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#### Abstract

We consider the twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4} \times \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with a nonintegrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ such that the canonical bundle of the almost complex manifold $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ is trivial. It is shown that $\mathcal{J}$ holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on a real (6|2)-dimensional graded extension $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ of the twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ is equivalent to self-dual Yang-Mills theory on Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with Lorentz invariant action. It is also shown that adding a local term to a Chern-Simons-type action on $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$, one can extend it to a twistor action describing full Yang-Mills theory.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Let $M^{4}$ be an oriented real four-manifold with a Riemannian metric and $P\left(M^{4}, \mathrm{SO}(4)\right)$ the principal bundle of orthonormal frames over $M^{4}$. The twistor space $\mathrm{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$ of $M^{4}$ can be defined as an associated bundle [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)=P \times_{\mathrm{SO}(4)} \mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{U}(2) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the canonical projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right) \rightarrow M^{4} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fibers of this bundle are two-spheres $S_{x}^{2} \cong \mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{U}(2)$ which parametrize complex structures $J_{x}$ on the tangent space $T_{x} M^{4}$ at $x \in M^{4}$ compatible with a Euclidean metric and orientation of $M^{4}$. It means that $J_{x} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{x} M^{4}\right)$ with $J_{x}^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}$ and $J_{x}$ is an isometry of $T_{x} M^{4}$ preserving orientation.

An almost complex structure $J$ on $M^{4}$ is a global section of the bundle (1.2). Note that while a manifold $M^{4}$ admits in general no almost complex structure (e.g., four-sphere $S^{4}$ ), its twistor space $\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$ can always be equipped with two natural almost complex structures. The first, $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}_{+}$, introduced in [1], is integrable if and only if the Weyl tensor of Riemannian metric on $M^{4}$ is self-dual, while the second, $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}_{-}$, introduced in [2], is nonintegrable (and never integrable), i.e., the Nijenhuis tensor of $\mathcal{J}$ does not vanish.
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Twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6}=\operatorname{Tw}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{4} \times S^{2}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is a particular case of almost complex six-manifolds to be discussed in this paper. Twistor space $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ is a complex manifold $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ for integrable $\mathcal{J}$ and it is an almost complex manifold with an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure and nonvanishing torsion for nonintegrable $\mathcal{J}$. Twistor literature focuses on complex twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ (see, e.g., [3-5]) and very rarely on the nonintegrable case (see, e.g., $[2,6,7]$ ).

The goal of twistor theory is to take some unconstraint analytic object on $\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$ (e.g., Dolbeault cohomology classes) and transform them to objects on $M^{4}$ which will be constrained by some differential equations [3,4]. In particular, the self-dual Yang-Mills (SDYM) equations on Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ can be described as field equations of holomorphic Chern-Simons theory defining holomorphic bundles on the complex twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ via the PenroseWard correspondence [3-5]. This correspondence can be extended to the nonintegrable case (see, e.g., $[6,7]$ ).

The field equations of $\mathcal{J}$-holomorphic Chern-Simons ( $\mathcal{J}$-hCS) theory on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{0,2}=P^{0,1} P^{0,1} \mathcal{F}=(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A})^{0,2}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{0,1}=\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Id}+\mathrm{i} \mathcal{J})$ is the projector onto $(0,1)$-part of one-forms, $\mathcal{A}$ is a connection one-form on a complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ is the curvature of $\mathcal{A}$. One can expect that Eqs. (1.3) are obtained by variation of the action functional

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \int_{\mathcal{P}^{6}} \Omega \wedge \mathrm{CS}(\mathcal{A})^{0,3}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \int_{\mathcal{P}^{6}} \\
& \Omega \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}\right)^{0,3} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a (3,0)-form with respect to $\mathcal{J}$ on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$, i.e., $\Omega$ is a global section of the canonical bundle of $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$. However, the canonical bundle of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} \cong \mathbb{C} P^{3} \backslash \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ is the nontrivial holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-4)$ with the first Chern class -4 . Hence, there is no nonsingular holomorphic volume form $\Omega$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. Thus, the functional (1.4) is not defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$.

The triviality of the canonical bundle can be restored if instead of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ one considers the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 / 4} \cong$ $\mathbb{C} P^{3 \mid 4} \backslash \mathbb{C} P^{1 \mid 4}$ with four holomorphic fermionic dimensions, each of type $\Pi \mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle, where the operator $\Pi$ inverts the Grassmann parity of fibre coordinates. The canonical bundle of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$ is trivial and hence there is a holomorphic volume form $\tilde{\Omega}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$. This fact was used by Witten for introducing twistor string theory and holomorphic ChernSimons theory (hCS) on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$ [8]. The action of hCS theory on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$ can be written in the form (1.4) after substituting $\tilde{\Omega}$ instead of $\Omega$ and integrating over $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$. The field equations will be (1.3) with $\mathcal{A}^{0,1}=P^{0,1} \mathcal{A}$ depending on four Grassmann variables taking values in the bundle $\Pi \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes$ $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ over $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. This hCS theory on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$ in turn is equivalent [8] to self-dual subsector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric YangMills theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ (see, e.g., [9-11] for reviews and references) in the form of Chalmers and Siegel [12]. The $\mathcal{N}=4$ SDYM equations can be truncated to the bosonic SDYM equations [12] and on the twistor level this was discussed, e.g., in [13-15].

Despite the success of the supertwistor description of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories, there was a desire to get a twistor description of pure bosonic SDYM theory. Recently, it was proposed by Costello to work with hCS theory on the bosonic twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ by allowing $\Omega$ in (1.4) to be meromorphic instead of holomorphic [16]. After choosing a meromorphic form $\Omega$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ and imposing some boundary conditions on fields at poles of $\Omega$, one can reduce the action (1.4) to the $4 d$ action for SDYM theory as it was demonstrated in $[16,17]$. Depending on the gauge choice, the twistor action is reduced to the action for group-valued fields $[18,19]$ or to the action for Lie-algebra valued fields [20,21], both of which are well known in the literature. However, the choice of ( 3,0 )-form $\Omega$ and of its singularities is not unique and different choices lead to a range of actions on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, not all of which have equations of motion equivalent to the SDYM equations [17].

