecular motor that uses the proton gradient of the inner membrane to convey energy to TBDTs, allowing the active transport of nutrients into the periplasmic space. ${ }^{38}$ Similar to a lock-and-key principle, the PPI between TonB and the TonB box of the TBDT promotes a conformational change in the transporter and permits the internalization of an iron-siderophore complex into the periplasm. ${ }^{37}$ P. aeruginosa has three genes in its genome that encode for TonB proteins (TonB1, TonB2, and TonB3), with only TonB1 interacting with the TBDTs involved in iron or heme acquisition. ${ }^{39}$ Moreover, the number of TonB proteins is very limited in relation to the different TBDTs in the outer membrane, implying the strong competition of TBDTs for TonB binding. ${ }^{40}$ In previous studies, bacterial virulence, TonBmediated colicin killing, and $\varphi 80$ phage infection could be reduced significantly by treating E. coli with a species-specific small TonB box consensus peptide (ETVIV), which was small enough to be internalized by polypeptide transporters. ${ }^{41}$ However, this effect was only observed for high concentrations ( $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The overexpression of the periplasmic domain of TonB also inhibited the import of iron by the TBDTs in E. coli. ${ }^{42}$ Similar effects were observed in $P$. aeruginosa, with the overexpression of the N -terminal domain of the ferri-pyoverdine TBDT affecting the import of iron by this siderophore. ${ }^{43}$ Knowing that iron is a key nutrient for bacterial growth, all these data point out that the protein interaction between the periplasmic domain of TonB and the TonB boxes could be a promising target for new antibiotics.

In this study, we aimed to explore the disruption of the TBDT-TonB interaction as a novel principle in antimicrobial therapy, with a proof-of-concept in the challenging pathogen $P$.
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Figure 2. Synthesis of the siderophore TonB box peptide conjugates. (A) Conditions for the synthesis of long and short N-terminal peptide DOTAM conjugates are as follows: (i) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{DMSO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 61 / 62 / 63 / 67 / 68 / 69 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{DMSO}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{CuSO}{ }_{4}$, sodium ascorbate, THPTA, PBS pH 7.4, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 81-99 \%$. (B) Conditions for the synthesis of long C-terminal peptide DOTAM conjugates are as follows: (ii) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{DMSO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \mathrm{~min}, 64 / 65 / 66 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{DMSO}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, sodium ascorbate, THPTA, PBS pH $7.4,23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 79-99 \% .(\mathrm{C})$ Conditions for the synthesis of long disulfide C-terminal peptide DOTAM conjugates are as follows: (iii) 70, HEPES buffer pH 7.4, DMF/DMSO, 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$. An asterisk (*) indicates complicated coupling reactions.


TonB box peptides


5: $\quad a a^{1}($ FpvA $)=$ DSSVDLGATMITSNQLGTI
6: $\quad \mathrm{aa}^{2}(\mathrm{PfeA})=$ VIELGEQTVVATAQ EETKQ
7: $\quad a a^{3}$ (HasR) $=$ SLIRVSQDDLVQMSPSVISAARP
8: $\quad a a^{4}(F p v A)=$ VDLGATMITSN
9: $\quad a a^{5}(\mathrm{PfeA})=$ GEQTVVATAQ
10: $a a^{6}($ HasR $)=$ DDLVQMSPSV
11: $N-a a^{1}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
IonB box siderophore conjugates
12: $N-a a^{2}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
13: $N-a a^{3}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
14: $N-a a^{4}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
15: $N-a a^{5}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
DOTAM
16: $N-a a^{6}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
17: $C-a a^{1}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
18: $C-a a^{2}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
19: $C-a a^{3}, L^{1}, T^{1}$
20: $C-a a^{2}, L^{1}, T^{2}$
21: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{aa}^{2}, \mathrm{~L}^{2}, \mathrm{~T}^{1}$
22: $N-a a^{1}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
23: $N-a a^{2}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
24: $N-a a^{3}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
Covalent


25: $N-a a^{4}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
26: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{aa}{ }^{5}, \mathrm{~L}^{1}, \mathrm{~T}^{3}$
27: $N-a a^{6}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
28: C-aa ${ }^{1}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
29: $C-a a^{2}, L^{1}, T^{3}$
30: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{aa}{ }^{3}, \mathrm{~L}^{1}, \mathrm{~T}^{3}$
31: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{aa}^{2}, \mathrm{~L}^{1}, \mathrm{~T}^{4}$
32: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{aa}^{2}, \mathrm{~L}^{2}, \mathrm{~T}^{3}$
C33: $C-a a^{1}, \quad$, $\quad T^{5}$
C34: C-aa ${ }^{2}, 1, \quad T^{5}$
N33L: C-aa ${ }^{1}, /, T^{5}$
N34L: C-aa ${ }^{2}, 1, T^{5}$
C33 ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ : C-aa ${ }^{1}, I, \mathrm{~T}^{5}$
C34 $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{aa}{ }^{2}, 1, \mathrm{~T}^{5}$


Figure 3. Structures of the DOTAM and MECAM siderophores 2 and $\mathbf{4}$, TonB box peptides $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$, and siderophore-peptide conjugates 11-34. The conjugates consist of a siderophore unit ( $\mathrm{T}^{x}$ ) that is linked via a covalent or a cleavable disulfide unit ( $\mathrm{L}^{x}$ ) to the N -terminus or the C -terminus of the peptide ( $\mathrm{aa}^{x}$ ). Bold amino acids in $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ indicate the amino acids composing the TonB box, and framing amino acids are depicted roman.
aeruginosa. A pharmacological approach was pursued by conjugating TonB box peptides of varying lengths to siderophore mimics to enable accumulation at their target site inside the bacterial cell. Similar to the natural microcins, an essential cellular machinery was preyed to import its own destroyer (Figure 1B).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and Synthesis of TonB Box Peptide DOTAM and MECAM Siderophore Conjugates. Based on previous work showing that an alteration in the vital interaction between the TonB protein and the TonB box of TBDTs induces the inhibition of bacterial growth, ${ }^{41,43,42}$ we designed synthetic TonB box peptides to compete for the protein-protein interaction with the TBDTs FpvA, HasR, and PfeA (Supple-
mentary Figure S1). FpvA is the outer-membrane transporter of pyoverdine, the major siderophore produced by $P$. aeruginosa. HasR recognizes heme an important iron source during infection, and PfeA recognizes catechol-type siderophores such as DOTAM and MECAM used for antibiotic vectorization. The conservation among the curated sequences of FpvA (FPVA_PSEAE), PfeA (PFEA_PSEAE), and HasR (Q9HYJ7_PSEAE) retrieved from the UniProt database was low (score $\leq \overline{0} .3$, black frame, Supplementary Figure S2). ${ }^{44}$ Consequently we opted for the separate syntheses of the three corresponding peptides. Each peptide was afforded in a long and a short form with either from four to eight (long) or one framing amino acid (short) around the TonB box (Figure 3). The peptides were too large for passive permeation through porins or the lipid bilayer and thus required the conjugation to siderophore mimics as molecular "Trojan horses" to allow their penetration into the bacterial periplasm. Siderophores were attached to the N - or Cterminus of the peptide by covalent or cleavable linkers. The artificial siderophores based on DOTAM (1,4,7,10-tetraazacy-clododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic amide) or MECAM (1,3,5$N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$-tris(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)-triaminomethylbenzene) scaffolds were equipped with an alkyne handle for coppercatalyzed click chemistry according to established procedures (Figure 3 and Schemes S1-S3). ${ }^{33,34}$

Starting from cyclen 43, DOTAM siderophores 1 and 2 were prepared over four ( $38 \%$ ) and five ( $30 \%$ ) steps for the longest linear sequence, respectively (Schemes S1 and S2). MECAM siderophores 3 and 4 were obtained from trisbromomethylbenzene 47 over five (37\%) and six steps (34\%) in the longest linear sequence, respectively (Scheme S3). The peptides were synthesized on the solid phase using Fmoc chemistry. More side product formation was observed for the HasR and FpvA peptides than for the PfeA peptides. This was attributed to the aspartic acid (D) and methionine (M) aa's in their sequences, which are known to display succinamide formation ${ }^{45}$ or oxidation to the sulfoxide under the reaction conditions. ${ }^{46}$ In addition, the unconjugated control peptides $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ were a also fforded (Schemes S5-S7 and Table S1). For the synthesis of covalent conjugates, a $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{PEG}_{7}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ linker was attached at the C - or N -terminus of the peptide to permit the conjugation to $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ by copper-catalyzed azide alkyne addition (CuAAC). The azide PEG linker at the C-terminus was introduced using an orthogonal Dde projecting group (2-(1-aminoethylidene)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione) at the side-chain amino function of the terminal lysine. Following Fmoc-SPPS, the Dde group was cleaved selectively by the addition of hydrazine in THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH} .^{47}$ The free amino group was modified in an onresin HATU coupling with the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{PEG}_{7}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ linker, followed by resin cleavage, global deprotection, and HPLC purification to yield the pure C-terminally modified azidopeptides (Schemes S6b and S7b). This yielded the DOTAM conjugates 11-21 and the MECAM conjugates 22-30 (Figure 3 and Scheme S8S10). In the case of 20 and 31, the catechols were masked as acetylated prodrugs in order to avoid in vivo deactivation of the iron chelating units by catechol-O-methyltransferases. A combination of Dde protecting group chemistry and pyridyl disulfide chemistry was applied for the synthesis of the cleavable conjugates 21 and 32 that allowed the intracellular reductive release of the peptide, thereby reducing the steric hindrance of the siderophore (Scheme S10 and 11). In particular, the peptide's Dde group was cleaved on the resin, and the free amino group was modified with 3-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)propanoic acid (SPDP acid, Scheme S8a). Following global deprotection
and resin cleavage, the pyridyl disulfide peptide 70 was obtained. Disulfide exchange with thio DOTAM 52 and thio MECAM 53 under basic reaction conditions yielded the disulfide conjugates 21 and 32, respectively. The monocatechol-modified peptides were constructed to reduce the overall molecular weight and still retain a TBDT-based internalization through a single chelator unit (Scheme S11). In total, we obtained six monocatechol peptides with a catechol unit C- or N-terminally attached to the respective FpvA or PfeA peptides composed of L - or D-amino acids, yielding C33, C34, N33 $3_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{N} 34_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{N} 33_{\mathrm{D}}$, and $\mathrm{N} 34_{\mathrm{D}}$.

Taken together, 28 covalent or cleavable siderophore conjugates with molecular weights of $2-4 \mathrm{kDa}$ could be constructed in up to 32 synthetic steps. All conjugates were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry, and exemplary molecules were also characterized by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HSQC-NMR, and tandem mass spectrometry (see the Supporting Information).

Peptide Siderophore Conjugates Show Antimicrobial Effects in a $P$. aeruginosa Strain Unable to Produce Its Own Siderophores. The siderophores 2 and 4, the peptides 5-10, and the siderophore conjugates 11-34 were evaluated for their antimicrobial activity on the $P$. aeruginosa wild-type (PAO1) and a siderophore-deficient $P$. aeruginosa mutant strain ( $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ ). The $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ mutant cannot synthesize its endogenous siderophores pyoverdine (PYO) and pyochelin (PCH) to access iron but probably uses low-affinity iron uptake pathways such as iron assimilation via citrate or an iron reduction process with the uptake of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ by the FeoAB system. During an infection, bacteria face iron-starvation as the host restricts the iron access of the pathogens by nutritional immunity. ${ }^{48}$ In order to test close to the in vivo infection conditions, all assays were conducted in an iron-depleted CAA medium ( 20 nM iron). ${ }^{49,50}$ Along those lines, differential proteomics has shown that iron uptake pathways is solely expressed in $P$. aeruginosa cells under iron-restricted conditions, which involve our compounds using analogues of siderophores as vectors have the highest chance to be active on the pathogen only in iron-restricted growth conditions. ${ }^{51}$ Consequently, the compounds were first evaluated for the lowest compound concentration preventing visible bacterial growth (minimal inhibitory concentration, MIC) with bacteria grown in ironrestricted conditions, and only the compounds with a growth inhibition activity were also tested in a CAA growth medium supplemented with iron.
In iron-restricted conditions, MICs were determined after 24 and 48 h of culture, as bacterial growth in iron-restricted conditions is slower than that in an iron-rich medium. While the positive control gentamicin exhibited an activity in the expected range, the free peptides $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ did not induce any growth inhibition ( 24 and 48 h ). Additionally, the free DOTAM and MECAM siderophores 2 and $\mathbf{4}$, all MECAM conjugates, and the monocatechols C33, C34, N33 ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}, ~ N 34_{\mathrm{L}}, ~ N 33_{\mathrm{D}}$, and N34 ${ }_{\text {D }}$ remained inactive (Table 1). In contrast, several DOTAM conjugates inhibited bacterial growth. In particular, the long Nterminally linked conjugates 11 ( FpvA ), 12 (PfeA), and 13 (HasR) showed MICs of $0.5,0.5$, and $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, at 24 h . The most potent growth inhibition ( $\mathrm{MIC}=0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was observed for $\mathbf{1 7}$, which differs from 11 by its C-terminal (rather than $N$-terminal) linkage of the long FpvA-derived peptide. A moderate MIC of $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was obtained for 21, which carries a cleavable linker connected to a long PfeA-derived peptide. At 48 h , growth was recovered for conjugates 14, 17, and 21 but not for 11 and 12. The peptide payloads potentially exhibit

Table 1. MIC Values $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ in P. aeruginosa $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ Strain for Siderophores 2 and 4, Peptides 5-10, and Peptide-Siderophore Conjugates 11-34 ${ }^{a}$

| Compound number | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{\Phi} \\ & \frac{4}{\pi} \\ & \frac{U}{\Sigma} \\ & \frac{U}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Gentamicin | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | FpvA (I) | >64 | >64 |
| 6 | PfeA (I) | >64 | >64 |
| 7 | HasR (I) | >64 | >64 |
| 8 | FpvA (s) | >64 | >64 |
| 9 | PfeA (s) | >64 | >64 |
| 10 | HasR (s) | >64 | >64 |
| 2 | DOTAM | 64 | 64 |
| 11 | FpvA (I)-N-DOTAM | 0.5 | 1 |
| 12 | PfeA (I)-N-DOTAM | 0.5 | 1 |
| 13 | HasR (I)-N- DOTAM | 4 | 32 |
| 14 | FpvA (s)-N-DOTAM | 32 | >64 |
| 15 | PfeA (s)-N-DOTAM | 32 | 32 |
| 16 | HasR (s)- $N$ - DOTAM | >64 | >64 |
| 17 | FpvA (I)-C-DOTAM | 0.1 | >64 |
| 18 | PfeA (I)-C-DOTAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 19 | HasR (I)-C-DOTAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 20 | PfeA (I)-C-DOTAM-OAc | >64 | >64 |
| 21 | PfeA (I)-DS-C-DOTAM | 8 | >64 |
| 4 | MECAM | $>64$ | $>64$ |
| 22 | FpvA (I)-N-MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 23 | PfeA (I)-N-MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 24 | HasR (I)-N-MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 25 | FpvA (s)-N-MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 26 | PfeA (s)-N-MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 27 | HasR (s)- N - MECAM | $>64$ | >64 |
| 28 | FpvA (1)-C-MECAM | >64 | >64 |
| 29 | PfeA (I)-C-MECAM | >64 | >64 |
| 30 | HasR (I)-C-MECAM | >64 | >64 |
| 31 | PfeA (I)-C-MECAM-OAc | >64 | >64 |
| 32 | PfeA (I)-DS-C-MECAM | >64 | $>64$ |
| C33 | FpvA-C-catechol | >64 | >64 |
| C34 | PfeA-C-catechol | >64 | >64 |
| N33L | FpvA- N -catechol | >64 | >64 |
| N34 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | PfeA-N-catechol | >64 | >64 |
| $N 33{ }_{\text {d }}$ | FpvA- N -catechol-D-aa | >64 | >64 |
| N34 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | PfeA-N-catechol-D-aa | >64 | >64 |

${ }^{a}$ MIC values were determined after 24 and 48 h of growth and are the mean of three independent experiments. (1) = long peptide, 20-24 aa; (s) = short peptide, $11-12$ aa; $C / N=C$ - or N -terminal; and DS = disulfide. Generally, peptides are composed of l-amino acids; $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{D}}$ indicates a D -amino acid peptide, and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ indicates L -amino acids for discriminatory purposes. Values are given in $\mu \mathrm{M}, n=3$. A conversion of the MIC values to $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ can be found in Table S9.
antimicrobial activity due an interaction with TonB, but over time either an adaptation of the bacteria or a degradation of the peptide payload by proteases takes place. ${ }^{52}$ An attempt to increase the antimicrobial efficacy through a combination treatment of N - or C-terminal peptide siderophore conjugates did not result in a potency boost (data not shown). Since our test is conducted under highly iron restricted conditions ( 20 nM iron in the CAA medium), most of the conjugates will stay in their apo form. Consequently the concentration of active ferric conjugates able to stop bacterial growth is much lower than suggested by the MIC value, which reflects the sum of metalbound and unbound siderophores. Thus, the potency of the conjugates is rather underestimated.

