RESEARCH ARTICLE



A mixed finite element method for solving coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear boundary damping and memory term

Maryam Parvizi^{1,2,3} | Amirreza Khodadadian¹ | M.R. Eslahchi³

¹Institute of Applied Mathematics, Leibniz University of Hannover, Welfengarten 1, Hannover, 30167, Germany

²Cluster of Excellence PhoenixD (Photonics, Optics, and Engineering -Innovation Across Disciplines), Leibniz Universität Hannover, Hannover, Germany

³Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence

Amirreza Khodadadian, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Leibniz University of Hannover, Welfengarten 1, Hannover 30167, Germany. Email: khodadadian@ifam.uni-hannover.de

Communicated by: T. Monovasilis

Funding information

Austrian Science Fund, Grant/Award Number: Project no. P28367-N35; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant/Award Number: Project ID 390833453

1 | INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the numerical approximation of the solution of the coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear boundary damping and memory term using a mixed finite element method. The Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element method is one of the most prominent techniques to discretize the second-order wave equations; therefore, we apply this scheme for space discretization. Furthermore, an L^2 -in-space error estimate is presented for this mixed finite element approximation. Finally, the efficiency of the method is verified by a numerical example.

KEYWORDS

convergence, nonlinear wave equation, Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element, semi-discretization, 65N15 error bounds for boundary value problems involving PDEs, 65N22 Numerical solution of discretized equations for boundary value problems involving PDEs

MSC CLASSIFICATION 65M15; 65M22; 65M60; 65N30

Mixed finite element methods are among the most efficient techniques to solve second-order differential equations; therefore, this method has become an interesting research area during recent years. In particular, in Pani and Yuan,¹ a mixed finite element Galerkin method is used for a strongly damped wave equation. Liu et al.² presented an H^1 -Galerkin mixed finite element method for a class of second-order Schrödinger equation. Liu et al.³ considered a new numerical scheme based on the H¹-Galerkin mixed finite element method for a class of second-order pseudo-hyperbolic equations. Liu et al.⁴ presented two splitting mixed finite element schemes for the pseudo-hyperbolic equation where a mixed finite element method applied for approximating the solution of nearly incompressible elasticity and Stokes equations.

Wang and Ye⁵ introduced a novel mixed finite element for the second-order elliptic equation formulated as a system of two first-order linear equations. In Monk et al.,⁶ a hybridized mixed method was presented to discretize the Helmholtz

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

problem using Raviart-Thomas finite elements. Discretization of the shallow-water equations using the Raviart-Thomas finite element method was presented in Rostand and Le Roux.⁷ Ainsworth⁸ obtained an a posteriori error estimator for the lowest order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element which provided the computable upper bounds on the error in the flux variable regardless of jumps in the material coefficients across interfaces. The numerical approximation of the displacement form of the acoustic wave equation using mixed finite elements was explained in Jenkins.⁹

In Glowinski and Lapin,¹⁰ the space-time discretization using a combination of mixed finite element method (Raviart-Thomas) and a finite difference scheme was applied for the time discretization of the wave equation. A splitting positive definite mixed finite element method was used for the second-order viscous elasticity wave equation in Liu et al.¹¹ In Parvizi and Eslahchi,¹² an extended Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element method was applied to approximate the solution of damped Boussinesq equation. In Gao et al.,¹³ the numerical solution of a viscoelasticity wave equation using mixed finite element approximations is studied. Jenkins et al.¹⁴ presented a priori error estimation for the mixed finite element displacement formulations of the acoustic wave equation. In He et al.,¹⁵ mixed finite element methods, explicit and implicit in time, for a fourth-order wave equation were studied. Liu and Li^{16} applied Galerkin mixed finite element methods to approximate the solution of a class of second-order pseudo-hyperbolic equations. In Bécache et al.,¹⁷ a new family of quadrangular (two-dimensional) or cubic (three-dimensional) mixed finite elements for the approximation of elastic wave equation was used. A new approach for stabilization of low-order velocity-pressure pairs for the incompressible Stokes equations using mixed finite element was presented in Bochev et al.¹⁸ For several model problems, the general inf-sup condition for the mixed finite element was reviewed in Bathe.¹⁹ In Shi et al.,²⁰ a new stabilized mixed finite element method for the Poisson equation was presented. For more details, we refer to the following paper and corresponding equations, Cahn-Hilliard equation,^{21,22} phase-field fracture,²³ drift-diffusion-Poisson system,²⁴ and damped Boussinesq equation.25

