

Trivializable and Quaternionic Subriemannian Structures on \mathbb{S}^7 and Subelliptic Heat Kernel

Wolfram Bauer¹ • Abdellah Laaroussi¹

Received: 29 November 2021 / Accepted: 7 May 2022 / Published online: 7 June 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

On the seven dimensional Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^7 we compare two subriemannian structures with regards to various geometric and analytical properties. The first structure is called trivializable and the underlying distribution \mathcal{H}_T is induced by a Clifford module structure of \mathbb{R}^8 . More precisely, \mathcal{H}_T is rank 4, bracket generating of step two and generated by globally defined vector fields. The distribution \mathcal{H}_Q of the second structure is of rank 4 and step two as well and obtained as the horizontal distribution in the quaternionic Hopf fibration $\mathbb{S}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^7 \to \mathbb{S}^4$. Answering a question in: Markina and Godoy Molina (Rev Mat Iberoam 27(3), 997–1022, 2011) we first show that \mathcal{H}_Q does not admit a global nowhere vanishing smooth section. In both cases we determine the Popp measures [20], the intrinsic sublaplacians Δ^T_{sub} and Δ^Q_{sub} and the nilpotent approximations. We conclude that both subriemannian structures are not locally isometric and we discuss properties of the isometry group. By determining the first heat invariant of the sublaplacians it is shown that both structures are also not isospectral in the subriemannian sense.

Keywords Subriemannian geometry · Sublaplacian · Heat kernel · Spectrum of geometric operators

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C17 · 35P20

Both authors have been supported by the priority program SPP 2026 *geometry at infinity* of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project Number BA 3793/6-1).

Wolfram Bauer bauer@math.uni-hannover.de

Abdellah Laaroussi @math.uni-hannover.de

Institut f
ür Analysis, Leibniz Universit
ät, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany



219 Page 2 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

1 Introduction

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a subriemannian manifold, i.e. M is a smooth connected orientable manifold endowed with a bracket generating subbundle \mathcal{H} of the tangent bundle TM. Moreover, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes a family of inner products on \mathcal{H} which smoothly vary with the base point. From a geometric point of view one is led to the problem of defining and classifying subriemannian structures of specific types on a given manifold (e.g. up to local subriemannian isometries) or, to compare them with regards to various of their geometric properties, e.g. [6, 11, 18, 28, 29, 31]. Any regular subriemannian structure on M induces a hypoelliptic sublaplacian Δ_{sub} , [2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16, 17, 21, 22]. Which intrinsically is defined based on the Popp measure construction [2, 3, 5, 26]. From an analytical point of view one may study the diffusion on M generated by the heat operator induced by Δ_{sub} [3, 7, 20, 31–34]. Which geometric data can be recovered from such analytically defined objects? Extending a classical problem in Riemannian geometry and in the case of a compact manifold M, one may ask whether two non-isometric subriemannian structures are isospectral with respect to their induced sublaplacians (e.g. see [13] for affirmative examples).

In the special case of a Euclidean sphere $M = \mathbb{S}^N$ of dimension N typical methods (depending on N) of installing a subriemannian geometry on M use a Lie group structure (N = 3), a contact structure (N = 1), a principle bundle structure such as the Hopf fibration $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{CP}^n$ (N = 1) odd) or the quaterionic Hopf fibration, $\mathbb{S}^{4n+3} \to \mathbb{HP}^n$, CR-geometry or a suitable number of canonical vector fields in [1] (N = 3, 7, 15). In the lowest dimensional case N = 3 all these structures essentially coincide as was pointed out in [29].

The present paper contributes with concrete examples to the above analysis. We compare two subriemannian structures on $M = \mathbb{S}^7$ and, in particular, essentially extend results in [10]. Therein the authors have shown that the N-dimensional Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^N carries a trivializable subriemannian structure induced by a Clifford module structure of \mathbb{R}^{N+1} only in dimensions N = 3, 7, 15. Moreover, in this paper the spectrum of a corresponding second order differential operator (in [10] it is called sublaplacian) has been studied. However, it should be pointed out that this sublaplacian differs from the intrinsic one which we consider here by a first order term.

We recall the construction of a bracket generating trivial rank-k distribution on a sphere of dimension N=3,7,15: Consider a family of $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ skew-symmetric real matrices A_1,\ldots,A_k such that

$$A_i A_j + A_j A_i = -2\delta_{ij}$$
 for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

Then a collection of k linear vector fields on \mathbb{S}^N that are orthonormal at each point of the sphere can be defined in global coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{N+1} by:

$$X(A_l) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{N+1} (A_l)_{ij} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \qquad (l = 1, \dots, k).$$



According to the results in [10] the rank k distribution

$$\mathcal{H} := \operatorname{span}\{X(A_l) : l = 1, \dots, k\} \subset T\mathbb{S}^N$$

is trivial as a vector bundle by definition. Moreover, \mathcal{H} is bracket generating of step two only for particular choices of N and k. Whereas in the case N=3 and N=15 a trivializable bracket generating distribution $\mathcal{H} \subsetneq T\mathbb{S}^N$ of the above kind must have rank two and rank eight, respectively, there are three trivializable subriemannian structures on \mathbb{S}^7 of rank 4, 5 and 6. For N=3 such a subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^3 is isometric to the one induced by the well-known Hopf fibration, see [29].

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$$

Under some geometric aspects the above trivializable SR structures on \mathbb{S}^7 have been studied in [9]. More precisely, the authors analyzed the corresponding geodesic flow and constructed a family of normal subriemannian geodesics (i.e. locally length minimizing curves induced from the geodesic equations).

In the present paper, we analyze a trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 of rank 4 and we compare it with the quaternionic contact structure of rank 4 on \mathbb{S}^7 induced by the quaternionic Hopf fibration [30]

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^7 \to \mathbb{S}^4$$
.

Answering a question in [29] we first show that the horizontal distribution in the quaternionic Hopf fibration is not trivial. It does not even admit a single global nowhere vanishing smooth section. In fact, this follows from results in topological K-theory in [27]. We show that the so-called tangent groups i.e. local approximations of the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 may change from point to point. As a consequence the subriemannian isometry group cannot act transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 . Furthermore, the trivializable distribution is of elliptic type (see [31] for a definition) inside an open dense subset. Hence, by a result of Montgomery in [31], it follows that the subriemannian isometry group is finite dimensional with dimension bounded by 21.

We calculate the Popp measures on \mathbb{S}^7 induced by the trivializable and quaternionic contact structures, respectively, and we determine the intrinsic sublaplacians. Moreover, by applying recent results due to de Verdiére et al. [22] combined with an explicit form of the subelliptic heat kernel on step two nilpotent Lie groups in [14, 17] we compute the first heat invariants appearing in the small-time asymptotics of the heat trace associated to the intrinsic sublaplacians. Based on these data we can show that the subriemannian structures (quaternionic contact and trivializable) on \mathbb{S}^7 are neither locally isometric nor isospectral with respect to the intrinsic sublaplacians.

Finally, we mention that an explicit form of the heat kernel (i.e. fundamental solution to the heat operator) of the intrinsic sublaplacian induced from the trivializable subriemannian structure is unknown. Since the corresponding subriemannian isometry group does not act transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 it would be not sufficient to only calculated it at a fixed point. This is in contrast to the quaternionic contact structure. In the latter case the isometry group acts transitively and the subelliptic heat kernel has been obtained



explicitly in [8]. Moreover, the explicit form of the heat kernel has been used in [8] to obtain some of the heat invariants, i.e. in this case the analysis does not rely on the approximation methods in Sect. 8.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 provides basic concepts and definitions in subriemannian geometry. In Sects. 3 and 4 we recall the construction of two different subriemannian structures on \mathbb{S}^7 and we list some of their properties. Then we compute the Popp volume induced by these structures in Sect. 5. In Sect. 6 we show that the tangent groups of \mathbb{S}^7 endowed with the trivializable subriemannian structure may change from point to point and that this structure is not locally isometric to the quaternionic contact structure. The type of the trivializable structure is determined in Sect. 7 and this allows us to obtain a bound on the dimension of the isometry group. In Sect. 8 we compute the first heat invariants in the small-time asymptotics of the heat trace by using an approximation method in [19, 22]. Comparing both we show that the above subriemannian structures on \mathbb{S}^7 are not isospectral with respect to the sublaplacians. In Sect. 9 we consider the (non-intrinsic) sublaplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$ on \mathbb{S}_T^7 induced by the standard measure on \mathbb{S}^7 . In Theorem 9.3 we prove the inclusion $\sigma(\Delta_{\text{sub}}^Q) \subset \sigma(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T)$ of spectra where Δ_{sub}^Q denotes the sublaplacian corresponding to the quarternionic contact structure. However, we mention that both operators are not isospectral. Section 9 extends former results in [10].

2 Subriemannian Geometry

We start recalling basic definitions in subriemannian geometry [3, 20, 31–34]. A subriemannian manifold (shortly: SR manifold) is a triple $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ where

- (a) M is a connected smooth manifold of dimension n.
- (b) \mathcal{H} is a smooth distribution of constant rank k < n which we may identify with the sheaf of smooth vector fields tangent to \mathcal{H} (horizontal vector fields). We assume that \mathcal{H} is bracket generating, i.e. if we set for $j \ge 1$

$$\mathcal{H}^1 := \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{j+1} := \mathcal{H}^j + [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^j],$$

then for each $q \in M$ there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_q^p = T_q M$.

(c) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a fiber inner product on \mathcal{H} , i.e.

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q : \mathcal{H}_q \times \mathcal{H}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is an inner product for all $q \in M$ and it smoothly varies with $q \in M$.

We call a subriemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ regular, if for all $j \geq 1$ the dimension of \mathcal{H}_q^j does not depend on the point $q \in M$. Furthermore, a regular SR manifold M is said to be of step r if r is the smallest integer such that $\mathcal{H}^r = TM$.

In this work we only consider regular subriemannian manifolds of step 2. Therefore we recall the required concepts only in this case.

A local frame $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m, X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_n\}$ is called *adapted*, if the vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_m form a local orthonormal frame of $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.



Given two subriemannian manifolds $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(M', \mathcal{H}', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$, we call a map $\phi : M \to M'$ *horizontal* if its differential maps \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}' , i.e. $\phi_*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}'$.

Definition 2.1 ϕ is called (local) *subriemannian isometry* if it is a horizontal (local) diffeomorphism such that $\phi_*: (\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \to (\mathcal{H}', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ becomes an isometry.

To every regular subriemannian manifold M of step 2, a family of graded 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{g}M:=\mathcal{H}\oplus\left(\mathcal{H}^2/\mathcal{H}
ight)$$

is associated with Lie brackets induced by the Lie brackets of vector fields on M [31]. Note that the defined Lie brackets respect the above grading, i.e.

$$[\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}]\subseteq\mathcal{H}^2/\mathcal{H}$$
 and $[\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}^2/\mathcal{H}]=[\mathcal{H}^2/\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}^2/\mathcal{H}]=0.$

Hence, $\mathfrak{g}M$ is a smooth family of Carnot Lie algebras. We call $\mathfrak{g}M(q) = \mathfrak{g}M_q$ the *nilpotent approximation* of $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ at $q \in M$ [31].

For every $q \in M$, the *tangent group* $\mathbb{G}M(q)$ of M at q is the unique connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}M(q)$. Note that the isomorphic type of $\mathfrak{g}M(q)$ may change from point to point. In particular, the Lie groups $\mathbb{G}M(q)$ might be non-isomorphic at different $q \in M$.

