## Sebastian Heller



# **Real projective structures on Riemann surfaces and new hyper-Kähler manifolds**

Received: 31 October 2020 / Accepted: 21 January 2022 / Published online: 12 February 2022

**Abstract.** The twistor space of the moduli space of solutions of Hitchin's self-duality equations can be identified with the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space of  $\lambda$ -connections. We use real projective structures on Riemann surfaces to prove the existence of new components of real holomorphic sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space. Applying the twistorial construction we show the existence of new hyper-Kähler manifolds associated to any compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \ge 2$ . These hyper-Kähler manifolds can be considered as moduli spaces of (certain) singular solutions of the self-duality equations.

# 1. Introduction

Hyper-Kähler manifolds have been introduced by Calabi in the late 1970's. They are Riemannian manifolds whose holonomies are contained in Sp(k), where 4k is the dimension of the manifold. Compact examples of dimension 4 are classified (they are either a 4-torus or a K3-surface), and non-compact examples in dimension 4 are well-understood. Many examples of non-compact hyper-Kähler manifolds arise as moduli spaces of solutions to certain gauge theoretic equations. Most relevant for us are the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{SD}$  of solutions of Hitchin's self-duality equations [10] on a compact Riemann surface. The twistor space, the complex manifold parametrised over the 2-sphere of compatible complex structures, of  $\mathcal{M}_{SD}$  has a complex analytic reincarnation as the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space of  $\lambda$ -connections on  $\Sigma$ , as was first pointed out by Deligne, see [16]. In general, the twistor space of a hyper-Kähler manifold makes it possible to reconstruct the hyper-Kähler manifold from complex analytic data, e.g., the underlying smooth manifold is given as a component of the space of real holomorphic sections of the twistor space [11]. Real sections in this component are called twistor lines. Most important in the twistorial (re)construction of hyper-Kähler metrics is the special type of the (holomorphic) normal bundle of a twistor line: it is  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{2k} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ , and locally, the space of real holomorphic section must be a real manifold of dimension 4k by Kodaira deformation theory. Moreover, evaluation at different  $\lambda$  yield the complex structures and their Kähler forms, see [11].

S. Heller (🖂): Institute of Differential Geometry, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Hanover, Germany

e-mail: seb.heller@gmail.com

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)53C26 · 53C28 · 14H60

In the case of the self-duality moduli space, the twistorial construction not only parametrises the space of solutions. Real sections in the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space also give rise to a complex-analytic construction of the solutions by loop group factorisation methods [2, Theorem 3.6]. Simpson [14, 16] [14] has asked the natural question whether all real holomorphic sections are twistor lines, i.e., correspond to solutions of the self-duality equations. In [9] and [2] it was shown that there exists other real holomorphic sections besides the twistor lines. The class of counter-examples in [9] are given by solutions of the self-duality equations away from certain real curves on the given Riemann surface, while the examples constructed in [2] correspond to harmonic maps into the Lorentzian-symmetric deSitter 3-space  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})/SU(1, 1)$ . The normal bundles of those real holomorphic sections have not been computed so far.

The aim of the paper is to show the existence of new hyper-Kähler manifolds associated to a Riemann surface of genus  $g \ge 2$ . We start with a real projective structure on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ , i.e., a complex projective structure with PSU(1, 1)-monodromy. We use the notation of [7], and the reader should be aware that we do not consider  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ -structures. All real projective structure are obtained by grafting [4,5,12] with respect to a collection of disjoint simple closed curves up to isotopy [20]. The empty collection yields the self-duality moduli space. We show that a real projective structure gives rise to a section of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space of  $\Sigma$ . This is analogous to uniformization of  $\Sigma$ , which corresponds to the self-duality solution associated to the Higgs pair

$$\left(S\oplus S^*, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where S is a spin bundle on the Riemann surface. The main difference to the case of uniformization is that the induced conformal metric of constant curvature -1 develops singularities (along curves where the developing map of the projective structure leaves the hyperbolic disc). In this regard, these real holomorphic sections are similar to those constructed in [9], i.e., they are self-duality solutions away from singularity curves on the Riemann surface. We next prove that the normal bundles of the sections obtained from real projective structures are given by

$$\mathcal{O}(1)^{6g-6} \to \mathbb{C}P^1,$$

where g is the genus of  $\Sigma$ . Applying the twistorial construction [11] yields new hyper-Kähler metrics on spaces of real holomorphic sections. These hyper-Kähler manifolds can be considered as moduli spaces of (certain) singular solutions of the self-duality equations, see Theorem 5. One of the advantages of the sections obtained from real projective structures (compared to those constructed in [9]) is that their construction is quite simple and allows for explicit computations. Moreover, they provide a convenient conjectural picture of the space of components of real holomorphic sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces, parametrised by isotopy classes of finite collections of disjoint simple non-trivial curves on a given Riemann surface, see Remark 9.

#### 2. Background material

In this section we shortly introduce the main objects of interest in this paper, namely Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces and real projective structures obtained by grafting of Riemann surfaces.

#### 2.1. The Deligne-Hitchin moduli space

We recall basic facts about  $\lambda$ -connections and Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces. For more details we refer to [14,16] where we first learnt about  $\lambda$ -connections, and to [2,9].

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact Riemann surface, and  $V \to \Sigma$  be a complex vector bundle. A  $\lambda$ -connection is a triple

$$(\lambda, \overline{\partial}, D)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\partial}$  is a holomorphic structure on *V* and

$$D: \Gamma(V) \to \Gamma(KV)$$

is a first order linear differential operator satisfying

$$D(fs) = \lambda \partial f \otimes s + f Ds$$

for all functions f and sections s, and

$$\bar{\partial}D + D\bar{\partial} = 0.$$

A  $\lambda$ -connection  $(\lambda, \overline{\partial}, D)$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$  gives rise to a flat connection

$$\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{2}D.$$

A  $\lambda$ -connection ( $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{\partial}$ , D) for  $\lambda = 0$  gives rise to a Higgs pair

$$(\bar{\partial}, \Phi = D).$$

A SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )  $\lambda$ -connections is defined on a rank 2 vector bundle V such that the induced  $\lambda$ -connection on  $\Lambda^2 V$  is trivial. A SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )  $\lambda$ -connection is called stable if there is no invariant line subbundle of non-negative degree and semi-stable if there is no invariant line subbundle of positive degree. A  $\lambda$ -connection with  $\lambda \neq 0$  is automatically semi-stable.

*Remark 1.* In this paper we only consider stable SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )  $\lambda$ -connections, and we do not state that assumption explicitly in the following. For all  $\lambda$ -connections which will be constructed in the paper, stability holds for obvious reasons or can be proven easily. We omit those proofs.