All compounds were inactive in the wild-type $P$. aeruginosa strain PAO1 grown in iron-restricted conditions. This is consistent with previous studies of competition for iron between the natural siderophore PYO produced by $P$. aeruginosa and DOTAM, MECAM, enterobactin (siderophore produced by E. coli), ferrichrome (siderophore produced by fungi), and PCH (second siderophore produced by $P$. aeruginosa), showing that MECAM and DOTAM are less efficient in scavenging iron from PYO than enterobactin and even ferrichrome but more efficient than PCH. ${ }^{53,31}$ We cannot exclude that the high steric demand of the peptide payload might even reduce more the chelator's affinity for iron, thereby reducing uptake and antimicrobial activity on a wild-type $P$. aeruginosa strain. Therefore, the ability of the conjugates to sequester ferric iron was tested with chrome azurol S (CAS), whose color shifts from blue (iron bound state) to bright red upon iron decomplexation. A color change was not observed for the free peptides, while the siderophores 2 and 4 showed a clear shift in the range from 300 to 800 nm , in line with previous reports (Figure S3 and Table S7). ${ }^{32,33}$ Notably, all siderophore-peptide conjugates retained their ability to complex iron. From the experiments, it becomes apparent that iron binding is possible, but the conjugates' affinity is probably not sufficient to compete with the wild-type siderophore PYO for ferric iron and to confer growth inhibition on the PAO1 strain.

The DOTAM siderophore 2; the long peptide DOTAM conjugates 11, 12, and 13; the short peptide DOTAM conjugates 14, 15, 16, and 17; and the cleavable DOTAM conjugate 21 were also subjected to a MIC assay in a CAA medium supplemented with ferric iron $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. As expected, all tested compounds lost their antimicrobial effect in the presence of excess ferric iron. In the presence of iron, the TBDTs involved in iron acquisition are not expressed and consequently the conjugates are no longer internalized. ${ }^{31}$

Peptide-Siderophore Conjugates Enter the Bacterial Periplasm of a P. aeruginosa Strain Unable to Produce Its Own Siderophores. In order to demonstrate the ability of our compounds to enter $P$. aeruginosa cells, we exploited the property of ferri-siderophores to interact with the two component system $\mathrm{PfeS} / \mathrm{PfeR}$ and induce pfeA transcription. Upon binding of periplasmic ferri-siderophore to the PfeS sensor at the inner membrane, the transcriptional regulator PfeR is released, upregulating the transcription of the pfeA gene. ${ }^{54,55}$ Induction of $p f e A$ transcription in the presence of the conjugates indicates the penetration of the compounds, since an interaction with PfeS can occur only in the periplasm. We have recently shown that unconjugated MECAM induced the transcription


Figure 4. Modulation of TBDT gene expression by conjugates. P. aeruginosa PAO1 and $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ cells were grown for 8 h in the presence or absence of test compounds $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The transcription of $p f e A, p i r A, f p v A$, and $f p t A$ was followed by qRT-PCR. ${ }^{49} p f e A$ encodes the ferri-ENT TBDT, $^{62,56}$ pirA encodes the ferri-ENT and ferri-catecholamines TBDT, ${ }^{57,58} f p v A$ encodes the ferri-PYO TBDT, ${ }^{35}$ and $f p t A$ encodes the ferri-PCH TBDT. ${ }^{59,6}$


Figure 5. Growth kinetics of $P$. aeruginosa pfeA and pirA mutants in the presence of the conjugates. The PYO-and PCH-deficient strain of $P$. aeruginosa ( $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ ) and its corresponding $p f e A$ and $p i r A$ deletion mutants were used. Strains were grown in a CAA medium in the absence or presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptides $5-7$, DOTAM 2, MECAM 4, or the conjugates $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 3}, \mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}, \mathbf{2 2 - 2 4}$, and $\mathbf{2 8}-\mathbf{3 0}$. Growth was followed by monitoring the optical density (OD) at 600 nm . Errors bars were calculated from three independent biological replicates.
and expression of pfeA by interacting with PfeS. ${ }^{53}$ This induction was accompanied by a transcription repression of the genes involved in the acquisition of iron by the siderophore pyochelin (PCH). ${ }^{53}$
The PYO- and PCH-deficient $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ strain was grown over 8 h in an iron-restricted CAA medium in the absence or presence of the peptides, free DOTAM and MECAM cores, and a selection of conjugates ( $11,12,13,17,22$, and 28 , each at 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The expression of the genes encoding pfeA (ferri-ENT TBDT), ${ }^{56}$ pirA (ferri-ENT and ferri-catecholamines TBDT), ${ }^{57,58} f p v A$ (ferri-PYO TBDT), ${ }^{35}$ and $f p t A$ (ferri-PCH TBDT) ${ }^{59-61}$ was analyzed by differential quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure 4). As expected, the cores 2 and 4
showed a one- to $\operatorname{six}(\log 2)$ fold induction of $p f e A$ transcription in the PAO1 wild-type and the $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ mutant. ${ }^{53}$ The free peptides 5-10 had no effect. For all tested MECAM and DOTAM conjugates, an induction of pfeA transcription was observed. This verifies the translocation of the conjugates into the periplasm and their interaction with the sensor PfeS at the inner membrane. The MECAM conjugates induced the transcription of $p f e A$ with the same efficiency as the MECAM vector 4 alone. The DOTAM conjugates displayed an even stronger pfeA transcription induction than the free DOTAM vector 2 . None of the vectors or conjugates had a significant effect on pirA transcription. The transcription of pirA, such as that of pfeA, is regulated by a two-component system, namely

PirS/PirR. Apparently the MECAM and DOTAM conjugates were able to bind to PfeS and induce the release of PfeR but not to PirS because of different binding specificities of these two sensors.

All compounds, vectors, and conjugates also induced a repression of fptA transcription. Interestingly, the highest-fold changes concerning the induction of $p f e A$ and the repression of fptA transcription were seen for the inactive MECAM conjugates, while the DOTAM conjugates generally had lower effects. This is probably due to a higher iron affinity of the MECAM compounds compared to the DOTAM compounds. This adjustment of $p f e A$ and $f p t A$ transcription indicates that the $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ strain adapted its TBDT expression for optimal iron acquisition via the conjugates in its surroundings. We also checked the ability of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to modulate the transcription of pfeA, $p i r A, f p v A$, and $f p t A$ in the PAO1 wild-type strain. However, their transcription was unaffected, possibly due to the greater ability of PYO and PCH to access iron than the larger 11. In summary, both MECAM and DOTAM conjugates were able to cross the outer membrane of $P$. aeruginosa unable to produce its own siderophores because they induced a phenotypic adaptation of the bacteria ( $\uparrow$ pfeA transcription, $\downarrow f p t A$ transcription). Consequently, we conclude that the antibiotic activity of the DOTAM conjugates was not due to iron sequestration by the compounds outside bacterial cells but was instead caused by internalized peptides and probably an interaction of the peptides with TonB. Altogether, these data indicate that the self-internalizing suicide "Trojan horse" mechanism is operational with the vectors DOTAM in a $P$. aeruginosa strain unable to produce its own siderophores PYO and PCH.

The Transporters PfeA and PirA Mediate the Entry of Conjugates in P. aeruginosa. Next, we aimed to elucidate the TBDT(s) involved in conjugate uptake. For this purpose, the growth of the P. aeruginosa $\Delta p v d F \Delta p c h A$ strain was compared to strains that carried additional TBDT deletions of (i) pfeA, (ii) $p i r A$, or (iii) pfeA and pirA. For compounds that do not exert antimicrobial activities, a growth reduction after TBDT knockout proves that the missing siderophore transporter is crucial for the internalization of ferric chelates and thus permits bacterial growth. ${ }^{63,53}$ The free peptides 5-7 exerted no effect (Figure 5). The growth of DOTAM-based conjugates was hardly impaired by the lack of PfeA, but a strong growth inhibition was observed for all mutants lacking PirA, indicating that all these compounds enter the cells through this TBDT. For MECAM-based conjugates, the single deletion of PirA had no significant effect, whereas a lack of PfeA delayed growth slightly, in particular for the free MECAM 4 and the conjugate 29. Interestingly, the dual knockout of pfeA and pirA genes led to a complete growth inhibition for all MECAM compounds, indicating that these compounds entered $P$. aeruginosa cells by both PfeA and PirA. This result also indicates that one transporter was able to rescue when the other transporter was absent or not functional. The data are in agreement with our recent finding that the MECAM core and a MECAMampicillin conjugate are transported into $P$. aeruginosa cells via PfeA and PirA, whereas DOTAM is solely internalized via PirA. ${ }^{53}$ This demonstrates that PfeA and PirA have different binding specificities. The data on the induction of transcription of TBDT genes (Figure 4) indicate that the specificity of the sensors PfeS and PirA is different from that of their associated transporters PfeA and PirA. PirS, unlike PirA, does not seem to recognize DOTAM conjugates. Conversely, PfeS has a larger
binding specificity than its associated transporter PfeA, since this internal membrane sensor recognizes both the MECAM and DOTAM conjugates.

We conclude that the transport mechanisms of the artificial siderophores were not altered by the conjugation of large peptidic cargo in the kilodalton range and that the MECAM conjugates enter bacteria by either PfeA or PirA and the DOTAM conjugates by PirA.

## - CONCLUSION

In this study, we propose the disturbance of the interaction between TBDTs involved in the uptake of nutrients across the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and the TonB protein as a novel strategy to inhibit bacterial growth. A systematic attachment of siderophores to TonB box polypeptides from three TBDTs, which were coupled via cleavable or covalent linkers at the N - or C-terminus of the peptide to various of the targeting vectors (DOTAM and MECAM), yielded a diverse compound collection of two monocatechol peptides and 24 full siderophore conjugates. With molecular weights of up to 4 kDa , these are, to the best of our knowledge, among the largest synthetic siderophore conjugates. Their iron complexation capabilities were demonstrated by the CAS test. Growth recovery assays and the ability to induce the transcription of $p f e A$ via the two-component PfeS/PfeR system proved that all compounds were able to enter the periplasm of $P$. aeruginosa unable to produce its on siderophores PYO and PCH. All MECAM conjugates were internalized by PfeA and PirA TBDTs, and DOTAM conjugates were internalized by PirA. A notable growth delay or inhibition, attributed to ferric siderophore internalization, was observed solely for DOTAM conjugates. The five conjugates $11,12,13,17$, and 21 displayed antimicrobial activity in siderophore-deficient $P$. aeruginosa strains, and the most potent analogs 11, 12, and 17 reached MICs of $0.5,0.5$, and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Based on the above data, we derive the following preliminary structure-activity relationships (SAR) (Supplementary Figure S4). Because all active compounds were based on the triscatecholate DOTAM vector, it was obviously better suited than MECAM. Conjugates with FpvA-originating peptides were slightly more active compared to the equivalent congeners carrying sequences from PfeA and HasR. The active congeners had a N-terminal linkage rather than a C-terminal disulfide linker, and the longer peptides were superior to shorter ones.

Together, these results demonstrate the capability of MECAM and DOTAM to transport large cargo in the kilodalton range into bacteria. While first evidence in this direction has been obtained for the prototype natural product microcin MccE492, the data suggest that artificial siderophores, coupled to synthetic linkers and peptides, can also be employed. We realized the first siderophore "Trojan horse" antibiotics that target and disrupt a protein-protein interaction in the bacterial periplasm. Instead of satiating the pathogen's appetite for iron, the TonB box peptide payload competes with the TBDTs for TonB and thereby prevents the internalization of further ferric chelates. Thus, the study illustrates a variant of cellular suicide where a transporter imports its own inhibitor.

Perturbing the TonB-TBDT interaction is a novel principle for interfering with the pathogen's iron homeostasis and growth, yielding a decreased metabolism and fitness, and the conjugates described herein support the validity of the target. At this stage, structural information on the TonB box-TonB interaction at a molecular level would be beneficial to improve the under-
standing of why only a subset of the conjugates were active. From here, it appears attractive to initiate a search for less complex small molecules that interfere with the PPI in future studies.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemical Synthesis. Chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial vendors (TCI, Carl Roth, Baker, and Sigma-Aldrich), if not stated otherwise, and employed without further purification in the below synthetic procedures. For synthesis, solvents with purity grade 99.5\% (extra dry, absolute, AcroSeal, ACROS Organics) were used. Work-up procedures and purifications solvent were either HPLC or p.a. grade. Glassware was oven-dried prior to synthesis. Reaction progress was controlled by thin layer chromatography (TLC) or liquid chromatography-coupled mass spectrometry (LCMS). Detailed information regarding the HPLC, NMR, and MS setups and equipment can be found in "General chemical information" in the Supporting Information. A detailed general procedure for the preparation and purification of the unmodified peptides 5-10 can be found in "General Procedure for Peptide Synthesis" and "General Procedure for Global Deprotection and Resin Cleavage" below. Since all unmodified peptides displayed similar retentions on the HPLC column, the same gradient was employed for all purifications. A detailed general procedure for the preparation and purification of the N - or C-terminally modified peptides can be found in "General Procedure for the N- or C-Terminal Modification, Global Deprotection, and Resin Cleavage" below. Since all modified peptides displayed similar retentions on the HPLC column, the same gradient was employed for all purifications. All compounds had purities $\geq 95 \%$ as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (UV detection), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS/MS analysis.

Siderophore Synthesis. tert-Butyl (2-(2-Bromoacetamido)ethyl)carbamate (36). ${ }^{64,34}$ tert-Butyl N -(2-aminoethyl)carbamate 35 $(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.4 \mathrm{mmol} ., 5.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DCM $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, and to the solution was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20.7 \mathrm{~g}, 149.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv $)$ dissolved in Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The two-phase solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2-bromoacetyl bromide ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 59.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv) in DCM $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture dropwise over 10 $\min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 90 min at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to yield product 36 as a white solid ( 10.0 g, $35.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=7.14(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{q}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.43 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=166.5,156.9,80.1,41.6$, 40.0, 29.0, 28.5.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 281.0495, experimental 281.0493, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=303.0315$, experimental 303.0313, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.

2-Bromo-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)acetamide (38). ${ }^{64}$ Prop-2-yn-1-amine 37 ( $5.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DCM ( 150 mL ), and to the solution was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50.2 \mathrm{~g}, 363.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) dissolved in Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The two-phase solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2-bromoacetyl bromide ( $12.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 145.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv) in DCM ( 150 mL ) was added dropwise to the mixture over 10 $\min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After this time, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for another 90 min at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2$ $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to yield product 38 as a brown solid ( $11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 65.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=165.5,78.7,72.2,30.0$, 28.7.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrNO}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 175.9706, experimental $175.9705, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

2,3-Diacetoxybenzoic acid (40). ${ }^{64}$ 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid 39 $(25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 162.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was suspended in THF $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and to the solution were sequentially added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(46.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 486.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv), TEA ( $90.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 648.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), and DMAP ( 1.98 g , $16.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). The precipitate dissolved after a few minutes of stirring at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 20 h at that temperature. The next morning, as much of the solvent as possible was removed by rotary evaporation (clear beige solution), and the residue was dissolved in DCM ( 500 mL ). The organic phase was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times$ 100 mL ) and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo to yield product 40 as a crude beige solid ( $37.0 \mathrm{~g}, 155.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 96\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=13.23(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=168.3,168.1,165.1$, 143.2, 142.1, 128.5, 127.7, 126.3, 125.8, 20.4, 20.3.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 239.0550, experimental 239.0550, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{6}^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right): m / z=256.0816$, experimental 256.0816, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{6}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 261.0370, experimental 261.0370, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

N-(17-Azido-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptadecyl)-3-(tritylthio)propanamide (42). ${ }^{33,65}$ 3-Tritylsulfanylpropanoic acid ( 300.0 mg , $0.861 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 25 mL ) and DMF ( 5 mL ). Then to the solution was added HATU $(818.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.152$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 17 -azido- $3,6,9,12,15$-pentaoxaheptadecan-1amine $41(263.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.947 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$ in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) was added to the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dry TEA $(477.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.444 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was added dropwise to the mixture over 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture continued to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then allowed to warm to room temperature and continued to stir for an additional 20 h at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the completion of the reaction, controlled by LCMS, the solution was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in EtOAc ( 50 mL ); washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$; and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $65-95 \%$ ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ). The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a white solid ( $418.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.656 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=7.88(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=170.1,144.5,129.1$, 128.0, 126.7, 69.9, 69.8, 69.7, 69.7, 69.6, 69.3, 69.1, 66.0, 50.0, 38.6, 33.9, 27.5 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 637.3054, experimental 637.3054, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right): m / z=654.3320$, experimental 654.3319, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}^{+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=659.2874$, experimental $659.2873, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

Di-tert-butyl(((2,2'-(4-(2-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)-amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-diyl)bis-(acetyl())bis(azanediyl))bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))dicarbamate (44). ${ }^{64,34}$ $1,4,7,10$-Tetraazacyclododecane $43(186.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and NaOAc ( $354.3 \mathrm{mg}, 4.319 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) were suspended in ACN ( 75 mL ), and the solution was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .36 $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.563 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv) was added dropwise to the solution with a syringe pump ( $6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}, 24 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ total) under vigorous stirring
at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 4 h . The syringe pump was removed , and the reaction continued to stir at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction progress was monitored by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the suspension was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and in vacuo to yield product 44 as a colorless oil in a quantitative yield without the need for further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-7.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 34 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $27 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=170.5,166.1,155.7$, 155.6, 77.7, 57.8, 55.6, 54.2, 50.8, 49.2, 45.0, 38.8, 38.7, 29.5, 28.2.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{9}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 773.5244, experimental 773.5249, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=795.5063$, experimental 795.5066, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$.