A crucial step in the analysis of the mixed finite element schemes is to study the error analysis of the discretized solutions obtained from the mixed finite element method. But first, we need to verify conditions such as consistency, ellipticity, and the so-called inf-sup (or LBB) condition.²⁶ A main advantage of using the mixed finite element method is the freedom to choose suitable approximation spaces for different types of equations. Robey²⁷ shows that in the mixed framework, it is not always necessary to meet the LBB condition, and instead, the idea of a weak LBB condition is introduced in this paper.

This paper is concerned with the Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element method for the following coupled Kirchhoff-type wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping and memory term^{28,29}:

$$U_{tt}(x,t) - \left(1 + \|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\nabla V\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \Delta U(x,t) - \Delta U_{t}(x,t) = f(x,t) \ x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T],$$
(1a)

$$V_{tt}(x,t) - \left(1 + \|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\nabla V\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \Delta V(x,t) - \Delta V_{t}(x,t) = f(x,t) \ x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T],$$
(1b)

$$U = V = \frac{\partial U}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial v} = 0$$
 on Σ_1 , (1c)

$$\left(1 + \|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\nabla V\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial U_{t}}{\partial \nu} + U + U_{t} + g(t)|U_{t}|^{p}U_{t} = g * |U|^{\gamma}U \text{ on } \Sigma_{0},$$
(1d)

$$\left(1 + \|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\nabla V\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial V_{t}}{\partial \nu} + V + V_{t} + g(t)|V_{t}|^{p}V_{t} = g * |V|^{\gamma}V \text{ on } \Sigma_{0},$$
(1e)

 $U(x,0) = U_0(x), \qquad U_t(x,0) = U_1(x) \qquad x \in \Omega,$ (1f)

$$V(x,0) = V_0(x), \qquad V_t(x,0) = V_1(x) \qquad x \in \Omega,$$
 (1g)

where *U* and *V* represent the transverse displacements, Ω is a polygon domain of \mathbb{R}^2 with a boundary $\Gamma := \partial \Omega$ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$ and Γ_0 and Γ_1 have positive measures, and $\Sigma_1 := \Gamma_1 \times [0, T]$ and $\Gamma_0 := \times [0, T]$. Here, (1a) has its origin in the mathematical description of small amplitude vibrations of an elastic string.²⁹ We define $\frac{\partial U}{\partial v} := \nabla U \cdot v$ where *v* is the unit outer normal vector pointing toward Ω and

$$g * u(t) := \int_{0}^{t} g(t-r)u(r)dr, \ \|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} := \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \left|\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right|^{2} dx,$$

and $0 \le g \le \alpha_1$ (a constant), $\gamma > 0$, $p \ge \gamma$. We have the following assumptions on the kernel g of the memory term

- dg/∂t ∈ L[∞](0,∞) ∩ L¹(0,∞),
 g(t) ≥ 0t ≥ t₀, where t₀ is a constant,
- $g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -m_2 g(t) \forall t \in [0, t_0],$
- $g(0) = 0 |g'(t)| \le m_2 g(t) \ \forall t \in [0, t_0],$

for some m_0 , m_1 , $m_2 > 0$, $m_1 > 2(\gamma + 2)$, and $1 - \int_0^\infty g(r) dr$. The function *f* satisfies the mentioned conditions of Ferreira et al.,³⁰ which guaranties its meaningfulness. Finally, the existence and the uniqueness of the system Equation 1 can be found in Ferreira et al.³⁰ A fully discretization analysis is done by Parvizi et al.³¹

Let us define $W := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) | u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}$ and assume U_0, U_1, V_0 , and V_1 belong to $H^{3/2}(\Omega) \cap W$ should satisfy the following assumptions:

$$\left(1 + \|\nabla U_0\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\nabla V_0\|_{\Omega}^2\right) \Delta U_0 + \Delta U_1 = \left(1 + \|\nabla U_0\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\nabla V_0\|_{\Omega}^2\right) \Delta V_0 + \Delta V_1 \text{ on } \Omega,$$
(2a)

$$U_0 = V_0 = \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Omega,$$
 (2b)

$$\left(1 + \|\nabla U_0\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\nabla V_0\|_{\Omega}^2\right)\frac{\partial U_0}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial \nu} + U_0 + U_1 + g(t)|U_1|^p U_1 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0,$$
(2c)

$$\left(1 + \|\nabla U_0\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\nabla V_0\|_{\Omega}^2\right)\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu} + V_0 + V_1 + g(t)|V_1|^p V_1 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0.$$
(2d)