All the above-mentioned actions break Lorentz invariance. The actions [18-21] for the SDYM equations were discussed long time ago by Chalmers and Siegel in [12], where it was shown that these $4 d$ actions at more than one loop generate diagrams that do not relate to quantum YangMills theory. These flaws are absent for the ChalmersSiegel $4 d$ action which is a truncation (a limit of small coupling constant) of the standard Yang-Mills action. We want to obtain this $4 d$ action in the framework of twistor approach. We show that this is possible by using a nonintegrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on the twistor space
$\mathcal{P}^{6}$ such that the canonical bundle becomes trivial and hence there exists a globally defined (3,0)-form $\Omega$ on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ which can be used in (1.4).

The action [12] contains gauge field coupled with a propagating anti-self-dual auxiliary field $G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}}$ with $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}=1,2$. The field $G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ corresponds to additional degrees of freedom parametrized by some cohomology groups on the complex twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}[11,22]$ and can be obtained from the component $\mathcal{A}^{0,1}$ along $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3 \mid 4}$ in hCS theory on the supertwistor space (see, e.g., [11] and references therein). This $G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$, enters into the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SDYM supermultiplet $\left(f_{\alpha \beta}, \chi^{\alpha i}, \phi^{i j}, \tilde{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha} i}, G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\right)$, where the fields have helicities $\left(+1,+\frac{1}{2}, 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1\right), i=1, \ldots, 4$. Truncations of the self-dual $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills to the case $\mathcal{N}<4$, including the bosonic case $\mathcal{N}=0$, can be obtained by considering weighted projective supertwistor space $[10,14]$ or exotic supertwistor space $[9,15]$. The approach similar to that in $[14,15]$ can be used in the case of twistor space $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with nonintegrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$. We will show that the $4 d$ ChalmersSiegel action [12] can be obtained from an action functional for $\mathcal{J}$-hCS theory on a graded twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ with two real fermionic directions, each parametrizing trivial real line bundle over $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$. The Chern-Simons type action on $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ is introduced by using globally defined form $\tilde{\Omega}=$ $\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d} \eta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2}$ on $\left.\mathcal{P}^{6}\right|^{2}$, where $\Omega$ is a global section of the trivial canonical bundle of $\mathcal{P}^{6}$. Components of gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ in this theory take values in the Grassmann algebra $\Lambda\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ generated by two real scalars $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$. We also show that this action can be extended to a twistor action describing full Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ after adding some local terms to $\mathcal{J}$-hCS Lagrangian on the twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$.

## II. SELF-DUAL YANG-MILLS AND TWISTORS

## A. Almost complex structures on $\mathbf{T w}\left(M^{4}\right)$

We defined the twistor space $\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$ of a Riemannian manifold $M^{4}$ as the associated bundle (1.1) of complex structures $J_{x}$ on tangent spaces $T_{x} M^{4}$. Global sections of the projection (1.2) are identified, if such sections exist, with almost complex structures $J$ on $M^{4}$, i.e., with tensors $J=$ $\left(J_{\mu}^{\nu}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(T M^{4}\right)$ such that $J_{\mu}^{\sigma} J_{\sigma}^{\nu}=-\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}, \mu, \nu=1, \ldots, 4$.

While a manifold $M^{4}$ has in general no almost complex structures, its twistor space $Q^{6}:=\mathrm{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$ can be always provided in a natural way with an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, a tensor on $Q^{6}$ with $\mathcal{J}^{2}=-$ Id. In fact, the Levi-Civita connection on $M^{4}$ generates the splitting of the tangent bundle $T Q^{6}$ into the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
T Q^{6}=V \oplus H \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of vertical and horizontal subbundles of $T Q^{6}$. The space $V_{q}$ in $q \in Q^{6}$ is tangent to the fibre $\pi^{-1}(\pi(q))$ over $x=\pi(q) \stackrel{q}{\in}$ $M^{4}$ of the projection $\pi: Q^{6} \rightarrow M^{4}$. Recall that the fiber over $x=\pi(q)$ is identified with $S_{x}^{2} \cong \mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{U}(2)$ and so it has
a natural complex structure $J^{v}$. Hence, we can define an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on $Q^{6}$ using the decomposition (2.1) by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}=\mathcal{J}^{v} \oplus \mathcal{J}^{h} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{h}$ is an almost complex structure equal in the point $q \in Q^{6}$ to the complex structure $\mathcal{J}_{q}^{h}$ on $H_{q} \cong T_{\pi(q)} M^{4}=T_{x} M^{4}$. Thus, the twistor space $Q^{6}$ has a natural almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$.

It was shown in [1] that if the Weyl tensor of $M^{4}$ is selfdual then the almost complex structure (2.2) on $Q^{6}$ is integrable and $\left(Q^{6}, \mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}\right)$ inherits the structure of a complex analytic 3-manifold $Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. It was also shown in [2] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}=\mathcal{J}^{v} \oplus\left(-\mathcal{J}^{h}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an almost complex structure on $Q^{6}$ which is never integrable. These structures differ in sign along $M^{4}$.

## B. Twistor correspondence

Let $E$ be a rank $k$ complex vector bundle over $M^{4}$ and $A$ a connection one-form (gauge potential) on $E$ with the curvature $F=\mathrm{d} A+A \wedge A$ (gauge field). The gauge field $F$ is called self-dual if it satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
* F=F \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} F^{\lambda \sigma}=F_{\mu \nu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator, $\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma}$ is the completely antisymmetric tensor on $M^{4}$ with $\varepsilon_{1234}=1$ in the Riemannian metric $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\delta_{\mu \nu} e^{\mu} e^{\nu}$ for an orthonormal basis $\left\{e^{\mu}\right\}$ on $T^{*} M^{4}$.