Di-tert-butyl(((2,2'-(4-(2-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)-amino)-2-oxoethyl)-10-(2-oxo-2-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)ethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-diyl)bis(acetyl))bis-(azanediyl))bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))dicarbamate (45). ${ }^{64,34} 44$ (834.6 $\mathrm{mg} 1.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(597.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4.319 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) were suspended in $\mathrm{ACN}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the suspension was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} .20(342.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.943 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv) was dissolved in ACN $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 10 min to the suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 $h$, and the reaction progress was monitored by LCMS. The reaction mixture was filtered after completeness, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and in vacuo to yield product 45 as a light brown solid in a quantitative yield without the need for further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-7.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 38 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}$, 27H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=166.0,165.8,155.7$, 80.4, 77.7, 73.4, 42.6, 42.3, 29.0, 28.5, 28.2.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 868.5615, experimental 868.5612, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=434.7844$, experimental 434.7845, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

2,2',2"-(10-(2-Oxo-2-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)ethyl)-1,4,7,10-tet-raazacyclododecane-1,4,7-triyl)tris(N-(2-aminoethyl)acetamide) (46). ${ }^{64,34} 45$ ( $937.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DCM $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TFA $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the soltuion slowly to yield a $25 \%$ TFA solution in DCM, which changed the color of the solution to a neon yellow. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , and the reaction progress was monitored by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and in vacuo to yield product $46(500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.881 \mathrm{mmol}$, $82 \%$ crude) as a transparent yellow to brown oil. The residue was used crude directly in the next step without further purification.

Alkyne-DOTAM-OAC (1). ${ }^{64,34} 40$ ( $740.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.107 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6$ equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in dry DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry DMF ( 2 mL ), and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Oxalyl chloride ( $666.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.768 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.0$ equiv) was added dropwise to the solution over the septum at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 more minutes after the addition was finished. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 3 h , and the reaction progress was checked via TLC. For this, an aliquot of the reaction was quenched with MeOH to form the corresponding methyl ester. The differential running behavior on TLC indicated the formation of the desired acid chloride compared to the starting material at the bottom of the TLC plate. After completion, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the purity was checked via NMR, and the residue was dried overnight in vacuo. The next morning, $46\left(490.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.863 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in Milli- $\mathrm{QH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL ), and the pH was carefully adjusted with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 0.5 $\mathrm{M})$ to ca. 8.5. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The formed acid chloride 40 was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to the reaction mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . The pH was monitored during the addition and adjusted with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ to 8.5 during the addition and more to pH 7.0 toward the end of the addition. After the addition was complete, the reaction progress was
monitored by LCMS, while the reaction mixture was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature and stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 4 h. After completion, the suspension was extracted with DCM ( $4 \times 100$ mL or until the organic phase became colorless), and the combined organic phases were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ to delay further deacetylation and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $30-60 \%$ ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH})$. The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield compound 1 as a white solid (485.0 mg, $0.395 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.50(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{bs}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-2.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $24 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=170.6,170.0,169.4$, 168.5, 149.8, 146.3, 118.7, 117.7, 117.2, 115.0, 81.0, 72.9, 62.2, 57.7, 54.9, 38.9, 38.0, 27.9, 20.4.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{19}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1228.5157, experimental 1228.5160, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{19}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=614.7614$, experimental 614.7615, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

Alkyne-DOTAM-OH (2)..$^{64,34} \mathbf{1}(485.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.395 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the solution was added DIPEA $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and continued to stir at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was taken up in $A C N / M i l l i-Q$ and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, 5-35\% ACN/ Milli-Q $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}\right)$. The product0containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 2 as a white solid ( $310.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (ddd, $J$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=170.3,169.9,164.5$, 164.4, 150.0, 150.0, 146.4, 118.6, 117.6, 117.6, 117.3, 115.0, 81.1, 72.9, 57.3, 57.1, 52.5, 38.9, 38.0, 27.9.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{13}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 976.4523, experimental 976.4525, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=998.4343$, experimental 998.4347, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$.

1,3,5-Tris(bromomethyl)-2-nitrobenzene (48). ${ }^{66} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ (50\%, 18 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(95 \%, 18 \mathrm{~mL})$ were mixed, and the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1,3,5-Tris(bromomethyl) benzene $47(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.406 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added in small portions to the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 min . The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , then subsequently allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 42 h . The reaction progress was controlled by TLC. The reaction was quenched with Milli- $\mathrm{QH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ after completeness, and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and brine $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo to yield product 48 as a light yellow solid ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.211 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}(47 / \mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=10: 1)=0.90, R_{f}(48 / \mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=10: 1)$ $=0.72$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=148.4,142.3,132.8$, 131.4, 31.5, 27.5.
(2-Nitrobenzene-1,3,5-triyl)trimethanamine (49). ${ }^{66} 48$ (3.3 g, 8.211 mmol , 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 30 mL ) and EtOH $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(30 \%, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the solution with a syringe pump ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ total) under vigorous stirring at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture continued to stir
at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and in vacuo to yield product 49 as a light brown solid in a quantitative yield without the need for further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 4.14 (s, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=148.7,138.1,132.1$, 128.4, 41.6, 38.8.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 211,1190, experimental 211.1190, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.
Nitro-MECAM-OAC (50). ${ }^{66} 40$ ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6$ equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in anhydrous DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMF ( 10 mL ), then the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Oxalyl chloride $\left(6.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 73.903 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.0\right.$ equiv) was added to the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture continued to stir at $0^{\circ}$ for 10 min after the addition was finished. The reaction was equilibrated to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at that temperature for 3 h . The reaction progress was checked via TLC. For this, an aliquot of the reaction was quenched with MeOH to form the corresponding methyl ester. The differential running behavior on TLC indicated the formation of the desired acid chloride compared to the starting material at the bottom of the TLC plate. After completion, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the purity was checked via NMR, and the residue was dried overnight in vacuo.
The next morning, 49 ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 8.211 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in Milli- $\mathrm{QH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, the pH was adjusted with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ to 8.5 , and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The vacuum-dried acid chloride was dissolved in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to the reaction mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . The pH was controlled during the addition and adjusted with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 0.5 M ) to 8.5 during the addition and more to pH 7.0 toward the end of the addition. After the addition was completed, the reaction was equilibrated to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and continued to stir for an additional 4 h . The reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the suspension was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ or until the organic phase became colorless), and the combined organic extracts were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times$ 200 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After the addition of $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and purified by RP-Flash (EcoFlex, C18 particle size: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spherical, $20 \mathrm{~g}, 220 \mathrm{~nm}$, collect all, 30-80\% ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ). The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 50 as a light brown solid ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 5.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.07(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.29 (s, 3H), $2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=168.3,167.9,167.9$, $164.9,164.8,147.0,142.9,142.7,140.2,131.5,130.3,130.1,126.3$, 126.2, 126.1, 126.1, 125.8, 125.7, 42.0, 38.8, 20.4, 20.2.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{17}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 871.2305, experimental 871.2304, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{17}{ }^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right): m / z=888.2570$, experimental 888.2569, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{17}{ }^{+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=893.2124$, experimental 893.2125, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.
$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-MECAM-OAC (51). ${ }^{66} 50$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.445 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in anhydrous THF ( 12 mL ), anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}(9.6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, then Zn dust ( 3.4 g , $51.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) was added to the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then allowed to warm to room temperature and continued to stir at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite, which was washed with EtOAc until the organic phase become colorless. The combined organic phases were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and in vacuo to yield product $\mathbf{5 1}$ as a light brown solid in a quantitative yield without the need for further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=168.3,168.3,167.8$, $167.8,164.8,164.3,142.8,142.6,140.1,140.0,131.0,130.8,127.8$, 126.3, 126.1, 126.1, 126.0, 125.9, 125.5, 125.3, 122.0, 42.1, 30.4, 20.8, 20.3, 20.2, 20.1.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{15}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 841.2563, experimental 841.2562, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{15}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=863.2382$, experimental 863.2381, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

Alkyne-MECAM-OAC (3). ${ }^{66}$ Hex-5-ynoic acid ( $81.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.758 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.2 equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in dry THF (3.6 $\mathrm{mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NMM ( $229.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv) and iso-butyl chloroformate ( $89.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.689 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were added dropwise to the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which immediately resulted in the formation of a white precipitate. The reaction mixture was stirred 10 more minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then it was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional $90 \mathrm{~min} .51(289.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.345$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 6 mL ), then added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the reaction mixture, which continued to stir for 10 $\min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was equilibrated to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 h at that temperature, while the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion or when overreaction was increasingly observed by LCMS, the suspension was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times$ $50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in ACN/ Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ to delay further deacetylation and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $30-70 \%$ ACN/ Milli- $\left.\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}\right)$. The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 3 as a white solid ( $180.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.193 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44 (dd, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.0,171.2,168.3$, $167.9,167.9,164.7,164.6,142.9,140.2,137.6,135.9,131.8,130.7$, 130.6, 126.2, 126.1, 126.1, 126.0, 125.6, 125.4, 124.6, 84.0, 83.8, 71.7, 71.6, 48.6, 42.2, 34.2, 32.4, 24.1, 23.5, 20.4, 20.2, 17.5, 17.1.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{16}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 935.2982, experimental 935.2979, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{16}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=957.2801$, experimental 957.2797, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{16}{ }^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=468.1527$, experimental 468.1527, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{16}{ }^{2+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}_{2}\right]^{2+}\right): m / z=490.1347$, experimental 490.1345, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.
Alkyne-MECAM-OH (4). ${ }^{56} 3$ ( $500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.535 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the solution was added DIPEA $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was taken up in ACN / Milli-Q and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $25-65 \% \mathrm{ACN} /$ Milli-Q $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}\right)$. The productcontaining fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 4 as a white solid ( $330.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.483 \mathrm{mmol}$, 90\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.14(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=171.2,169.8,169.8$, 149.7, 149.5, 146.2, 146.2, 137.5, 135.9, 132.1, 124.8, 118.9, 118.9, 118.1, 118.0, 117.3, 117.1, 115.1, 114.9, 84.0, 71.7, 42.2, 39.0, 34.1, 24.2, 17.5.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 683.2348, experimental 683.2346, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{10}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=705.2167$, experimental 705.2164, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$.

TrtS-PEG ${ }_{7}-\left(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)-D O T A M-O H(52 a) .{ }^{64} 2(92.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in DMSO ( 2 mL ). To the solution was added zinc acetate ( $41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) dissolved in Milli- $\mathrm{QH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min .26 ( $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) dissolved in DMSO ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added to the solution. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv in 0.5 mL PBS) and sodium ascorbate ( $12.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv in 1 mL PBS) was added to the $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, whereupon a white precipitate formed immediately. THPTA ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv in 0.5 mL PBS) was added to the suspension. The suspension was added to the reaction mixture under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the solution was filtered over cotton wool and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $5-35 \% \mathrm{ACN} /$ Milli- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ). The product containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 52a as a white solid ( $80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}$, 76\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=10.32-9.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 9.04 (bs, 3H), 8.49 (bs, 1H), 8.21 (bs, 1H), 7.97-7.82 (m, 3H), 7.35$7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=172.3,171.5,170.1$, 169.7, 169.6, 165.0, 147.5, 147.2, 144.5, 143.6, 129.1, 128.0, 126.7, 123.7, 118.0, 117.9, 116.6, 115.7, 115.4, 115.3, 114.4, 69.7, 69.7, 69.6, 69.5, 69.0, 68.7, 66.0, 55.6, 50.5, 49.4, 40.4, 40.0, 38.6, 38.0, 37.6, 34.6, 33.9, 27.5.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{107} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right)$ : $m / z=838.8429$, experimental 838.8427, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{106} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{NaO}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=849.8339$, experimental 849.8337, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.
HS-PEG ${ }_{7}-\left(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)$-DOTAM-OH (52). 52a $(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.938 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in dry DCM $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, then TFA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TIPS ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to the solution (immediate shift from slightly yellow to neon yellow/orange was observed) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was washed with ice-cold diethyl ether $(2 \times$ 50 mL ), centrifuged ( $4500 \mathrm{rpm}, 15 \mathrm{~min},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and decanted after each washing step. The residue was dried in vacuo to yield product 52 as a light brown solid ( $12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.357 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.45(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.12-6.99 (m, 1H), 6.91 (ddd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.67 (ddd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $3.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-2.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $24 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=171.4,171.3,170.7$, 170.6, 170.4, 170.2, 170.0, 149.5, 149.4, 149.4, 146.2, 143.3, 136.1, 135.8, 134.6, 130.0, 129.4, 129.1, 128.4, 126.3, 126.2, 123.7, 118.9, 118.3, 118.0, 117.4, 117.4, 116.6, 115.2, 115.0, 113.3, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.5, 69.1, 69.1, 69.1, 68.7, 65.0, 56.2, 55.9, 55.8, 55.7, 54.9, 50.9, 50.8,
$50.4,49.5,40.4,40.0,39.1,39.0,38.6,38.6,38.6,38.2,38.2,36.0,35.8$, $35.3,35.2,34.9,34.7,34.6,34.0,33.9,30.8,30.7,26.6,25.7,23.3,20.0$, 19.4, 19.3, 19.0, 17.9, 17.3, 15.2, 12.7, 12.1, 9.7.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m /$ $z=1434.5690$, experimental $1434.5695, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{91} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{NaO}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=1456.5509$, experimental 1456.5504, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{93} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=717.78812$, experimental $717.7880, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{NaO}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=728.7791$, experimental 728.7793, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=478.8612$, experimental $478.8612, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

TrtS-PEG ${ }_{7}-M E C A M-O H(53 a) .{ }^{66} 4(80.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.118 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in DMSO ( 2 mL ) and MilliQ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL}) .26(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) dissolved in DMSO ( 2 mL ) was added to the solution. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.5 equiv in 0.5 mL PBS) and sodium ascorbate ( $12.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv in 1 mL PBS) were combined, whereupon a white solid precipitated immediately. THPTA ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv in 0.5 mL PBS) was added to the suspension. The suspension was then added under an argon atmosphere to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After completion, the solution was filtered over cotton wool and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $\left.50-90 \% \mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{Milli}-\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}\right)$. The productcontaining fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 53 a as a white solid $(85.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$, $82 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.33(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{p}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=171.6,170.2,169.8$, $169.8,149.7,149.6,146.2,146.2,144.5,137.5,136.0,132.8,132.2$, 129.1, 128.1, 126.8, 124.9, 122.3, 118.9, 118.1, 118.0, 117.4, 117.2, $115.1,115.0,69.8,69.7,69.7,69.6,69.1,68.8,66.0,49.3,42.2,39.1$, 38.6, 34.9, 33.9, 27.5, 25.3, 24.8.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{79} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1319.5329, experimental 1319.5332, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=1341.5149$, experimental 1341.5149, $\delta$ [ppm] $=0.0$.