Now, we review the history and the background of (1). The first Kirchhoff equation was of the form

$$\rho h \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} = \left(\rho_0 + \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} \qquad x \in [0, L], \ t \ge 0,$$
(3)

where this equation extends the classical d'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. Equation 3 is called the wave equation of the Kirchhoff type because Kirchhoff was the first one who introduced this equation in the study of oscillation of stretched strings and plates.³² The equation

$$\rho h \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} + C \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \left(\rho_0 + \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} = q(x, t) \qquad x \in [0, L], \ t \ge 0$$

is a mathematical Kirchhoff model of nonlinear transverse vibration, neglecting the displacements along the string's axis and averaging tension N over its length L. In this equation, ρ is the density of the string material, E is the Young modulus of the latter, C is the viscous damping parameter, ρ_0 is the initial string tension value, and q(x, t) is the transverse load intensity.³³ Here, we consider the following equation³⁴:

$$\begin{cases} U_{tt} - \varphi \left(\|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \Delta U - a \Delta U_{t} = b |U|^{\beta - 2} U & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ U(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{1} \times (0, \infty), \\ \varphi \left(\|\nabla U\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} + a \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} = g (U_{t}) & \text{on } \Gamma_{0} \times (0, \infty), \\ U(x, 0) = U_{1}, \quad U_{t} (x, 0) = U_{2} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

In the special case of (4), the dynamics of the moving string in Figure 1 can be described by

$$\rho h \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} - a \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial^2 x \partial t} = \left(\rho_0 + \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + f \qquad x \in [0, L], \ t \ge 0,$$
(5)

where U = U(x, t) is the lateral displacement at the space coordinate x and the time t. Additionally, E, ρ , h, ρ_0 , a, and f are the Young modulus of the latter, the mass density, the cross-section of the area, the length, the initial axial tension, and the

FIGURE 1 Schematic diagram of the axially moving Kirchhoff string



resistance modulus, respectively. Physically, Equation 4 occurs in the study of vibrations of damped flexible space structures in a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . The term $a \Delta u_t$ is the internal material damping of Kelvin-Voigt type of the structure. For a freely vibrating fixed-fixed string with two polarized displacements U_1 and U_2 , the Kirchhoff-Carrier equations are described by^{35,36}

$$\begin{cases} \rho h \frac{\partial^2 U_1}{\partial t^2} - (\rho_0 + N) \frac{\partial^2 U_1}{\partial x^2} = 0 \ x \in [0, L] & t \ge 0, \\ \rho h \frac{\partial^2 U_2}{\partial t^2} - (\rho_0 + N) \frac{\partial^2 U_2}{\partial x^2} = 0 \ x \in [0, L] & t \ge 0, \end{cases}$$
(6)

where *N* is the axial tension created by the large amplitude motions and the coupling with the transverse motion and defined by

$$N = \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_{0}^{L} \left(\left(\frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) dx.$$
(7)

In these equations, ρ is density, E is Young's modulus, h is the crosse section, ρ_0 is the tension, and L is the length.

Equation 1 is a nonlinear PDE with a gradient term. In order to obtain the convergence rate for the approximation technique, the efficient strategy is converting the equation into a system of two nonlinear first-order equations (using an auxiliary variable). Then, the Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element can be employed to solve the system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the necessary definitions and lemma that will be used in the next sections are introduced. In Section 3, the semi-discrete Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element method³⁷ for solving (1) is presented in detail, and the main theorem of this paper is mentioned. In Section 4, we provide a proof for the main result mentioned in Section 3. In Section 5, we demonstrate the accuracy of theoretical results using a numerical example.

2 | PRELIMINARY AND NOTATIONS

This section is devoted to the necessary definitions and some preliminaries. We also mention a lemma regarding the regularity of the solutions of Equation 1 and introduce two required lemmas that will be used later in our analysis.