We fix a topological trivialisation  $V = \underline{\mathbb{C}^2}$ , and consider the (complex) gauge group

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} = \{g \colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\}.$$

The gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$  naturally acts on the space of  $\lambda$ -connections. Restricting to stable  $\lambda$ -connections, the quotient is a complex manifold of dimension 6g - 5

$$\mathcal{M}_{Hod} = \mathcal{M}_{Hod}(\Sigma) := \{ x = (\lambda, \bar{\partial}, D) \mid x \text{ is a stable } \lambda \text{ connection} \} / \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

see [16]. This space is called the Hodge moduli space. Elements in the Hodge moduli space are usually denoted by  $[\lambda, \overline{\partial}, D]$  or by  $[\lambda, \overline{\partial}, D]_{\Sigma}$  to emphasise their dependence on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ . The Hodge moduli space admits a natural fibration to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  we denote by  $\overline{\Sigma}$  the complex conjugate Riemann surface. The Deligne gluing is a complex analytic diffeomorphism

$$\Psi\colon \mathcal{M}_{Hod}(\Sigma)_{|\mathbb{C}^*} \to \mathcal{M}_{Hod}(\bar{\Sigma})_{|\mathbb{C}^*}$$

defined by

$$[\lambda, \overline{\partial}, D]_{\Sigma} \mapsto [\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda}D, \frac{1}{\lambda}\overline{\partial}]_{\overline{\Sigma}}.$$

The Deligne-Hitchin moduli space is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{DH} := \mathcal{M}_{Hod}(\Sigma) \cup_{\Psi} \mathcal{M}_{Hod}(\bar{\Sigma}).$$

The Deligne-Hitchin moduli space admits a natural fibration to  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . The fiber over  $\lambda = 0$  is the (stable) Higgs bundle moduli space of  $\Sigma$ , the fiber over  $\lambda = 1$  is the moduli space of flat irreducible connections and the fiber over  $\lambda = \infty$  is the moduli space of (stable) Higgs bundles on  $\overline{\Sigma}$ .

2.1.1. Automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}_{DH}$  For every non-zero complex number  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  there exists an automorphism  $t : \mathcal{M}_{DH} \to \mathcal{M}_{DH}$  determined by

$$t[\lambda, \bar{\partial}, D]_{\Sigma} := [t\lambda, \bar{\partial}, tD]_{\Sigma}.$$

For t = -1 we denote this automorphism by N.

Denote the standard real structure on  $V = \underline{\mathbb{C}^2} \to \Sigma$  by  $\rho$ . For a given linear differential operator  $A \in \mathcal{D}^k(\Sigma, V)$  we define its complex conjugate

$$\bar{A} := \rho^{-1} \circ A \circ \rho = \rho \circ A \circ \rho \in \mathcal{D}^k(\Sigma, V).$$

With this terminology we obtain a real structure  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{DH}$  defined by

$$\rho([\lambda,\bar{\partial},D]_{\Sigma})=[\bar{\lambda},\bar{\partial},\bar{D}]_{\bar{\Sigma}}.$$

The real involution covers

$$\lambda \mapsto 1/\bar{\lambda}$$

Note that N and  $\rho$  commute, and hence give another real structure

$$\tau = N \circ \rho \colon \mathcal{M}_{DH} \to \mathcal{M}_{DH} \tag{1}$$

covering the antipodal involution  $\lambda \mapsto -1/\overline{\lambda}$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . We remark that in higher rank r > 2 there are different possible definitions of the real involution  $\rho$ , see the discussion in [2, 1.6].

2.1.2. The twistor interpretation Hitchin's self-duality equations [10] on a Riemann surface are

$$F^{\nabla + \Phi + \Phi^*} = 0$$
 and  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla} \Phi = 0$ 

for the (0, 1)-part  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  of a unitary connection  $\nabla$  and  $\Phi \in \Gamma(\Sigma, KEnd_0(V))$  and where *F* denotes the curvature of a connection. It was shown by Hitchin that the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{SD}$  of irreducible solutions to the self-duality equations modulo gauge transformations is a hyper-Kähler manifold with respect to a natural  $L^2$ -metric. The complex structures are induced by the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence: Every stable Higgs pair determines a unique solution (up to gauge transformations), giving the moduli space of solutions the complex structure *I* of the Higgs bundle moduli space. On the other hand, every irreducible flat connection uniquely determines a solution of the self-duality equations [6], providing the complex structure *J* on the moduli space of solutions. The complex structures *I* and *J* anti-commute, and *I*, *J* and K = IJ are Kähler with respect to a natural  $L^2$ -metric *G*.

The twistor space

$$\mathcal{P} := M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$$

of a hyper-Kähler manifold (M, G, I, J, K) is naturally equipped with the almost complex structure

$$I_{(p,\lambda)} := \left(\frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{1+|\lambda|^2}I_p + \frac{\lambda+\bar{\lambda}}{1+|\lambda|^2}J_p + i\frac{\lambda-\bar{\lambda}}{1+|\lambda|^2}K_p, i\right).$$

This structure turns out to be integrable, see [11]. It admits a real involution

$$\tilde{\tau}: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}; \quad (p, \lambda) \mapsto (p, -\bar{\lambda}^{-1})$$

covering the antipodal involution. A holomorphic section *s* of  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  is called  $\tilde{\tau}$ -real if

$$\tilde{\tau}(s(\lambda)) = s(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})$$

for all  $\lambda$ . The manifold M can be recovered as a component of the space of  $\tilde{\tau}$ -real holomorphic sections of the holomorphic fibration  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ . In fact, for every  $p \in M$ 

$$s_p \colon \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathcal{P}; \quad \lambda \mapsto (p, \lambda)$$

provides a  $\tilde{\tau}$ -real holomorphic section, and locally, there cannot be any other  $\tilde{\tau}$ -real holomorphic section [11]. Moreover, the Riemannian metric *G* can be recovered from the twistor space. Consider the Kähler forms  $\omega_I$ ,  $\omega_J$  and  $\omega_K$  with respect to *I*, *J* and *K*, respectively. Then,

$$\omega_{\lambda} := (\omega_J + i\omega_K) + 2\lambda\omega_I - \lambda^2(\omega_J - i\omega_K)$$

defines a holomorphic section

$$\omega_{\lambda} \in H^0(\mathcal{P}; \Lambda^{2,0}T^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}(2)),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(2)$  denotes the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}(2) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ , and  $TF \to \mathcal{P}$  is the vertical bundle of the fibration  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ . The Riemannian metric *G* is obtained from  $\omega_{\lambda}$  by evaluating derivatives of families of sections [11].

*Remark 2.* For  $M = \mathcal{M}_{SD}$ , the moduli space of solutions of the self-duality equations,  $\omega_{\lambda=0}$  is the natural symplectic form on the moduli space of Higgs bundles, while  $\omega_{\lambda=1}$  is the Goldman symplectic form on the deRham moduli space of flat connections.