On a 7-dimensional manifold there is a particular class of distributions called *elliptic*. Such distributions are interesting from a geometric point of view because the induced geometry has always a finite dimensional symmetry group. In the following we briefly recall how they are defined (see [31] for more details). Let \mathcal{H} be a co-rank 3, bracket generating distribution of step two on a 7-dimensional manifold M and let us consider the so-called *curvature* (linear) bundle map of \mathcal{H}

$$F: \Lambda^2 \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow TM/\mathcal{H}$$
 (2.1)

defined by $F(X, Y) = -[X, Y] \mod \mathcal{H}$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$. Write $\mathcal{H}^{\perp} \subset T^*M$ for the bundle of covectors that annihilate \mathcal{H} . We consider now the dual curvature map ω :

$$\omega := F^* : \mathcal{H}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathcal{H}^*. \tag{2.2}$$

Since \mathcal{H} is bracket generating, the curvature map is onto. Furthermore, the real vector space $\Lambda^4\mathcal{H}^*$ is 1-dimensional, hence the squared dual curvature map

$$\omega^2: \mathcal{H}^\perp \longrightarrow \Lambda^4 \mathcal{H}^*$$
$$\lambda \longmapsto \omega(\lambda) \wedge \omega(\lambda)$$

is a quadratic form on the 3-dimensional space \mathcal{H}^{\perp} with values in the 1-dimensional vector space $\Lambda^4\mathcal{H}^*$. We say that \mathcal{H} is *elliptic* if this quadratic form has signature (3, 0) or (0, 3). Note that we do not have a canonical choice of an element in $\Lambda^4\mathcal{H}^*$ and



hence, the signature is only defined up to a sign \pm . In general, we say that \mathcal{H} is of type (r, s) if this quadratic form has signature (r, s) or (s, r).

If \mathcal{H} is of elliptic type then it was proven in [31] that the symmetry group is always finite-dimensional and the maximal dimension of such group is realized by \mathbb{S}^7 endowed with the quaternionic contact structure.

On a subriemannian manifold M (not necessarily regular) the definition of a sub-laplacian requires the choice of a smooth measure μ on M [2, 5, 22]. We denote by div_{μ} the divergence operator associated with the measure μ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mu = \operatorname{div}_{\mu}(X) \mu$$

for every smooth vector field X on M. Then we can associate to μ a *sublaplacian* $\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}$ defined as the hypoelliptic, second order differential operator [2, 25]:

$$\Delta_{\operatorname{sub}}^{\mu} f := -\operatorname{div}_{\mu} (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f) \text{ for } f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the horizontal gradient with respect to the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathcal{H} , which is defined at $q \in M$ by the properties:

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{H}_q \quad and \quad \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi), v \rangle_q = d\varphi(v), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_q, \ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

Locally, the sublaplacian $\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}$ has the expression (see [5]):

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu} = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i^2 + \text{div}_{\mu}(X_i)X_i\right),\tag{2.3}$$

where X_1, \ldots, X_m denotes a local frame of the distribution \mathcal{H} .

Since the subriemannian manifold M is assumed to be regular, there is a canonical choice of smooth measure on M called *Popp measure* $\mu = \mathcal{P}$. The sublaplacian $\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ defined from the Popp measure then is called the *intrinsic sublaplacian* [2, 5, 31].

Note that the sublaplacian is positive and if the manifold M endowed with the subriemannian distance is complete, then $\Delta^{\mu}_{\text{sub}}$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_0^{\infty}(M)$ with unique selfadjoint extension on $L^2(M,\mu)$ (see [22, 33, 34]). Therefore the heat semigroup

$$\left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}}\right)_{t>0}$$

is a well-defined one-parameter family of bounded operators on $L^2(M, \mu)$. In the following, we denote by $K_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ the heat kernel of the operator $e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}}$ which is smooth due to the hypoellipticity of $\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}$ [25].

We recall the following formula for the small-time asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel on the diagonal [16, 21, 22]: for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in M$,

$$K_t(q,q) = \frac{1}{t^{Q(q)/2}} \left(c_0(q) + c_1(q)t + \dots + c_N(q)t^N + o(t^N) \right)$$
 as $t \to 0$,



which also holds in a non-regular situation and for an arbitrary smooth measure μ in the definition of the sublaplacian. Moreover, under the assumption that the subriemannian manifold is regular, the functions c_i are smooth in a neighbourhood of q. Here $Q \equiv Q(q)$ is constant and it coincides with the Hausdorff dimension of the metric space (M, d) where d is the subriemannian distance ($Carnot-Carath\'{e}odory\ distance$) on M, see [31].

3 Quaternionic Hopf Structure

Let $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ denote the quaternionic space

$$\mathbb{H} := \{ x + y\mathbf{i} + z\mathbf{j} + \omega\mathbf{k} : x, y, z, \omega \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1$ and $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{j}\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{j}$. For $n \ge 0$, we consider the (n+1)-dimensional quaternionic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} as a left \mathbb{H} -module with the hermitian form:

$$\langle p, q \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} := \sum_{l=0}^{n} p_l \cdot \overline{q_l}$$

for $p=(p_0,\ldots,p_n), q=(q_0,\ldots,q_n)\in\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. The real part of this hermitian form, which we denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, is the usual real inner product on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} corresponding to the identification $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}\cong\mathbb{R}^{4(n+1)}$.

Let us consider the sphere \mathbb{S}^7 embedded into \mathbb{H}^2 as the set of elements of norm 1:

$$\mathbb{S}^7 = \{ q = (q_0, q_1) \in \mathbb{H}^2 : \|q_0\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \|q_1\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = 1 \} \text{ where } \|q_0\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \langle q_0, q_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

There is a natural diagonal left action of \mathbb{S}^3 on \mathbb{S}^7 which induces the quaternionic Hopf fibration:

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^7 \to \mathbb{S}^4$$

The *quaternionic Hopf distribution* \mathcal{H}_Q is the corank 3 connection of this \mathbb{S}^3 -principal bundle. It is given by the orthogonal complement to the following orthonormal vector fields induced by the left-multiplication with curves $(e^{t\mathbf{l}})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathbf{l}=\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}$:

$$V_{\mathbf{i}}(q) = -y_0 \partial_{x_0} + x_0 \partial_{y_0} - \omega_0 \partial_{z_0} + z_0 \partial_{\omega_0} - y_1 \partial_{x_1} + x_1 \partial_{y_1} - \omega_1 \partial_{z_1} + z_1 \partial_{\omega_1}$$

$$V_{\mathbf{j}}(q) = -z_0 \partial_{x_0} + \omega_0 \partial_{y_0} + x_0 \partial_{z_0} - y_0 \partial_{\omega_0} - z_1 \partial_{x_1} + \omega_1 \partial_{y_1} + x_1 \partial_{z_1} - y_1 \partial_{\omega_1}$$

$$V_{\mathbf{k}}(q) = -\omega_0 \partial_{x_0} - z_0 \partial_{y_0} + y_0 \partial_{z_0} + x_0 \partial_{\omega_0} - \omega_1 \partial_{x_1} - z_1 \partial_{y_1} + y_1 \partial_{z_1} + x_1 \partial_{\omega_1}$$

at each $q=(x_0,y_0,z_0,\omega_0,x_1,y_1,z_1,\omega_1)\in\mathbb{S}^7$ and with respect to the standard Riemannian metric of \mathbb{S}^7 .

As is well-known the quaternionic Hopf distribution \mathcal{H}_Q is bracket generating [11, 29, 30]. Moreover, if we endow \mathcal{H}_Q with the pointwise inner product obtained by



restriction from the standard Riemannian metric we obtain a subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 which we call *quaternionic contact structure*. In the following, we write \mathbb{S}_Q^7 for the sphere \mathbb{S}^7 endowed with this subriemannian structure.

Note that \mathbb{S}_Q^7 can also be considered as a quaternionic contact manifold as follows. Let η_i , η_j , η_k denote the dual frame of the frame V_i , V_j , V_k . Then the quaternionic Hopf distribution \mathcal{H}_Q is locally given by

$$\mathcal{H}_Q = \bigcap_{\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}} \ker(\eta_{\mathbf{l}}).$$

Furthermore, if we denote by I_i , I_j , I_k the left-multiplications by i, j, k, then it is known that $\{I_1 : 1 \in \{i, j, k\}\}$ are almost complex structures satisfying the quaternionic relations compatible with the metric on \mathcal{H}_O , i.e.

$$2\langle I_{\mathbf{I}}X, Y\rangle = d\eta_{\mathbf{I}}(X, Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}_O$ and $\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}.$

Recall that the symplectic group $\mathbf{Sp}(2)$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{H} -linear elements of the orthogonal group $\mathbf{O}(8)$ which preserve the quaternionic inner product. Note that this is a subgroup of the group of all subriemannian isometries $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_Q^7)$ of \mathbb{S}_Q^7 . Hence, by representing elements of $\mathbf{Sp}(2)$ as 2×2 matrices whose rows build an \mathbb{H} -orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H}^2 , we see that $\mathbf{Sp}(2)$ (and hence $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_Q^7)$) acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 .

The tangent bundle of the sphere \mathbb{S}^7 and the orthogonal complement of the quaternionic Hopf distribution \mathcal{H}_Q in $T\mathbb{S}^7$ are both trivial as vector bundles. Hence it is natural to ask whether \mathcal{H}_Q is trivial itself or whether \mathcal{H}_Q admits at least one globally defined and nowhere vanishing smooth vector field. In fact, this question was posed as an open problem in [29,p. 1018] and will be answered below.

Given a globally defined smooth tangent vector field X on \mathbb{S}^7 , we consider it as a smooth function $X: \mathbb{S}^7 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ such that

$$\langle q, X(q) \rangle = 0$$
 for all $q \in \mathbb{S}^7$.

Definition 3.1 Let X be a globally defined tangent vector field on \mathbb{S}^7 . We call X a *quaternionic vector field* on \mathbb{S}^7 if $\langle q, X(q) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{S}^7$.

The next lemma states that the quaternionic Hopf distribution is precisely the quaternionic tangent space of the sphere:

Lemma 3.2 Globally defined horizontal vector fields on \mathbb{S}^7 are the quaternionic vector fields.

Proof By definition, a vector field X on \mathbb{S}^7 is horizontal if and only if for all $q \in \mathbb{S}^7$:

$$\langle q, X(q) \rangle = \langle V_{\mathbf{i}}(q), X(q) \rangle = \langle V_{\mathbf{j}}(q), X(q) \rangle = \langle V_{\mathbf{k}}(q), X(q) \rangle = 0.$$



Note that the components of the vector fields V_i , V_j and V_k at a point q coincide with the components of $\mathbf{i}q$, $\mathbf{j}q$ and $\mathbf{k}q$. A straightforward calculation shows that for $p, q \in \mathbb{H}^2$:

$$\langle p, q \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle p, q \rangle + \mathbf{i} \langle \mathbf{i} p, q \rangle + \mathbf{j} \langle \mathbf{j} p, q \rangle + \mathbf{k} \langle \mathbf{k} p, q \rangle.$$

This implies that X is horizontal if and only if $\langle q, X(q) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{S}^7$, i.e. X is horizontal if and only if X is a quaternionic vector field.

Now we recall the following quaternionic version of Adam's theorem in [1, 26] on the maximal dimension of a trivial subbundle of the tangent bundle of a sphere. Theorem 3.3 below was proven in [27] from methods in topological *K*-theory.

Theorem 3.3 [27] For $n \ge 1$, the sphere \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} admits a nowhere vanishing and globally defined quaternionic vector field if and only if $n \equiv -1 \mod 24$.

By combining this result with Lemma 3.2 we obtain:

Corollary 3.4 The quaternionic Hopf distribution \mathcal{H}_Q on \mathbb{S}^7 does not admit a nowhere vanishing and globally defined vector field (section of the bundle). In particular, the distribution \mathcal{H}_Q is not trivial.

4 Trivializable Subriemannian Structure

In the following we recall the definition of a second remarkable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 , called trivializable subriemannian structure [9, 10]. According to [10,Theorem 4.4] such structures only exist on the spheres \mathbb{S}^3 , \mathbb{S}^7 and \mathbb{S}^{15} .