For the Hilbert spaces $H^k(\Omega)$ and $(H^k(\Omega))^2$, $k \ge 1$, the standard inner product is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{k,\Omega}$, and the associated norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k,\Omega}$. For k = 0, $H^k(\Omega)$ and $(H^k(\Omega))^2$ coincide with $L^2(\Omega)$ and $(L^2(\Omega))^2$, respectively, and we use $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega}$ to denote the corresponding inner product and norm. In order to define a weak formulation of the problem, we introduce the following spaces

$$\begin{split} H_0^k(\Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H^k(\Omega) : u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0 \right\}, \\ L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T \right], H_0^k(\Omega) \right) &:= \left\{ u : u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^k(\Omega) \, u(x, \cdot) \in L^{\infty} \left(\left[0, T \right] \right) \right\}, \\ L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T \right], L^2(\Omega) \right) &:= \left\{ u : u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega) \, u(x, \cdot) \in L^{\infty} \left(\left[0, T \right] \right) \right\}, \\ L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T \right], H^k(\Omega) \right) &:= \left\{ u : u(\cdot, t) \in H^k(\Omega) \, u(x, \cdot) \in L^{\infty} \left(\left[0, T \right] \right) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}(div, \Omega) &:= \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \right)^2 : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}, \end{split}$$

and we equip these spaces with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{k,\Omega,0}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,k,\Omega,0}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,0,\Omega}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,k,\Omega}$, respectively. Furthermore, $\mathbf{H}(div, \Omega)$ is endowed with the following norm:

$$\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} = \left(\|\mathbf{q}\|^2 + \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}\|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
(8)

Lemma 1. Under the mentioned assumptions for Equation 1, these equations have unique solutions $U, V : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U, V \in L^{\infty}([0, T], H_0^1(\Omega)), U_t, V_t \in L^{\infty}([0, T], H_0^1(\Omega))$ and $U_{tt}, V_{tt} \in L^{\infty}([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$. **Proof.** See Bae.²⁸

Lemma 2 (*The Gronwall lemma*).³⁸ Let $\varphi \in C([0, t_1])$, $\varphi \in C^1((0, t_1))$, and there exists a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous function g_1 such that for $t \in (0, t_1)$, φ satisfies one of the following inequalities:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) \le \alpha\varphi(t) + g_1(t),\tag{9}$$

$$\varphi(t) \le \varphi(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \left[\alpha \varphi(s) + g_1(s) \right] ds; \tag{10}$$

then, φ satisfies the following estimate

$$\varphi(t) \leq e^{\alpha t} \varphi(0) + \int_0^t g_1(s) e^{\alpha(t-s)} ds.$$

Lemma 2.3. (Houston et al³⁹ and Liu & Barrett⁴⁰). Let $f \in C([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega})$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$m_f(x-s) \le f(t,x)x - f(t,s)s \le M_f(x-s), \quad x \ge 0, s \ge 0, t \ge 0,$$

where m_f and M_f are positive constants. Then, there exist the constants $C_1 \ge C_2 > 0$, such that for vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t,|v|)v - f(t,|u|)u| &\leq C_1 |v - u|, \\ C_2|v - u|^2 &\leq (f(t,|v|)v - f(t,|u|)u).(u - v). \end{aligned}$$

3 | MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD (SPATIAL DISCRETIZATION)

In this section, we present a mixed variational formulation for Equation 1 and describe the Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element scheme associated with this variational formulation. Furthermore, we mention the main theorem of the paper which is related to an a priori estimate of the error of the semi-discrete scheme.

3.1 | The weak formulation

This subsection is devoted to introduce the variational formulation of Equation 1. We denote $X := L^2(\Omega)$ and $M := \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$; then, the mixed formulation is obtained by splitting each of (1a) and (1b) into two equations where $W := \nabla U \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{Z} := \nabla V \in \mathbf{M}$. Thus, Equation 1 can be written in the following form:

$$U_{tt}(x,t) - \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{W}(x,t) - \Delta U_{t}(x,t) = f(x,t) \qquad x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T],$$
(11a)