Bundles $E$ with self-dual connections $A$ are called selfdual. It was proven in [1] that the self-dual bundle $E$ over self-dual manifold $M^{4}$ lifts to a holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over the complex twistor space $Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right), \mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is holomorphically trivial on fibers $\mathbb{C} P_{x}^{1}$ of projections $\pi: Q^{6} \rightarrow M^{4}$ for each $x \in M^{4}$. The bundle $\mathcal{E}=\pi^{*} E$ is defined by the connection $\mathcal{A}=\pi^{*} A$ such that its curvature $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the Eqs. (1.3) and $\mathcal{F}=\pi^{*} F$ is the pull-back to $\mathcal{E}$ of self-dual gauge field $F$ on $E \rightarrow M^{4}$. Vice versa, solutions to the holomorphic Chern-Simons field equations (1.3) on the twistor space $Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$, with $\mathcal{F}_{\mid \mathbb{C} P_{x}^{1}}=$ 0 for any $x \in M^{4}$, give solutions to the SDYM equations (2.4) on $M^{4}$. The map between solutions to the SDYM equations on $M^{4}$ and solutions to the hCS field equations on $Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right), \mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}\right)$ is called the Penrose-Ward transform.

For nonintegrable almost complex structure (2.3) on $Q^{6}$ the manifold $\left(Q^{6}, \mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}\right)$ is not complex. However, on $\left(Q^{6}, \mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}\right)$ one can introduce bundles with $\mathcal{J}$-holomorphic structure (pseudo-holomorphic bundles) [23]. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex rank $k$ vector bundle over $Q^{6}$ endowed with a connection $\mathcal{A}$. According to Bryant [23], a connection $\mathcal{A}$ on
$\mathcal{E}$ is said to define a $\mathcal{J}$-holomorphic structure if it has curvature $\mathcal{F}$ of type ( 1,1 ) with respect to $\mathcal{J}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{0,2}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that twistor correspondence between solutions of SDYM equations (2.4) on $M^{4}$ and solutions of $\mathcal{J}$-hCS equations (2.5) on the almost complex twistor space $\left(Q^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ still persists (see, e.g., [7]). This will be discussed in more details later for the case of flat Euclidean space $M^{4}=\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

## III. TWISTOR SPACE OF $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{4}}$

According to the definition (1.1), twistor space of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is $\mathcal{P}^{6}:=\operatorname{Tw}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{4} \times S^{2}$. Due to diffeomorphism with $\mathbb{R}^{4} \times S^{2}$, the manifold $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ is fibered not only over $\mathbb{R}^{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \mathcal{P}^{6} \xrightarrow{S^{2}} \mathbb{R}^{4}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

but also over $S^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}^{6} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^{4}} S^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with spaces $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ as fibres.

## A. Almost complex structures $\mathcal{J}$

In Sec. II we described generic construction of an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on a twistor space $\operatorname{Tw}\left(M^{4}\right)$. Here, we give explicit form of $\mathcal{J}$ for the case $M^{4}=\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Recall that a complex structure $J$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is a tensor $J=$ $\left(J_{\mu}^{\nu}\right)$ such that $J_{\mu}^{\sigma} J_{\sigma}^{\nu}=-\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$. All constant complex structures on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ are parametrized by the two-sphere $S^{2} \cong$ $\mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{U}(2) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2) / \mathrm{U}(1)$ defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{a b} s^{a} s^{b}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s^{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, a, b=1,2,3$. One can choose generic $J$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}^{\nu}=s_{a} \bar{\eta}_{\mu \sigma}^{a} \delta^{\sigma \nu} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{a}=\left\{\varepsilon_{b c}^{a}, \mu=b, \nu=c ;-\delta_{\mu}^{a}, \nu=4 ; \delta_{\nu}^{a}, \mu=4\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are antisymmetric 't Hooft tensors, $\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, 4$. Using the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{\mu \sigma}^{a} \bar{\eta}_{\sigma \nu}^{b}=-\delta^{a b} \delta_{\mu \nu}-\varepsilon^{a b c} \bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{c} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can show that $J^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}$. Here, we consider $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ as a space with the metric $\mathrm{d} s_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}^{2}=\delta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, where $x^{\mu}$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Let $\left\{e^{\alpha}\right\}$ represents an orthonormal coframe on $S^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s_{S^{2}}^{2}=\delta_{\alpha \beta} e^{\alpha} e^{\beta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta=1,2$. The canonical form of complex structure i on $S^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{i}=\left(\mathfrak{i}_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \dot{\mathfrak{l}}_{1}^{2}=-\mathfrak{j}_{2}^{1}=1 \Rightarrow \dot{\mathfrak{j}}_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{j}_{\sigma}^{\beta}=-\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that both

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{int}}=(J, \mathfrak{i}) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}=(-J, \mathfrak{i}) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are almost complex structures on the twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Complex twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with integrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}$ has been studied a lot in the literature and in the following we will focus on nonintegrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}$.

## B. Complex coordinates for $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}$

The two-sphere $S^{2}$, global coordinates $s^{a}$ on which are used in (3.4), is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. One can cover $S^{2}$ by two patches $U_{ \pm} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with local coordinates
$v_{+}^{\alpha}=\frac{s^{\alpha}}{1+s^{3}}$ on $U_{+} \quad$ and $\quad v_{-}^{\alpha}=\frac{s^{\alpha}}{1-s^{3}}$ on $U_{-}$,
in which the metric on $S^{2}$ is conformally flat,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{S^{2} \mid U_{ \pm}}^{2} & =\delta_{\alpha \beta} e_{ \pm}^{\alpha} e_{ \pm}^{\beta}=\frac{4 \delta_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} v_{ \pm}^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v_{ \pm}^{\beta}}{\left(1+\rho_{ \pm}^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { with } \\
\rho_{ \pm}^{2} & =\delta_{\alpha \beta} v_{ \pm}^{\alpha} v_{ \pm}^{\beta} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

On the intersection of two patches we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}^{\alpha}=\rho_{+}^{2} v_{-}^{\alpha}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta=1,2$.
On $S^{2}$ one can introduce vector fields of type $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ with respect to i from (3.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ \pm}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}},  \tag{3.14}\\
& \dot{\mathrm{i}}\left(\partial_{\lambda_{ \pm}}\right)=\mathrm{i} \partial_{\lambda_{ \pm}} \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{\mathrm{i}}\left(\partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}}\right)=-\mathrm{i} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{ \pm}=v_{ \pm}^{1}+\mathrm{i} v_{ \pm}^{2} \text { and } \lambda_{+}=\lambda_{-}^{-1} \text { on } U_{+} \cap U_{-} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are complex coordinates on $U_{ \pm} \subset S^{2}$. One-forms, dual to the vector fields (3.14), are $\mathrm{d} \bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}$and $\mathrm{d} \bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}$. Sphere $\left(S^{2}, \mathfrak{i}\right)$ with the coordinates (3.15) can be identified with the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