By $\mathbb{K}(n)$ with $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ we denote the space of all $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in \mathbb{K} . Let $A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}(8)$ be a family of skew-symmetric real matrices that fulfill the anti-commutation relation:

$$A_i A_j + A_j A_i = -2\delta_{ij} \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$
 (4.1)

Then a collection of m linear vector fields $X(A_1), \ldots, X(A_m)$ on \mathbb{S}^7 orthonormal at each point (*canonical vector fields*) can be defined in global coordinates of \mathbb{R}^8 by:

$$X(A_k) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{8} (A_k)_{ij} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$
 for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Due to the representation theory of Clifford algebras, the maximal number m of matrices in $\mathbb{R}(8)$ such that the relations (4.1) hold is m=7. We recall the following properties of the above linear vector fields on spheres.

Lemma 4.1 [10] Let $A_1, \ldots, A_7 \in \mathbb{R}(8)$ be any collection of matrices with (4.1). For $i = 1, \ldots, 7$ we set

$$X_j := X(A_j).$$



Then it holds:

(1) For i, j = 1, ..., 7 with $i \neq j$:

$$[X_i, X_j] = -X([A_i, A_j]) = -2X(A_i A_j).$$

(2) All higher Lie brackets $[X_{i_1}[X_{i_2}, [X_{i_3}, \dots]]]$ are contained in

span
$$\{X_i, [X_j, X_k] : i, j, k = 1, ..., 7\}$$
.

(3) Let $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, ..., 7\}$ be distinct numbers. The rank-4 distribution \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{S}^7 generated by the vector fields $\{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}, X_{i_4}\}$ is bracket generating of step two.

Remark 4.2 Let $\{A_1^{(1)},\ldots,A_4^{(1)}\}$ and $\{A_1^{(2)},\ldots,A_4^{(2)}\}$ be two families of skew-symmetric and anti-commuting matrices in $\mathbb{R}(8)$. Then it was shown in [10] that there is $C \in \mathbf{O}(8)$ such that

$$A_i^{(1)} = C^{-1} A_i^{(2)} C$$
 for $i = 1, ..., 4$.

Therefore, if we define the following bracket generating distributions:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(k)} := \operatorname{span}\{X(A_i^{(k)}) : i = 1, \dots, 4\} \text{ for } k = 1, 2,$$

then the subriemannian structures $(\mathbb{S}^7, \mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ for k = 1, 2 are isometric, i.e. the above defined trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 is, up to subriemannian isometries, independent of the choice of linear vector fields induced by the Clifford module structure of \mathbb{R}^8 and spanning the distribution.

In the following we give an explicit family of skew-symmetric and anti-commuting matrices which will serve as a model for the study of a trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 induced by matrices which fulfill the relations (4.1). Consider A_4 , A_5 , A_6 , $A_7 \in \mathbb{H}(2)$ defined by:

$$A_4 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_5 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_6 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_7 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

One easily verifies that $\{A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7\} \subset \mathbb{H}(2)$ are anti-commuting and skew-symmetric with respect to the standard inner product on \mathbb{H}^2 .

By representing the left-multiplication by \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{j} , \mathbf{k} on $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ via the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 in form of 4×4 -skew symmetric matrices we may regard A_j with $j = 4, \ldots, 7$ as skew-symmetric elements in $\mathbb{R}(8)$.

Lemma 4.3 There are three skew-symmetric matrices $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathbb{R}(8)$ such that $\{A_j : j = 1, ..., 7\} \subset \mathbb{R}(8)$ are anti-commuting and skew-symmetric.



Proof Consider the following skew-symmetric real matrices:

$$B_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that B_1 (resp. B_2 and B_3) corresponds to the right quaternionic multiplication by \mathbf{k} (resp. \mathbf{j} and $-\mathbf{i}$). Now we define for i = 1, 2, 3:

$$A_i := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B_i \\ B_i & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

In the above sense we may think of quaternions $l \in \{i, j, k\}$ as elements in $\mathbb{R}(4)$. A straightforward calculation shows that the following relations hold:

$$[B_i, \mathbf{l}] = 0$$
 for $\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$

and

$$B_i B_j + B_j B_i = -2\delta_{ij}$$
 for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

By a direct calculation based on these relations it follows that A_1, \ldots, A_7 have the desired properties.

We consider the following trivializable distribution on \mathbb{S}^7 :

$$\mathcal{H}_T := \text{span}\{X(A_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\},\$$

and we denote by \mathbb{S}_T^7 the trivializable subriemannian manifold $(\mathbb{S}^7, \mathcal{H}_T, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the restriction of the standard Riemannian metric on \mathbb{S}^7 to the trivial bundle \mathcal{H}_T .

Remark 4.4 According to Corollary 3.4, the quaternionic Hopf structure \mathbb{S}_Q^7 does not admit globally defined and nowhere vanishing horizontal vector fields and hence it cannot be isometric (as a subriemannian manifold) to the trivializable structure \mathbb{S}_T^7 . We will see that both structures not even are locally isometric.

5 The Popp Measures

Recall that the *Popp measure* on \mathbb{S}^7 is a smooth measure which intrinsically can be assigned to a given regular subriemannian structure (see [2, 5, 12, 31]). In the present section we determine the Popp measures \mathcal{P}_Q and \mathcal{P}_T on \mathbb{S}^7 corresponding to the quaternionic and the trivializable subriemannian structure, respectively.

Let X_1, \ldots, X_4 be a local orthonormal frame for the distribution \mathcal{H}_Q . Then an adapted frame for \mathbb{S}_Q^7 is given by $\mathcal{F} = [X_1, \ldots, X_4, V_{\mathbf{i}}, V_{\mathbf{j}}, V_{\mathbf{k}}]$. According to



219 Page 12 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

[5,Theorem 1] the Popp measure \mathcal{P}_Q for the quaternionic subriemannian structure can be expressed in the form:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det B_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)}} \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_7, \qquad z \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$
 (5.1)

Here $B_Q(z)$ is a certain matrix which is obtained from the adapted structure constants of the geometric structure and η_1, \ldots, η_7 denotes the dual basis to the frame \mathcal{F} (see [5] for more details).

Since the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_4, V_i, V_j, V_k$ are orthonormal with respect to the standard Riemannian metric on \mathbb{S}^7 , the volume form

$$d\sigma := \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_7$$

is the standard volume form on \mathbb{S}^7 .

Lemma 5.1 The Popp volume \mathcal{P}_Q for the quaternionic structure equals the standard volume form $d\sigma$ up to a constant factor.

Proof According to (5.1) we can write

$$\mathcal{P}_O(z) = f(z)d\sigma(z)$$

with a nowhere vanishing function $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^7)$. We know that the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ is a subgroup of the isometry group $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_Q^7)$. But $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ is also a subgroup of $\operatorname{O}(8)$ which is the isometry group of \mathbb{S}^7 with respect to the standard Riemannian metric. It follows that the Popp volume \mathcal{P}_Q [5,Proposition 7] and the standard volume $d\sigma$ are invariant under $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$, and therefore f must be also invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$. Now, the assumption follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 .

Contrary to the quaternionic Hopf structure, we do not have enough information about the isometry group of the trivializable structure \mathbb{S}_T^7 to conclude in a similar way. Therefore, we compute the Popp volume \mathcal{P}_T directly using the adapted structure constants. An adapted frame for the trivializable structure is given globally by the orthonormal vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_7 defined from the matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 in Lemma 4.3. According to [5,Theorem 1] the Popp measure can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_T(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det B_T(z)}} d\sigma(z),$$

where $B_T(z) = (B_T^{kl}(z))_{k,l=5}^7$ is the 3 × 3 matrix function on \mathbb{S}^7 with coefficients

$$B_T^{kl}(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 b_{ij}^k(z)b_{ij}^l(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$



For i, j = 1, ..., 4 and k = 5, 6, 7 the functions $b_{ij}^k(z)$ are defined by:

$$b_{ij}^{k}(z) = \langle [X_i, X_j](z), X_k(z) \rangle = -2\langle A_i A_j z, A_k z \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$
 (5.2)

In (5.2) we have used the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^8 and its restriction to the sphere, respectively. In the following, we write $||A||_{HS}$ for the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of $A \in \mathbb{R}(8)$.

Lemma 5.2 The Popp measure \mathcal{P}_T with respect to the trivializable subriemannian structure \mathbb{S}_T^7 is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_T(z) = g(z)d\sigma,$$

where

$$g(z) := \left[16(1 - 2\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2) \right]^{-3/2}$$
 for $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^8$.

Proof We introduce the following notations:

$$A_{\mathbf{i}} := A_5, \ A_{\mathbf{i}} := A_6, \ A_{\mathbf{k}} := A_7 \ \ and \ \ A_8 := Id.$$

Let $l \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ and $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$. Using the fact that the skew-symmetric and anti-commuting matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 lie in $\mathbf{O}(8)$ and that $\{A_1z, \ldots, A_8z\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^8 , we can write:

$$\begin{split} B_{T}^{ll}(z) &= 4 \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \left\langle A_{l} A_{i} z, A_{j} z \right\rangle^{2} \\ &= 4 \cdot \left(\|A_{l}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} - \sum_{i=5}^{8} \sum_{j=1}^{8} \left\langle A_{l} A_{i} z, A_{j} z \right\rangle^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=5}^{8} \left\langle A_{l} A_{i} z, A_{j} z \right\rangle^{2} \right) \\ &= 4 \cdot \left(\|A_{l}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} - \sum_{i=5}^{8} \underbrace{\|A_{l} A_{i} z\|^{2}}_{=1} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=5}^{7} \left\langle A_{l} A_{i} z, A_{j} z \right\rangle^{2} \right). \end{split}$$

Furthermore, a straightforward calculation shows that for $l \neq m \in \{i, j, k\}$:

$$|\langle A_{\mathbf{l}}A_{i}z, A_{\mathbf{m}}z\rangle| = 2|\langle B_{i}x, (\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{m})y\rangle|$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

Here B_1 , B_2 and B_3 are the matrices defined in Lemma 4.3 and $B_4 := Id$.

We assume that $x \neq 0$. Since $\{\|x\|^{-1}B_ix : i = 1, ..., 4\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ it follows that for $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \langle A_{\mathbf{l}} A_{i} z, A_{\mathbf{m}} z \rangle^{2} = 4 \|x\|^{2} \|(\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{m}) y\|^{2} = 4 \|x\|^{2} \|y\|^{2}.$$



219 Page 14 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

Equality also holds in the case x = 0. Therefore, we find for l = 5, 6, 7:

$$B_T^{ll}(z) = 4(4 - 8||x||^2||y||^2) = 16(1 - 2||x||^2||y||^2).$$

For $l \neq m \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ it holds:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4}B_{T}^{lm}(z) &= \sum_{i_{1},i_{2}=1}^{4} \left\langle A_{l}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle \left\langle A_{m}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle \\ &= \left(\sum_{i_{1},i_{2}=1}^{8} - \sum_{i_{1}=5}^{8} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{8} - \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{4} \sum_{i_{2}=5}^{8}\right) \left\langle A_{l}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle \left\langle A_{m}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{8} \underbrace{\left\langle A_{m}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{l}A_{i_{1}}z\right\rangle}_{=0} - \sum_{i_{1}=5}^{8} \underbrace{\left\langle A_{m}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{l}A_{i_{1}}z\right\rangle}_{=0} \\ &- \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{4} \sum_{i_{2}=5}^{8} \left\langle A_{l}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle \left\langle A_{m}A_{i_{1}}z, A_{i_{2}}z\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Since the matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 are anti-commuting, it follows that

$$\langle A_l A_{i_1} z, A_{i_2} z \rangle \langle A_m A_{i_1} z, A_{i_2} z \rangle = 0$$
 for $i_2 \in \{l, m\}$.