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \nabla U \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T], \tag{11b}$$

$$V_{tt}(x,t) - \left(1 + \|W\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|Z\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \nabla \cdot Z(x,t) - \Delta V_{t}(x,t) = f(x,t)x \in \Omega \ t \in [0,T],$$
(11c)

$$\boldsymbol{Z} = \nabla V \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega \, t \in [0, T], \tag{11d}$$

$$U = V = \boldsymbol{W} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times [0, T], \qquad (11e)$$

$$\left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\boldsymbol{W} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\partial U_{t}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}} + U + U_{t} + g(t)|U_{t}|^{p}U_{t} = g * |U|^{\gamma}U \text{ on } \Sigma_{0} = \Gamma_{0} \times [0, T], \quad (11f)$$

WILEY <u>12505</u>

$$\left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \nu + \frac{\partial V_{t}}{\partial \nu} + V + V_{t} + g(t)|V_{t}|^{p}V_{t} = g * |V|^{\gamma}V \text{ on } \Sigma_{0} = \Gamma_{0} \times [0, T],$$
(11g)

$$U(x,0) = U_0(x), \quad U_t(x,0) = U_1(x)x \in \Omega,$$
(11h)

$$V(x,0) = V_0(x), \quad V_t(x,0) = V_1(x)x \in \Omega.$$
(11i)

Then, the weak formulation associated with (11) gives rise to the following variational formulation of (1): find $(U, V, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z}) \in X \times X \times \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}U,\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2\right)(\boldsymbol{W},\nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U,\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + (U,\varphi)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + g(t)\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U\right|^p\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} = \left(g * |U|^{\gamma}U,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + (f,\varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \varphi \in X,$$
(12a)

$$(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} = (\nabla U, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \boldsymbol{M},$$
(12b)

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}V,\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2\right)(\boldsymbol{Z},\nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V,\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + (V,\varphi)_{\Gamma_0}$$
(12c)

$$+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + g(t)\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V\right|^{p}\frac{d}{dt}V,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} = \left(g*|V|^{\gamma}V,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + (f,\varphi)_{\Omega}\varphi \in X, \quad (12d)$$

$$(\mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} = (\nabla \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \boldsymbol{M},$$
(12e)

$$(U(0),\varphi)_{\Omega} = (U_0,\varphi)_{\Omega}, \qquad \left(\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0},\varphi\right)_{\Omega} = (U_1,\varphi)_{\Omega}\varphi \in X, \tag{12f}$$

$$(V(0), \varphi)_{\Omega} = (V_0, \varphi)_{\Omega}, \qquad \left(\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0}, \varphi \right)_{\Omega} = (V_1, \varphi)_{\Omega} \varphi \in X.$$
 (12g)

3.2 | Mixed finite element discretization

Let $\mathcal{T}_h = \{T_1, \ldots, T_N\}$ be a quasi-uniform triangulation of Ω with the mesh width $h := \max_{T_j \in \mathcal{T}_h} \operatorname{diam}(T_j)$. Also, the mesh \mathcal{T}_h assume to be regular in the Ciarlet sense. Furthermore, we assume the elements are γ -shape regular in the sense that we have $\operatorname{diam}(T_j) \leq \gamma |T_j|^{1/3}$ for all $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_h$. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, let $P_k(T)$ denote the space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ over T. For $k \geq 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we define

$$RT_k(T) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} + p_3 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad : \qquad p_i \in P_k(T), \ i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$

Then, we define the following spaces:

$$\mathbf{M}_h := \{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{M} : \mathbf{v}_h |_T \in RT_k(T), \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}, X_h := \{ v_h \in X : v_h |_T \in P_k(T), \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}.$$

Finally, based on the variational formulation (12) and the mentioned finite element spaces, we define the Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element method for (1):

find $(u_h, v_h, w_h, z_h) \in X_h \times X_h \times M_h \times M_h$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u_h,\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{z}_h\|_{\Omega}^2\right)(\boldsymbol{w}_h,\nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_h,\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + (u_h,\varphi)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + g(t)\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_h\right|^p\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} = \left(g * |u_h|^{\gamma}u_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + (f,\varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \varphi \in X_h,$$
(13a)

$$(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} = (\nabla u_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \tag{13b}$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}v_h,\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{z}_h\|_{\Omega}^2\right)(\boldsymbol{z}_h,\nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_h,\nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + (v_h,\varphi)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + g(t)\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_h\right|^p\frac{d}{dt}v_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} = \left(g * |v_h|^{\gamma}v_h,\varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + (f,\varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \varphi \in X_h,$$
(13c)