By using the complex structure (3.4) on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, one can introduce a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-family of complex coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ given by formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{+}^{1}=y^{1}+\lambda_{+} \bar{y}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{+}^{2}=y^{2}-\lambda_{+} \bar{y}^{1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{1}=x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2}, \quad y^{2}=x^{3}-\mathrm{i} x^{4} \\
& \bar{y}^{1}=x^{1}-\mathrm{i} x^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{y}^{2}=x^{3}+\mathrm{i} x^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coordinates (3.15) together with (3.16) provide complex coordinates on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ given by
$w_{+}^{1}, \quad w_{+}^{2} \quad$ and $\quad w_{+}^{3}=\lambda_{+} \quad$ on $\quad \mathcal{U}_{+}=U_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{-}^{1}=\lambda_{-} y^{1}+\bar{y}^{2}, \\
& w_{-}^{2}=\lambda_{-} y^{2}-\bar{y}^{1} \quad \text { and } \\
& w_{-}^{3}=\lambda_{-} \text {on } \mathcal{U}_{-}=U_{-} \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the intersection $\mathcal{U}_{+} \cap \mathcal{U}_{-}$of patches $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$ these coordinates are related by formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{+}^{\alpha}=w_{+}^{3} w_{-}^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{+}^{3}=\frac{1}{w_{-}^{3}} \text { on } \mathcal{U}_{+} \cap \mathcal{U}_{-} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the transition functions relating $w_{+}^{a}$ and $w_{-}^{a}$ are holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{U}_{+} \cap \mathcal{U}_{-}, a=1,2,3$. This means that $\mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}$ is an integrable almost complex structure and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}^{\text {int }}\right)$ is a complex 3-manifold. From (3.16)-(3.18) it follows that the manifold $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ can be identified with the total space of the holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coordinates $w_{ \pm}^{\alpha}$ on fibers $\mathbb{C}_{J}^{2}$ over points $J \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ parametrized by $\lambda_{ \pm} \subset U_{ \pm} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

## C. Complex coordinates for $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}$

By using the almost complex structure (3.10), we can introduce complex coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{+}^{1}=\bar{w}_{+}^{1}=\bar{y}^{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{+} y^{2}, \quad z_{+}^{2}=\bar{w}_{+}^{2}=\bar{y}^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{+} y^{1} \\
& z_{+}^{3}=w_{+}^{3}=\lambda_{+} \text {on } \mathcal{U}_{+} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{-}^{1}=\bar{w}_{-}^{1}=\bar{\lambda}_{-} \bar{y}^{1}+y^{2}, \quad z_{-}^{2}=\bar{w}_{-}^{2}=\bar{\lambda}_{-} \bar{y}^{2}-y^{1} \\
& z_{-}^{3}=w_{-}^{3}=\lambda_{-} \text {on } \mathcal{U}_{-} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

On the intersection $\mathcal{U}_{+} \cap \mathcal{U}_{-}$of two coordinate patches $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}=\mathcal{U}_{+} \cup \mathcal{U}_{-}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{+}^{\alpha}=\bar{z}_{+}^{3} z_{-}^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{+}^{3}=\frac{1}{z_{-}^{3}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.22) we see that the transition functions on $\mathcal{U}_{+} \cap$ $\mathcal{U}_{-}$are not holomorphic. This reflects nonintegrability of the almost complex structure (3.10). From (3.22) it follows that the manifold $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{\text {non }}$ can be identified with the total space of the antiholomorphic vector bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{O}}(1) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Both base and fibers $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{J}^{2}$ of this bundle are complex spaces but they do not glue into a complex manifold for $\mathcal{J}$ given by (3.10).

## D. Spinor notation

The rotation group $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ of space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is locally isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, where both groups $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ have two-dimensional fundamental (spinor) representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\left(\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Commuting components $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ of the spinor $\lambda$ are homogeneous coordinates on the Riemannian sphere $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ such that
$\frac{\lambda_{\dot{2}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}=: \lambda_{+}$on $U_{+} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and $\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\lambda_{\dot{2}}}=: \lambda_{-}$on $U_{-} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

Obviously, $\lambda_{+}=\lambda_{-}^{-1}$ if $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
Isomorphism $\mathrm{SO}(4) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ allows also to introduce spinor notation for complex coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{1 \dot{1}} & x^{1 \dot{2}} \\
x^{2 \mathrm{i}} & x^{2 \dot{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y^{1} & -\bar{y}^{2} \\
y^{2} & \bar{y}^{1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2} & -\left(x^{3}+\mathrm{i} x^{4}\right) \\
x^{3}-\mathrm{i} x^{4} & x^{1}-\mathrm{i} x^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.26) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{1 \dot{1}}=\bar{x}^{2 \dot{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad x^{1 \dot{2}}=-\bar{x}^{2 \dot{1}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the overbar denotes complex conjugation. By using (3.26), one can rewrite (3.16) and (3.20) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{+}^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{+}^{\alpha}=-\dot{\mathrm{i}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} x^{\beta \dot{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}\left(\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)=\binom{1}{\lambda_{+}} \text {and } \\
& \left(\hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}\right)=\binom{-\bar{\lambda}_{+}}{1} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, we have $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}=\lambda_{+}^{-1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}$. and $\hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}=\bar{\lambda}_{+}^{-1} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}$.