Hence we can write with $i_2 \in \{5, 6, 7\} \setminus \{l, m\}$ and $\mathbf{i_2} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ defined by $A_{i_2} = A_{\mathbf{i_2}}$:

$$\frac{1}{4}B_T^{lm}(z) = -\sum_{i_1=1}^4 \langle A_l A_{i_1} z, A_{i_2} z \rangle \langle A_m A_{i_1} z, A_{i_2} z \rangle$$

$$= -4 \sum_{Q \in \{I, B_1, B_2, B_3\}} \langle Qx, (\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{i_2})y \rangle \langle Qx, (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{i_2})y \rangle$$

$$= -4 \langle (\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{i_2})y, (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{i_2})y \rangle$$

$$= -4 \langle \mathbf{l}y, \mathbf{m}y \rangle = 0.$$

We obtain:

$$B_T(z) = 16(1 - 2||x||^2||y||^2) \cdot \text{Id} \in \mathbb{R}(3)$$
 (5.3)

and therefore, the Popp measure \mathcal{P}_T has the form:

$$\mathcal{P}_T(z) = \left[16(1 - 2\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2) \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\sigma.$$



6 The Nilpotent Approximation

Let $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^8$. Since X_1, \dots, X_7 is an adapted orthonormal frame for \mathbb{S}_T^7 , the tangent algebra at z for \mathbb{S}_T^7 is the Carnot algebra of step 2 given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_z = \mathcal{H}_z \oplus \mathcal{V}_z \simeq \mathbb{R}^7, \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_z := \text{span}\{X_i(z) : i = 1, ..., 4\},\$$

 $\mathcal{V}_z := \text{span}\{X_k(z) : k = 5, 6, 7\}.$

For i, j = 1, ..., 4 the Lie brackets are given by:

$$[X_i(z), X_j(z)] := \sum_{k=5}^{7} \langle [X_i, X_j], X_k \rangle_z X_k(z).$$

Note that the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_z$ on \mathcal{H}_z induces an inner product on the first layer of the graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_z , i.e. \mathfrak{g}_z is a Carnot Lie algebra.

In the following, we need a technical lemma on the local comparison of two sub-riemannian manifolds. First, we recall the definition of a *nonsingular Carnot algebra*, see [23, 24] for more details.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ be a Carnot algebra of step 2, i.e.

$$[\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_1]=\mathfrak{g}_2$$
 and $[\mathfrak{g}_i,\mathfrak{g}_j]=\{0\}$ for $i+j>2$.

We assume that an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g}_1 is given. Then every element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ induces a representation map $J_Z : \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ defined by

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle := Z([X, Y]) \quad for \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Definition 6.1 We say that the Carnot algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is *nonsingular*, if for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_2^* \setminus \{0\}$, the induced map J_Z is invertible. Otherwise, $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called *singular*.

Note that if $\varphi: (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \to (\mathfrak{g}', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism which preserves the inner products (i.e. an isometry), then $(\mathfrak{g}', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ will be nonsingular (resp. singular) if and only if $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is. Hence we obtain:

Lemma 6.2 Let (M, \mathcal{H}, g) and (M', \mathcal{H}', g') be step two subriemannian manifolds which near a point $x \in M$ are locally isometric by $\phi : M \to M'$. If the nilpotent approximation of M at $x \in M$ is nonsingular, then so is the nilpotent approximation of M' at $\phi(x)$.



219 Page 16 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

By considering \mathbb{S}_Q^7 as a quaternionic contact manifold, it is easy to see that its tangent algebra can be identified at every point with the quaternionic Heisenberg Lie algebra, which, in particular, is non-singular. For the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 , the situation is completely different. As we will see, its tangent algebra can be different from point to point.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the vertical vector field

$$Z := \alpha X_5(z) + \beta X_6(z) + \gamma X_7(z) \in \mathcal{V}_z.$$

By declaring the vectors $X_5(z)$, $X_6(z)$, $X_7(z)$ to be orthonormal, we obtain an inner product on \mathcal{V}_z which again is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. This induces an identification of \mathcal{V}_z^* with \mathcal{V}_z so that we can write for $J_Z: \mathcal{H}_z \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_z$:

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle_z = \langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle_z \quad for \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{H}_z.$$

Let $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ denote the following element of \mathbb{H} :

$$A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \alpha \mathbf{i} + \beta \mathbf{j} + \gamma \mathbf{k}.$$

Then a straightforward calculation shows that:

$$\begin{split} \langle Z, [X_1(z), X_2(z)] \rangle_z &= 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_3 x \rangle - 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_3 y \rangle = a - d \\ \langle Z, [X_1(z), X_3(z)] \rangle_z &= -2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_2 x \rangle + 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_2 y \rangle = -b + e \\ \langle Z, [X_1(z), X_4(z)] \rangle_z &= 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_1 x \rangle + 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_1 y \rangle = c + f \\ \langle Z, [X_2(z), X_3(z)] \rangle_z &= 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_1 x \rangle - 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_1 y \rangle = c - f \\ \langle Z, [X_2(z), X_4(z)] \rangle_z &= 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_2 x \rangle + 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_2 y \rangle = b + e \\ \langle Z, [X_3(z), X_4(z)] \rangle_z &= 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) x, B_3 x \rangle + 2 \langle A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) y, B_3 y \rangle = a + d. \end{split}$$

Hence, with respect to the basis $\{X_i(z) : i = 1, ..., 4\}$, the operator J_Z can be represented by a skew-symmetric matrix of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d-a & b-e & -c-f \\ a-d & 0 & -c+f-b-e \\ -b+e & c-f & 0 & -a-d \\ c+f & b+e & a+d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(6.2)

with $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ as above.

Note that the matrix in (6.2) has the determinant:

$$(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - d^2 - e^2 - f^2)^2$$
.

By using the following identity for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\langle A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\omega,C\omega\rangle^2 + \langle A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\omega,D\omega\rangle^2 + \langle A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\omega,E\omega\rangle^2 = (\alpha^2+\beta^2+\gamma^2)\|\omega\|^4,$$



we calculate the determinant of J_Z :

$$\det(J_Z) = 16(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)^2.$$

Hence, if $||x|| \neq ||y||$, then the operator J_Z is invertible for all $Z \in \mathcal{V}_z \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 6.3 Let $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}_T^7$. Then the tangent algebra of \mathbb{S}_T^7 at z is nonsingular if and only if $||x|| \neq ||y||$.

Using Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 we conclude that \mathbb{S}_Q^7 and \mathbb{S}_T^7 are not locally isometric at the singular points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$ where ||x|| = ||y||. We can even show a stronger result:

Theorem 6.4 The subriemannian manifolds \mathbb{S}_Q^7 and \mathbb{S}_T^7 are not locally isometric around any point of \mathbb{S}^7 . Furthermore, the isometry group $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_T^7)$ of the trivializable subriemannian structure does not act transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 .

Proof We show the result by comparing some local invariants which can be defined as follows. Consider the tangent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_z = \mathcal{H}_T \oplus \mathcal{H}_T^2/\mathcal{H}_T$ of \mathbb{S}_T^7 at some fixed point z = (x, y). Then \mathfrak{g}_z carries a canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ind}}$ constructed in [5] which extends the inner product on \mathcal{H} and such that \mathcal{H}_T and $\mathcal{H}_T^2/\mathcal{H}_T$ are orthogonal. The restriction of this inner product to $\mathcal{H}_T^2/\mathcal{H}_T \simeq \mathcal{V}_z$ has the following expression (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [5]):

$$\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_{\text{ind}} = \left\langle (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)^t, B_T^{-1}(z)(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)^t \right\rangle$$

for $Z_j = \alpha_j X_5(z) + \beta_j X_6(z) + \gamma_j X_7(z) \in \mathcal{V}_z$ where j = 1, 2. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 and B_T^{-1} is the inverse of the (3×3) -matrix in (5.3). By the above calculation together with the expression of $B_T(z)$ we observe that the eigenvalues of J_Z only depend on the norm $\|Z\|_{\text{ind}}$ (and the point z). Hence, a local invariant $\kappa_T(z)$ can be defined as

$$\kappa_T(z) := \frac{\det(J_Z)}{\|Z\|_{\text{ind}}^2} \text{ for } Z \neq 0.$$

Using the quaternionic contact structure of \mathbb{S}_Q^7 , the same invariant can be defined for \mathbb{S}_Q^7 and we find $\kappa_Q(z) = 16^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{S}^7$. If the structures \mathbb{S}_T^7 and \mathbb{S}_Q^7 were locally isometric, then the constructed invariants would be equal. However,

$$\det(J_Z) = 16^2 (1 - 2||x||^2 ||y||^2) (||x||^2 - ||y||^2) ||Z||_{\text{ind}}^2$$

for all $Z \in \mathcal{H}_T^2/\mathcal{H}_T \simeq \mathcal{V}_z$. Therefore, the expression of κ_T is

$$\kappa_T(z) = 16^2 (1 - 2||x||^2 ||y||^2) (||x||^2 - ||y||^2)^2 \text{ for } z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\kappa_T = \kappa_Q$ if and only if x = 0 or y = 0. We conclude that the structures \mathbb{S}_T^7 and \mathbb{S}_Q^7 cannot be locally isometric.



219 Page 18 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

7 On the Type of Distributions

We have seen that the tangent algebras of \mathbb{S}_T^7 are nonsingular outside the set

$$S := \{z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7 : ||x|| = ||y||\}.$$

In the following we show that the trivializable distribution \mathcal{H}_T fails to be elliptic on this singular set \mathcal{S} .

Recall that the curvature map (2.1) of the distribution \mathcal{H}_T is defined by

$$F: \Lambda^2 \mathcal{H}_T \longrightarrow T\mathbb{S}^7 / \mathcal{H}_T$$
$$(X, Y) \longmapsto F(X, Y) := -[X, Y] \bmod \mathcal{H}_T.$$

The dual curvature map ω in (2.2) is then given as the dual map, i.e.

$$\omega: \mathcal{H}_T^{\perp} \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathcal{H}_T^*$$
$$\lambda \longmapsto \omega(\lambda).$$

with

$$\omega(\lambda)(X \wedge Y) := -\lambda([X, Y])$$
 for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}_T$.

Using the standard Riemannian metric on \mathbb{S}^7 , we identify \mathcal{H}_T^{\perp} with

$$\mathcal{V} := \operatorname{span}\{\langle X_j, \cdot \rangle : j = 5, 6, 7\}.$$

The distribution \mathcal{H}_T is generated by globally defined vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_4 and this induces a specific horizontal form, namely

$$\eta_{\mathcal{H}_T} := \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_4 \in \Lambda^4 \mathcal{H}_T^*,$$

where η_1, \ldots, η_4 denotes the frame dual to X_1, \ldots, X_4 . Now the dual curvature map ω induces a family parametrized over M of real quadratic forms $Q := \omega^2/\eta_{\mathcal{H}_T}$ on $\mathcal{H}_T^{\perp} \simeq \mathcal{V}$ defined by:

$$\omega^{2}: \mathcal{H}_{T}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{4} \mathcal{H}_{T}^{*}$$
$$\lambda \longmapsto \omega(\lambda) \wedge \omega(\lambda) = Q(\lambda) \eta_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}.$$

In the following lemma we compute the quadratic form Q for the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 .

Lemma 7.1 Let $\lambda = \sum_{l=5}^{7} \lambda^l X_l \in \mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{H}_T^{\perp}$. Then the quadratic form Q is given by

$$Q(\lambda) = 2\sum_{k,l=5}^{7} \left(b_{12}^{l} b_{34}^{k} + b_{14}^{l} b_{23}^{k} - b_{13}^{l} b_{24}^{k} \right) \lambda^{l} \lambda^{k},$$



where for i, j = 1, ..., 4 the coefficients b_{ij}^k have been defined in (5.2).