HS-PEG ${ }_{7}-M E C A M-O H$ (53). 53 a ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 18.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in dry DCM $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and to the solution were added TFA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TIPS ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added, where an immediate shift from slight yellow to neon yellow/orange was observed. The reaction was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After complete conversion, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was washed with ice-cold diethyl ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), centrifuged ( $4500 \mathrm{rpm}, 15 \mathrm{~min},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and dried in vacuo to yield product $\mathbf{5 3}$ as a light yellow solid ( 18.3 mg , $17.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.32(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (dd, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{p}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=171.6,170.4,169.8$, 169.8, 149.7, 149.6, 146.3, 146.2, 146.2, 137.5, 136.0, 132.2, 124.9,
122.4, 118.9, 118.9, 118.1, 118.0, 117.4, 117.2, 115.1, 115.0, 69.8, 69.8, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.1, 68.8, 49.3, 42.2, 39.2, 39.1, 38.6, 34.9, 25.3, 24.8, 20.0, 17.4 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1077.4234, experimental 1077.4234, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=1099.4053$, experimental 1099.4055, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=539.2153$, experimental 539.2154, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{16} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=550.2063$, experimental 550.2065, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16} S^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=359.8126$, experimental 359.8127, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

General Procedure for Peptide Synthesis. Solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) was performed in a $50.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ scale in 5 mL tubes with on an automated Syro Multiple Peptide Synthesizer (MultiSynTech, Witten, Germany) on Rapp TentaGel S RAM resin 54 (Rapp Polymere, Tübingen, Germany). Couplings were performed with HCTU (206.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 500.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10.0$ equiv in 1.0 mL DMF)/DIPEA ( $176.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.035$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 20.7$ equiv). The C-terminal amino acid for all peptides was either Fmoc-Lys(Dde)-OH or Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-0OH and was coupled manually to the resin, then manually deprotected with first $40 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} /$ tube, 2 min ) and second $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} /$ tube, 8 min ). The remaining SPPS was performed automated on the previously mentioned peptide synthesizer. For the automated procedure, amino acids were dissolved fresh with the help of ultrasound sonication in NMP ( $500.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10.0$ equiv, 0.5 M ). HCTU ( $25.1 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1200.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DMF $(120.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), DIPEA ( $25.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 147.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2940.0$ equiv) was bottled, piperidine ( $80.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 808.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 16160.0$ equiv) was prepared in DMF ( 120 mL ), and acetic anhydride ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1058.0$ equiv) and DMF $(5000 \mathrm{~mL})$ were bottled separately and were provided to the peptide synthesizer. Complicated couplings, indicated by an asterisk in the amino acid sequence above (*), were performed through a double coupling followed by capping with acetic anhydride ( $75.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 793.4$ $\mu$ mol, 15.9 equiv)/DIPEA ( $15.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 88.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.8$ equiv) in DMF $(1410 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ of potentially unreacted free $\alpha$-amino groups. Side-chain protection groups of the amino acids were as follows: Arg, Pmc; Asn, Cys, Gln, and His, Trt; Asp, Glu, Ser, Thr, and Tyr, $t \mathrm{Bu}$; and Lys and Trp, Boc. For the peptides with C-terminal PEG derivatization, amino acids with a Boc-protecting group at the $\alpha$-amino function were incorporated at the N -terminus to allow for simultaneous resin cleavage and the deprotection of side chains and the N -terminalinus.

General Procedure for Global Deprotection and Resin Cleavage. For C-terminal peptides, a Dde group was present at the $\varepsilon$-amino function at the C-terminal lysine, which was removed on the resin ( $50.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) by treatment with hydrazine ( 8.0 equiv) in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) further diluted in DMF $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The crude peptides were simultaneously cleaved from the resin and deprotected globally by a 3 h treatment with 2.0 mL of a deprotection solution ( 1.9 mL TFA, $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ tri-iso-propylsilane, $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Milli-Q $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cleaved and deprotected peptides were precipitated with ice-cold tert-butylmethyl ether, centrifuged ( $4500 \mathrm{rpm}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the supernatant was discarded. The remaining pellets were taken up in ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \%$ TFA and were purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, 5-50\% $\mathrm{ACN} /$ Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA). The product containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield the peptides as white solids.

FpvA 121-139 Peptide (5). 5 ( $16.7 \mathrm{mg}, 8.145 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 16 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.64(\mathrm{~s}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20-8.10(\mathrm{~m}), 8.07(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90-7.85(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19$ (s), 7.03 (s), 6.98 (s), $6.80(\mathrm{~s}), 5.23-4.74(\mathrm{~m}), 4.66-4.64(\mathrm{~m}), 4.48-$ $4.09(\mathrm{~m}), 4.07-4.01(\mathrm{~m}), 4.00-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76-3.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.78-2.70(\mathrm{~m}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60-2.52$ (m), 2.49-2.35 (m), 2.19-2.02 (m), 2.00-1.82 (m), 1.81-1.69 (m),
$1.68-1.57(\mathrm{~m}), 1.55-1.39(\mathrm{~m}), 1.36-1.22(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.15-0.99 (m), 0.90-0.76 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.2,173.5,172.6$, $172.5,172.3,172.0,171.9,171.4,171.3,171.2,171.2,171.1,170.9$, 170.8, 170.6, 170.1, 170.0, 169.9, 169.9, 169.8, 169.7, 169.1, 168.4, $168.1,69.9,66.8,66.7,66.5,61.8,61.7,61.4,58.3,58.0,57.7,57.4,57.4$, 57.1, 55.4, 55.2, 55.1, 52.8, 52.2, 51.8, 51.5, 51.5, 50.0, 49.5, 49.1, 48.2, $42.2,42.0,40.7,40.5,40.0,38.8,36.7,36.4,36.3,35.8,32.3,31.5,31.2$, 30.8, 29.3, 27.6, 27.2, 26.6, 24.3, 24.2, 24.0, 23.1, 23.1, 22.3, 21.5, 21.5, 19.7, 19.5, 19.4, 19.1, 18.2, 17.7, 15.4, 15.4, 14.7, 11.2, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{85} \mathrm{H}_{150} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{32} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1025.5279, experimental 1025.5278, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{85} \mathrm{H}_{149} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{32} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1036.5189$, experimental 1036.5188, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{85} \mathrm{H}_{151} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{32} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=684.0210$, experimental 684.0210, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

PfeA 33-51 Peptide (6). 6 ( $29.3 \mathrm{mg}, 13.315 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 27 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.23(\mathrm{~s}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23-8.14(\mathrm{~m}), 8.08-8.01(\mathrm{~m}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98-$ $7.90(\mathrm{~m}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.73 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.61(\mathrm{~m}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}), 7.05$ (s), 6.79 ( s$), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}), 5.10-4.80(\mathrm{~m}), 4.38-4.09(\mathrm{~m}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.04-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69-$ $3.65(\mathrm{~m}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-2.71(\mathrm{~m}), 2.34-2.06(\mathrm{~m}), 2.05-$ $1.83(\mathrm{~m}), 1.82-1.40(\mathrm{~m}), 1.39-1.24(\mathrm{~m}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15-$ $1.06(\mathrm{~m}), 1.05-0.97(\mathrm{~m}), 0.93-0.77(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.1,174.1,174.0$, 173.9, 173.9, 173.5, 172.6, 172.3, 171.7, 171.5, 171.4, 171.1, 171.1, $171.1,170.8,170.7,170.5,170.0,169.9,168.7,167.8,66.6,66.5,58.3$, 58.3, 58.0 57.7, 57.1, 57.0, 52.7, 52.4, 52.2, 52.1, 51.8, 51.7, 51.0, 48.6, 48.4, 41.9, 41.0, 40.0, 38.7, 36.6, 31.5, 31.4, 31.4, 31.0, 30.6, 30.2, 30.1, 30.1, 30.1, 30.0, 27.7, 27.6, 27.6, 27.5, 27.2, 27.1, 26,7, 26.7, 24.4, 24.1, 23.1, 22.2, 22.2, 21.5, 19.6, 19.5, 19.4, 19.2, 18.3, 18.3, 18.1, 17.9, 17.9, 17.6, 15.1, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{164} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{34}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1100.5941, experimental 1100.5940, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

HasR 122-144 Peptide (7). 7 ( $15.6 \mathrm{mg}, 6.007 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 12 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.36(\mathrm{~s}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11-8-08(\mathrm{~m}), 8.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03-7.85(\mathrm{~m}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.73-7.43(\mathrm{~m}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s})$, 5.48 (bs), 5.15-4.77 (m), 4.58-4.01 (m), 3.88-3.83 (m), 3.74 (d, $J=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.49(\mathrm{~m}), 3.15-3.04(\mathrm{~m}), 2.80-$ $2.73(\mathrm{~m}), 2.72-2.65(\mathrm{~m}), 2.53-2.34(\mathrm{~m}), 2.19-2.02(\mathrm{~m}), 1.99-1.02$ (m), 0.93-0.68 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.1,173.9,173.9$, 173.6, 172.1, 171.9, 171.9, 171.8, 171.8, 171.4, 171.1, 171.0, 171.0, $170.9,170.8,169.9,169.8,169.2,166.6,156.7,61.6,61.4,61.4,60.6$, 59.7, 59.6, 57.8, 57.6, 57.0, 55.4, 55.0, 54.2, 53.3, 52.2, 51.6, 51.3, 50.0, 49.8, 49.7, 48.3, 48.1, 47.1, 46.9, 40.6, 40.5, 40.4, 40.0, 39.9, 39.8, 39.6, $39.5,39.4,39.3,39.2,38.8,36.7,36.2,36.0,32.2,31.5,31.1,30.5,30.4$, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 28.2, 27.7, 27.2, 27.0, 26.6, 24.5, 24.3, 24.0, 23.2, 23.2, 23.1, 22.5, 22.3, 21.6, 21.5, 19.2, 19.2, 18.2, 18.2, 17.9, 17.8, 17.8, 15.3, 14.7, 11.0 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{111} \mathrm{H}_{198} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 649.8614, experimental 649.8607, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$.

FpvA 124-134 Peptide (8). 8 ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 16.260 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 33 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.56(\mathrm{~s}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.14-8.07(\mathrm{~m}), 8.06$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92-7.85(\mathrm{~m}), 7.82-7.77(\mathrm{~m})$, 7.72 (s), 7.44 (s), 7.26 (s), 7.23 (s), $7.20-7.16$ (m), 7.11 (s), 7.08 (s), 6.98 ( s$), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}), 4.67-4.61(\mathrm{~m}), 4.52-4.47(\mathrm{~m}), 4.44-4.36(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.34-4.32(\mathrm{~m}), 4.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26-4.21$ (m), 4.18 (dd, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10-4.04(\mathrm{~m}), 4.03-3.95(\mathrm{~m}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65-3.62(\mathrm{~m}), 3.59-$
$3.42(\mathrm{~m}), 2.80-2.71(\mathrm{~m}), 2.60-2.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.48-2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 2.11-2.02$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.95-1.87(\mathrm{~m}), 1.81-1.69(\mathrm{~m}), 1.66-1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.53-1.38(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.35-1.23(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12-1.06(\mathrm{~m}), 1.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83-$ 0.77 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=173.6,172.4,172.3$, 171.8, 171.7, 171.1, 170.9, 170.7, 170.3, 170.2, 169.8, 169.8, 168.3, $167.7,66.6,66.5,61.8,58.3,57.7,57.2,57.1,54.8,52.3,51.8,51.4,50.1$, 49.6, 48.1, 41.9, 40.5, 40.0, 38.8, 36.6, 36.2, 35.9, 32.2, 30.7, 29.9, 29.3, 26.5, 24.3, 24.0, 23.2, 22.2, 21.3, 19.7, 19.3, 18.3, 18.1, 17.5, 15.4, 14.6, 10.9 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1248.6617, experimental 1248.6610, $\delta$ [ppm] $=0.7$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{NaO}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=635.8254$, experimental 635.8249, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{93} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=646.8164$, experimental 646.8158, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=416.8921$, experimental $416.8918, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$.

PfeA 37-46 Peptide (9). 9 ( $31.6 \mathrm{mg}, 25.957 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 52 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.14(\mathrm{~s}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05-7.97(\mathrm{~m}), 7.94-$ $7.88(\mathrm{~m}), 7.82-7.68(\mathrm{~m}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}), 7.05$ $(\mathrm{s}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}), 4.45-4.38(\mathrm{~m}), 4.37-4.31(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.28-4.23(\mathrm{~m}), 4.21-4.07(\mathrm{~m}), 4.02-3.97(\mathrm{~m}), 3.60-3.56(\mathrm{~m}), 2.88$ (s), 2.80-2.70 (m), 2.28-2.19 (m), 2.18-2.06 (m), 1.99-1.84 (m), $1.78-1.64(\mathrm{~m}), 1.54-1.48(\mathrm{~m}), 1.33-1.24(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-0.75(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=173.9,173.9,173.4$, $172.5,172.4,171.3,171.0,171.0,170.7,170.6,169.9,169.7,165.9$, $162.4,66.5,66.5,58.1,58.1,57.8,57.6,52.6,52.3,52.1,51.8,48.4,48.3$, $40.2,40.0,38.8,31.6,31.4,31.4,30.7,30.3,30.0,27.9,27.7,27.6,26.7$, 22.2, 19.6, 19.5, 19.2, 18.2, 18.0, 18.0, 18.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{17}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1130.6164, experimental 1130.6154, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{83} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{NaO}_{17}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=1152.5984$., experimental 1152.5980, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{17}{ }^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=565.8119$, experimental 565.8118, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{17}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=377.5437$, experimental $377.5436, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

HasR 129-138 Peptide (10). 10 ( $21.7 \mathrm{mg}, 17.825 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 36 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis and global deprotection and resin cleavage.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.67(\mathrm{~s}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00-7.95$ $(\mathrm{m}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ Hz ), 7.26 ( s ), 7.19 ( s ), 7.15 ( s$), 7.12$ ( s$), 7.03$ ( s$), 6.77$ ( s$), 4.61$ (td, $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.33(\mathrm{~m}), 4.30-4.26(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.23-4.19(\mathrm{~m}), 4.16-4.03(\mathrm{~m}), 3.97-3.34(\mathrm{~m}), 3.15-2.99(\mathrm{~m}), 2.84$ (dd, $J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.78-2.73 (m), 2.70 (dd, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.5$ Hz ), 2.66 (dd, $J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.56-2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 2.12-2.01(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.98-1.80(\mathrm{~m}), 1.78-1.40(\mathrm{~m}), 1.35-1.22(\mathrm{~m}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}), 0.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.84-0.78(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=173.9,173.5,171.8$, $171.8,171.4,171.1,171.0,170.8,170.6,170.4,170.0,169.3,169.1$, $167.9,61.5,61.4,59.6,58.1,57.5,55.3,53.3,52.3,52.2,51.6,51.4,49.8$, $48.8,47.1,43.7,40.4,40.0,38.7,36.0,35.6,32.2,31.5,31.1,30.6,30.2$, 29.5, 29.1, 27.8, 26.6, 24.4, 24.2, 23.1, 22.4, 21.6, 19.2, 19.2, 18.2, 17.8.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): m / z=$ 1217.6195, experimental 1217.6194, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): m / z=1239.6014$, experimental 1239.6006, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.8$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=609.3134$, experimental 609.3136, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=620.3043$, experimental 620.3047, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=631.2953$, experimental $631.2949, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$.

General Procedure for N - or C-Terminal Modification, Global Deprotection, and Resin Cleavage. N-Terminal coupling with $\mathrm{N}_{3}-$
(PEG) $)_{7}-\mathrm{COOH}(83.2 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv) was performed after manual deprotection of the N -terminal Fmoc group and cleavage of the peptide chain ( $50.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) on the resin with $\mathrm{HOBt}(30.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $200.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0$ equiv), $\operatorname{HATU}(85.6 \mathrm{mg}, 225.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.5$ equiv), and NMM ( 0.2 mL ) activation in threefold excess at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 h in DMF $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The Dde group, if present, was removed on the resin by a treatment with hydrazine ( 8.0 equiv) in THF ( 1.0 M ) ( 0.4 mL ) in DMF $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h .

C-terminal coupling with $\mathrm{N}_{3}-(\mathrm{PEG})_{7}-\mathrm{COOH}(83.2 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 3.0 equiv), PDTP ( 32.3 mg , $150 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv), or $40(35.7 \mathrm{mg}, 150$ $\mu$ mol, 3.0 equiv) was performed after the Dde deprotection procedure described in "General Procedure for Global Deprotection and Resin Cleavage" after the same procedure as stated above for the N-terminal modification. For C-terminal coupling with 40, the reagents were added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the coupling with 40, deacetylation was performed with DIPEA $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The crude peptides were cleaved from the resin and globally deprotected as described in "General Procedure for Global Deprotection and Resin Cleavage". After precipitation with ice-cold tert-butylmethyl ether and centrifugation ( $4500 \mathrm{rpm}, 30 \mathrm{~min},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), the resulting crude peptides were taken up in ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \%$ TFA and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $\left.5-50 \% \mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{Milli}-\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$. The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield the PEG-modified peptides as white solids.