## E. Vector fields and one-forms

On the twistor space $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with $\mathcal{J}$ from (3.10) we have the natural basis $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{a}}\right\}$ for the space of $(1,0)$ vector fields. On the intersection ${ }^{ \pm}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{+}^{\alpha}}=\bar{z}_{-}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{-}^{\alpha}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{+}^{3}}=-\left(z_{-}^{3}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{-}^{3}}-z_{-}^{3} \bar{z}_{-}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{-}^{\alpha}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using formulas (3.28), we can express these vector fields in terms of coordinates $\left(x^{\alpha 1}, \lambda_{ \pm}\right)$and their complex conjugates according to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ \pm}^{\alpha}} & =-\gamma_{ \pm} \dot{\mathrm{i}}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \lambda_{ \pm}^{\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\beta \dot{\beta}}}=:-\dot{\mathfrak{j}}_{\alpha}^{\beta} V_{\beta}^{ \pm}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{+}^{3}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{+}}+\gamma_{+} \dot{\mathrm{i}}_{\alpha}^{\beta} x^{\alpha \dot{1}} V_{\beta}^{+}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{-}^{3}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{-}}-\gamma_{-} \dot{\mathrm{j}}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \alpha^{\alpha \dot{2}} V_{\dot{\beta}}^{-} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used
$\lambda_{ \pm}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\dot{\beta}}^{ \pm} \quad$ with $\quad \varepsilon^{i \dot{2}}=-\varepsilon^{\dot{2} \dot{i}}=1 \quad$ and
$\gamma_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{ \pm} \bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}}=\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_{ \pm}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{ \pm}}$
together with the convention $\varepsilon_{i 2}=-\varepsilon_{2 i}=-1$, which implies $\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}=\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\gamma}}$. Thus, the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\alpha}^{ \pm}=\gamma_{ \pm} \lambda_{ \pm}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \quad V_{3}^{+}=\gamma_{+}^{-2} \partial_{\lambda_{+}} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{3}^{-}=\bar{\lambda}_{+}^{-2} V_{3}^{+} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be chosen as a basis of vector fields of type $(1,0)$ on $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$ in the coordinates $\left(x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{ \pm}, \bar{\lambda}_{ \pm}\right)$. Complex conjugate of (3.33) provide us with the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{\alpha}^{ \pm}=\gamma_{ \pm} \dot{j}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \hat{\lambda}_{ \pm}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_{\beta \dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{V}_{3}^{+}=\gamma_{+}^{-2} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{+}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{V}_{3}^{-}=\lambda_{+}^{-2} \bar{V}_{3}^{+} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which form a basis of vector fields of type $(0,1)$ on $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$.

It is easy to check that the basis of $(1,0)$ - and $(0,1)$-forms on $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm}$, which are dual to the vector fields (3.33) and (3.34), is given by forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{ \pm}^{\alpha}=-\left(\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\right) \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{ \pm} \\
& E_{+}^{3}=\gamma_{+}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \lambda_{+} \quad \text { and } \\
& E_{-}^{3}=\bar{\lambda}_{+}^{-2} E_{+}^{3}, \quad \bar{E}_{ \pm}^{\alpha}=-\dot{\mathfrak{l}}_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{d} x^{\beta \dot{\beta}}\right) \lambda_{\dot{\beta}} \\
& \bar{E}_{+}^{3}=\gamma_{+}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \bar{\lambda}_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{E}_{-}^{3}=\lambda_{+}^{-2} \bar{E}_{+}^{3} . \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{U}_{ \pm}}=\mathrm{d} z_{ \pm}^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ \pm}^{a}}+\mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{ \pm}^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{ \pm}^{a}}=E_{ \pm}^{a} V_{a}^{ \pm}+\bar{E}_{ \pm}^{a} \bar{V}_{a}^{ \pm} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## F. Geometry of $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{6}}, \mathcal{J}\right)$

We consider the twistor space $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with $\mathcal{J}$ from (3.10) and coordinates $\left\{z_{ \pm}^{a}\right\}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$ given by (3.20)(3.22). In the following we often omit the signs $\pm$ in coordinates, vector fields, one-forms etc. by considering all formula on the patch $\mathcal{U}_{+} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$.

By direct calculations we obtain that nonzero commutators of vector fields (3.33) and (3.34) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[V_{3}, V_{\alpha}\right]=-\gamma^{-1} \mathfrak{j}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bar{V}_{\beta}, \quad\left[V_{3}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right]=-\bar{\lambda} \gamma^{-1} \bar{V}_{\alpha}} \\
& {\left[V_{3}, \bar{V}_{3}\right]=2 \gamma\left(\bar{\lambda} \bar{V}_{3}-\lambda V_{3}\right)} \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\bar{V}_{3}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right]=-\gamma^{-1} \mathfrak{j}_{\alpha}^{\beta} V_{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\bar{V}_{3}, V_{\alpha}\right]=-\lambda \gamma^{-1} V_{\alpha} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda}\left(\gamma \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}\right)=\gamma^{2} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{\bar{\lambda}}\left(\gamma \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right)=-\gamma^{2} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove integrability of an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ one has to show that commutators of vector fields of type $(0,1)$ with respect to $\mathcal{J}$ will again be vector fields of type $(0,1)$ [24]. From (3.37) we see that this is not the case and therefore $\mathcal{J}$ is not integrable. For one-forms (3.35) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} E^{1} & =\lambda \gamma^{-1} \bar{E}^{3} \wedge E^{1}+\gamma^{-1} \bar{E}^{2} \wedge \bar{E}^{3} \\
\mathrm{~d} E^{2} & =\lambda \gamma^{-1} \bar{E}^{3} \wedge E^{2}+\gamma^{-1} \bar{E}^{3} \wedge \bar{E}^{1} \\
\mathrm{~d} E^{3} & =-2 \lambda \gamma^{-1} \bar{E}^{3} \wedge E^{3} \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

and complex conjugate formulas. The first terms in (3.40) define a torsionful connection on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ with values in $u(1) \subset$ $s u(3)$ and the last terms define the Nijenhuis tensor (torsion) with nonvanishing components

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\overline{2} \overline{3}}^{1}=\gamma^{-1}, \quad N_{\overline{3} \overline{1}}^{2}=\gamma^{-1} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus their complex conjugate $N_{23}^{\overline{1}}=N_{31}^{\overline{2}}=\gamma^{-1}$. From (3.40) we again see that $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ is not a complex manifold but the total space (3.23) of the anti-holomorphic bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Furthermore, from (3.40) we see that $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ has an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure and the globally defined (3,0)-form $\Omega$ with
$\Omega=E_{+}^{1} \wedge E_{+}^{2} \wedge E_{+}^{3}=E_{-}^{1} \wedge E_{-}^{2} \wedge E_{-}^{3}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{+} \cap \mathcal{U}_{-}$
since

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}^{\alpha}=\bar{\lambda}_{+} E_{-}^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{+}^{3}=\bar{\lambda}_{+}^{-2} E_{-}^{3} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the canonical bundle of $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ is trivial. From (3.40) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Im} \Omega)=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Re} \Omega)=-\gamma^{-1}\left(E^{1} \wedge \bar{E}^{1}+E^{2} \wedge \bar{E}^{2}\right) \wedge E^{3} \wedge \bar{E}^{3}$,
i.e., the real part of $\Omega$ is not closed. For the volume form on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Vol}_{6}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \wedge \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\lambda}}{(1+\lambda \bar{\lambda})^{2}} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad d^{4} x=\mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{4} \quad$ in the coordinates (3.26).