Proof We identify $V \ni \lambda \simeq \langle \lambda, \cdot \rangle \in \mathcal{H}_T^{\perp}$ in the above definition of $\omega(\lambda)$. For any $X = \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i X_i$ and $Y = \sum_{j=1}^4 \beta_j X_j \in \mathcal{H}_T$ it holds:

$$\begin{split} \omega(\lambda)(X \wedge Y) &= -\langle \lambda, [X, Y] \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^4 \alpha_i \beta_j \langle \lambda, [X_i, X_j] \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (\alpha_i \beta_j - \alpha_j \beta_i) \langle \lambda, [X_i, X_j] \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \langle \lambda, [X_i, X_j] \rangle \eta_i \wedge \eta_j(X, Y). \end{split}$$

Hence the dual curvature map ω is given by:

$$\omega(\lambda) = -\sum_{1 \le i < j \le 4} \langle \lambda, [X_i, X_j] \rangle \eta_i \wedge \eta_j,$$

with

$$\langle \lambda, [X_i, X_j] \rangle = \sum_{l=5}^{7} b_{ij}^l \lambda^l.$$

A straightforward calculation shows now that

$$\omega(\lambda)^2 = \left(2\sum_{k,l=5}^{7} \left(b_{12}^l b_{34}^k + b_{14}^l b_{23}^k - b_{13}^l b_{24}^k\right) \lambda^l \lambda^k\right) \eta_{\mathcal{H}_T}.$$

We set for $k, l \in \{5, 6, 7\}$:

$$T^{lk} := b_{12}^l b_{34}^k + b_{12}^k b_{34}^l + b_{14}^l b_{23}^k + b_{14}^k b_{23}^l - b_{13}^l b_{24}^k - b_{13}^k b_{24}^l.$$

Using similar arguments as for the computation of the Popp volume for \mathbb{S}_T^7 , we find that the off-diagonal symbols T^{lk} vanish and that

$$T^{11} = T^{22} = T^{33} = 2(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2).$$

Hence, it follows that the quadratic form Q for the trivializable structure \mathbb{S}_T^7 is given explicitly by

$$Q(\lambda) = 2\sum_{l=5}^{7} (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)(\lambda^l)^2.$$



219 Page 20 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

Corollary 7.2 The trivializable distribution \mathcal{H}_T on \mathbb{S}^7 is of elliptic type on the open dense subset $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7 : ||x|| \neq ||y||\}$. Otherwise, it is of type (0, 0).

It was shown in [31] that every distribution of elliptic type on a 7-dimensional manifold has a finite dimensional symmetry group of maximal dimension 21. Furthermore, the sphere \mathbb{S}_Q^7 equipped with the quaternionic Hopf distribution \mathcal{H}_Q has a symmetry group of maximal dimension. The trivializable structure on \mathbb{S}^7 is everywhere elliptic on \mathbb{S}^7 except on \mathcal{S} which is a closed submanifold of \mathbb{S}^7 of dimension 6. If $\phi: \mathbb{S}_T^7 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_T^7$ is a diffeomorphism preserving the distribution \mathcal{H}_T , then by Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3, the submanifold \mathcal{S} must be invariant under ϕ and hence ϕ restricts to a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^7 \backslash \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^7 \backslash \mathcal{S}$ preserving the everywhere elliptic distribution \mathcal{H}_T on $\mathbb{S}^7 \backslash \mathcal{S}$. Hence the symmetry group of \mathbb{S}_T^7 is also finite dimensional with dimension bounded by 21.

In the following, by giving a 3-dimensional family of subriemannian isometries of \mathbb{S}_T^7 , we show that the isometry group $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_T^7)$ has dimension greater than or equal to 3. Let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{S}^3$ and consider the following matrix

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_3 & -x_2 & x_1 & -x_0 \\ -x_2 & -x_3 & x_0 & x_1 \\ -x_1 & x_0 & x_3 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{O}(4).$$

Then the following relations hold:

$$B_3C = CB_1$$
, $CB_3 = -B_1C$ and $CB_2 = B_2C$. (7.1)

Let us define the following block matrix in O(8):

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ CB_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then based on the relations (7.1) and the commutation relations of the matrices B_j (s. Lemma 4.3) we have:

$$UA_1 = A_4U$$
 and $UA_j = A_{j-1}U$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$.

In particular, this implies that U defines a subriemannian isometry of \mathbb{S}^7_T .

8 Small Time Asymptotics of the Heat Kernel

An analysis of the intrinsic sublaplacian induced by the quaternionic Hopf structure on \mathbb{S}^{4n+3} was done in [8]. In particular, the first heat invariants c_0 and c_1 , i.e. the first two coefficients in the small time asymptoic expansion of the heat trace, have been explicitly calculated. In the general setting of subriemannian manifolds, a powerful method in the analysis of a sublaplacian is given by the so-called *nilpotent approximation*. The idea consists in an approximation of the subriemannian manifold at a given



point by a nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant subriemannian structure. In the following, we briefly recall the relevant concepts. For more details we refer to [15, 19, 22].

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a step two regular subriemannian manifold and by

$$\{X_1, \ldots, X_m, X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_n\}$$

we denote a local adapted frame at $q \in M$. A system of local coordinates

$$\psi: M \supset U_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$$

is called *linearly adapted at q* if

$$\psi(q) = 0$$
 and $\psi_*(\mathcal{H}_q) = \mathbb{R}^m$.

In a system of linearly adapted coordinates at q, we have a notion of nonholonomic orders "ord" corresponding to the natural dilations $\delta_{\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined for $\lambda > 0$ by

$$\delta_{\lambda}(x_1,\ldots,x_m,x_{m+1},\ldots,x_n) := (\lambda x_1,\ldots,\lambda x_m,\lambda^2 x_{m+1},\ldots,\lambda^2 x_n).$$

More precisely, we set:

$$\operatorname{ord}(x_i) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \le i \le m, \\ 2 & \text{if } m+1 \le i \le n \end{cases}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \le i \le m, \\ -2 & \text{if } m+1 \le i \le n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, every smooth vector field X on \mathbb{R}^n has an expansion near 0 of the form:

$$X \simeq X^{(-2)} + X^{(-1)} + \cdots,$$

where $X^{(l)}$ is a polynomial vector field of order l, i.e. homogeneous of order l with respect to the dilations δ_{λ} . A straightforward calculation shows the following behaviour of the order function under Lie brackets of homogeneous vector fields (see also [15]):

$$\operatorname{ord}[X, Y] \ge \operatorname{ord}(X) + \operatorname{ord}(Y).$$

In the following we need a special class of linearly adapted coordinates called privi-leged coordinates. These are linearly adapted coordinates at q such that every vector



field $\psi_*(X_i)$ for $1 \le i \le m$, has an expansion near 0 where all the homogeneous terms have orders greater than -1:

$$\psi_*(X_i) \simeq X_i^{(-1)} + X_i^{(0)} + \cdots$$
 (8.1)

An example of privileged coordinates at $q \in M$ is given by the so-called canonical coordinates of the first kind defined as the inverse of the local diffeomorphism:

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \longmapsto \exp(x_1X_1+\cdots+x_nX_n)(q).$$

Here X_1, \ldots, X_n is an adapted local frame at q. Note that the vector fields $X_1^{(-1)}, \ldots, X_n^{(-1)}$ on \mathbb{R}^n generate a graded step two nilpotent Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}(q)$ isomorphic to the tangent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}M(q)$ at q (see [19]). Let us denote by $(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q), *)$ the induced step two nilpotent Lie group defined as follows. As a manifold we take $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q) = \mathfrak{g}M(q)$ and the group law is defined by

$$\xi_1 * \xi_2 := \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2} [\xi_1, \xi_2] \text{ for } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q).$$

Definition 8.1 Given a smooth measure μ on M, its *nilpotentization* at q is a measure $\hat{\mu}^q$ on $\mathbb{G}(q)$ defined in the chart ψ by

$$\hat{\mu}^q := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^Q} \delta_{\epsilon}^* \mu.$$

Here $\delta_{\epsilon}^*\mu$ means the pull-back of μ along δ_{ϵ} and the convergence is understood in the weak-*-topology of $C_c(M)'$. Moreover, Q denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the regular SR manifold M. Due to the regularity assumption of the SR manifold M, the measure $\hat{\mu}^q$ is in fact a left-invariant measure on $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)$ which is nilpotent and hence unimodular. Therefore the measure $\hat{\mu}^q$ is a Haar measure on $\mathbb{G}(q)$ (see [22]).

Now we recall the relation between the first heat invariant c_0 and the nilpotentization of the subriemannian manifold M. As was mentioned in Sect. 2, the heat kernel $K_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ has an asymptotic expansion on the diagonal as $t \to 0$ of the form:

$$K_t(q,q) = \frac{1}{t^{Q/2}} \left(c_0(q) + c_1(q)t + \dots + c_N(q)t^N + o(t^N) \right)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in M$. Here the (locally defined) smooth coefficients $c_i(q)$ are called *heat invariants* of the SR manifold M.

Let $K_r^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)}$ denote the heat kernel of the sublaplacian

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(X_i^{(-1)} \right)^2$$



on $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)$ with respect to the Haar measure $\hat{\mu}^q$. According to the results in [22] the first heat invariant c_0 is given by:

$$c_0(q) = K_t^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)}(1,0,0).$$
 (8.2)

In general, calculating the remaining heat invariants c_1, c_2, \ldots with the help of the nilpotentization is rather complicated. However, in the special case where the horizontal frame is μ -divergence free, the sublaplacian $\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu}$ is a sum of squares, i.e

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\mu} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i^2$$

and the formula for c_1 simplifies to (see the proof of Theorem A in [22])

$$c_1(q) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_s^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)}(0,\xi) Y\left(K_{1-s}^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(q)}(\xi,0)\right) d\xi ds, \tag{8.3}$$

where Y is a second order differential operator acting with respect to the variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. More precisely, it is given by

$$Y := \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i^{(-1)} X_i^{(1)} + X_i^{(1)} X_i^{(-1)} + X_i^{(0)} X_i^{(0)}.$$

For the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 we have two choices of a natural smooth measure. The first one is the measure induced by the standard Riemannian metric on \mathbb{S}^7 which we denote by $d\sigma$ and the second one is the Popp measure \mathcal{P}_T . The sublaplacian with respect to the Popp measure can be expressed as (see [2, 5]):

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^T = -\sum_{i=1}^4 \left(X_i^2 + \text{div}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(X_i) X_i \right).$$

Here $\{X_1, \ldots, X_4\}$ denotes the globally defined orthonormal frame of \mathcal{H}_T . We recall that by Lemma 5.2 the Popp measure is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_T(z) = g(z)d\sigma(z)$$
 where $g(z) = \left(16(1 - 2\|x\|^2\|y\|^2)\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, $z = (x, y)$.

Therefore, using the fact that X_1, \ldots, X_4 are Killing vector fields and hence σ -divergence free and by using the formula

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(X) = \operatorname{div}_{\sigma}(X) + X(\log g)$$

for a smooth vector field X on M, we see that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{P}_T}(X_i) = X_i(h)$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, 4$



with

$$h(z) := -\frac{3}{2} \log (1 - 2||x||^2 ||y||^2)$$
 for $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$.

Hence we have the following formula:

Lemma 8.2 The intrinsic sublaplacian Δ_{sub}^T on the trivializable SR manifold \mathbb{S}_T^7 acting on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^7)$ is given by:

$$\Delta_{sub}^{T} = -\sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(X_i^2 + X_i(h) X_i \right).$$

Remark 8.3 A different choice of the anti-commuting skew-symmetric matrices A_j leads to a subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 (with intrinsic sublaplacian $\Delta^{T'}_{\text{sub}}$) equivalent to \mathbb{S}^7_T (Remark 4.2). Furthermore, a subriemannian isometry preserves the Popp measure [5] and hence, the intrinsic sublaplacians $\Delta^{T'}_{\text{sub}}$ and Δ^{T}_{sub} are unitary equivalent. The last fact can be also directly seen from the representation of the intrinsic sublaplacian in [5,Corollary 2] and the representation of B_T in (5.3). In particular, both sublaplacians have the same spectrum, i.e. the spectrum of the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 does not depend on the specific choice of the anti-commuting skew-symmetric matrices A_j .