Long N-Terminal Azido FpvA Peptide (61). 61 (4.8 mg, $5.721 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $11 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 121-139 C-term Dde peptide or FpvA 121-139 C-terminal Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-(\mathrm{PEG})_{7}-\mathrm{COOH}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.33(\mathrm{~s}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11-8.01(\mathrm{~m}), 7.99(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.87-7.82(\mathrm{~m}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ Hz ), 7.67 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.60 ( s$), 7.44$ ( s$), 7.22$ ( s$), 7.19$ ( s$), 7.04$ ( s$)$, 7.02 ( s ), 6.99 ( s ), 6.80 ( s$), 5.12-4.80(\mathrm{~m}), 4.68$ (dd, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.57-4.50(\mathrm{~m}), 4.45-3.91(\mathrm{~m}), 3.83-3.77(\mathrm{~m}), 3.75-3.47(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40-3.14(\mathrm{~m}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.78-2.52(\mathrm{~m}), 2.47-2.36(\mathrm{~m}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}), 2.15-2.02(\mathrm{~m}), 2.00-1.19$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15-1.05(\mathrm{~m}), 1.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 0.94 ( s ), 0.86 (dd, $J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84-0.75(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.0,173.4,173.3$, 172.8, 172.4, 172.4, 172.2, 171.9, 171.9, 171.8, 171.3, 171.1, 171.0, $170.8,170.8,170.7,170.5,170.4,170.0,169.9,169.8,169.0,168.8$, $168.3,70.3,70.1,69.8,69.8,69.7,69.6,69.3,68.9,66.7,66.7,66.6,66.5$, 61.7, 61.4, 58.2, 57.9, 57.6, 57.4, 57.2, 57.2, 57.0, 55.0, 55.0, 54.9, 52.7, 52.1, 51.7, 51.4, 51.4, 51.3, 50.0, 49.9, 49.4, 49.0, 48.6, 48.1, 45.8, 42.6, 42.2, 41.9, 40.6, 40.4, 40.2, 38.7, 38.1, 36.6, 36.4, 36.2, 32.2, 31.5, 31.2, 30.6, 29.7, 29.3, 27.9, 27.5, 27.1, 26.5, 24.2, 24.1, 23.9, 23.1, 23.0, 22.2, $21.5,19.6,19.5,19.3,19.1,19.0,18.2,17.7,17.3,15.4,15.3,14.6,11.2$, 11.2, 10.9, 8.7.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{190} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z$ $=1293.6626$, experimental 1293.6622, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{191} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=862.7775$, experimental 862.7777, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.

Long N-Terminal Azido PfeA Peptide (62). 62 (29.1 mg, 10.632 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 21 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 C-terminal Dde peptide or PfeA 33-51 C-terminal Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-(\mathrm{PEG})_{7}-\mathrm{COOH}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-$ $8.15(\mathrm{~m}), 8.08-8.02(\mathrm{~m}), 8.02-7.98(\mathrm{~m}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95-$ $7.85(\mathrm{~m}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.69 (bs), $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}), 7.24$ (s), 7.05 (s), 6.79 (s), 6.77 (s), 4.99-4.86 (m), 4.39-4.07 (m), 4.05-3.92 (m), 3.79 (dd, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67-3.51(\mathrm{~m}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.40-3.38(\mathrm{~m}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19-3.15(\mathrm{~m}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ Hz ), 2.34-2.05 (m), 2.02-1.83 (m), 1.82-1.25 (m), 1.23-1.19 (m), $1.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 0.85-0.77 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.1,174.1,174.0$, 174.0, 173.9, 173.9, 173.4, 172.7, 172.3, 171.7, 171.5, 171.5, 171.4, $171.1,171.1,170.8,170.7,170.6,170.0,170.0,168.9,168.8,168.7,70.3$, $70.2,69.8,69.8,69.7,69.7,69.6,69.3,68.9,66.5,66.4,58.3,58.3,58.0$, $57.8,57.2,56.8,52.7,52.7,52.6,52.4,52.2,52.1,51.7,51.7,51.0,50.0$, 48.6, 48.4, 41.9, 41.0, 40.0, 38.7, 38.1, 38.0, 36.4, 31.5, 31.5, 31.4, 31.3, 31.0, 30.6, 30.5, 30.2, 30.1, 30.0, 29.0, 27.7, 27.6, 27.4, 27.2, 27.2, 27.0, 26.7, 26.6, 24.4, 24.0, 23.1, 22.4, 22.2, 21.5, 19.6, 19.5, 19.4, 19.2, 19.2, 18.3, 18.2, 18.1, 17.9, 17.9, 15.2, 14.0, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{116} \mathrm{H}_{204} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{45}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1368.7292, experimental 1368.7312, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{116} \mathrm{H}_{205} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{45}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=912.8220$, experimental $912.8232 \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.2$.

Long $N$-Terminal Azido HasR Peptide (63). 63 ( $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.106$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 10 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the HasR 122-144 C-terminal Dde peptide or HasR 122-144 C-term Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-(\mathrm{PEG})_{7}-\mathrm{COOH}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.36(\mathrm{~s}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.40-7.27(\mathrm{~m}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s})$, 7.12 (s), 7.05 (s), 7.00 ( s), 6.81 ( s$), 6.79$ ( s$), 6.76$ ( s$), 5.21-4.06(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.00(\mathrm{~s}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66-3.24$ (m), 3.17 (s), 3.15-3.00 (m), 2.96(s), 2.89-2.51 (m), 2.49-2.33 (m), $2.27(\mathrm{~s}), 2.16-2.02(\mathrm{~m}), 1.99-1.14(\mathrm{~m}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}), 1.10-1.01(\mathrm{~m}), 0.94$ (s), 0.90-0.75 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.0,173.9,173.6$, $172.8,172.0,171.7,171.7,171.5,171.4,171.4,171.2,171.0,171.0$, $170.8,170.8,170.7,170.6,170.3,170.2,170.2,170.1,170.0,169.9$, $169.8,169.7,169.7,169.5,169.4,169.2,169.1,168.9,166.6,166.1$, $157.5,156.7,73.6,73.0,72.9,72.8,70.3,70.2,69.8,69.8,69.7,69.6$, 69.3, 68.9, 61.6, 61.6, 61.5, 61.4, 60.6, 60.5, 59.6, 59.6, 59.5, 57.6, 57.3, $57.3,56.9,56.8,56.7,55.3,54.9,54.5,54.2,53.3,52.7,52.5,52.3,52.2$, 52.1, 52.0, 51.6, 51.3, 51.2, 51.1, 50.0, 50.0, 49.9, 49.6, 49.5, 48.2, 48.1, 48.1, 47.0, 46.9, 46.8, 42.6, 42.5, 41.2, 40.6, 40.6, 40.5, 40.5, 40.4, 38.7, $38.2,36.7,36.6,36.4,36.3,36.3,36.0,35.8,32.2,31.5,31.3,31.3,31.1$, 30.9, 30.8, 30.5, 30.4, 30.4, 29.8, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 28.3, 28.3, 28.2, 28.1, 27.9, 27.7, 27.1, 27.0, 26.6, 26.5, 25.0, 24.5, 24.3, 24.2, 24.1, 24.1, 24.1, 24.0, 24.0, 23.9, 23.2, 23.1, 22.6, 22.5, 22.5, 22.3, 22.2, 22.1, 21.8, 21.6, $21.5,21.5,19.2,19.1,19.0,18.2,18.0,17.9,17.8,17.8,17.6,17.4,15.3$, 15.2, 14.6, 12.3, 10.9.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{133} \mathrm{H}_{237} \mathrm{~N}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{46} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=1044.90261$, experimental 1044.9013, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.25$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{133} \mathrm{H}_{238} \mathrm{~N}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{46} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=783.92878$, experimental $783.9286 \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.23$.

Long C-Terminal Azido FpvA Peptide (64). 64 ( $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 6.069$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 12 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 121-139 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-$ (PEG) 7 -COOH.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.64(\mathrm{~s}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19-8.10(\mathrm{~m}), 8.09-$ $8.02(\mathrm{~m}), 7.98-7.94(\mathrm{~m}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90-7.83(\mathrm{~m}), 7.81-$ $7.78(\mathrm{~m}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.64(\mathrm{~m}), 7.62$ (s), 7.44 (s), 7.25-7.15 (m), 7.05-6.94 (m), 6.82-6.75 (m), 5.17$4.80(\mathrm{~m}), 4.59-4.09(\mathrm{~m}), 4.06-3.89(\mathrm{~m}), 3.83-3.48(\mathrm{~m}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42-3.38(\mathrm{~m}), 3.28(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88-2.82(\mathrm{~m}), 2.77-2.63(\mathrm{~m}), 2.60-2.53(\mathrm{~m}), 2.50-$ $2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.03(\mathrm{~m}), 2.00-1.82(\mathrm{~m}), 1.81-1.17(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.16-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}), 0.90-0.76(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.0,174.0,173.5$, 173.4, 173.4, 172.8, 172.4, 172.4, 172.4, 172.2, 171.8, 171.8, 171.3, 171.2, 171.1, 171.0, 171.0, 170.8, 170.7, 170.6, 170.6, 170.4, 170.0, $170.0,169.9,169.8,169.8,169.7,169.5,169.0,168.9,168.7,168.4$, 168.3, 167.8, 73.2, 72.9, 70.3, 70.2, 69.8, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.3, 68.9, 66.7, 66.7, 66.6, 66.5, 61.8, 61.7, 61.7, 61.4, 58.2, 57.8, 57.6, 57.2, 57.2, 57.0, 55.1, 55.0, 55.0, 52.7, 52.4, 52.3, 52.1, 52.1, 51.7, 51.3, 51.3, 50.0, 49.9, 49.4, 48.9, 48.8, 48.6, 48.1, 42.6, 42.5, 42.2, 42.1, 41.9, 40.7, 40.5, $40.4,40.1,40.0,38.7,38.1,38.0,36.7,36.5,36.5,36.4,36.3,35.7,35.5$, 32.3, 31.5, 31.2, 30.8, 29.7, 29.3, 28.9, 28.1, 27.9, 27.6, 27.5, 27.1, 26.5,
24.3, 24.2, 24.1, 24.0, 23.9, 23.1, 23.0, 22.8, 22.7, 22.2, 21.5, 21.5, 19.6, 19.5, 19.3, 19.3, 19.1, 18.2, 17.6, 17.3, 15.4, 15.3, 14.6, 11.2, 11.2, 10.9.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{190} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z$ $=1293.6626$, experimental 1293.6626, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1304.6535$, experimental 1304.6535, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{191} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=862.7775$, experimental 862.7777, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{107} \mathrm{H}_{192} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{43} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=647.3349$, experimental 647.3351, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.

Long C-Terminal Azido PfeA Peptide (65). 65 ( $31.3 \mathrm{mg}, 11.436$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 23 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3^{-}}$ (PEG) ${ }_{7}$-COOH.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.08(\mathrm{~s}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19-8.15(\mathrm{~m}), 8.07-8.01(\mathrm{~m}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96-7.86(\mathrm{~m}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66-7.62(\mathrm{~m}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s})$, 6.81-6.74 (m), 4.92 (bs), 4.89 (bs), 4.40-4.08 (m), 4.03-3.90 (m), $3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.62-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 3.57-3.48(\mathrm{~m}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39-3.38$ $(\mathrm{m}), 3.28(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76$ (dd, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33-1.83(\mathrm{~m}), 1.80-1.24(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11-0.99(\mathrm{~m}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-$ 0.79 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.1,174.0,174.0$, 173.9, 173.8, 173.5, 172.5, 172.4, 172.3, 171.5, 171.4, 171.3, 171.2, 171.0, 171.0, 171.0, 170.7, 170.6, 170.5, 169.8, 169.8, 169.8, 168.7, 168.7, 168.5, 167.6, 70.2, 70.2, 69.8, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.3, 68.9, 66.6, 66.5, 58.1, 58.1, 58.1, 57.8, 57.6, 57.1, 56.9, 52.5, 52.5, 52.4, 52.3, 52.0, 51.9, 51.7, 51.6, 51.0, 50.0, 48.6, 48.4, 48.3, 41.8, 41.0, 40.0, 38.8, 38.1, $38.1,36.6,31.7,31.5,31.5,31.4,31.1,30.6,30.3,30.2,30.1,30.0,30.0$, 30.0, 29.0, 27.8, 27.7, 27.6, 27.5, 27.3, 27.2, 27.2, 26.6, 24.4, 24.0, 23.1, 22.7, 22.1, 21.5, 19.5, 19.4, 19.2, 18.3, 18.2, 18.0, 18.0, 17.9, 17.6, 15.1, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{116} \mathrm{H}_{204} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{45}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1368.7293, experimental 1368.7299, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{116} \mathrm{H}_{205} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{45}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=912.8220$, experimental 912.8232, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.2$.

Long C-Terminal Azido HasR Peptide (66). 66 ( $18.6 \mathrm{mg}, 5.936$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 12 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the HasR 122-144 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3^{-}}$ (PEG) ${ }_{7}$ - COOH .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.33(\mathrm{~s}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.38-7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.40-7.17(\mathrm{~m}), 7.03-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}), 6.83-6.72(\mathrm{~m}), 5.48(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}), 5.10-4.89(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58-4.05(\mathrm{~m}), 3.95-3.83(\mathrm{~m}), 3.76-3.20(\mathrm{~m})$, 3.17 (s), 3.15-2.51 (m), 2.47-2.33 (m), 2.27 (s), 2.20-1.01 (m), 0.94 (s), 0.91-0.74 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.0,173.8,173.6$, 173.6, 172.8, 172.0, 171.7, 171.7, 171.7, 171.4, 171.4, 171.2, 171.0, $171.0,171.0,170.8,170.8,170.8,170.8,170.7,170.6,170.2,170.2$, $170.0,170.0,169.8,169.8,169.7,169.7,169.2,169.1,168.7,168.5$, $166.6,166.1,156.7,73.6,73.0,72.8,70.3,70.2,69.8,69.8,69.7,69.6$, 69.3, 68.9, 61.6, 61.6, 61.5, 61.4, 60.6, 60.5, 59.6, 59.6, 59.5, 59.5, 59.5, 57.7, 57.6, 57.2, 57.2, 56.9, 56.8, 56.7, 55.3, 55.3, 54.9, 54.9, 54.2, 53.3, $52.7,52.5,52.4,52.3,52.2,52.2,52.0,51.6,51.2,51.2,51.1,50.0,49.9$, 49.6, 49.5, 48.1, 48.1, 47.0, 46.9, 46.8, 42.6, 41.2, 40.6, 40.6, 40.5, 40.5, $40.4,40.0,38.7,38.2,38.1,36.7,36.3,36.0,35.8,32.2,31.5,31.3,31.3$, 31.1, 30.9, 30.9, 30.5, 30.4, 29.8, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 29.1, 29.0, 29.0, 29.0, 28.7, 28.3, 28.3, 28.2, 28.1, 27.9, 27.7, 27.7, 27.2, 27.1, 27.0, 26.6, 25.0, 24.5, 24.3, 24.2, 24.1, 24.1, 24.0, 23.1, 22.8, 22.6, 22.5, 22.3, 22.1, 21.7, 21.6, 21.5, 21.5, 19.2, 19.1, 18.2, 18.1, 18.0, 17.9, 17.8, 17.8, 17.8, 17.4, 15.3, 15.3, 14.6, 14.0, 10.9.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{133} \mathrm{H}_{237} \mathrm{~N}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{46} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=1044.9026$, experimental 1044.9043, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.7$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{133} \mathrm{H}_{238} \mathrm{~N}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{46} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=783.9288$, experimental 783.9303, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{133} \mathrm{H}_{239} \mathrm{~N}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{46} 5^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=627.3445$, experimental 627.3454, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$.