## G. Twistor correspondence

To conclude this section we describe a twistor correspondence between the SDYM model on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $\mathcal{J}$-hCS theory on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$.

Consider a complex vector bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with a connection $A=A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} x^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}}$ and the covariant derivative $\nabla=\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\left(\partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\right)$. Using the projection $\pi: \mathcal{P}^{6} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ from (3.1), we can pull back the bundle $E$ to a bundle $\mathcal{E}=\pi^{*} E$ with the pulled back connection $\mathcal{A}=\pi^{*} A$ and the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}=\pi^{*} \nabla$, whose $(0,1)$-component is ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{0,1}=\bar{E}^{\alpha}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}+\gamma \mathbf{i}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\right)+\bar{E}^{3} \bar{V}_{3} . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Equations (2.5) of $\mathcal{J}$-holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{\nabla}_{a}^{0,1}, \tilde{\nabla}_{b}^{0,1}\right]-\tilde{\nabla}_{\left[\bar{V}_{a}, \bar{V}_{b}\right]}^{0,1}=0 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=(\alpha, 3)=1,2,3$. Substituting (3.47) into (3.48) with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}=\gamma \dot{j}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} \dot{\hat{\beta}} A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}, & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}=0, \\
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=\gamma \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} & \text { and } & A_{3}=0, \tag{3.49}
\end{array}
$$

we see that (3.48) are equivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}}\left[\partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \partial_{\beta \dot{\beta}}+A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\right]=\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}}=0 \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\mathrm{d} A+A \wedge A$ is the curvature of $A$. Recall that in the spinor notation $F$ has the components

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}}=\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} f_{\alpha \beta}+\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}, \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where symmetric tensors

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

represent self-dual $F^{+}$and anti-self-dual $F^{-}$parts of the curvature $F=F^{+}+F^{-}$. Hence, the $\mathcal{J}$-hCS equations (3.48) on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ with $\mathcal{F}\left(V_{3}, \bar{V}_{3}\right)=0$ are equivalent to the SDYM equations on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{-}=0 \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}}=0 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any solution $A$ of the SDYM equations (3.53) defines a solution of the $\mathcal{J}$-hCS equations (3.48) and vice versa.

## IV. TWISTOR ACTIONS FOR YANG-MILLS THEORY

## A. Graded twistor space $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{6} \mid 2}$

Recall that on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ there are globally defined (3,0)-form $\Omega$ given by (3.42) and its complex conjugate ( 0,3 )-form $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence, the $\mathcal{J}$-hCS action functional (1.4) is well defined on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$. However, if we substitute (3.49) into (1.4) then we obtain $S=0$ since (0,3)-part of Chern-Simons form $\operatorname{CS}(\mathcal{A})$ on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ vanishes if $\mathcal{A}_{3}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}=0$. To obtain a nontrivial Lagrangian, one can perform a gauge transformation, which will give some nonvanishing terms ${ }^{2}$ as it was done in $[16,17]$. We will not follow this path here because this way we can at best get the actions [18-21] which have various limitations in comparison with the Chalmers-Siegel action [12].

[^1]The action [12] cannot be obtained without introducing additional degrees of freedom since it contains an extra propagating field $G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$. One of the possibilities for introducing additional fields is to consider vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ that are not trivial after restriction to $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{6}$ [25]. Another possibility is to consider a graded extension of the twistor space $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ similar to the cases considered by Wolf $[10,14]$ and Sämann $[9,15]$ for the complex twistor space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. We will use the second option and introduce a graded twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$.

The space $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ is parametrized by bosonic coordinates on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ and by two anticommuting (fermionic) coordinates $\eta_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1} \eta_{2}+\eta_{2} \eta_{1}=0, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

generating the Grassmann algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbb{R} \in \Lambda^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \quad \eta_{i} \in \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \quad i=1,2 \quad$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta:=\eta_{1} \eta_{2} \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the algebra (4.2) one may introduce $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\Lambda_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

We set $\operatorname{gr} f=0$ if $f \in \Lambda_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gr} f=1$ if $f \in \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{gr} f$ is the Grassmann parity of $f$.

On the space $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ we consider the space $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{P}^{6}}$ of locally defined functions (a sheaf) with values in the Grassmann algebra $\Lambda\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. A manifold $\mathcal{P}^{6}$ with the sheaf $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{P}^{6}}$ is a graded manifold $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{P}^{6}}\right)[26,27]$ that can be viewed as the trivial bundle $\mathcal{P}^{6} \times \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{6}$. Tangent spaces of $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ are defined by the even vector fields (3.33), (3.34) together with the odd vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{i}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}} \quad \text { such that } \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

commuting with the even vector fields on $\mathcal{P}^{6}$. Respectively, the space of differential forms on $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$ has the local basis $\left\{E^{a}, \bar{E}^{a}, \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i}\right\}$ with commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} \eta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2}=\mathrm{d} \eta_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1}, \\
& E^{a} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i}=\mathrm{d} \eta_{i} \wedge E^{a} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{E}^{a} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i}=\mathrm{d} \eta_{i} \wedge \bar{E}^{a} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{E^{a}, \bar{E}^{a}\right\}$ are given in (3.35).
Recall that on $\left(\mathcal{P}^{6}, \mathcal{J}\right)$ there are globally defined forms $\Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence, on $\left.\mathcal{P}^{6}\right|^{2}$ we can introduce a closed (3|2)form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \Omega \wedge \mathrm{d} \eta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the volume form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \wedge \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\lambda}}{(1+\lambda \bar{\lambda})^{2}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \eta=\mathrm{d} \eta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2}$.