In the following we use the nilpotent approximation to compute the first heat invariant for the trivializable subriemannian structure endowed with the Popp measure. For this, let $z \in \mathbb{S}^7$ be fixed. Since X_1, \ldots, X_7 is an adapted frame for \mathbb{S}^7_T at z, the inverse of the local diffeomorphism

$$\phi^{-1}: (u_1, \dots, u_7) \longmapsto \exp(u_1 X_1 + \dots + u_7 X_7)(z)$$

defines a system of local adapted coordinates at z. Because the adapted frame is a frame of linear vector fields, i.e.

$$X_i(z) = A_i z$$
 for $i = 1, ..., 7$ and $z \in \mathbb{S}^7$,

the integral curve $\gamma(t)$ of the vector field $u_1X_1 + \cdots + u_7X_7$ with $u = (u_1, \dots, u_7) \in \mathbb{R}^7$ and starting at z can be explicitly calculated as:

$$\gamma(t) = \cos(\|u\|t)z + \frac{\sin(\|u\|t)}{\|u\|}A_uz,$$

where

$$A_u := \sum_{i=1}^{7} u_i A_i$$
 and $||u|| = \sqrt{u_1^2 + \dots + u_7^2}$.



Hence ϕ^{-1} is given by:

$$\phi^{-1}(u) = \cos(\|u\|)z + \frac{\sin(\|u\|)}{\|u\|}A_uz \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^7.$$

We recall that by the anti-commutation relations (4.1) of the matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 , the matrix A_u fulfills the identity:

$$A_u^2 = -\|u\|^2 \text{Id}$$
 for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^7$.

Now, let $w \in \mathbb{S}^7 \setminus \{-z\}$ and let us consider the following equation in $u \in B(0, \pi) :=$ $\{u \in \mathbb{R}^7 : ||u|| < \pi\}$:

$$w = \cos(\|u\|)z + \frac{\sin(\|u\|)}{\|u\|}A_{u}z.$$
(8.4)

Again by using the relations (4.1) we can write:

$$\langle w, z \rangle = \cos(\|u\|)$$
 and $\langle w, A_i z \rangle = \frac{\sin(\|u\|)}{\|u\|} u_i$,

for i = 1, ..., 7. Hence Eq. (8.4) has the unique solution $u \in B(0, \pi)$ given by:

$$u_i = \frac{\arccos(\langle w, z \rangle)}{\sqrt{1 - \langle w, z \rangle^2}} \langle w, A_i z \rangle \quad for \quad i = 1, \dots, 7.$$
 (8.5)

We summarize the above calculations in:

Lemma 8.4 Canonical coordinates of the first kind at $z \in \mathbb{S}^7$ are given by

$$\phi: \mathbb{S}^7 \setminus \{-z\} \longrightarrow B(0, \pi)$$
$$w \longmapsto \phi(w) = u.$$

and $\phi(z) = 0$, where u is given by (8.5).

Next, we compute the expansion of the horizontal vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_4 near 0 in the chart ϕ . Let us define the following smooth functions on $[0, \pi[$:

$$F(u) := \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} - \frac{\cot(\|u\|)}{\|u\|} \quad and \quad G(u) := \|u\|\cot(\|u\|),$$

with $F(0) := \frac{1}{3}$ and G(0) := 1.

Then a straightforward computation shows that the pushforwards of the horizontal vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_4 by ϕ are given on $B(0, \pi)$ by:

$$(X_i)_* = \sum_{j=1}^7 a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j},$$



219 Page 26 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

where the functions a_{ij} with b_{ik}^k in (5.2) are defined by:

$$a_{ij}(u) := G(u)\delta_{ij} + F(u)u_iu_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{7}b_{ij}^k(z)u_k.$$
 (8.6)

For $\epsilon > 0$ small, consider the anisotropic expansion of X_i around 0:

$$X_i^{\epsilon} := \epsilon \delta_{\epsilon}^*(X_i)_* \simeq X^{(-1)} + \epsilon X_i^{(0)} + \epsilon^2 X_i^{(1)} + \cdots,$$

where $X_i^{(l)}$ is the homogeneous part of X_i of order l.

Lemma 8.5 *For* i = 1, ..., 4, *it holds:*

$$\begin{split} X_i^{(-1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=5}^7 \sum_{k=1}^4 b_{ij}^k u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}, \\ X_i^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{k=1}^4 b_{ij}^k u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=5}^7 \sum_{k=5}^7 b_{ij}^k u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}, \\ X_i^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{k=5}^7 b_{ij}^k u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^7 u_i u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^4 u_k^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, for $l \geq 2$:

$$X_{i}^{(l)} = G^{(l+1)}(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{7} F^{(l-1)}(u)u_{i}u_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}},$$

where $F^{(l)}(u)$ (resp. $G^{(l)}(u)$) denotes the homogeneous part of weight l in the anisotropic expansion of F (resp. G).

Proof According to (8.6) we only need to compute the expansion of a_{ij} near 0. We recall that the function $u \mapsto u_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ (resp. $i = 5, \dots, 7$) has order 1 (resp. 2). Also the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ (resp. $i = 5, \dots, 7$) has order -1 (resp. -2). The third term of (8.6):

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{7} b_{ij}^{k}(z) u_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{4} b_{ij}^{k}(z) u_{k}}_{\text{order 1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=5}^{7} b_{ij}^{k}(z) u_{k}}_{\text{order 2}}$$

give us only homogeneous terms of order less than 2. Furthermore, a straightforward calculation shows that for $\epsilon \to 0$:

$$F(\delta_{\epsilon}(u)) \simeq \frac{1}{3} + \sum_{l>1} F^{(l)}(u)\epsilon^{l}$$



$$G(\delta_{\epsilon}(u)) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} u_j^2 \epsilon^2 + \sum_{l \ge 3} G^{(l)}(u) \epsilon^l.$$

Here $F^{(l)}(u)$ and $G^{(l)}(u)$ are homogeneous polynomials in u of order l. By arranging homogeneous terms in the expression (8.6) and writing

$$X_i^{(l)} = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij}^{(l+1)}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + \sum_{j=5}^7 a_{ij}^{(l+2)}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j},$$

where $a_{ij}^{(l)}$ denotes the homogeneous term of a_{ij} of order l, we obtain the result. \Box

Note that Lemma 8.5 not only holds for the trivializable subriemannian structure defined by the specific matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 from Lemma 4.3, but also for arbitrary skew-symmetric matrices with relations (4.1).

Remark that only the first three homogeneous terms in the anisotropic expansion of X_i encode the geometric data (b_{ij}^k) of our subriemannian manifold \mathbb{S}_T^7 . The remaining homogeneous terms are completely given by the functions F and G, which are independent of the chosen matrices A_1, \ldots, A_7 .

The tangent group of \mathbb{S}_T^7 at z is isomorphic to the unique simply connected nilpotent Lie group $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z)$ corresponding to the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields:

$$X_1^{(-1)}, \ldots, X_4^{(-1)}.$$

By definition, the nilpotentization of the Popp measure at z is the Haar measure $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_T^z$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z) \simeq \mathbb{R}^7$ given in global exponential coordinates u_1, \ldots, u_7 by:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_T^z = g(z)du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_7.$$

Here g(z) denotes the density appearing in Lemma 5.2. In order to compute the first heat invariant c_0 we need to derive the heat kernel $K_t^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z)}$ of the sublaplacian

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^z := \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(X_i^{(-1)} \right)^2$$

on $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z) \simeq \mathbb{R}^7$ with respect to the Haar measure $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_T^z$. This explicitly is obtained by the *Beals–Gaveau–Greiner formula* for the sublaplacian on general step two nilpotent Lie groups in [14, 17], which we recall next. For $\alpha, \beta \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z)$ it holds:

$$K_t^{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z)}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{\varphi(\tau,\alpha^{-1}*\beta)}{t}} W(\tau) \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g(z)},\tag{8.7}$$



219 Page 28 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

where the action function $\varphi = \varphi(\tau, \alpha) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}(z))$ and the volume element $W(\tau) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are given as follows: put $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$\begin{split} &\varphi(\tau,\alpha) = \varphi(\tau,a,b) = \sqrt{i} \langle \tau, \, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sqrt{i} \, J_{\tau/2} \coth\left(\sqrt{i} \, J_{\tau/2}\right) \cdot a, a \right\rangle, \\ &W(\tau) = \left\{ \det \frac{\sqrt{i} \, J_{\tau/2}}{\sinh\sqrt{i} \, J_{\tau/2}} \right\}^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

where $\langle b, b' \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{3} b_k b'_k$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Next, we compute the eigenvalues of the representation maps J_Z , for $Z \in \mathcal{V}_z \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Lemma 8.6 Let $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$ and $Z \in \mathcal{V}_z$. Then the eigenvalues of J_Z are

$$\pm 2i(\|x\|^2 \pm \|y\|^2)\|Z\|.$$

Proof According to (6.2) the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda)$ of J_Z is given by:

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + 8(1 - 2\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2) \|Z\|^2 \lambda^2 + 16(1 - 4\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2) \|Z\|^4.$$

Hence, a straightforward calculation shows that the roots of $P(\lambda)$ are exactly

$$\pm 2i(\|x\|^2 \pm \|y\|^2)\|Z\|.$$

Theorem 8.7 The first heat invariant c_0^T of the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 is given by

$$c_0^T(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5 g(z)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \cdot \frac{(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|}{\sinh (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|} d\tau$$

for $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$.

Proof Let $z=(x,y)\in\mathbb{S}^7$ and $Z\in\mathcal{V}_z$. By Lemma 8.6, the eigenvalues of the skew-symmetric operator J_Z are $\pm 2i(\|x\|^2 \pm \|y\|^2)\|Z\|$. We assume that z fulfills:

$$||x|| \neq ||y||$$
 and $x \neq 0$.

Such points form a dense subset in \mathbb{S}^7 and therefore, due to the smoothness of the local assignment $z \longmapsto c_0^T(z)$ (see [22]) we only need to compute $c_0^T(z)$ at such points. The advantage of considering such points is that the eigenvalues of the map J_Z for all $Z \in \mathcal{V}_z$, are simple. Hence the expression of the function $W(\tau)$ take the form:

$$\det\left(\frac{i\,J_{\tau}/2}{\sinh{(i\,J_{\tau}/2)}}\right) = \left(\frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh{\|\tau\|}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)\|\tau\|}{\sinh{((\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)\|\tau\|)}}\right)^2.$$



Hence, by (8.2) and (8.7), we can write:

$$\begin{split} c_0^T(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{iJ_\tau/2}{\sinh iJ_\tau/2}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5 g(z)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \cdot \frac{(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|}{\sinh (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|} \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{split}$$

Remark 8.8 At points $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$ with x = 0 or y = 0, a straightforward computation using the representation (6.2) shows that the maps J_{X_5} , J_{X_6} and J_{X_7} fulfill the quaternionic relations and hence the tangent groups of the subriemannian manifolds \mathbb{S}_T^7 and \mathbb{S}_Q^7 are isometric. Furthermore, to compute the first heat invariant at z we only need to know the subriemannian structure at this point and hence it follows that at these points the first heat invariants coincide:

$$c_0^Q(z) = c_0^T(z).$$

Also it is not hard to see that the infimum of $c_0^T(z)$ over \mathbb{S}^7 is attained at these points and therefore we can write

$$\inf\{c_0^T(z) : z \in \mathbb{S}^7\} = \hat{c}_0^Q. \tag{8.8}$$

Here \hat{c}_0^Q denotes the value of the constant function $z \longmapsto c_0^Q(z)$ which will be calculated explicitly below.