Short N-Terminal Azido FpvA Peptide (67). 67 (21.2 mg, 11.876 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 24 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 124-134 C-terminal Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-(\mathrm{PEG})_{7}$ - COOH .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.39(\mathrm{~s}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ Hz ), $7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s})$, $6.99(\mathrm{~s}), 5.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.57 (dd, $J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.36$ $(\mathrm{m}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26-$ $4.16(\mathrm{~m}), 4.10-4.05(\mathrm{~m}), 4.04-3.96(\mathrm{~m}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}), 3.72-3.62(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.61-3.59(\mathrm{~m}), 3.57-3.46(\mathrm{~m}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40-3.38(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-2.70(\mathrm{~m}), 2.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.54-2.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.49-2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 1.97(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.82-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.64-1.56(\mathrm{~m}), 1.54-1.38(\mathrm{~m}), 1.36-1.23(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16-1.05(\mathrm{~m}), 1.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95-$ 0.77 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=173.6,172.4,172.2$, 171.9, 171.8, 171.1, 170.9, 170.7, 170.6, 170.5, 170.1, 169.8, 169.8, 168.9, 168.3, 70.4, 70.2, 69.8, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.3, 68.9, 66.5, 66.5, $61.8,58.2,57.7,57.1,57.0,54.8,52.3,51.8,51.4,50.0,50.0,49.4,48.1$, $41.9,40.6,40.0,38.7,38.1,36.6,36.2,35.7,32.2,30.8,30.7,29.3,27.2$, $26.5,24.3,24.0,23.1,22.2,21.4,19.6,19.3,19.1,18.2,18.0,15.3,14.6$, 10.9 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{135} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 892.9691, experimental 892.9687, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{134} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{NaO}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=903.9601$, experimental 903.9599, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{133} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=914.9511$, experimental 914.9512, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=595.6485$, experimental 595.6484, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.
Short N-Terminal Azido PfeA Peptide (68). 68 ( $27.8 \mathrm{mg}, 16.678$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 33 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 37-46 C-terminal Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-(PEG) $)_{7}$ - COOH .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.08(\mathrm{~s}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73-7.68(\mathrm{~m}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.36(\mathrm{p}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33-4.23(\mathrm{~m}), 4.19-4.08$ $(\mathrm{m}), 4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 3.56-3.48$ (m), $3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40-3.38(\mathrm{~m}), 3.28(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78-$ 2.71 (m), 2.27-2.19 (m), 2.16-2.05 (m), 2.01-1.84 (m), 1.78-1.64 $(\mathrm{m}), 1.56-1.47(\mathrm{~m}), 1.34-1.25(\mathrm{~m}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{125} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 833.9465, experimental 833.9459, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{124} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{NaO}_{28}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=844.9375$, experimental 844.9372, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{123} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=855.9285$, experimental $855.9285, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{126} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=556.3001$, experimental 556.3002, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.
Short N-Terminal Azido HasR Peptide (69). 69 ( $12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 7.298$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 15 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the HasR 129-138 C-terminal Boc peptide and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-(PEG) $)_{7}$ - COOH .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.33(\mathrm{~s}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04-7.98(\mathrm{~m}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-7.65(\mathrm{~m}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}), 5.10-5.04$ $(\mathrm{m}), 4.62(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.41-4.33 (m), 4.30-4.25 (m), 4.23-4.10 (m), 3.99$3.92(\mathrm{~m}), 3.73-3.65(\mathrm{~m}), 3.64-3.49(\mathrm{~m}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40-$ $3.38(\mathrm{~m}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-2.67(\mathrm{~m}), 2.61-2.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.46-$ $2.36(\mathrm{~m}), 2.13-2.01(\mathrm{~m}), 1.97-1.82(\mathrm{~m}), 1.79-1.63(\mathrm{~m}), 1.60-1.38$ (m), 1.37-1.21 (m), 1.14-1.07 (m), 0.89-0.79 (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{130} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 877.4480, experimental 877.4486, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{133} \mathrm{~N}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{2+}\right): m / z=885.9613$, experimental 885.9613, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{129} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{NaO}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ $\left.+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=888.4390$, experimental 888.4395, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=899.4300$, experimental $899.4305, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{131} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{29} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=585.3011$, experimental $585.3018, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$.

Long N-Terminal Pyridyl Disulfide-Modified PfeA Peptide (70). 70 $(12.7 \mathrm{mg}, 5.297 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 11 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and PDTP.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.10(\mathrm{~s}), 8.47-8.43$ $(\mathrm{m}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18-8.14(\mathrm{~m}), 8.09-$ $8.02(\mathrm{~m}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97-7.90(\mathrm{~m}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~m}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 8.1 Hz ), 7.69 (bs), $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}), 7.25-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.77$ (s), 4.93 (bs), $4.71-4.65(\mathrm{~m}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39-4.05(\mathrm{~m}), 4.04-3.99(\mathrm{~m}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.71-3.66$ (m), $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20-3.11(\mathrm{~m}), 3.03-2.97(\mathrm{~m}), 2.80-2.73$ (m), 2.60-2.52 (m), $2.48(\mathrm{~s}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}), 2.41-2.07(\mathrm{~m}), 2.04-1.83(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.81-1.25(\mathrm{~m}), 1.24-1.18(\mathrm{~m}), 1.11-0.97(\mathrm{~m}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84-0.78(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{102} \mathrm{H}_{171} \mathrm{~N}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z$ $=1199.0930$, experimental 1199.0925, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{102} \mathrm{H}_{172} \mathrm{~N}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=799.7311$, experimental 799.7309, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.20$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{102} \mathrm{H}_{173} \mathrm{~N}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{4+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=600.0502$ experimental $600.0500, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{102} \mathrm{H}_{174} \mathrm{~N}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=480.2416$, experimental 480.2415, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

Long C-Terminal Monocatechol FpvA (C33). C33 ( $9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 4.391$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 9 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 121-139 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.82(\mathrm{~s}), 9.13(\mathrm{~s}), 8.75$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.64-8.55(\mathrm{~m}), 8.37-8.31(\mathrm{~m}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.27-8.25(\mathrm{~m}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11-7.94$ (m), $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90-7.76(\mathrm{~m}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}), 7.17$ (s), 7.03-6.94 (m), $6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.9 Hz ), $5.20-5.06$ (m), 4.98 (s), 4.88 (s), 4.66-3.92 (m), 3.83-3.50 $(\mathrm{m}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.91-2.81 (m), 2.72 (dd, $J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69-2.62(\mathrm{~m}), 2.61-$ $2.55(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.48-2.34(\mathrm{~m}), 2.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14-2.02(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.00(\mathrm{~s}), 1.99-1.81(\mathrm{~m}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}), 1.76-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.68-1.19(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.15-1.04(\mathrm{~m}), 1.02-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.94-0.75(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{154} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1093.5359, experimental 1093.5365, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{153} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1104.5269$, experimental 1104.5264, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{155} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} 5^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=729.3597$, experimental $729.3597, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

Long C-Terminal Monocatechol PfeA (C34). C34 (17.4 mg, 7.447 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 15 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.80(\mathrm{~s}), 12.09(\mathrm{~s})$, $9.12(\mathrm{~s}), 8.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.19-8.15(\mathrm{~m}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.97-7.87(\mathrm{~m}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.33$ (s), $7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.81-6.74(\mathrm{~m}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}), 4.40-4.08(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69-3.65$ (m), $3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61-2.52(\mathrm{~m}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}), 2.41-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.06-1.83$
(m), 1.80-1.42 (m), 1.39-1.19 (m), 1.11-0.99 (m), $0.94(\mathrm{~s}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.78(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{168} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1168.6026, experimental 1168.6046, $\delta$ [ppm] $=2.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1179.5936$, experimental 1179.5953, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.7$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{166} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1190.5846$, experimental 1190.5853, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{169} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=779.4042$, experimental 779.4054, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{170} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=584.8050$, experimental 584.8062, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.2$.

Long $N$-Terminal Monocatechol FpvA with L-Amino Acids (N33) ). $\mathrm{N} 33_{\mathrm{L}}(4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.756 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 121-139 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.89,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})), 5.07(\mathrm{t}, J=4.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.77 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.74,(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{154} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1093.5359, experimental 1093.5350, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{153} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1104.5269$, experimental 1104.5252, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 1.7. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{155} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} 5^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=729.3597$, experimental 729.3593, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$.

Long $N$-Terminal Monocatechol PfeA with L-Amino Acids (N34). $\mathbf{N} 34_{\mathrm{L}}(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.432 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 7 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.36(\mathrm{t}, J=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.94,17.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (dd, $J=4.52$,), $3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.10$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{168} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1168.6026, experimental 1168.6030, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{169} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=779.4042$, experimental $779.4047, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$.
Long N-Terminal Monocatechol FpvA with L-Amino Acids (N33 ${ }_{D}$ ). $\mathrm{N} 33_{\mathrm{D}}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.569 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the FpvA 121-139 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.43,24.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1), 6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.81 (m, 26HZ).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{154} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1093.5359, experimental 1093.5338, $\delta$ [ppm] $=2.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{155} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=729.3597$, experimental 729.3588, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$.

Long N-Terminal Monocatechol PfeA with L-Amino Acids (N34D). N34 $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0,6865 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedures for peptide synthesis, N - or C-terminal modification, and global deprotection with the PfeA 33-51 N-terminal Boc C-terminal Dde peptide and catechol 40.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{168} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1168.6026, experimental 1168.6029, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{37}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1179.5936$, experimental 1179.5943, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{101} \mathrm{H}_{169} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{37}{ }^{3+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=779.4042$, experimental 779.4045, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$.

General Procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM 1 or 2. 11-21 were prepared via an adaptation of an established procedure reported by Ferreira et al. ${ }^{64} \mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ ( 2.5 equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in DMSO ( 1 mL ). To the solution was added zinc acetate ( 5.0 equiv) dissolved in Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . In the case where 2 was used, acetic acid ( $1 \%$, $40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to the reaction mixture to prevent deacetylation. PEG-modified peptide (61-69) (1.0 equiv) dissolved in DMSO (1 mL ) was added to the solution. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ ( 0.5 equiv) dissolved in PBS ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and sodium ascorbate ( 1.0 equiv) dissolved in PBS ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added to the $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, whereupon a white solid precipitated immediately. THPTA ( 0.25 equiv) dissolved in PBS ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the suspension under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the solution was filtered over cotton wool and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $5-35 \%$ ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ). The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield product 11-21 as white solids.

FpvA 121-139 N-Terminal (PEG) $)_{-}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (11). 11 (36.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 91 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM $2(26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 27.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $61(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 11.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.36(\mathrm{~s}), 9.02(\mathrm{~s}), 8.62-$ 8.57 (m), 8.55-8.51 (m), 8.44-8.39 (m), 8.37-8.29 (m), $8.26(\mathrm{~s})$, $8.22-8.10(\mathrm{~m}), 8.09-7.75(\mathrm{~m}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ Hz ), 7.51-7.44 (m), 7.28-7.20 (m), 7.18 (s), $7.02(\mathrm{~s}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}), 6.87-$ $6.81(\mathrm{~m}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}), 6.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56-4.47$ (m), $4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}), 4.39-4.36(\mathrm{~m}), 4.34-4.27(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.26-4.20(\mathrm{~m}), 4.17-4.11(\mathrm{~m}), 4.09-3.96(\mathrm{~m}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.92 (s), $3.85-3.58$ (m), 3.55 (dd, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.52-3.20(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02-2.85(\mathrm{~m}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71-2.55$ (m), 2.54 (s), 2.48-2.35 (m), 2.15-2.02 (m), 1.95-1.87 (m), 1.84$1.71(\mathrm{~m}), 1.68-1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.56-1.40(\mathrm{~m}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}), 1.35-1.26(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.76$ (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{252} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=1209.2352$, experimental 1209.2347, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$.

Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{253} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=$ 907.1782, experimental 907.1777, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{254} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=725.9440$, experimental 725.9441, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.
PfeA 33-51 N-Terminal (PEG) $7_{7}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (12). 12 (34.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM 2 ( $22.3 \mathrm{mg}, 22.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 62 ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg} 9.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.31(\mathrm{~s}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}), 8.21-$ $8.13(\mathrm{~m}), 8.11-7.75(\mathrm{~m}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}), 7.30$ (s), $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}), 6.81-$ $6.74(\mathrm{~m}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}), 6.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 5.3 Hz ), 4.38 ( s , , 4.35-4.24 (m), 4.23-3.92 (m), 3.89 ( s ), 3.84 ( s ), $3.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61-3.28(\mathrm{~m}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00-2.80$ (m), $2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64-2.56(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}), 2.41-2.39$ (m), 2.26-2.08 (m), 2.05-1.14 (m), $1.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-0.76(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.8,174.8,174.8$, 174.3, 174.3, 173.9, 173.9, 173.9, 173.7, 173.7, 173.5, 172.7, 172.3, 172.0, 171.3, 171.2, 171.2, 170.9, 170.8, 170.7, 170.1, 169.9, 169.9, 168.9, 168.8, 168.7, 167.7, 164.0, 150.1, 150.0, 146.5, 146.5, 143.4, $123.7,118.4,118.4,117.5,117.5,117.4,117.4,115.3,115.3,70.3,70.2$, $69.8,69.7,69.6,69.5,68.9,68.7,57.2,56.9,55.8,55.7,53.0,52.8,52.2$, 49.4, 41.9, 40.4, 40.0, 38.1, 31.5, 30.6, 28.3, 27.4, 26.7, 26.6, 24.4, 24.1, 23.1, 22.2, 21.5, 19.6, 19.6, 19.5, 19.2, 19.2, 18.3, 18.2, 18.1, 17.9, 17.8, 15.2, 11.0 .

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{266} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right)$ : $m / z=1259.2797$, experimental 1259.2793, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{267} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=944.7116$, experimental 944.7114, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{268} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=755.9707$, experimental $755.9708, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{269} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}([\mathrm{M}$ $\left.+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=630.1435$, experimental $630.1434, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

HasR 122-144 N -Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}-\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (13). 13 $(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 87 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM $2(19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 19.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $63(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.27(\mathrm{~s}), 9.05(\mathrm{~s}), 8.63-$ $8.36(\mathrm{~m}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}), 8.24-7.47(\mathrm{~m}), 7.40-7.18(\mathrm{~m}), 7.05-6.95(\mathrm{~m})$, $6.90-6.83(\mathrm{~m}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}), 6.66-6.55(\mathrm{~m}), 6.40-6.31(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.07-4.76$ (m), $4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42-4.09$ (m), $4.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80-$ $3.54(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-3.40(\mathrm{~m}), 3.39-3.30(\mathrm{~m}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11-3.02(\mathrm{~m}), 2.99(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91-2.84(\mathrm{~m}), 2.75$ (bs), 2.67 (bs), 2.64 (s), 2.54 (s), 2.49-2.35 (m), 2.22-2.01 (m), $1.98-1.38(\mathrm{~m}), 1.37$ (s), 1.26-1.00 (m), 0.90-0.73 (m).
HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{298} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=1391.36031$, experimental 1391.3564, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.81$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{299} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=$ 1043.77205, experimental 1043.7701, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.87$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{300} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=835.21909$, experimental 835.2184, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.83$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{301} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}\left([\mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=696.18379$, experimental 696.1829, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.28$.
FpvA 124-134 N -Terminal (PEG) $)_{-}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (14). 14 (26.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 91 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM 2 ( $24.6 \mathrm{mg}, 25.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 67 ( $18.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08-8.03(\mathrm{~m}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.97-7.91(\mathrm{~m}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 7.9 Hz ), 7.70-7.60 (m), 7.31 (s), 7.27 (s), 7.24 (s), 7.20 (s), 7.13 ( s$)$, 7.06 (s), 7.04 (bs), 7.02 (s), 6.78 (bs), 6.77 (s), 6.43 (s), 6.38 (bs), $4.39-4.07$ (m), 4.03-3.99 (m), 3.97 (dd, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.80 (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68-3.62(\mathrm{~m}), 3.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}), 2.33-1.83(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.80-1.24(\mathrm{~m}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11-0.99(\mathrm{~m}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84-0.79(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{196} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1412.6557$, experimental 1412.6552, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=$ 942.1062, experimental 942.1065, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{198} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=706.8315$, experimental 706.8318, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{199} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=565.6666$, experimental 565.6665, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

PfeA 37-46 N -Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}-\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (15). 15 (25.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 96 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM 2 ( $23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 24.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 68 ( $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 9.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=10.03(\mathrm{~s}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s})$, $9.29-8.78(\mathrm{~m}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04-7.99(\mathrm{~m}), 7.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}), 7.91-7.84$ (m), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77-7.64(\mathrm{~m}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}), 7.26-7.14$ $(\mathrm{m}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}), 6.94-6.89(\mathrm{~m}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.83-6.69(\mathrm{~m}), 6.66-6.59(\mathrm{~m}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.48-6.29(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.93-3.88(\mathrm{~m}), 3.82-3.75(\mathrm{~m}), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}), 3.38-3.36(\mathrm{~m}), 3.32-$ $3.27(\mathrm{~m}), 3.01-2.78(\mathrm{~m}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63-2.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.19-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.03-1.85(\mathrm{~m}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}), 1.70-1.63(\mathrm{~m}), 1.57-1.46$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.35-1.25(\mathrm{~m}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.87-0.78$ (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{115} \mathrm{H}_{186} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{41} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right)$ : $m / z=1353.6331$, experimental 1353.6328, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{115} \mathrm{H}_{187} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{41} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=902.7578$, experimental 902.7575, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{115} \mathrm{H}_{188} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{41} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=677.3202$, experimental 677.3200, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{115} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{41} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}([\mathrm{M}$ $\left.+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=542.0576$, experimental $542.0573, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$.
HasR 129-138 N-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}-n^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (16). 16 ( $12.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 81 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure fur CuAAC with DOTAM $2(13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 14.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $69(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.37(\mathrm{~s}), 9.07(\mathrm{~s}), 8.57-$ $8.32(\mathrm{~m}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20-8.12(\mathrm{~m}), 8.04-7.90(\mathrm{~m}), 7.82-$ $7.65(\mathrm{~m}), 7.56-7.46(\mathrm{~m}), 7.40-7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}), 6.88-6.62$ (m), 6.56-6.29 (m), 5.22-5.00 (m), 4.62-3.57 (m), 3.49-3.48 (m), $3.17-2.77(\mathrm{~m}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67-2.56(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.53-2.52$ (m), 2.46-2.30 (m), 2.22-2.08 (m), 2.06-1.95 (m), 1.92-1.74 (m), $1.69-1.44(\mathrm{~m}), 1.40-1.21(\mathrm{~m}), 1.15-1.06(\mathrm{~m}), 0.89-0.80(\mathrm{~m}), 0.78$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{119} \mathrm{H}_{191} \mathrm{~N}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1397.1346$, experimental 1397.1358, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.8$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{190} \mathrm{~N}_{29} \mathrm{NaO}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1408.1256, experimental 1408.1230, $\delta$ [ppm] = 2.6. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{119} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{~N}_{29} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1419.1165$, experimental 1419.1149, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.6$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{119} \mathrm{H}_{192} \mathrm{~N}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{42} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=931.7588$, experimental 931.7571, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.7$.