A solution  $(\nabla, \, \Phi)$  of the self-duality equations gives rise to its associated family of flat connections

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mapsto \nabla + \lambda^{-1} \Phi + \lambda \Phi^*,$$

or likewise, to the holomorphic section

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto [\lambda, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla} + \lambda \Phi^*, \lambda \partial^{\nabla} + \Phi]_{\Sigma}$$

of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space. In turns out that these sections are  $\tau$ -real [16], see also [2].

**Theorem 1.** (Deligne, Simpson, [16]) The twistor space  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{SD}$  of solutions to the self-duality equations on a compact Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  is naturally biholomorphic to the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space via

 $(\nabla, \Phi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}_{SD} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \mapsto [\lambda, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla} + \lambda \Phi^*, \lambda \partial^{\nabla} + \Phi]_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{M}_{Hod} \subset \mathcal{M}_{DH}$ 

such that  $\tilde{\tau}$  and  $\tau$  coincide.

2.1.3. Invariants of sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces In [2] several invariants for holomorphic sections  $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathcal{M}_{DH}$  have been defined. In particular, a section *s* is called admissible if it admits a lift

$$\lambda \mapsto \nabla + \lambda^{-1} \Phi + \lambda \Psi$$

to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -family of flat connections such that  $(\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, \Phi)$  and  $(\partial^{\nabla}, \Psi)$  are stable Higgs pairs on  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , respectively. Note that any section *s* admits a lift of the form

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \Phi + \nabla + \lambda \Psi_1 + \lambda^2 \Psi_2 + \dots$$

with a stable Higgs pair  $(\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, \Phi)$ . Also note that  $\Psi_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are endomorphismvalued 1-forms and not necessarily of type (1, 0). The lift of a  $\tau$ -real holomorphic section yields a (holomorphic) family of SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-valued gauge transformations

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mapsto g(\lambda) \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

satisfying

$$\overline{\nabla^{-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}}} = \nabla^{\lambda} g(\lambda) \tag{2}$$

as a consequence of the reality condition. By irreducibility of the connections

$$\overline{g(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}g(\lambda) = \pm \mathrm{Id},\tag{3}$$

and the sign  $\pm$  is an invariant of the section *s*. Note that the sign might change if we would allow GL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-valued gauge transformations. A  $\tau$ -real holomorphic section is called positive or negative depending on the sign in (3). Twistor lines in the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space are admissible negative sections. In [2] admissible positive  $\tau$ -real holomorphic sections of Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces have been constructed, and in [9] non-admissible negative  $\tau$ -real holomorphic sections of Deligne-Hitchin moduli spaces have been constructed. The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 2.** [2] An admissible  $\tau$ -real holomorphic section of a Deligne-Hitchin moduli space is either a twistor line or positive.

#### 2.2. Real projective structures

Projective structures on Riemann surfaces, Schwarzian derivatives and opers are classical in the theory of Riemann surfaces. We shortly recall some basic facts, mainly to fix notations. For more details the reader might consult [3,7,20].

A projective structure on a Riemann surface is given by an atlas  $(U_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{U}}$ whose transition functions are given by Moebius transformations, i.e., they satisfy

$$z_{\beta} \circ z_{\alpha}^{-1}(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

for some (constant)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

(depending on  $\alpha, \beta \in U$ ). While we stick to the notations of [7], in [20] a projective structure is called a complex projective structure or a  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ -structure.

Obviously,  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is equipped with a projective structure, and a surface of genus 1 is equipped with a complex structure via flat conformal coordinates. A natural projective structure on any compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \ge 2$  is given by uniformization: The constant curvature -1 metric provides a developing map to the hyperbolic disc  $\mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$  which is equivariant with respect to PSU(1, 1)-valued Moebius transformations.

A projective structure on a compact Riemann surface can be described by a flat SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-connection of a special form. Let  $\nabla$  be a flat SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-connection on  $V = \underline{\mathbb{C}}^2 \to \Sigma$  such that its induced holomorphic structure  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  admits a holomorphic sub-line bundle *S* of maximal possible degree (g - 1), *g* being the genus of  $\Sigma$ . Take a complementary  $C^{\infty}$ -bundle  $S^* \subset V$ , and decompose

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^S & \psi \\ \varphi & \nabla^{S^*} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

with respect to  $V = S \oplus S^*$ . As S is a holomorphic subbundle  $\varphi$  is a (1, 0)-form. Flatness implies that

$$\varphi \in H^0(\Sigma, K(S^*)^2)$$

and  $\varphi \neq 0$  if  $g \geq 2$  because *S* has positive degree. Hence, *S* is a spin bundle, i.e.,  $S^2 = K$  as a holomorphic line bundle, and  $\varphi$  is a nowhere vanishing section which is identified with a constant. The choice of the spin bundle *S* corresponds to the choice of a lift of the *PSL*(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-representation to a *SL*(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-representation.

**Definition 2.** A flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connection of the form (4) on a compact Riemann surface is called oper.

The projective atlas corresponding to an oper  $\nabla$  is obtained as follows: Consider two linear independent parallel sections of  $\nabla$ 

$$\Psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

on an open set  $U \subset \Sigma$ . Consider the projections of the sections to  $V/S = S^*$ . They are holomorphic sections of  $S^*$  as  $V \to V/S$  is holomorphic. With respect to the decomposition  $V = S \oplus S^*$  they are given as  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . The quotient  $z = y_1/y_2$  is a holomorphic map to  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , and taking different parallel sections

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1 = a\Psi_1 + b\Psi_2 \quad \tilde{\Psi}_2 = c\Psi_1 + d\Psi_2$$

(with ad - bc = 1) amounts into

$$z = y_1/y_2 \mapsto \frac{ay_1 + by_2}{cy_1 + dy_2} = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

a Moebius transformation. Because  $\varphi$  is nowhere vanishing z is not branched, i.e., z is a local (holomorphic) diffeomorphism. We obtain a projective atlas.

2.2.1. Grafting Grafting of projective structures was introduced by Maskit [12], Hejhal [4] and Sullivan-Thurstan [5]. Our short description follows Goldman [20]. Grafting yields projective structures whose monodromy is in  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong$ PSU(1, 1). Following Faltings [7] and Takhtajan [17] they are called *real projective structures* here. In [20], a real projective structure is a  $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ -structure on the surface. The reader should be aware of the distinction.

For the construction of a real projective structure we consider the Fuchsian representation of a Riemann surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and fix its developing map to  $\mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$ . Consider a simple closed curve in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  which is not null-homotopic. In its homotopy class there is a unique (oriented) geodesic  $\gamma$  (with respect to the constant curvature -1 metric). Via the developing map  $\gamma$  is mapped to a part of a circle. The corresponding circle *C* intersects the boundary at infinity of the hyperbolic disc at two points, one being the starting point *S* and the other being the end point *E* with respect to the orientation of the geodesic. The monodromy of the Fuchsian representation along  $\gamma$  is given by an element  $A \in SU(1, 1)$ , unique up to sign,



Fig. 1. The annulus obtained from the Moebius transformation A

for which *E* is an attractive fixed point and *S* is a repelling fixed point. The sign depends on the lift of the Fuchsian representation to a  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representation.