We remark that the remaining heat invariants c_1, c_2, \ldots might not be equal at these special points. In fact, in order to compute these numbers we have to take into account the local behavior of the corresponding subriemannian structures at such points.

As a corollary we prove now that the subriemannian manifolds \mathbb{S}_T^7 and \mathbb{S}_Q^7 are not isospectral with respect to the intrinsic sublaplacians:

Corollary 8.9 Let \mathbb{S}_T^7 and \mathbb{S}_Q^7 be considered with the induced Popp measures. Then the intrinsic sublaplacians Δ_{sub}^T and Δ_{sub}^Q are not isospectral.

Proof By considering the subriemannian manifold \mathbb{S}_Q^7 as a quaternionic contact manifold and using the quaternionic relations of the almost complex structures I_1 for $1 \in \{i, j, k\}$, we see that the Popp measure is given by, (see Lemma 5.1):

$$\mathcal{P}_Q(z) = \frac{1}{(16)^{3/2}} d\sigma(z).$$

Furthermore, the nilpotent approximation of \mathbb{S}_Q^7 at $z \in \mathbb{S}^7$ is isomorphic to the standard quaternionic Heisenberg group. Hence the first heat invariant of \mathbb{S}_Q^7 is given by

$$c_0^{Q}(z) = \frac{16^{3/2}}{(2\pi)^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \right)^2 d\tau \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$



We set

$$\begin{split} c_0^T - c_0^Q \\ &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int_{\mathbb{S}^7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \left(\frac{(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|}{\sinh ((\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|)} - \frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \right) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\sigma(z). \end{split} \tag{8.9}$$

Note that the function $u\longmapsto u/\sinh{(u)}$ is even, smooth and monotone decreasing on the interval $[0,\infty[$. This shows that the integrand in (8.9) is a non-negative function on $\mathbb{S}^7\times\mathbb{R}^3$ and non-vanishing on an open dense subset. Therefore $c_0^T>c_0^Q$ and the subriemannian manifolds \mathbb{S}_Q^7 and \mathbb{S}_T^7 cannot be isospectral.

9 Sublaplacian Induced by the Standard Measure

If we consider the subriemannian manifold \mathbb{S}_T^7 endowed with the standard volume $d\sigma$, then the corresponding sublaplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$ will be a sum of squares:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T = -\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i^2.$$
 (9.1)

Here $X_i = X(A_i)$ for i = 1, ..., 4 with A_j defined in (4.2) and Lemma 4.3 denotes the system of linear vector fields generating the distribution \mathcal{H}_T of \mathbb{S}_T^7 . According to [25] the operator (9.1) is subelliptic, positive and with discrete spectrum consisting of eigenvalues. We recall that a part of this spectrum has been determined in [10]. Moreover, Corollary 5.4 of [10] implies that a different choice of the generating anticommuting skew-symmetric matrices A_j leads to a sublaplacian which is unitary equivalent to (9.1) and therefore has the same spectrum. Hence, when studying the spectrum of the trivializable subriemannian structure, we can restrict ourselves to a specific choice of the generators of a Clifford algebra (s. Remarks 4.2 and 8.3).

In this section a relation between the spectrum of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{sub}}^T$ and the spectrum of the sublaplacian induced by the quaternionic Hopf fibration will be shown. Since the orthogonal complement of the quaternionic distribution \mathcal{H}_Q is spanned by the (divergence-free) orthonormal vector fields $V_{\mathbf{i}}$, $V_{\mathbf{j}}$, $V_{\mathbf{k}}$, the sublaplacian induced by the quaternionic Hopf fibration has the following formula by (2.3)

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^Q = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^7} + V_{\mathbf{i}}^2 + V_{\mathbf{j}}^2 + V_{\mathbf{k}}^2.$$

Here

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^7} = -\sum_{j=1}^7 X(A_j)^2$$



denotes the Laplace–Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^7 with respect to the standard metric. Note that the vector field $V_{\mathbf{l}}$ with $\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ can be considered as a canonical vector field associated to a diagonal block matrix, i.e.

$$V_{\mathbf{l}} = X(C_{\mathbf{l}}) \text{ with } C_{\mathbf{l}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{l} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{l} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}(8).$$

Note also that

$$C_{i} = A_{6}A_{7}$$
, $C_{j} = A_{7}A_{5}$ and $C_{k} = A_{5}A_{6}$.

Via the inclusion $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset (\mathbb{H}, *)$ and for $\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ consider the vector fields:

$$W_{\mathbf{l}}f(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\Big|_{t=0} f\left(e^{t\mathbf{l}} * z\right) \quad \text{where} \quad f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^3).$$

Note that $X_5 = W_{\mathbf{i}}(x) - W_{\mathbf{i}}(y)$ (resp. $V_{\mathbf{i}} = W_{\mathbf{i}}(x) + W_{\mathbf{i}}(y)$) and similar for X_6 and X_7 (resp. $V_{\mathbf{j}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{k}}$), replacing \mathbf{i} with \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{k} , respectively. By the same formula W_ℓ can be interpreted as a (linear) vector field on $\mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{H}$. A direct calculation using the decomposition $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \subset \cong \mathbb{H}^2$ and the form of the matrices in (4.2) shows:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^{T} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{7}} - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{3}} \otimes I - I \otimes \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{3}} - 2B \tag{9.2}$$

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{Q} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^7} - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3} \otimes I - I \otimes \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3} + 2B. \tag{9.3}$$

Here $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3} = -\sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}} W_\ell^2$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^3 with respect to the standard metric and

$$B := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}} W_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes W_{\mathbf{l}}. \tag{9.4}$$

The tensor product notation $A \otimes C$ means that an operator A acts with respect to the variable x and C with respect to y. Note that B in (9.4) vanishes on smooth functions $f(x, y) = \tilde{f}(x)$ and $g(x, y) = \tilde{g}(y)$ which only depend on x and y of \mathbb{R}^4 , respectively. Therefore, $\tilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$ and Δ_{sub}^Q act in the same way on functions g and f of the above type.

Remark 9.1 With our previous notation we may write $W_l \otimes W_l$ with $l \in \{i, j, k\}$ as an operator product:

$$W_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes W_{\mathbf{l}} = X \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{l} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{l} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9.5}$$

With $U \in O(\mathbb{R}^8)$ consider the composition operator $V_U f := f \circ U$ for $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^7)$. For an arbitrary matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}(8)$ one easily checks:

$$(X(A) \circ V_U f)(q) = (UAq)^t (\operatorname{grad} f)^t (Uq), \quad q \in \mathbb{S}^7.$$
(9.6)



Let $g \in \mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$ act on \mathbb{S}^7 via right-multiplication as follows

$$g \cdot (x, y)^t := (x, y * g)^t,$$

which extends by the same formula to an isometry $U_g \in O(\mathbb{R}^8)$. Since left- and right-multiplication commute we obtain from (9.6):

$$\left[X\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix},V_{U_g}\right] = \left[X\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix},V_{U_g}\right] = 0.$$

From (9.5) we conclude that V_{U_g} for all $g \in \mathbb{S}^3$ commutes with the operator B and therefore it commutes with Δ^Q_{sub} and $\widetilde{\Delta}^T_{\text{sub}}$. In particular, the above action leaves the heat kernels of both operators invariant.

We write $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ and by $K_t^Q(\omega, \text{np})$ we denote the heat kernel of Δ_{sub}^Q at the north pole $\text{np} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t \in \mathbb{S}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^8$. It follows from the previous remark and the fact that the right-multiplication by $g \in \mathbb{S}^3$ acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^3 that $K_t^Q(\omega, \text{np}) =: \widetilde{k}_t^Q(\omega_1)$ only depends on $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Note that this fact as well can be seen from the explicit heat kernel expression in [8]. Therefore:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T K_t^{Q}(\cdot, \text{np}) = \Delta_{\text{sub}}^{Q} K_t^{Q}(\cdot, \text{np}) = -\frac{d}{dt} K_t^{Q}(\cdot, \text{np}). \tag{9.7}$$

Choose an orthonormal system $[\phi_\ell:\ell\in\mathbb{N}]$ of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)=L^2(\mathbb{S}^7,\sigma)$ consisting of smooth eigenfunctions of $\widetilde{\Delta}^T_{\mathrm{sub}}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_\ell\geq 0$. We obtain an expansion of the heat kernel:

$$K_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega, \operatorname{np}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} c_{\ell}(t)\phi_{\ell}(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} c_{\ell}(t)\phi_{\ell}(\omega_1, 0),$$

which converges in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^7)$. From (9.7) one concludes that $c'_{\ell}(t) + \lambda_{\ell} \cdot c_{\ell}(t) = 0$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence there are constants γ_{ℓ} such that:

$$c_{\ell}(t) = \gamma_{\ell} e^{-\lambda_{\ell} t}$$
 where $t > 0$.

Moreover, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\phi_{\ell}(\mathrm{np}) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^7} \phi_{\ell}(\omega) K_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega, \mathrm{np}) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\omega) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} c_{\ell}(t) = \gamma_{\ell}.$$

Let $K_t^T(\omega, np)$ denote the heat kernel of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$. From our calculation we conclude:

$$K_t^{\mathcal{Q}}(\omega, \operatorname{np}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{\ell} t} \phi_{\ell}(\omega) \phi_{\ell}(\operatorname{np}). \tag{9.8}$$



On the other hand, we can choose an orthonormal basis $[\psi_{\ell} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}]$ of $L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{7})$ consisting of eigenfunctions of Δ_{sub}^{Q} with corresponding eigenvalue sequence $(\mu_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$. We write:

$$K_t^{\mathcal{Q}}(\omega, \operatorname{np}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu_{\ell} t} \psi_{\ell}(\omega) \psi_{\ell}(\operatorname{np}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\widetilde{\mu}_{\ell} t} \Psi_{\ell}(\omega). \tag{9.9}$$

On the right hand side we have used the definition:

$$\Psi_{\ell}(\omega) := \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \mu_j = \widetilde{\mu}_{\ell}}} \psi_j(\omega) \psi_j(\mathsf{np}),$$

where $0 \le \widetilde{\mu}_1 < \widetilde{\mu}_2 < \widetilde{\mu}_3 \dots$ denotes the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of Δ^Q_{sub} in increasing order. We write $m(\mu)$ for the multiplicity of an eigenvalue μ of Δ_{sub}^{Q} .

Lemma 9.2 For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the sum $\sum_{\mu_i = \widetilde{\mu}_\ell} |\psi_j(x)|^2 \equiv \|\Psi_\ell\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)}^2$ is constant on \mathbb{S}^7 and

$$m(\mu_{\ell}) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^7) \|\Psi_{\ell}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)}^2 \neq 0.$$

Proof Since $\{\psi_{\ell}\}_{\ell}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)$ we have:

$$\|\Psi_\ell\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)}^2 = \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \mu_j = \widetilde{\mu}_\ell}} |\psi_j(\text{np})|^2.$$

Consider the subriemannian isometry group $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_Q^7)$. Recall that $\mathbf{Sp}(2) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_Q^7)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(2)$ acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 (see the proof of Lemma 5.1). For all $g \in \mathbf{Sp}(2)$ we define the unitary operator V_g on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)$ by composition, i.e. $V_g f := f \circ g$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)$. Note that

$$\left[\Delta_{\text{sub}}^{\text{Q}}, V_g\right] = 0$$

and put $\psi_{\ell}^g := V_g \psi_{\ell} = \psi_{\ell} \circ g$. Then $\{\psi_{\ell}^g\}_{\ell}$ defines an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of Δ_{sub}^Q corresponding to the sequence $(\mu_\ell)_\ell$ of eigenvalues, as well. It follows for all $g \in H$:

$$\|\Psi_\ell\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)}^2 = \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \mu_j = \widetilde{\mu}_\ell}} |\psi_j \circ g(\text{np})|^2.$$



219 Page 34 of 37 W. Bauer, A. Laaroussi

Since $\mathbf{Sp}(2)$ acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^7 we conclude that the finite sum below is constant on \mathbb{S}^7 with value:

$$\sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \mu_j = \widetilde{\mu}_{\ell}}} |\psi_j(x)|^2 \equiv \|\Psi_{\ell}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^7)}^2, \qquad (x \in \mathbb{S}^7).$$
 (9.10)

Hence:

$$m(\widetilde{\mu}_{\ell}) = \# \left\{ j : \mu_{j} = \widetilde{\mu}_{\ell} \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{7}} \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \mu_{j} = \widetilde{\mu}_{\ell}}} |\psi_{j}(x)|^{2} d\sigma(x) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{7}) \|\Psi_{\ell}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{7})}^{2}.$$

This proves the assertion.