FpvA 121-139 C-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (17). 17 (31.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 8.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 94 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM $2(22.3 \mathrm{mg}, 22.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $64(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 9.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.42(\mathrm{~s}), 9.09-8.80$ (m), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}), 8.38-8.27(\mathrm{~m}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}), 8.20-8.14$ (m), $8.10-7.78(\mathrm{~m}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}), 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~m}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.69-6.50(\mathrm{~m}), 4.52(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42-4.37(\mathrm{~m}), 4.36-4.27(\mathrm{~m}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}), 3.82-$ $3.28(\mathrm{~m}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26-3.09(\mathrm{~m}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.72-2.55(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.47-2.34(\mathrm{~m}), 2.15-2.07(\mathrm{~m}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s})$, $2.06-1.98(\mathrm{~m}), 1.95-1.91(\mathrm{~m}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}), 1.87-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.67-1.32$ (m), 1.30-1.17 (m), 1.15-0.99 (m), $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83-0.75$ (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{252} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=1209.2352$, experimental 1209.2338, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.4$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{253} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 907.1782, experimental 907.1775, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$. Calculated for
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{153} \mathrm{H}_{254} \mathrm{~N}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=725.9440$, experimental 725.9435, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$.

PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (18). 18 (34.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM 2 ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 27.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $65(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 9.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.37-8.73(\mathrm{~m}), 8.30-$ $8.16(\mathrm{~m}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04-7.63(\mathrm{~m}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}), 6.67-6.53(\mathrm{~m}), 4.52-4.46(\mathrm{~m}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40$ (s), $4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24-3.96(\mathrm{~m})$, 3.92 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.88-3.52(\mathrm{~m}), 3.50-3.30(\mathrm{~m}), 3.28(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03-2.89(\mathrm{~m}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.72-2.58(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.45-2.39(\mathrm{~m}), 2.31-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.03-1.94$ (m), $1.90(\mathrm{~s}), 1.84-1.18(\mathrm{~m}), 1.12-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 0.85-0.77 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.9,174.4,173.9$, 173.9, 173.7, 172.7, 172.4, 172.1, 171.9, 171.6, 171.3, 171.1, 171.0, 170.7, 170.5, 170.1, 170.0, 169.8, 169.7, 168.8, 168.5, 164.1, 150.7, 146.7, 130.4, 123.7, 117.7, 116.8, 115.4, 70.2, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.5, 68.9, 68.7, 66.5, 66.4, 66.3, 59.2, 58.3, 58.3, 58.2, 58.0, 56.8, 55.7, 55.7, $52.8,52.7,52.7,52.5,52.0,51.2,49.4,48.6,42.0,40.8,40.4,40.0,38.1$, $38.1,36.8,31.5,31.5,31.4,30.7,30.7,30.5,30.4,30.2,29.0,27.6,27.5$, 27.4, 27.3, 27.3, 27.3, 26.6, 26.6, 24.4, 24.1, 23.1, 22.8, 22.0, 21.5, 21.2, 19.7, 19.6, 19.5, 19.2, 18.9, 18.4, 18.2, 17.9, 17.7, 17.3, 15.2, 11.1.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{266} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right)$ : $m / z=1259.2797$, experimental $1259.2767, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=3.0$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{267} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=944.7116$, experimental 944.7091, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.5$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{268} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=755.9707$, experimental $755.9697, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 1.0. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{162} \mathrm{H}_{269} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{58} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}([\mathrm{M}$ $\left.+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=630.1435$, experimental $630.1424, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.1$

HasR 122-144 C-Termainl (PEG) $)_{7}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (19). 19 (42.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 10.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 79 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM $2(31.1 \mathrm{mg}, 31.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 66 ( $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.53(\mathrm{~s}), 9.45(\mathrm{~s}), 8.91$ (s), $8.53-8.39(\mathrm{~m}), 8.34-8.24(\mathrm{~m}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.02-7.78(\mathrm{~m}), 7.76-7.66(\mathrm{~m}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}), 6.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s})$, $6.55-6.46(\mathrm{~m}), 4.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42-4.12(\mathrm{~m}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}), 3.80-3.43(\mathrm{~m}), 3.28-2.84(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.71-2.58(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.48-2.32(\mathrm{~m}), 2.19-2.01(\mathrm{~m}), 1.98-1.35$ (m), 1.31-1.00 (m), $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.73(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{299} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=1043.7721$, experimental 1043.7742, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.1$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{300} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=$ 835.2191, experimental 835.2201, $\delta$ [ppm] $=1.0$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{301} \mathrm{~N}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{59} \mathrm{SZn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}\left([\mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=696.1838$, experimental 696.1848, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$.
PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OAc (20). 20 (18.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 82 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with DOTAM $1(16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 13.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide $65(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=8.30(\mathrm{~s}), 8.23-8.15$ $(\mathrm{m}), 8.13-7.96(\mathrm{~m}), 7.95-7.77(\mathrm{~m}), 7.75-7.63(\mathrm{~m}), 7.61-7.55(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.54-7.49$ (m), 7.40-7.27 (m), 7.25 (s), 7.01 (s), 6.76 ( s$), 6.74$ ( s$)$, $4.58-3.93(\mathrm{~m}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82-3.67(\mathrm{~m}), 3.53-3.43(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61-2.52(\mathrm{~m}), 2.47-2.39(\mathrm{~m}), 2.29-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.02-$ 1.92 (m), 1.91 (s), $1.90-1.86$ (m), 1.86 (s), 1.85-1.79 (m), 1.78 (s), $1.77-1.19$ (m), 1.15 (s), 1.04 (dd, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.02 (d, $J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.76(\mathrm{~m})$.
HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{174} \mathrm{H}_{278} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{64} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right)$ : $m / z=1343.3008$, experimental 1343.2967, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=4.1$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{174} \mathrm{H}_{279} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{64} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=1007.7274$, experimental 1007.7240, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=3.4$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{174} \mathrm{H}_{280} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{64} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}^{5+}\right): m / z=806.3834\right.$, experimental 806.3806, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 2.8. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{174} \mathrm{H}_{281} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{64} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}([\mathrm{M}$
$\left.+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=672.1540$, experimental $672.1522, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.8$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{174} \mathrm{H}_{282} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{64} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{7+}\left([\mathrm{M}+7 \mathrm{H}]^{7+}\right): m / z=$ 576.2759, experimental 576.2750, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$.

PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal Disulfide-(PEG) ${ }_{5}$-Zn ${ }^{2+}$-DOTAM-OH (21). 21 was prepared via an adaptation of an established procedure reported by Song, Yang, Hall, Gurnani, and Perrier, ${ }^{67}$ which is a modified version of the procedures for disulfide bond formation by Bernatowicz, Matsueda, and Matsueda. ${ }^{68}$

52 ( $8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 5.687 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ) and HEPES buffer ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). 70 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 6.256 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1$ equiv) dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ), DMSO ( 1 mL ), and HEPES buffer ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1$ mL ) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation and filtered after completeness. The remains in the filter were washed with ACN $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up, diluted with $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{Milli}-\mathrm{QH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $5-45 \% \mathrm{ACN} /$ MilliQ H2O, $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ). The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield the product 21 as a white solid. Yield $16.0 \mathrm{mg}(4.298 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.60(\mathrm{~s}), 8.47-8.36$ $(\mathrm{m}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}), 8.23-8.00(\mathrm{~m}), 7.97-7.54(\mathrm{~m}), 7.42-7.12(\mathrm{~m})$, 7.02 (s), 6.84-6.79 (m), 6.77 ( s$), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}), 6.54-6.42(\mathrm{~m}), 4.50-3.95$ (m), 3.80-3.70 (m), $3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46-3.21(\mathrm{~m}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03-2.89(\mathrm{~m}), 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-$ $2.70(\mathrm{~m}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64-2.54(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.53-2.51(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.48-2.39(\mathrm{~m}), 2.36-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.06-1.19(\mathrm{~m}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.14(\mathrm{~s}), 1.09-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.85-0.77$ (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{158} \mathrm{H}_{258} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{54} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{3+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=1240.5803$, experimental 1240.5800, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{158} \mathrm{H}_{259} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{54} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=$ 930.6870, experimental 930.6872, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{158} \mathrm{H}_{260} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{54} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=744.7511$, experimental 744.7511, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$. Calculated for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{158} \mathrm{H}_{261} \mathrm{~N}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{54} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)^{6+}\left([\mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=620.7938$, experimental 620.7938, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

General Procedure for CuAAC with MECAM 3 or 4. Compounds 22-32 were prepared via an adaptation of an established procedure reported by Pinkert et al. ${ }^{33} \mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ ( 2.5 equiv) was dissolved under an argon atmosphere in DMSO ( 1 mL ) and Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ mL ). In the case where 3 was employed as the starting material, acetic acid $(1 \%, 40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to the solution to prevent deacetylation. PEG-modified peptide (61-70) ( 1.0 equiv) dissolved in DMSO ( 1 mL ) was added to the solution. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ ( 1.0 equiv) dissolved in PBS ( 0.3 mL ) and sodium ascorbate ( 2.0 equiv) dissolved in PBS $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, whereupon a white solid precipitated immediately. THPTA ( 0.5 equiv) dissolved in PBS ( 0.3 mL ) was added to the suspension. The suspension was added to the reaction under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. After the completion of the reaction, the solution was filtered over a cotton wool and purified by RP-HPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, $5-45 \% \mathrm{ACN} /$ Milli-Q $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ). The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield products 22-32 as white solids.

FpvA 121-139 N-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-MECAM-OH (22). 22 ( 36.0 mg , $11.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 95 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM 4 ( $19.8 \mathrm{mg}, 29.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 61 ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.59(\mathrm{~s}), 9.38(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3$ Hz ), 9.23 ( s ), $8.49-8.12(\mathrm{~m}), 8.08-7.99(\mathrm{~m}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0$ Hz ), $7.72-7.50(\mathrm{~m}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s})$, $7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82-6.74(\mathrm{~m}), 6.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 5.08 (bs), 4.99 (bs), 4.63 (dd, $J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.60-4.47$ (m), 4.46 $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39-3.95(\mathrm{~m}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.77-3.53(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-$
$3.41(\mathrm{~m}), 3.28-3.23(\mathrm{~m}), 2.75(\mathrm{bs}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67-2.51$ (m), 2.47-2.34 (m), 2.27-2.22 (m), 2.15-2.02 (m), 1.99-1.87 (m), $1.84-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.68-1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.56-1.39(\mathrm{~m}), 1.37-1.26(\mathrm{~m}), 1.23$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.22-0.97(\mathrm{~m}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 0.84-0.76 (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{143} \mathrm{H}_{225} \mathrm{~N}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{53} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z$ $=1090.1866$, experimental 1090.1872, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.6$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{143} \mathrm{H}_{226} \mathrm{~N}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{53} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=817.8918$, experimental 817.8917, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.

PfeA 33-51 N-Terminal (PEG) - -MECAM-OH (23). 23 ( 36.2 mg , $10.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 97 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM $4(18.7 \mathrm{mg}, 27.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 62 ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 11.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.62(\mathrm{~s}), 9.42(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 9.28(\mathrm{~s}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}), 8.20-8.16(\mathrm{~m}),, 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04-7.94(\mathrm{~m}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.86-$ $7.80(\mathrm{~m}), 7.75-7.67(\mathrm{~m}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s})$, $7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80-6.73(\mathrm{~m}), 6.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37-4.32$ (m), 4.31-4.02 (m), $4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99-3.98(\mathrm{~m}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-3.44(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.6 Hz ), 2.64-2.58 (m), $2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.48-2.43(\mathrm{~m}), 2.41-2.33(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.28-2.10(\mathrm{~m}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}), 2.03-1.35(\mathrm{~m}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}), 1.28-1.13$ (m), 1.09-0.99 (m), 0.89-0.68 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=173.9,170.5,169.7$, 163.4, 153.4, 149.8, 149.8, 146.3, 140.7, 140.7, 135.9, 135.8, 133.5, 132.0, 126.9, 125.5, 125.5, 125.2, 124.8, 124.8, 124.6, 124.4, 124.3, $124.3,123.3,122.3,119.0,118.9,118.7,117.9,117.9,117.4,115.2,70.3$, 69.8, 69.8, 69.6, 69.6, 68.9, 68.8, 66.4, 40.4, 40.0, 31.3, 31.2, 30.7, 30.4, 29.8, 29.0, 28.7, 26.5, 25.2, 22.1, 19.2, 14.0, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{239} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=$ 1140.2311, experimental 1140.2301, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{240} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=855.4252$, experimental 855.4255, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{241} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=684.5416$, experimental 684.5425, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$.
HasR 122-144 N-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-MECAM-OH (24). 24 ( 21.0 mg , $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 86 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM $4(10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 16.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv $)$ and peptide 63 ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.68(\mathrm{~s}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s}), 9.44$ ( s ), 8.50 ( s$), 8.31$ ( s$), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.13-7.85(\mathrm{~m})$, 7.84 (s), $7.83-7.28$ (m), 7.26 (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.22 ( s$), 7.19$ (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05-6.94(\mathrm{~m}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}), 6.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.56$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58-4.52(\mathrm{~m}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.02(\mathrm{~m}), 4.00$ ( s$), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}), 3.96-3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.77-3.54(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-$ $3.40(\mathrm{~m}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17-2.95(\mathrm{~m}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75$ (s), $2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.68-2.59(\mathrm{~m}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.45-2.30(\mathrm{~m}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27-2.20(\mathrm{~m}), 2.18(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16-2.07(\mathrm{~m}), 2.06-2.01(\mathrm{~m}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}), 1.99-1.93(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.90-1.25(\mathrm{~m}), 1.24-1.17(\mathrm{~m}), 1.14-1.00(\mathrm{~m}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 0.84-0.73 (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{271} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z$ $=1272.3118$, experimental 1272.3114, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{272} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=954.4857$, experimental 954.4850, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{273} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=763.7900$, experimental 763.7890, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.0$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{274} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{6+}\left([\mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=636.6595$, experimental 636.6587, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.8$.

FpvA 124-134 N-Terminal (PEG) ${ }_{7}$-MECAM-OH (25). $25(22.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $8.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 88 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM $4(17.2 \mathrm{mg}, 25.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv $)$ and peptide 67 ( $18.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.62(\mathrm{~s}), 9.43(\mathrm{~s}), 9.29$ ( s$), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}), 8.18-8.10(\mathrm{~m}), 8.06(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85-7.78(\mathrm{~m}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.08 ( s ), 6.99 ( s$), 6.89$ (dd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}), 4.41$ (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.39-4.34 (m), 4.32-4.26 (m), 4.25-4.18 (m), 4.14 (dd, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{td}, J=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04-4.03(\mathrm{~m}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00-3.98(\mathrm{~m}), 3.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75-3.69(\mathrm{~m}), 3.67-3.61$ $(\mathrm{m}), 3.56-3.44(\mathrm{~m}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65-2.51(\mathrm{~m}), 2.48-2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s})$, $1.99-1.88(\mathrm{~m}), 1.85-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.65-1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.56-1.39(\mathrm{~m}), 1.36-$ $1.28(\mathrm{~m}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23-1.19(\mathrm{~m}), 1.15-1.07(\mathrm{~m}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87-0.77(\mathrm{~m})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{110} \mathrm{H}_{170} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z$ $=823.0577$, experimental 823.0600, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{110} \mathrm{H}_{171} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=617.5451$, experimental 617.5460, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$.