## B. Chern-Simons type theory on $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a trivial rank $k$ complex vector bundle over $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a connection one-form on $\mathcal{E}$. We choose the connection $\mathcal{A}$ depending on all coordinates on $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ and having no components along the Grassmann directions. The curvature $\mathcal{F}$ of such $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}+\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{A} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{B}}$ is the bosonic part (3.36) of the exterior derivative $d=d^{B}+d^{F}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{d} \eta_{i} \partial^{i} \quad \text { for } \quad \partial^{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the fermionic part of d .
Consider the action functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}} \operatorname{Im} \Omega \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta \wedge \operatorname{CS}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CS}(\mathcal{A})=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathcal{A}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Chern-Simons 3-form. Field equations following from (4.12) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \Omega \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}$ is defined in (4.10). From (4.14) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \Omega \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\Omega$ is a (3,0)-form with respect to $\mathcal{J}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J} \Omega=\mathrm{i} \Omega \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \operatorname{Im} \Omega=\operatorname{Re} \Omega \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.14) and (4.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \wedge \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0,2}=0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0,2}=0 . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from (3.45) and (4.17) it follows that $[28,29]$

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}\left(V_{1}, \bar{V}_{1}\right)+\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{B}}\left(V_{2}, \bar{V}_{2}\right)=0
$$

The action functional (4.12) and solution to the equations (4.14)-(4.17) were considered in [7,28,29].

## C. Field equations on $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$

Having given necessary ingredients, we may now consider $\mathcal{J}$-hCS field equations (4.17). These equations on the patch $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{+}=\mathcal{U}_{+} \times \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2} \mathrm{read}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{V}_{\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}-\bar{V}_{\beta} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}+\left[\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}\right]=0,  \tag{4.18}\\
& \left.\bar{V}_{3} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}-\bar{V}_{\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}+\left[\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}\right]-\left[\bar{V}_{3}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right]\right\lrcorner \mathcal{A}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where " $\lrcorner$ " denotes the interior product of vector fields with differential forms. Here we used components of $\mathcal{A}$ in the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{a} E^{a}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a} \bar{E}^{a}=\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} E^{\alpha}+\mathcal{A}_{3} E^{3}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha} \bar{E}^{\alpha}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3} \bar{E}^{3} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As usual in the twistor approach, we work in a gauge in which $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3} \neq 0$ but the bosonic part of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}$ is zero. Note that in general the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ in (4.18) and (4.19) can be expanded in the odd coordinates $\eta_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=A+\eta_{i} \psi^{i}+\eta_{1} \eta_{2} G . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity and more clarity we first consider the truncated case $\psi^{i}=0$ and discuss the case $\psi^{i} \neq 0$ afterwards.

## D. Remark

The connection (4.20) on the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2} \cong \mathcal{P}^{6} \times \Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a semisimple Lie group $G$. Note that maps from the space $\Lambda_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ in (4.5) to the group $G$ form a supergroup super- $T G$ [30], where $T G=G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ is the semidirect product of $G$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. That is why the field $\mathcal{A}$ in (4.20) can be considered as a connection on a super- $T G$ bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ over the bosonic twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6}$. This kind of correspondence was found by Witten when studying Chern-Simons theories on 3manifolds [30].

From (3.33)-(3.35) one concludes that components $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}$ take values in the bundles $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(2)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ take values in the complex conjugate bundles. This fixes the dependence of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ up to a
gauge transformations (cf. [9-11,14,15]). Namely, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} & =\gamma\left\{\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+\eta\left(\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+\gamma \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\gamma\left\{\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+\eta B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\right)+\eta \gamma \lambda^{(\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\gamma})} G_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}\right\}, \\
\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha} & =\gamma \dot{\Lambda}_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left\{\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}+\eta\left(\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\beta \dot{\beta}}+\gamma \dot{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{r}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\sigma}} G_{\beta \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\sigma}}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.=\gamma \dot{\Lambda}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}\left\{\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}}\left(A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}+\eta B_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\right)+\eta \gamma \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{r}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\sigma})} G_{\beta(\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\sigma})}\right)\right\}, \\
\mathcal{A}_{3} & =\eta \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{r}} G_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}=-\eta \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}, \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}:=G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}\left(G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}-G_{\alpha \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha}}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficient fields $A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \ldots$ do only depend on $x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Here $\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}, \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are given in (3.29) and (3.32), $\eta=\eta_{1} \eta_{2}$, and parentheses denote normalized symmetrization with respect to the enclosed indices.

Substituting (4.21) into (4.18), we obtain the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}=\nabla_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha}} G_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that $G_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}$ are composite fields describing no independent degrees of freedom. Other nontrivial equations following from (4.18) after substituting (4.21) read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}\left[\partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \partial_{\beta \dot{\beta}}+A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\right]=\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}}=0,  \tag{4.24}\\
\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} B_{\beta \dot{\beta}}=0,  \tag{4.25}\\
\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} G_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}=0 . \tag{4.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

We see that (4.24) coincide with the SDYM equations on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and (4.25) are the linearized SDYM equations for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}=B_{\beta \dot{\beta}} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ is a tangent vector at $A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ to the solution space of the SDYM equations. It is a secondary field (a symmetry) depending on $A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ and for simplicity we neglect it by choosing $B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}=0$. The rest equations (4.24) and (4.26) are the Chalmers-Siegel equations describing the self-dual gauge potential $A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ and the anti-self-dual field $G_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}, \beta \dot{\beta}}=$ $\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ propagating in the self-dual background.

The action functional associated with (4.24) and (4.26) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{sd}}=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left(G^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ given by (3.52). This action can be obtained from (4.12) after splitting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=X+\eta Y \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

into ordinary bosonic and even nilpotent parts, using the formula ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CS}(X+\eta Y)=\mathrm{CS}(X)+2 \eta \operatorname{tr}(Y \wedge \mathcal{F}(X))-\eta \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{B}}(\operatorname{tr}(X \wedge Y)) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrating over the nilpotent coordinate $\eta$ and over $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$.

