# A constraint programming approach for polytopic simulation of ordinary differential equations - a collision detection application

Julien Alexandre dit Sandretto<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Chapoutot<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Garion<sup>2</sup>, Xavier Thirioux<sup>2</sup> and Ghiles Ziat<sup>2</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> ENSTA Paris, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 828 boulevard des Maréchaux, France {alexandre,chapoutot}@ensta.fr
> <sup>2</sup> ISAE-SUPAERO, Université de Toulouse, France {garion,thirioux,ziat}@isae-supaero.fr

Keywords: Constraint programming, Abstract domains, ODEs

## Introduction

Starting from a set of possible initial points, the solution of an ODE can be represented by a *reachable tube* describing the evolution of the system from this initial set. Abstract domains can be used to enclose the tube: boxes (cartesian product of intervals), zonotopes, ellipsoids, and nonconvex sets such as *Taylor models* (see [2] for a review of these abstract domains). The more accurate an abstract domain is, *i.e.* the smallest the difference between the hull of the abstraction and the abstracted set is, the more accurate the enclosure of the reachable tube will be. Polytope enclosure is a promising approach as it is more precise than the interval or zonotope abstract domains, but suffers from the expensiveness of its geometrical computation. Considering a polytope as an intersection of zonotopes and therefore benefiting from affine arithmetic is a possible solution to overcome the limitations (e.g. zonotope bundles [3], i.e., a set of zonotopes is used and therefore the intersection is not computed; or the intersection is computed when necessary [1]).

#### Reachable tubes as abstract trees

Considering a tube, a disjunction of predicates,  $\mathcal{T} = (t_1 \wedge e_1) \vee (t_2 \wedge e_2) \cdots \vee (t_n \wedge e_n)$  where each  $e_i$  represents the set of values of solution functions within time frame  $t_i$ , it is to be understood as the following property: the solution is either in set  $e_1$  during the time frame  $t_1$ , or in set  $e_2$  during the time frame  $t_2$ , etc. Considering initial values given as a polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ , we decompose  $\mathcal{P}$  as the intersection of s zonotopes  $\mathcal{Z}_i$ . The reachable tube of the corresponding ODE is therefore described by the conjunction of s tubes  $\mathcal{T}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{T}^i \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{T}^s$ , each tube  $\mathcal{T}^i$ being obtained by the zonotopic simulation with initial value taken in  $\mathcal{Z}_i$ . This conjunction of disjunctions can be efficiently solved with constraint programming and polytopes as abstract domains. For obstacle avoidance or collision detection, a predicate (or several ones) of the form "and not in" is added to the previous formula.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Defense Innovation Agency (AID) of the French Ministry of Defense.

### References

- [1] JULIEN ALEXANDRE DIT SANDRETTO AND JIAN WAN, Reachability analysis of nonlinear ODEs using polytopic based validated Runge-Kutta, Reachability Problems, Springer, 2018.
- [2] MATTHIAS ALTHOFF, GORAN FREHSE, AND ANTOINE GIRARD, Set Propagation Techniques for Reachability Analysis, Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems, 4(1), 2021.
- [3] MATTHIAS ALTHOFF AND BRUCE H. KROGH, Zonotope bundles for the efficient computation of reachable sets, IEEE conference on decision and control and European control conference, 2011