The transformation  $A \in SU(1, 1)$  yields a torus T with a flat projective structure as follows: Consider a circle  $C_1$  which intersects both circles, C and the boundary at infinity  $S^1$ , perpendicularly, see Fig. 1. It is mapped by A to a circle  $C_2 = A(C_1)$ . The circles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  bound an annulus An, and gluing the boundary circles  $C_1$ and  $C_2$  via A gives a torus T with a complex projective structure. A fundamental piece of the developing map of this projective structure is the annulus An.

The torus T can be glued to  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  as follows: the geodesic arc of C contained in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ intersects the annulus An in a curve which projects to a closed curve  $\tilde{\gamma}$  on T. The torus T admits a metric of constant negative curvature -1 away from the intersection of its developing map with the boundary at infinity of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a geodesic. Denote by |T| the annulus with two boundary components obtained by gluing two copies of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  to the open annulus  $T \setminus \tilde{\gamma}$ . There are open tubular neighbourhoods of  $\gamma$  in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in T which are isometric. This isometry can be used to glue the torus and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  to obtain a closed Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  of the same genus by replacing the set  $\gamma \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$  by |T|. Moreover,  $\Sigma$  has a projective structure induced from  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and T. This projective structure has the same monodromy as the original projective structure on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . In particular, the monodromy is PSU(1, 1)-valued, and we obtain a real projective structure. Note that (the developing map of) the projective structure on  $\Sigma$  induces a curvature -1 metric away from a singularity set. The singularity set is given by two distinct closed smooth curves both homotopic to  $\gamma$  (considered as a curve in  $\Sigma$ ).

The above procedure is called grafting along  $\gamma$ . We can glue the torus multiple times, yielding yet another Riemann surface equipped with a projective structure. The monodromy is still the Fuchsian monodromy of the initial Riemann surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . Similarly, we can apply grafting to the new Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  along a disjoint geodesic (with respect to the -1 curvature metric, disjoint from the singularity set). Altogether, starting with a isotopy class C of simple not null-homotopic disjoint curves on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , and applying the grafting construction repetitively to the closed curves yields a new Riemann surface with a projective structure with SU(1, 1)-monodromy.

*Remark 3.* Note that the topological information of C is still transparent after the grafting. In fact, the proof of the main theorem in [20] uses the decomposition of  $\Sigma$  into connected components on which the induced Riemannian metric of constant curvature -1 is non-singular. The components are separated by closed curves on  $\Sigma$  which yield the information of C.

*Remark 4.* It was shown by Tanigawa [18] that grafting (with respect to an isotopy class C of simple not null-homotopic disjoint curves on the underlying topological surface) yields a homeomorphism of the Teichmüller space to itself. In particular, on any given Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ , there exists infinitely many projective structures with monodromy in PSU(1, 1). The different real projective structures on a given Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  are uniquely determined by the choice of topological data C, i.e., by Goldman's result [20] every real projective structure arises from grafting in this way, and the isotopy class C can be recovered from the real projective structure from the set of points where the (equivariant) developing map of the projective structure intersects the boundary  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \partial \mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$  at infinity.

*Remark 5.* Real projective structures on a compact Riemann surface have been recently identified [19] with eigenfunctions of the quantised Hamiltonians of the SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ ) Hitchin system.

#### 3. Real holomorphic sections via real projective structures

In this section we explain how real projective structures on  $\Sigma$  give rise to real holomorphic sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{DH}(\Sigma)$ . We then figure out some important properties of these sections.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus g equipped with a real projective structure obtained by grafting along C. After the choice of a spin bundle S, the projective structure is given by a flat SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-connection  $\nabla$  on  $\Sigma$  with SU(1, 1) monodromy. The underlying holomorphic structure  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  admits S as a holomorphic subbundle. The oper  $\nabla$  differs from the uniformization connection  $\nabla^{Fuchs}$  (for which the lift from  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  to SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is determined by the same spin bundle S) by a holomorphic quadratic differential  $q \in H^0(\Sigma, K^2)$ . With respect to the induced SU(1, 1)-structure of the uniformization and the induced decomposition  $V = S \oplus S^*$  into (complementary) orthogonal subbundles the uniformization oper is

$$\nabla^{Fuchs} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^{S} & \varphi^{*} \\ \varphi & \nabla^{S^{*}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where

$$\varphi = 1 \in H^0(\Sigma, KK^{-1}),$$

 $\varphi^*$  is the adjoint with respect to the metric with constant curvature -1. Then,  $\nabla$  is given (after a gauge transformation) as

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^S \ \varphi^* + q \\ \varphi \ \nabla^{S^*} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Remark 6.* We are mainly interested in the case that  $q \neq 0$ . This case corresponds to non-trivial topological data C, and the SU(1, 1)-structure of  $\nabla$  differs from that of  $\nabla^{Fuchs}$ . In particular,  $S^* \subset V$  is not the orthogonal complement of S with respect to the indefinite hermitian metric induced by the flat SU(1, 1)-connection  $\nabla$ .

## 3.1. Construction of real sections

Consider the family of gauge transformations (parametrised by  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ )

$$g(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

with respect to the  $C^{\infty}$ -decomposition  $V = S \oplus S^*$ , and the family of flat connections

$$\nabla^{\lambda} := \nabla g(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^{S} & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla^{S^{*}} \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi^{*} + q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We directly see that

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto [\lambda, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla^{\lambda}}, \lambda \partial^{\nabla^{\lambda}}]_{\Sigma}$$
(7)

defines a section s of  $\mathcal{M}_{DH} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  over  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$  the section is also given by

$$\lambda \mapsto [\lambda, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, \lambda \partial^{\nabla}]_{\Sigma}.$$
(8)

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\nabla$  be a oper with SU(1, 1)-monodromy. Then, the section in (7) extends holomorphically to  $\lambda = \infty$ . The extension is  $\tau$ -real.

*Proof.* We have that SU(1, 1) and  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  are conjugate. Therefore, and because  $\nabla$  has SU(1, 1)-monodromy, there exists a  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -gauge transformation h such that

$$\overline{\nabla} = \nabla . h.$$

Over  $\mathbb{C}^*$  the section is given by (8). Thus, we have

$$\tau(s(\lambda)) = \tau([\lambda, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, \lambda \partial^{\nabla}]_{\Sigma})$$

$$= [-\bar{\lambda}, \overline{\partial^{\nabla}}, -\bar{\lambda}\overline{\partial^{\nabla}}]_{\bar{\Sigma}}$$

$$= [-\bar{\lambda}, \partial^{\nabla}.h, -\bar{\lambda}\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}.h]_{\bar{\Sigma}}$$

$$= [-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla}.h, -\bar{\lambda}^{-1}\partial^{\nabla}.h]_{\Sigma}$$

$$= [-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, -\bar{\lambda}^{-1}\partial^{\nabla}]_{\Sigma} = s(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})$$
(9)

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This shows that the holomorphic section is  $\tau$ -real over  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . As the section extends holomorphically to  $\lambda = 0$ , it follows from  $\tau$ -reality that the section also extends holomorphically to  $\lambda = \infty$ .