$$(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}},\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = (\nabla \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}},\boldsymbol{\psi})_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{h}},\tag{13d}$$

$$(u_h(0),\varphi)_{\Omega} = (U_0,\varphi)_{\Omega}, \ \left(\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0},\varphi\right)_{\Omega} = (U_1,\varphi)_{\Omega}\varphi \in X_h,$$
(13e)

$$(v_h(0),\varphi)_{\Omega} = (V_0,\varphi)_{\Omega}, \ \left(\left.\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0},\varphi\right)_{\Omega} = (V_1,\varphi)_{\Omega}\varphi \in X_h.$$
(13f)

Considering suitable regularity assumptions on the exact solutions, the following theorem provides the theoretical rate of convergence for the semi-discretized Raviart-Thomas method (13).

Theorem 3.1. Let $U, V \in L^{\infty}([0, T], H_0^l(\Omega))$, and $W, Z \in (L^{\infty}([0, T], H^l(\Omega)))^2$, $1 \leq l \leq k + 1$, be the unique solutions of the continuous formulation (12) and $u_h, v_h \in X_h$ and $w_h, z_h \in M_h$ be the unique solutions of the discrete formulation (13). Then, the following error estimation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t) - u_{h}(t)\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|V(t) - v_{h}(t)\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{W}(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_{h}(t)\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}(t) - \boldsymbol{z}_{h}(t)\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\ &\leq Ch^{2l-1} \left(\|U\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2} + \|V\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2} \right) + Ch^{2l} \left(\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{4} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{4} \right), \qquad \forall t \in [0,T], \ 1 \leq l \leq k+1, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant depending only on Ω and \mathcal{T}_h .

The mentioned theorem will be proved in Section 4. Before we start to prove the theorem, some necessary lemmas should be presented and proved.

4 | PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 3.1, which is mentioned in Section 3. Hence, for the sake of simplicity and preventing the complexity in the proof, we separate this section into three subsections and prove the required lemma and theorems. Then, we employ these results to prove the main theorem.

Lemma 4.1. There exist projection operators $\Pi_h \times R_h$: $X \times M \to X_h \times M_h$ such that^{1,41}

1. The operator Π_h : $X \to X_h$ is a L^2 -projection, i.e.,

$$(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{u} - \Pi_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{u})_{\Omega} = 0 \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \in \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{X},$$
(14)

$$\|u - \Pi_h u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le ch^l \|u\|_{l,\Omega} 0 \le l \le k + 1 \ u \in H^l(\Omega) \cap X.$$
(15)

2. The operator $R_h : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{M}_h$ with $\nabla \cdot R_h = \prod_h \nabla \cdot$ satisfies

$$(\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{w} - R_h \boldsymbol{w}), \varphi)_{\Omega} = 0 \forall \varphi \in X_h \qquad \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{M},$$
(16)

$$\|\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{w}\|_{\Omega} \le ch^{l} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{l,\Omega} 0 \le l \le k+1 \ \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{M} \cap (H^{l}(\Omega))^{2},$$
(17)

$$\|\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{w} - R_h \boldsymbol{w})\|_{\Omega} \le ch^l \|\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{w}\|_{l,\Omega} 0 \le l \le k+1 \ \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{M} \cap (H^{l+1}(\Omega))^2,$$
(18)

where c is a constant depending only on Ω and \mathcal{T}_h .

Let us define the error terms of U, V, W, and Z as

$$e_{1,h} := u_h - \Pi_h U \qquad e_{2,h} := v_h - \Pi_h V,$$

$$e_{3,h} := w_h - R_h W \qquad e_{4,h} := z_h - R_h Z.$$

Subtracting (12a-12d) from (13a-13d) gives us

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} e_{1,h}, \varphi \end{pmatrix}_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^2\right) (\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} - \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2\right) (\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla\varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}, \nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(e_{1,h}, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + g(t) \left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h\right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} - g(t) \left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U\right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} = \left(g * |u_h|^{\gamma} u_h, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} U, \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (U - \Pi_h U), \varphi\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (U - \Pi_h U), \nabla\varphi\right)_{\Omega} + (U - \Pi_h U, \varphi)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (U - \Pi_h U), \varphi\right)_{\Gamma_0}, \forall \varphi \in X_h,$$