PfeA 37-46 N -Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}-M E C A M-O H$ (26). 26 ( 27.0 mg , $11.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 96 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM $4(20.5 \mathrm{mg}, 30.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 68 ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO-d6): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.67(\mathrm{~s}), 9.53(\mathrm{~s}), 9.42$ ( s$), 8.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12-8.06(\mathrm{~m}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}), 7.26$ (s), $7.25(\mathrm{~s}), 7.22$ (s), 7.19 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.06(\mathrm{~s}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.32(\mathrm{~m}), 4.31-4.19$ $(\mathrm{m}), 4.17-4.10(\mathrm{~m}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04-3.99(\mathrm{~m}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}), 3.96$ (s), $3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50-3.44(\mathrm{~m}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.23-2.07(\mathrm{~m}), 2.02-1.85(\mathrm{~m}), 1.79-1.63(\mathrm{~m}), 1.57-1.46(\mathrm{~m}), 1.35-$ $1.25(\mathrm{~m}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{159} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{38}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z=$ 1175.0603, experimental 1175.0617, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 1.4. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{158} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{NaO}_{38}{ }^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1186.0512$, experimental 1186.0523, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 1.1. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{157} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{NaO}_{38}{ }^{2+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1197.0422$, experimental 1197.0430, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 0.8. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{105} \mathrm{H}_{160} \mathrm{~N}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{38}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=783.7093$, experimental 783.7104, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.1$.

HasR 129-138 N-Termianl (PEG) $7_{-}$MECAM-OH (27). 27 ( 12.0 mg , $4.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 86 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM 4 ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 14.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 69 ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO-d6): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.61(\mathrm{~s}), 9.41(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 9.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}), 8.22(\mathrm{bs}), 8.09(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19$ (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.10 (s), 7.04 (s), $6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}), 6.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.59-4.52(\mathrm{~m}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.43 (bs), $4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.25-4.18(\mathrm{~m}), 4.17-$ $4.10(\mathrm{~m}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}), 3.95$ ( s$), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66-3.55(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.54-3.44(\mathrm{~m}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28-3.26(\mathrm{~m}), 2.77-2.73(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63-2.52(\mathrm{~m}), 2.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44-2.36(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.21-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}), 1.94$ ( $\mathrm{p}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91-1.72(\mathrm{~m}), 1.70-1.63(\mathrm{~m}), 1.61-1.43(\mathrm{~m}), 1.40-$ $1.26(\mathrm{~m}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s})$, $1.10-1.06(\mathrm{~m}), 0.90-0.82(\mathrm{~m}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{164} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right): m / z$ $=1218.5618$, experimental 1218.5614, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{163} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{NaO}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{2+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right): m / z=1229.5528$, experimental 1229.5523, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{165} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=812.7103$, experimental 812.7104, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{166} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=609.7845$, experimental 609.7845, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.0$.

FpvA 121-139 C-Terminal (PEG) $7_{7}$ MECAM-OH (28). 28 ( 18.0 mg , $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 95 \%)$ was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM 4 ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 14.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 64 ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=12.53(\mathrm{bs}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s})$, $9.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07-8.00(\mathrm{~m}), 7.95$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.83(\mathrm{~s}), 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44$ (s), 7.28 (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{~s}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1.4 Hz ), 7.17 (s), 6.99 (s), 6.98 ( s$), 6.91$ (dd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.88 $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9$ Hz ), 5.09 ( s ), $4.96(\mathrm{~s}), 4.57-4.50(\mathrm{~m}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44-4.38$ (m), 4.36 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.34-4.29 (m), 4.28-4.09 (m), 4.06-4.02 $(\mathrm{m}), 4.00-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74-3.69(\mathrm{~m}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65-3.59(\mathrm{~m}), 3.56-$ $3.53(\mathrm{~m}), 3.51-3.43(\mathrm{~m}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62-2.52(\mathrm{~m}), 2.48-2.35(\mathrm{~m}), 2.18$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13-2.07(\mathrm{~m}), 2.06-2.01(\mathrm{~m}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}), 2.00-1.87$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.81-1.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.67-1.57(\mathrm{~m}), 1.55-1.35(\mathrm{~m}), 1.32-1.18(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.15-1.05(\mathrm{~m}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84-0.75(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.1,173.5,172.5$, 172.4, 172.4, 172.3, 171.9, 171.2, 171.0, 170.1, 170.0, 170.0, 169.9, 169.8, 169.7, 168.9, 168.8, 168.5, 168.4, 149.7, 149.6, 146.3, 146.2, 146.2, 137.5, 135.9, 132.2, 124.8, 122.3, 118.9, 118.8, 118.8, 118.0, $117.9,117.3,117.1,115.1,113.3,70.3,70.3,70.2,69.8,69.7,69.6,69.6$, $69.6,68.9,68.8,57.8,57.2,55.0,52.7,52.4,51.8,51.5,51.3,49.2,42.2$, 42.1, 42.0, 40.5, 40.0, 39.0, 38.2, 38.0, 36.5, 36.3, 34.8, 32.2, 31.5, 29.3, 28.9, 27.6, 25.2, 24.8, 24.3, 24.2, 24.1, 24.0, 23.1, 23.0, 22.8, 21.5, 19.7, 19.3, 19.3, 19.1, 18.1, 17.8, 15.4, 15.3, 14.6, 11.3, 10.9.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{143} \mathrm{H}_{225} \mathrm{~N}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{53} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z$ $=1090.1866$, experimental 1090.1870, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.4$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{143} \mathrm{H}_{226} \mathrm{~N}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{53} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=817.8918$, experimental 817.8931, $\delta$ [ppm] = 1.4.

PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal (PEG) T-MECAM-OH (29). 29 ( $36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10.5 ~_{\text {- }}$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 96 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for CuAAC with MECAM 4 ( 18.7 mg , $27.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2.5 equiv) and peptide 65 ( 30.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 11.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.62(\mathrm{~s}), 9.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4$ Hz ), $9.31(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23-8.15(\mathrm{~m}), 8.12-$ $8.09(\mathrm{~m}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.84(\mathrm{bs}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s})$, 7.78 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.23 (bs), 7.21 (s), 7.19 (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.01 (s), 6.89 (dd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{bs}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.31-4.22$ (m), 4.19-3.97 (m), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.30-2.04(\mathrm{~m}), 2.02-1.85(\mathrm{~m}), 1.84-1.35(\mathrm{~m}), 1.34-1.26$ (m), $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.07-0.99(\mathrm{~m}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ Hz ), 0.86 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.79(\mathrm{~m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.9,174.9,174.9$, 174.5, 174.4, 173.9, 173.9, 173.9, 173.6, 172.8, 172.8, 172.8, 172.7, 172.3, 171.9, 171.8, 171.6, 171.6, 171.5, 171.2, 171.1, 171.0, 170.8, $170.7,170.4,170.2,170.1,169.6,169.6,168.8,168.8,168.5,150.0$, 150.0, 146.4, 146.4, 146.3, 137.5, 135.9, 132.2, 124.8, 122.3, 118.5, $117.6,117.5,117.3,115.3,70.2,70.2,69.8,69.7,69.6,69.6,68.9,68.8$, $66.5,66.4,66.3,58.5,56.7,53.0,52.9,52.8,52.7,52.5,52.0,52.0,51.1$, $49.2,48.8,48.6,42.1,42.0,40.8,40.0,39.0,38.3,38.1,38.1,36.8,34.8$, $31.6,31.5,31.5,31.4,31.3,31.1,30.6,30.6,30.5,30.5,30.5,30.5,30.2$, 29.0, 27.6, 27.6, 27.6, 27.5, 27.4, 27.3, 27.2, 26.6, 25.2, 24.8, 24.4, 24.0, $23.1,22.8,22.1,21.9,21.5,19.7,19.6,19.5,19.2,18.9,18.3,18.1,17.9$, 17.7, 17.2, 15.2, 11.1.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{239} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=$ 1140.2311, experimental 1140.2310, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{240} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=855.4252$, experimental 855.4253, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{152} \mathrm{H}_{241} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{55}{ }^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=684.5416$, experimental 684.5415, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.1$.
HasR 122-144 C-Terminal (PEG) T $_{7}$ MECAM-OH ( 30 ). 30 ( 31.0 mg , $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 85 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure for

CuAAC with MECAM 4 ( $16.3 \mathrm{mg}, 23.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and peptide 66 ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 9.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.67$ (bs), 9.54 (bs), 9.43 (bs), 8.49 (bs), 8.32 (bs), 8.28 (s), $8.25-8.06$ (m), 8.05 (d, $J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.03-7.85(\mathrm{~m}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}), 7.82$ ( s$), 7.81-7.50(\mathrm{~m}), 7.47-7.28(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98$ (s), 6.87 (dd, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s})$, $6.71(\mathrm{~s}), 6.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{bs}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33-4.10$ (m), 4.07 (dd, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.04-3.97(\mathrm{~m}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s})$, $3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72-3.53(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-3.42(\mathrm{~m}), 3.29-3.27(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.17-3.01(\mathrm{~m}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64-2.58(\mathrm{~m})$, 2.54 (s), 2.48-2.33 (m), 2.29 (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.25-2.20 (m), 2.18 (td, $J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.07-2.01(\mathrm{~m}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}), 2.00-1.36$ (m), 1.34-1.14 (m), 1.13-1.00 (m), 0.89-0.74 (m)

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{271} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z$ $=1272.3118$, experimental 1272.3127, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.9$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{272} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~S}^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=954.4857$, experimental 954.4871, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=$ 1.4. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{169} \mathrm{H}_{273} \mathrm{~N}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{56} 6^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=763.7900$, experimental 763.7907, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.7$.

PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal (PEG) $)_{7}$-MECAM-OAc (31). 31 ( 16.0 mg ( $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 80 \%$ ) was prepared following the general procedure with MECAM 3 ( $12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 13.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5$ equiv) and $65(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 equiv).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.68(\mathrm{~s}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}), 9.52$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98-8.91(\mathrm{~m}), 8.84-8.80(\mathrm{~m}), 8.78(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21-8.15(\mathrm{~m}), 8.10-8.01(\mathrm{~m}), 7.99-7.86(\mathrm{~m})$, 7.86 ( s , $7.84(\mathrm{~s}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}), 7.82-7.78(\mathrm{~m}), 7.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76-$ $7.70(\mathrm{~m}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54-7.51(\mathrm{~m}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.31 (s), $7.30-7.26$ (m), 7.25-7.14 (m), 7.01 (s), 6.88-6.72 (m), 4.51 $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42-4.37(\mathrm{~m}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.35-3.99(\mathrm{~m}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.64(\mathrm{~m}), 3.55-3.40(\mathrm{~m}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=$ 5.9 Hz ), $3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.6 Hz ), $2.54(\mathrm{~s}), 2.47-2.44(\mathrm{~m}), 2.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28-2.19(\mathrm{~m})$, 2.18 ( $\mathrm{td}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.18-2.15 (m), 2.15 (s), 2.15 ( s$), 2.14-$ 2.07 (m), 2.03-1.88 (m), $1.86(\mathrm{~s}), 1.85-1.79(\mathrm{~m}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}), 1.77-1.68$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.65(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63-1.58(\mathrm{~m}), 1.56-1.24(\mathrm{~m}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10-0.98(\mathrm{~m}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-$ 0.77 (m).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=174.3,174.1,174.0$, 173.9, 173.9, 173.6, 172.5, 172.4, 172.3, 171.8, 171.5, 171.4, 171.3, $171.2,171.1,171.1,171.0,171.0,170.9,170.9,170.6,170.0,169.9$, 169.8, 169.3, 169.3, 169.3, 169.2, 169.1, 168.8, 168.8, 168.7, 168.6, $168.5,168.3,168.3,168.0,167.9,167.8,164.8,164.6,153.0,146.3$, 146.1, 142.9, 142.8, 140.2, 140.1, 139.2, 139.2, 136.1, 135.8, 135.6, 135.6, 132.5, 130.8, 130.7, 130.6, 127.1, 127.0, 127.0, 126.2, 126.1, 126.1, 126.0, 125.6, 124.8, 124.8, 124.7, 124.7, 124.6, 124.6, 122.3, 122.3, 118.1, 117.8, 117.8, 117.8, 117.8, 116.1, 116.1, 115.9, 115.9, 83.9, $71.7,70.3,70.2,69.8,69.8,69.7,69.7,69.6,68.9,68.8,66.6,66.5,58.2$, $58.1,57.9,57.7,57.6,56.9,56.8,52.8,52.5,52.4,52.1,52.0,51.8,51.7$, $51.7,51.1,51.0,49.2,48.4,48.3,41.9,41.0,40.9,40.4,40.0,38.4,38.2$, $38.1,36.7,36.5,34.9,33.0,32.4,31.7,31.5,31.5,31.4,31.4,30.6,30.4$, 30.3, 30.2, 30.1, 30.1, 29.0, 28.9, 27.7, 27.7, 27.6, 27.6, 27.4, 27.3, 27.2, 25.2, 24.8, 24.4, 24.4, 24.1, 23.5, 23.1, 23.1, 22.7, 22.7, 22.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.1, 20.4, 20.4, 20.2, 20.1, 19.6, 19.5, 19.5, 19.3, 19.2, 18.5, 18.2, 18.0, 18.0, 18.0, 17.5, 17.2, 15.2, 15.1, 11.0, 11.0.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{164} \mathrm{H}_{251} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{61}{ }^{3+}\left([\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}\right): m / z=$ 1224.2523, experimental 1224.2512, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.1$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{164} \mathrm{H}_{252} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{61}{ }^{4+}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z=918.4410$, experimental 918.4408, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{164} \mathrm{H}_{253} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{61}{ }^{5+}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=734.9543$, experimental 734.9545, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{164} \mathrm{H}_{254} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{61}{ }^{6+}\left([\mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{H}]^{6+}\right): m / z=612.6298$, experimental 612.6303, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.5$.

PfeA 33-51 C-Terminal Disulfide-(PEG) ${ }_{5}$-MECAM-OH (32). 32 was prepared adapted from an established procedure reported by Song, Yang, Hall, Gurnani, and Perrier, ${ }^{67}$ which is a modified version of the procedures for disulfide bond formation by Bernatowicz, Matsueda and Matsueda. ${ }^{68}$

53 ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ) and HEPES buffer ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $70(15 \mathrm{mg}, 6.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1$ equiv) dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ), DMSO ( 1 mL ), and HEPES buffer $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , and the reaction progress was controlled by LCMS. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation and filtered after completeness. The remains in the filter were washed with ACN (20 mL ), and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up, diluted with $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{Milli}-\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and purified by RPHPLC (C18 Phenomenex, 220 nm , collect all, 15-55\% ACN/Milli-Q $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH})$. The product-containing fractions were identified by LCMS and lyophilized to dryness to yield the product 32 as a white solid. Yield $15.0 \mathrm{mg}(4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 78 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=9.56(\mathrm{~s}), 9.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 9.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20-$ $8.14(\mathrm{~m}), 8.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98-7.86(\mathrm{~m}), 7.83$ (s), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30$ (s), 7.28 (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.24 (s), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1.4 Hz ), $7.03-6.99$ (m), 6.91 (dd, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.88 (dd, $J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.33(\mathrm{~m}), 4.29$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23-4.07(\mathrm{~m}), 4.04-3.94(\mathrm{~m}), 3.79$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62-3.59(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.51(\mathrm{~s}), 3.50-3.49(\mathrm{~m}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}), 3.46-3.44(\mathrm{~m}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.42(\mathrm{~m}), 2.33-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}), 2.06-1.83(\mathrm{~m}), 1.81-1.17(\mathrm{~m}), 1.11-0.99(\mathrm{~m}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85-0.80$ (m).

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{148} \mathrm{H}_{232} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{51} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{++}\left([\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}]^{4+}\right): m / z$ $=841.4006$, experimental 841.4019, $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.3$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{148} \mathrm{H}_{233} \mathrm{~N}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{51} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{5+}\left([\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}]^{5+}\right): m / z=673.3220$, experimental $673.3218, \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.2$.
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