Note that image of the section constructed in Lemma 1 is contained in the smooth part of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space.

**Definition 3.** A section of the form (7) for an oper  $\nabla$  with real monodromy is called grafting section. It is called the uniformization section if it is given by the uniformization oper  $\nabla^{Fuchs}$ .

**Theorem 3.** A grafting section is a  $\tau$ -real negative holomorphic section. It is admissible if and only if it is the uniformization section. Sections corresponding to different grafting data C and  $\tilde{C}$  are different.

*Proof.* We have already seen in Lemma 1 that a grafting section *s* is  $\tau$ -real. Due to the SU(1, 1)-structure there exists a  $\lambda$ -independent SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-gauge transformation *h* such that

$$\overline{\nabla} = \nabla.h.$$

We have  $\bar{h}h = \pm \text{Id. If } \bar{h}h = -\text{Id}, \nabla$  would be a flat SU(2) connection. Because  $\nabla$  is an irreducible SU(1, 1)-connection we therefore have  $\bar{h}h = \text{Id. Using } \nabla^{\lambda} = \nabla .g(\lambda)$  for g as in (6) we obtain

$$\overline{\nabla^{-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}}} = \nabla^{\lambda} . g^{-1}(\lambda) h \overline{g(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{g}(\lambda) := ig^{-1}(\lambda)h\overline{g(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}$$
(10)

satisfies

$$\overline{\tilde{g}(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}\tilde{g}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Id}$$

(see also [2, Lemma 2.15] for the simple computation), and

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) := \lambda \tilde{g}(\lambda)$$

is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -family of SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-valued gauge transformations such that

$$\overline{\nabla^{-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}}} = \nabla^{\lambda}.\hat{g}(\lambda)$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{g}(-\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}\hat{g}(\lambda) = -\mathrm{Id}.$$

Hence, *s* is negative by definition.

The uniformization section is given by a particular solution of the self-duality equations. Hence, the uniformization section is admissible. Conversely, consider a grafting section *s*, and assume it is admissible. By [2, Theorem 3.6] the section corresponds to a solution of the self-duality equations. Therefore, it is a twistor line. As the twistor line through a point in the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space is unique, and because the uniformization section and the grafting section coincide at  $\lambda = 0$ , *s* must be the uniformization section. Finally, if two sections are obtained by different graftings, the monodromies of their flat connections (at  $\lambda = 1$ ) are different. Therefore the sections are different.

*Remark* 7. The extension of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit 8 to  $\lambda = 0$  is a special instance of Simpson's construction [15]. If the holomorphic bundle  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  underlying a flat connection  $\nabla$  with SL(2,  $\mathbb{R}$ )-monodromy is stable, the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit yields a  $\tau$ -real positive section.

# 3.2. Real holomorphic sections and singular solutions of the self-duality equations

In [2] it was shown that an admissible negative  $\tau$ -real holomorphic section is given by a solution of Hitchin's self-duality equations. The idea of proof is as follows: Take a lift of the section *s* given by a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  family of flat connections

$$\nabla^{\lambda} = \lambda^{-1} \Phi + \nabla + \dots$$

where  $(\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}, \Phi)$  is a stable Higgs pair. As *s* is negative  $\tau$ -real, there exists a family of SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ ) gauge transformations  $g(\lambda)$  satisfying (2) and (3) for the minus sign. Because *s* is admissible, for every  $p \in \Sigma$  the loop  $\lambda \mapsto g_p(\lambda)$  is in the open big cell, hence admits a factorisation into a positive and a negative loop (see [13] for details about loop groups or [9] for a short summary of relevant material). Using a normalisation (for example given by the reality condition), we have a splitting

$$g(\lambda) = g^+(\lambda)g^-(\lambda)$$

globally on  $\Sigma$ . It can be seen easily that

$$\nabla^{\lambda}.g^{+}(\lambda)$$

is the associated family of a solution to the self-duality equations (for an appropriate hermitian metric), see [2, Theorem 3.6] for details.

If a  $\tau$ -real negative holomorphic section is not admissible, then (for a lift  $\nabla^{\lambda}$  and the corresponding gauge satisfying (2) and (3)) the loop  $\lambda \mapsto g_p(\lambda)$  is not in the big cell for all  $p \in \Sigma$ . Assume that  $g_p$  is contained in the big cell for all  $p \in U$  in an open dense subset  $U \subset \Sigma$ , and intersects the first small cell transversely on  $\Sigma \setminus U$ . Then the equivariant harmonic map to hyperbolic 3-space corresponding to the solution of the self-duality equations defined on U (by the above procedure) extends smoothly through the boundary at infinity  $S^2$  of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , and yields a smooth map

$$f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{H}^3 \cup S^2 \cup \mathbb{H}^3 = S^3$$

see [9, 5].

**Lemma 2.** Let *s* be a grafting section *s*. For  $p \in \Sigma$  the corresponding loop  $\tilde{g}_p$  in (10) is in the big cell if  $S_p$  and  $S_p^{\#}$  do not coalesce, where  $S_p^{\#}$  is the orthogonal bundle of *S* with respect to the indefinite hermitian metric. This happens on an open dense subset  $U \subset \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Recall the construction of the section of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space in Sect. 3.1. Instead of taking the complementary line bundle  $S^* = \ker \Phi$  of *S* provided by uniformization, we can as well use a different complementary line bundle *L* of *S*. Define *g* as in (6) but with respect to  $S \oplus L$ . This construction clearly yields the same section of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space. The two families of flat connections provided by two different choices of complementary line bundles differ by a family of SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ ) gauge transformations which holomorphically extends to  $\lambda = 0$ .

Consider a point  $p \in \Sigma$  where  $S_p$  and  $S_p^{\#}$  do not coalesce. Consider a complementary line bundle *L* of *S* which coincides with  $S^{\#}$  in a open neighbourhood *U* of *p*. A short computation then shows that the corresponding map  $\tilde{g}$  in (10) is  $\tilde{g} = \lambda^{-1}\delta$  for some  $\delta: U \to SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus  $\hat{g}_q(\lambda) = \lambda \tilde{g}_q(\lambda)$  is in the big cell for all  $q \in U$ .

Note that  $S = S^{\#}$  cannot hold globally on  $\Sigma$  because otherwise S would be a parallel subbundle with respect to  $\nabla$ , which is a contradiction. The second statement of the lemma follows from the fact that S and  $S^{\#}$  are real analytic subbundles.  $\Box$ 

This lemma shows that a grafting section gives rise to a solution of the self-duality equations on an open dense subset  $U \subset \Sigma$ . In particular, we obtain an equivariant harmonic map into  $\mathbb{H}^3$  defined on the universal covering of U.