## E. Extra terms

As we mentioned earlier, the general expansion (4.20) of connection $\mathcal{A}$ in odd coordinates $\eta_{i}$ contains fermionic fields $\psi^{i}\left(x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\right)$ which we consider now. Expansion (4.20) can be written in components as
$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=\gamma \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{A}_{3}=\hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)= A_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+\eta_{i}\left(\psi_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{i}+\gamma \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\gamma}} \psi_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}^{i}\right) \\
&+\eta_{1} \eta_{2}\left(B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}+\gamma \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}\right)  \tag{4.32}\\
& G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=\eta_{i} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{i}+\eta_{1} \eta_{2} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}$ we have
$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}=\gamma \dot{\dot{l}_{\alpha}^{\beta}} \dot{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} A_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{3}=-\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$.

Substituting (4.31)-(4.34) into the Eqs. (4.18), we obtain the Eqs. (4.23)-(4.27) and additional equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\beta \dot{\beta}}^{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\beta \dot{\beta}}^{2}=0,  \tag{4.35}\\
\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}^{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}^{1}=\nabla_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}^{1},  \tag{4.36}\\
\psi_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma})}^{2}=\nabla_{\alpha\left(\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \psi_{\dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma})}^{2}=0\right.} . \tag{4.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.25) and (4.35) we see that $B_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ and $\psi_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{1}$ are even and odd solutions of the linearized SDYM equations and $\psi_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}^{1}$ in (4.36) is an odd solution to the linearized form of equation (4.26) for $\delta G_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}}$. Thus, the general form (4.20) of $\mathcal{A}$ reduces the $\mathcal{J}$-hCS equations (4.18) to the Chalmers-

[^2]Siegel equations (4.24) and (4.26) together with their linearized form, solutions of which describe even and odd tangent vectors to the solution space.

## F. Full Yang-Mills

So far, we have shown that the Chalmers-Siegel action (4.28) for SDYM theory can be obtained from the ChernSimons type action (4.12) on the graded twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$. It is known that the action (4.28) is a limit of the full YangMills action for small coupling constant $g_{\mathrm{YM}}$. Namely, let us modify the action (4.28) by adding the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left(G^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\right), \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that
$S_{\mathrm{tot}}=S_{\mathrm{sd}}+S_{\varepsilon}=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left(G^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2} G^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\right)$.

Here $\varepsilon$ is some small parameter. Variation of $S_{\text {tot }}$ with respect to $G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \cdot} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.40) back into (4.39), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{tot}} & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left(f_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} f^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{-} \wedge F^{-}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \operatorname{tr}(F \wedge * F)+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \operatorname{tr}(F \wedge F) \tag{4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the action (4.41) is equivalent to the standard YangMills action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{YM}}=-\frac{1}{4 g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \operatorname{tr}(F \wedge * F) \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coupling constant $g_{\mathrm{YM}}=\varepsilon$, plus the topological term. Therefore, for obtaining the Yang-Mills action (4.42) we should derive the term (4.38) from the twistor space.

## G. Twistor action for full Yang-Mills

Recall that $\eta=\eta_{1} \eta_{2}$, where $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are real Grassmann variables. Consider a connection $\mathcal{A}$ depending on $\eta$ as written in (4.21). ${ }^{4}$ It does not have components along the

[^3]Grassmann directions but the mixed components of the curvature,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{F}} & =\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{A}=\left(\partial^{i} \mathcal{A}_{a}\right) \mathrm{d} \eta_{i} \wedge E^{a}+\left(\partial^{i} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a}\right) \mathrm{d} \eta_{i} \wedge \bar{E}^{a} \\
& =\mathcal{F}_{a}^{i} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \wedge E^{a}+\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{a}^{i} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \wedge \bar{E}^{a}, \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

do not vanish. In particular, for restriction of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{F}}$ to $\mathbb{C} P^{1 \mid 2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mid \mathbb{C} P^{1 / 2}}^{\mathrm{F}}=\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{i} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \lambda+\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{i} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\lambda} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{i}=-\varepsilon^{i j} \eta_{j} \gamma^{2} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ and $\quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{i}=\varepsilon^{i j} \eta_{j} \gamma^{2} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$.

Using (4.45), we can introduce the gauge invariant functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{2}}{8} \int_{\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2} \varepsilon_{i j} g^{\lambda \bar{\lambda}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{i} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{j}\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s_{\mathbb{C} P^{1}}^{2}=g_{\lambda \bar{\lambda}} E^{\lambda} \otimes E^{\bar{\lambda}} \Leftrightarrow g_{\lambda \bar{\lambda}}=\gamma^{2} \text { and } g^{\lambda \bar{\lambda}}=\gamma^{-2} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{i} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{j}\right)$ in (4.46) over fermionic coordinates and over $\left.\mathbb{C} P^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{6}\right|^{2}$, we obtain the functional $S_{\varepsilon}$ given by (4.38). Hence, adding the local term given by (4.46) to the Chern-Simons type Lagrangian in (4.12), we obtain the full Yang-Mills action (4.42).

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we considered graded twistor space $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$ with a nonintegrable almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}$-holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on $\mathcal{P}^{6 \mid 2}$. It was shown that under some assumptions this theory is equivalent to self-dual Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. In our discussion we tried to be close to the consideration of the papers [14,15], where $\mathcal{N}<4$ SDYM theories were derived from holomorphic Chern-Simons theory on complex supertwistor spaces. We have also shown that the full Yang-Mills action in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ can be obtained from a twistor action on $\mathcal{P}^{6 / 2}$ with a locally defined Lagrangian. We did not pursue the goal of studying all these tasks in full generality. We wanted to show the principal possibility of obtaining actions for Yang-Mills and its selfdual subsector from a twistor action. Examining all aspects of the model requires additional efforts.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We are working on the patch $\mathcal{U}_{+}=U_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \subset \mathcal{P}^{6}$ and omit subscript and superscript " + " in formulas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Chern-Simons term $\operatorname{CS}(\mathcal{A})$ is not invariant under gauge transformations.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Recall that in all formulas here $d^{B}$ is the ordinary bosonic exterior derivative.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ We do not consider the more general dependence (4.31)(4.34) since we only want to show that one can obtain the action (4.42) from the twistor space. Consideration of (4.31)-(4.34) will give the Yang-Mills theory with its infinitesimal symmetries as we saw in the case of the SDYM equations.