Lemma 9.2 implies that in each eigenspace of Δ_{sub}^{Q} there is an element ψ such that $\psi(\text{np}) \neq 0$. As usual let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of an operator A and put:

$$\Lambda := \left\{ \lambda \in \sigma \left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{sub}^T \right) \ : \ \exists \ \phi \in \ker \left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{sub}^T - \lambda \right) \ \textit{such that} \ \ \phi(np) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Consider the following subset of distinct eigenvalues:

$$\Lambda_{dist} := \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell} \in \Lambda \ : \ \widetilde{\lambda}_1 < \widetilde{\lambda}_2 < \ldots \right\} \subset \sigma \left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{sub}^T \right).$$

From (9.8) and (9.9) we have for all t > 0:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell} t} \Phi_{\ell}(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\widetilde{\mu}_{\ell} t} \Psi_{\ell}(\omega), \tag{9.11}$$

where for each $\widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell} \in \Lambda_{dist}$:

$$\Phi_{\ell}(\omega) := \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t.} \\ \lambda_j = \widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell}}} \phi_j(\omega) \phi_j(\text{np}).$$

Note that $\Phi_{\ell}(np) \neq 0$ by definition of Λ .

Theorem 9.3 We have the inclusion of spectra $\Lambda = \sigma(\Delta_{sub}^Q) \subset \sigma(\widetilde{\Delta}_{sub}^T)$.

Proof Assume that $\widetilde{\mu}_1 \neq \widetilde{\lambda}_1$. Without loss of generality assume that $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 < \widetilde{\mu}_1$. Then

$$0 \neq \Phi_1(\mathrm{np}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-(\widetilde{\mu}_{\ell} - \widetilde{\lambda}_1)t} \Psi_{\ell}(\mathrm{np}) - \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} e^{-(\widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell} - \widetilde{\lambda}_1)t} \Phi_{\ell}(\mathrm{np}).$$



Since the right hand side tends to zero as $t\to\infty$ we obtain a contradiction. Hence $\widetilde{\lambda}_1=\widetilde{\mu}_1$ and

$$\Phi_1(np) = \Psi_1(np) = m(\mu_1).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} e^{-\widetilde{\lambda}_{\ell} t} \Phi_{\ell}(\mathrm{np}) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} e^{-\widetilde{\mu}_{\ell} t} \Psi_{\ell}(\mathrm{np}),$$

and proceeding inductively in this way we obtain the result.

Remark 9.4 The spectrum $\sigma\left(\Delta_{\text{sub}}^Q\right)$ is known explicitly, see [8]. Moreover, the multiplicities of eigenvalues $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with respect to the operators Δ_{sub}^Q and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$ may not coincide. The statement in Theorem 9.3 generalizes results in [10] where a (smaller) part of the spectrum $\sigma(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T)$ has been calculated.

Since the first heat invariant $\tilde{c}_0^T(z)$ of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{sub}}^T$ at the point z is given by the heat kernel of the nilpotent approximation at that point with respect to the nilpotentized standard measure, the expression of $\tilde{c}_0^T(z)$ can be obtained from that of $c_0^T(z)$ and by considering the density of the Popp measure \mathcal{P}_T with respect to the standard measure $d\sigma$. By Lemma 5.2, this density is exactly g and therefore, the nilpotentization of the standard measure has the (constant) density $g(z)^{-1}$ with respect to the nilpotentization of the Popp measure. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_0^T(z) &= g(z) c_0^T(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|\tau\|}{\sinh \|\tau\|} \cdot \frac{(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|}{\sinh (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) \|\tau\|} \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{split}$$

for $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^7$. Now, using the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 8.9, it follows that the operators $\Delta^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{sub}}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}^T_{\text{sub}}$ are not isospectral, as well, i.e. the inclusion of spectra in Theorem 9.3 is strict or they have the same spectrum but the eigenvalues have different multiplicities.

Since the sublaplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\text{sub}}^T$ is a *sum-of-squares operator*, using the vector fields $X_i^{(-1)}, X_i^{(0)}, X_i^{(1)}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 4$) from Lemma 8.9 and the expression (8.3) we can obtain a formula for the second heat invariant \widetilde{c}_1^T which shows how this quantity depends on the geometric data (b_{ij}^k) . But due to the small symmetry group of the trivializable SR structure (it does not act transitively) the calculation is complicated and we omit it here.

10 Open Problems

Finally, we mention some open problems which have been left in the analysis of the trivializable subriemannian manifold \mathbb{S}_T^7 .



(1) What is the significance of the second heat invariant c_1^T for the trivializable subriemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^7 ? We recall that in the framework of Riemannian geometry, the second heat invariant can be interpreted as integrals of curvature tensors over the manifold. Furthermore, for contact subriemannian structures on 3-dimensional manifolds an interpretation of the second heat invariant in terms of certain curvature terms has given by Barilari in [4].

- (2) Derive an explicit formula for the heat kernel of the sublaplacian Δ_{sub}^T on \mathbb{S}_T^7 and on \mathbb{S}_T^{15} equipped with the rank eight trivializable subriemannian structure of step two in [10]. In case of the quaternionic contact structure such a formula is known and can be found in [8].
- (3) What is the dimension of the subriemannian isometry group $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S}_T^7)$?
- (4) As is known, the Carnot–Carathéodory distance on \mathbb{S}_T^7 appears in the exponent of the off-diagonal small time asymptotics of the subelliptic heat kernel of Δ_{sub}^T . Can one (at least locally) obtain formulas or estimates on d via a heat kernel analysis?

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Adams, J.F.: Vector fields on spheres. Ann. Math. 75, 603–632 (1962)
- Agrachev, A., Boscain, U., Gauthier, J.-P., Rossi, F.: The intrinsic hypoelliptic Laplacian and its heat kernel on unimodular Lie groups. J. Funct. Anal. 256(8), 2621–2655 (2009)
- Agrachev, A., Barilari, D., Boscain, U.: A Comprehensive Introduction to Sub-Riemannian Geometry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2019)
- Barilari, D.: Trace heat kernel asymptotics in 3D contact sub-Riemannian geometry. J. Math. Sci. 195(3), 391–411 (2013)
- Barilari, D., Rizzi, L.: A formula for Popp's volume in sub-Riemannian geometry. Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces 1, 42–57 (2013)
- 6. Baudoin, F., Grong, E., Molino, G., Rizzi, L.: H-type foliations (2018). arXiv:1812.02563v1
- Baudoin, F., Bonnefont, M.: The subelliptic heat kernel on SU(2): representations, asymptotics and gradient bounds. Math. Z. 263, 647–672 (2009)
- Baudoin, F., Wang, J.: The subelliptic heat kernels of the quaternionic Hopf fibration. Potential Anal. 41(3), 959–982 (2014)
- Bauer, W., Tarama, D.: Subriemannian geodesic flow on S⁷, RIMS Proceedings Kôkyûroku 2137
 of the workshop Symmetry and singularity of geometric structures and differential equations, ISSN
 1880-2818 (2018)
- Bauer, W., Furutani, K., Iwasaki, C.: Trivializable sub-Riemannian structures on spheres. Bull. Sci. Math. 137(3), 361–385 (2013)
- 11. Bauer, W., Furutani, K., Iwasaki, C.: A codimension 3 sub-Riemannian structure on the Gromoll–Meyer exotic sphere. Differ. Geom. Appl. **53**, 114–136 (2017)



- Bauer, W., Furutani, K., Iwasaki, C.: Sub-Riemannian structures in a principal bundle and their Popp measures. Appl. Anal. 96(14), 2390–2407 (2017)
- Bauer, W., Furutani, K., Iwasaki, C., Laaroussi, A.: Spectral theory of a class of nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules. Math. Z. 297(1–2), 557–583 (2021)
- Beals, R., Gaveau, B., Greiner, P.: The Green function of model step two hypoelliptic operators and the analysis of certain tangential Cauchy Riemann complexes. Adv. Math. 121(2), 288–345 (1996)
- Bellaïche, A.: The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In: Sub-Riemannian geometry, vol. 144 Progress Mathematics, pp 1–78. Birkhäuser, Basel (1996)
- Ben Arous, G.: Développement asymptotique du noyau de la chaleur hypoelliptique sur la diagonale (French). Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 39(1), 73–99 (1989)
- Calin, O., Chang, D.-C., Furutani, K., Iwasaki, C.: Heat kernels for elliptic and sub-elliptic operators. Methods and techniques. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York xviii+433 pp (2011)
- Calin, O., Chang, D.-C., Markina, I.: Subriemannian geometry on the sphere S³. Can. J. Math. 61(4), 721–739 (2009)
- Choi, W., Ponge, R.: Privileged coordinates and nilpotent approximation of Carnot manifolds, I. General results. J. Dyn. Control Syst. 25(1), 109–157 (2019)
- Chow, W.L.: Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. Math. Ann. 117(1), 98–105 (1939)
- 21. Dave, S., Haller, S.: The heat asymptotics on filtered manifolds. J. Geom. Anal. 30(1), 337–389 (2020)
- de Verdiére, Y.C., Hillairet, L., Trélat, E.: Small-time asymptotics of hypoelliptic heat kernels near the diagonal, nilpotentization and related results. Ann. H. Lebesgue 4, 897–971 (2021)
- Eberlein, P.: Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric. Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure 27(5), 611–660 (1994)
- Gornet, R., Mast, M.B.: The length spectrum of Riemannian two-step nilmanifolds. Annales Scientifiques de L'École Normale Supérieure 33(2), 181–209 (2000)
- 25. Hörmander, L.: Hypo-elliptic second order differential equations. Acta Math. 119, 147-171 (1967)
- James, I.M.: The Topology of Stiefel Manifolds. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 24. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1976)
- Mahammed, N., Piccinini, R., Suter, U.: Some Applications of Topological K-theory, Notas de Mathemática 74. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1980)
- Markina, I.: Geodesics in geometry with constraints and applications. In: Quantization, PDEs, and geometry, 153–314, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 251, Adv. Partial Differ. Equ. (Basel), Birkhäuser, Cham (2016)
- Markina, I., Godoy Molina, M.: Sub-Riemannian geometry of parallelizable spheres. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 27(3), 997–1022 (2011)
- Markina, I., Godoy Molina, M.: Sub-Riemannian geodesics and heat operator on odd dimensional spheres. Anal. Math. Phys. 2, 123–147 (2012)
- 31. Montgomery, R.: A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 91. AMS, Providence (2002)
- 32. Rashevskii, P.K.: About connecting two points of complete nonholonomic space by admissible curve. Uch. Zapiski ped. inst. Libknexta 2, 83–94 (1938). (in Russian)
- 33. Strichartz, R.: Sub-Riemannian geometry. J. Differ. Geom. 24(2), 221–263 (1986)
- 34. Strichartz, R.: Corrections to "Sub-Riemannian Geometry". J. Differ. Geom. 30(2), 595-596 (1989)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