**Proposition 1.** The (equivariant) harmonic map with singularities given by a grafting section is given by the developing map of the corresponding real projective structure.

*Proof.* A grafting section has nilpotent Higgs field. Thus, the (equivariant) harmonic map corresponding to the solution of the self-duality equation on the open dense subset  $U \subset \Sigma$  provided by the previous lemma is a (equivariant) conformally parametrised minimal surface. In particular, it extends to a (equivariant) Willmore

surface [9, 5]. Moreover, the Hopf differential of the surface does vanish, as a consequence of the fact that  $\nabla^{\lambda}$  are gauge equivalent for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . In [3] it is shown that for vanishing Hopf differential, the developing map of the projective structure is equal to the (equivariant) Willmore immersion, and the proposition follows.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 8.* The previous proposition tells us that the loop  $\tilde{g}$  in (10) is not contained in the big cell exactly at those points which are mapped to the boundary circle at infinity  $S^1$  of  $\mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$  via the developing map of the real projective structure. Moreover, it can be shown shown that  $\tilde{g}$  in (10) intersects the first small cell transversely, compare with [9, Section 5].

## 3.3. Normal bundles of grafting sections

The next goal is to compute the normal bundle of a grafting section. We first recall the deformation theory of irreducible flat connections  $\nabla$ . The exterior differential  $d^{\nabla}$  induces a complex

$$0 \to \Omega^0(\Sigma, \operatorname{End}_0(V)) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, \operatorname{End}_0(V)) \to \Omega^2(\Sigma, \operatorname{End}_0(V)) \to 0$$

The tangent space at  $\nabla$  of the deRham moduli space of flat irreducible connections is naturally identified with the first cohomology group

$$T_{[\nabla]}\mathcal{M}_{dR} = H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla}) := \ker(d^{\nabla} \colon \Omega^1 \to \Omega^2) / \operatorname{im}(d^{\nabla} \colon \Omega^0 \to \Omega^1)$$

For an irreducible unitary flat connection the space  $H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla})$  can be identified with the space of harmonic  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -valued 1-forms  $\Psi$ , i.e.,  $d^{\nabla}\Psi = d^{\nabla} * \Psi = 0$ . The space of harmonic 1-forms equals the direct sum of the space of Higgs fields with respect to  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  and of the space of anti-Higgs fields with respect to  $\partial^{\nabla}$ . In the case of a oper connection, the underlying holomorphic structure is unstable and admits trace-free endomorphisms  $0 \neq X \in \Gamma(\Sigma, \operatorname{End}_0(V))$  with

$$\bar{\partial}X = 0.$$

By irreducibility of  $\nabla$ 

$$d^{\nabla}X = \partial^{\nabla}X \neq 0$$

is non-trivial, and by flatness of  $\nabla$  it is holomorphic, i.e.,

$$\partial^{\mathsf{V}} X \in H^0(\Sigma, K \mathrm{End}_0(V)) \tag{11}$$

is a Higgs field. Therefore, not every harmonic 1-form yields a non-trivial tangent direction of the deRham moduli space. The following lemma shows that for an oper connection  $\nabla$  with real monodromy every tangent vector

$$\Psi \in T_{[\nabla]}\mathcal{M}_{dR} = H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla})$$

can be represented by special harmonic 1-forms, i.e., the direct sum of a nilpotent Higgs field with a nilpotent anti-Higgs field. Denote by

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{ \Phi \in H^0(\Sigma, K \operatorname{End}_0(V), \partial^{\vee}) \mid \det \Phi = 0 \}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}} := \{ \Psi \in H^0(\bar{\Sigma}, K_{\bar{\Sigma}} \text{End}_0(V), \partial^{\nabla}) \mid \det \Psi = 0 \}$$

the space of nilpotent Higgs fields respectively anti-Higgs fields. Recall the form (5). Thus  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$  is upper triangular with respect to  $V = S \oplus S^*$ . A direct computation using that  $\varphi^*$  gives a nontrivial element in  $H^1(\Sigma, K^{-1})$  shows that every Higgs field of  $(V, \bar{\partial}^{\nabla})$  must be upper triangular as well. Thus, Q consists of upper triangular Higgs fields with respect to  $V = S \oplus S^*$ . In particular Q is a vector space modelled on the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials, and its dimension is 3g - 3, where g is the genus of  $\Sigma$ . Analogusly,  $\bar{Q}$  is also a vector space of dimension 3g - 3.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\nabla$  be an oper with real monodromy. Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{Q} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{Q}} \to H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla}) = ker(d^{\nabla} \colon \Omega^1 \to \Omega^2) / im(d^{\nabla} \colon \Omega^0 \to \Omega^1)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Higgs fields and anti-Higgs fields are clearly closed with respect to  $d^{\nabla}$ , so we have a well-defined map  $\mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \to H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla})$ . As the dimension is 6g - 6 for both spaces it remains to show that this map is injective. As elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are (1, 0)-forms on X and elements of  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$  are (0, 1)-forms on X the map  $\mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \to \ker(d^{\nabla} \colon \Omega^1 \to \Omega^2)$  is injective. Let

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$$

be in the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \to H^1(\Sigma, d^{\nabla})$ , i.e., there exists

$$X \in \Gamma(\Sigma, \operatorname{End}_0(V))$$

with

$$\Psi = d^{\nabla} X.$$

We claim that  $\Psi = 0$ . For any endomorphism A and any endomorphism-valued 1-form  $\omega$  we denote by  $A^{\#}$  and  $\omega^{\#}$  the adjoint endomorphism and the adjoint 1-form with respect to the indefinite hermitian metric. We decompose

$$\Psi = \Psi^+ + \Psi^-$$

into its hermitian symmetric part  $\Psi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi + \Psi^{\#})$  and skew hermitian part  $\Psi^- = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi - \Psi^{\#})$  with respect to the indefinite hermitian metric, and analogously

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^{\#}) + \frac{1}{2}(X - X^{\#}) =: X^{+} + X^{-}$$

Note that for  $\Psi \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$  also  $\Psi^{\#} \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Moreover, since  $\nabla$  is unitary with respect to the indefinite hermitian metric, we obtain

$$d^{\nabla}(X^{\#}) = (d^{\nabla}X)^{\#} = \Psi^{\#} \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

This gives

$$d^{\nabla}X^{\pm} = \Psi^{\pm} \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{Q}},$$

and it suffices to prove the claim for  $\Psi^{\pm} \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

We consider  $\Psi^-$  only, the proof for  $\Psi^+$  works completely analogously. Consider the Higgs field

$$\Phi := (\Psi^{-})^{(1,0)} = \partial^{\nabla} X^{-} \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Then we have

$$\Phi^{\#} = -(\Psi^{-})^{(0,1)} = -\bar{\partial}^{\nabla} X^{-} \in \bar{\mathcal{Q}},$$

and integration yields

$$\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr}(\Phi \wedge \Phi^{\#}) = -\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr}(\partial^{\nabla} X^{-} \wedge \bar{\partial}^{\nabla} X^{-}) = -\int_{\Sigma} d\operatorname{tr}(X^{-} \bar{\partial}^{\nabla} X^{-}) = 0.$$

For a non-degenerate indefinite hermitian metric on a vector space of dimension 2 every non-vanishing nilpotent endomorphism *A* satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr}(AA^{\#}) \ge 0 \tag{12}$$

with equality if and only if the kernel of *A* is a null-line. By construction of the oper  $\nabla$  with real monodromy and by Remark 8, the fibers of the kernel bundle of  $\Phi$  (given by the holomorphic line bundle *S*) are null exactly where the developing map of the real projective structure crosses the boundary of the hyperbolic disc. This is a subset of measure 0, therefore

$$\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr}(\Phi \wedge \Phi^{\#}) = 0$$

holds if and only if  $\Phi = 0$ . Thus,  $\Psi^- = 0$  and analogously  $\Psi^+ = 0$  proving the lemma.

In the SL(2,  $\mathbb{C}$ )-case, the normal bundle of a holomorphic section  $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathcal{M}_{DH}$  is a holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  of rank 6g-6. For the uniformization section (as for all twistor lines), the normal bundle is

$$\mathcal{O}(1)^{6g-6} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$$
,

which is fundamental for the twistor approach to hyperKähler manifolds [11].

**Theorem 4.** The normal bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  of a grafting section on a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  of genus g is

$$\mathcal{O}(1)^{6g-6} \to \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Proof. We first construct a (holomorphic) bundle homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}\colon \mathcal{O}(1)\otimes (\mathcal{Q}\oplus\bar{\mathcal{Q}})\to \mathcal{N}.$$

Then we show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an isomorphism of holomorphic bundles.

Consider

$$0 \neq Q \in H^0(\Sigma, K^2),$$

which determines a non-zero element in Q. Over  $\mathbb{C}$  the grafting section is given by

$$s(\lambda) = [\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}^{S} & \lambda \varphi^{*} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}^{S^{*}} \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial^{S} & \lambda q \\ \lambda^{-1} \varphi & \partial^{S^{*}} \end{pmatrix}]_{\Sigma}.$$

Consider the infinitesimal deformation

$$s_t^Q(\lambda) = [\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}^S & \lambda \varphi^* \\ 0 & \overline{\partial}^{S^*} \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial^S & \lambda q \\ \lambda^{-1} \varphi & \partial^{S^*} \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]_{\Sigma}.$$

By Lemma (3) this gives a non-vanishing holomorphic section  $s_Q$  of the normal bundle of *s* over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that over  $\mathbb{C}^*$  this normal field is given by

$$s_t^{\mathcal{Q}}(\lambda) = [\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}^S & \varphi^* \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}^{S^*} \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial^S & q \\ \varphi & \partial^{S^*} \end{pmatrix} + t\lambda^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]_{\Sigma}.$$
 (13)

We want to analyse the behaviour of this normal bundle section at  $\lambda = \infty$ .

Likewise, we can start with an element

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\mathcal{Q}},$$

where  $x \in \Gamma(\Sigma, \overline{K})$ ,  $y \in \Gamma(\Sigma, \overline{K}K)$  and  $z \in \Gamma(\Sigma, \overline{K}K^{-1})$ . We do the analogous construction to obtain a holomorphic normal field of *s* over  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}$ , and want to study its behaviour at  $\lambda = 0$ . By comparing with (13), this normal field is over  $\mathbb{C}^*$  given by

$$s_t^{\bar{Q}}(\lambda) = [\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}^S & \varphi^* \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}^{S^*} \end{pmatrix} + t\lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial^S & q \\ \varphi & \partial^{S^*} \end{pmatrix}]_{\Sigma}.$$

Hence, over  $\mathbb{C}$  the normal section is given by

$$s_t^{\bar{Q}}(\lambda) = [\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}^S & \lambda \varphi^* \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}^{S^*} \end{pmatrix} + t\lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & \lambda y \\ \lambda^{-1}z & -x \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial^S & \lambda q \\ \lambda^{-1}\varphi & \partial^{S^*} \end{pmatrix}]_{\Sigma}.$$
 (14)

From (14), the normal bundle section  $s_{\bar{Q}}$  given by the variation  $s_t^{\bar{Q}}$  extends holomorphically to  $\lambda = 0$  with a zero of order at least 1. Analogously, or by using the SU(1, 1)-structure, we see that the normal field obtained by  $s_t^{\bar{Q}}$  gives a section which extends holomorphically to  $\infty$  with a zero of order at least 1. We obtain a 6g - 6 dimensional space (parametrised by  $Q \oplus \bar{Q}$ ) of holomorphic sections. Because of Lemma 3, the evaluation at  $\lambda$  spans  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We claim that the section given by elements in Q respectively  $\bar{Q}$  have first order zeros at  $\lambda = \infty$  respectively  $\lambda = 0$ . In fact, this follows by another application of Lemma 3: The space of Higgs fields  $\Phi$  is the direct sum of Q and the image of the space of holomorphic trace-free endomorphisms under the map  $\partial^{\nabla}$ , as can be deduced from Riemann-Roch and (11). Assume

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\mathcal{Q}}$$

satisfies

$$\int_{\Sigma} Qz = 0$$

for all  $Q \in H^0(\Sigma, K^2)$ . Then,  $\int tr(\Psi \wedge \Phi) = 0$  for every holomorphic Higgs field  $\Phi$ , and Serre-duality implies that  $\Psi$  is in the image of  $\bar{\partial}^{\nabla}$ . By Lemma 3  $\Psi = 0$ .

We have a well-defined holomorphic bundle homomorphism via

$$\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes (\mathcal{Q} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{Q}}) \to \mathcal{N}, \quad (a+b\lambda)(\mathcal{Q} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{Q}}) \mapsto (a+b\lambda)s_{\mathcal{Q}} + (a\lambda^{-1}+b)s_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}.$$

The map  $\mathcal{H}$  is an isomorphism over  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . We have already deduced from Serre duality that the pairing

$$(Q, \bar{Q}) \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} Qz$$

is a duality, where z is the lower left entry (with respect to  $S \oplus S^*$ ) of the nilpotent anti-Higgs field determined by  $\overline{Q}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{H}$  is an isomorphism at  $\lambda = 0$  as well. Finally, the SU(1, 1)-symmetry shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an isomorphism at  $\lambda = \infty$  as well.

#### 4. Hyper-Kähler components

We are now able to state and prove our main theorem:

**Theorem 5.** For a real projective structure P on a Riemann surface there exists a 6g - 6-dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}_P$  of real holomorphic sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space. Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}_P$  is equipped with a hyper-Kähler metric.

There exists a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $s_P \in \mathcal{M}_P$ , such that every section  $s \in \mathcal{U}$  gives rise to a solution of the self-duality equation away from a singularity set S. The set S is given by a collection of smooth simple curves in  $\Sigma$  which are homotopic to the singular curves of the constant curvature -1 metric associated to P.

*Proof.* The first part of the theorem is an application of [11, Theorem 3.3] using Theorem 4: it remains to show that the induced hyper-Kähler metric is positive definite. Since our construction is local it is sufficient to compute the induced bilinear form at a grafting section. By Theorem 4 and its proof, a complex tangent vector X to the space of holomorphic sections at the grafting section is given by

$$X = (P_0 + P_1\lambda, Q_0 + Q_1\lambda) \tag{15}$$

with

$$P_0, P_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$$
 and  $Q_0, Q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

As in [11], the twisted symplectic form induces a complex bilinear form  $g_{\mathbb{C}}$  on the space of holomorphic sections. In the case of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space and X as in (15), it is given by

$$g_{\mathbb{C}}(X,X) = 2i \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr}(-Q_1 \wedge P_0 + Q_0 \wedge P_1),$$

see [10, Equation 6.2] and also [8, 2 and 4]. Using the definition of  $\tau$  in (1), a tangent vector X as in (15) is real, i.e. a tangent vector to the space of real holomorphic sections, if and only

$$Q_1 = -P_0^{\#}$$
 and  $P_1 = Q_0^{\#}$ 

Using (12) we get analogous to the proof of Lemma 3

$$g_{\mathbb{C}}(X, X) \ge 0$$

for real tangent vectors X with equality if and only if X = 0. Therefore, the induced bilinear form on the space of real sections is positive definit at a grafting section. By continuity, this also holds on a suitable local neighbourhood – denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_P$  – of the grafting section in the space of real holomorphic sections. By [11, Theorem 3.3], we obtain a (Riemannian) hyper-Kähler metric on  $\mathcal{M}_P$ .

The second part of the theorem follows from the discussion in Sect. 3.2 together with the following facts:

- Te choice of lifts of sections s can be done in a smooth way (depending on s) locally;
- By irreducibility, the gauges satisfying (2) depend smoothly on s;
- Being in the big cell (respectively being in the union of the big cell and the first small cell) is an open condition.

The singularity set of the solution of the self-duality equation is given by the set of points  $p \in \Sigma$  where the gauge satisfying (2) is not in the big cell. By the above bullet points and because of Lemma 2 and Proposition 1, this happens along curves which are homotopic to the singular curves of the constant curvature -1 metric provided by the real projective structure *P*.

*Remark 9.* We cannot prove that the components of real holomorphic sections associated to different real projective structures are different. If the singularity locus of the self-duality solutions associated to a real holomorphic section would be an invariant of its component of real holomorphic sections these spaces would actually be different.

In [1], an energy functional  $\mathcal{E}$  on the space of holomorphic sections of the Deligne-Hitchin moduli space has been defined. It takes real values on real holomorphic sections. Moreover, by [1, Theorem 3.13] this energy is a Kähler potential for the hyper-Kähler metric constructed in Theorem 5. For a grafting section s,  $\mathcal{E}(s) = 1-g$  where g is the genus of the surface.

*Acknowledgements* The author thanks Jörg Teschner for first pointing us to (integral) grafting of Fuchsian projective structures, and its interpretation as constant curvature -1 metrics with singularities on compact Riemann surfaces. The authors thanks the referees for helpful comments. The author also thanks the DFG for financial support through the research training group RTG 1670.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

#### Data availability statement There are no data.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

#### References

- Beck, F., Heller, S., Roeser, Markus: The energy functional on the space of sections of the deligne-hitchin moduli space. Math. Ann. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-020-02042-0
- [2] Biswas, I., Heller, S., Roeser, M.: Real holomorphic sections of the deligne-hitchin twistor space. Comm. Math. Phys. 366(3), 1099–1133 (2019)
- [3] Burstall, F., Pedit, F., Pinkall, U.: Schwarzian derivatives and flows of surfaces, Differential geometry and integrable systems (Tokyo,: Contemp. Math., vol. 308, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 2002, 39–61 (2000)
- [4] Dennis, A.: Hejhal, monodromy groups and linearly polymorphic functions. Acta Math. 135(1), 1–55 (1975)
- [5] Dennis, Sullivan, Thurston, W.: Manifolds with canonical coordinate charts: some examples. Enseign. Math. (2) 29(1–2), 15–25 (1983)
- [6] Donaldson, S.K.: Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations. Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) 55(1), 127–131 (1987)
- [7] Faltings, G.: Real projective structures on Riemann surfaces. Compos. Math. 48(2), 223–269 (1983)
- [8] Heller, S., Roeser, M., Beck, F., Biswas, I.: Geometry of the space of sections of twistor spaces with circle action, arXiv:2102.10853
- [9] Heller, L., Sebastian, Heller: Higher solutions of hitchin's self-duality equations. J. Integr. Syst. 5(1), xyaa006 (2020)
- [10] Hitchin, N.: The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) 55(1), 59–126 (1987)
- [11] Hitchin, N.J., Karlhede, A., Lindström, U., Roček, M.: Hyper-Kähler metrics and supersymmetry. Comm. Math. Phys. 108(4), 535–589 (1987)
- [12] Maskit, Bernard: On a class of Kleinian groups. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I(442), 8 (1969)

- [13] Pressley, A., Segal, G.: Loop groups. Oxford Science Publications, New York (1986)
- [14] Simpson, C.: A weight two phenomenon for the moduli of rank one local systems on open varieties, From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt\*-geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 175–214 (2008)
- [15] Simpson, C.: Iterated destabilizing modifications for vector bundles with connection. Contemp. Math. 522, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2010)
- [16] Simpson, C.: The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology, Algebraic geometry– Santa Cruz,: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 62, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 1997, 217–281 (1995)
- [17] Takhtajan, L.A.: Real projective connections, V. I. Smirnov's approach, and black-hole-type solutions of the Liouville equation, Theoret. and Math. Phys. 181 (2014), no. 1, 1307–1316, Russian version appears in Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 181, (2014), no. 1, 206–217. MR 3344471
- [18] Tanigawa, H.: Grafting, harmonic maps and projective structures on surfaces. J. Differ. Geom. 47(3), 399–419 (1997)
- [19] Teschner, Jörg.: Quantization of the quantum Hitchin system and the real geometric Langlands correspondence, geometry and physics, vol. I, pp. 347–375. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2018)
- [20] William, M.: Goldman, projective structures with Fuchsian holonomy. J. Differ. Geom. 25(3), 297–326 (1987)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.