$$(19)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} e_{2,h}, \varphi \end{pmatrix}_{\Omega} + \left(1 + \| \boldsymbol{w}_h \|_{\Omega}^2 + \| \boldsymbol{z}_h \|_{\Omega}^2 \right) (\boldsymbol{z}_h, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} - \left(1 + \| \boldsymbol{W} \|_{\Omega}^2 + \| \boldsymbol{Z} \|_{\Omega}^2 \right) (\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}, \nabla \varphi \right)_{\Omega} + \left(e_{2,h}, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} + g(t) \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_h \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_h, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} - g(t) \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} = \left(g * |v_h|^{\gamma} v_h, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |V|^{\gamma} V, \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (V - \Pi_h V), \varphi \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (V - \Pi_h V), \nabla \varphi \right)_{\Omega} + (V - \Pi_h V, \varphi)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (V - \Pi_h V), \varphi \right)_{\Gamma_0}, \forall \varphi \in X_h,$$
 (20)

$$\left(e_{3,h},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} = \left(\nabla e_{1,h},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left(\Pi_{h}U - U\right),\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\boldsymbol{W} - R_{h}\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega}, \ \forall\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{M}_{h},$$
(21)

$$\left(e_{4,h},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} = \left(\nabla e_{2,h},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left(\Pi_{h}V - V\right), \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - R_{h}\boldsymbol{Z},\boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{\Omega}, \ \forall \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{M}_{h}.$$
(22)

Plugging $\varphi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}$ into (19) and $\varphi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}$ into (20) as well as adding these equations together yields

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}e_{1,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}e_{2,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h},\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h},\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(e_{1,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(e_{2,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + L_{1} = L_{2} + L_{3} + L_{4} + L_{5} + L_{6},$$
(23)

WILEY 12507

where

$$\begin{split} L_{1} &:= \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{h} + \boldsymbol{z}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{W} + \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega}, \\ L_{2} &:= g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{h} \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right) + g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{h} \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right) \\ &- \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} U|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(g * |\Pi_{h} U|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |V|^{\gamma} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(g * |\Pi_{h} V|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \left(V - \Pi_{h} V \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}, \\ L_{5} := \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(U - \Pi_{h} U \right), \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(V - \Pi_{h} V \right), \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}, \\ L_{6} := \left(U - \Pi_{h} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(V - \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(U - \Pi_{h} U \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}}. \end{split}$$

From now on, we consider the following regularity assumptions.

Assumptions 4.1. We assume U(t), $V(t) \in H^{l}(\Omega)$ and W(t), $Z(t) \in (H^{l}(\Omega))^{2}$, $1 \leq l \leq k + 1$, are the exact solutions of (11) and $u_{h}(t)$, $v_{h}(t) \in X_{h}$ and w_{h} , $z_{h} \in M_{h}$ are the discretized solutions of (11) obtained from (13). Additionally, we assume U_{0} , U_{1} , V_{0} , $V_{1} \in H^{l}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq l \leq k + 1$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, the challenging part is to deal with L_1 and L_2 . Therefore, the following subsections are devoted to obtain upper bounds for L_1 and L_2 .

4.1 | An upper bound for L_1

Lemma 4.2. Using the definition of $e_{i,h}$, i = 1, ..., 4, we get the following estimates:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} = \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right), e_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega},$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \| e_{4,h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} = \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - R_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} \right), e_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega}$$

Proof. Taking derivative with respect to t from (21) and (22), and putting $\psi = e_{3,h}$ and $\psi = e_{4,h}$ into these equations as test functions, respectively, yield

$$\frac{d}{dt} \| e_{3,h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} = \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right), e_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_{h} U - U \right), \nabla \cdot e_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega},$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} = \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - R_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\right), e_{4,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_{h}V - V\right), \nabla \cdot e_{4,h}\right)_{\Omega}.$$

Considering the orthogonality estimate from Equation 14, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Pi_{h}U-U\right),\nabla\cdot e_{3,h}\right)_{\Omega}=0,$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Pi_{h}V-V\right),\nabla\cdot e_{4,h}\right)_{\Omega}=0.$$

Then, the combination of (26)–(29) gives us the desired result.

Lemma 4.3. Let U, V, W, and Z satisfy the regularity assumptions of Assumption 4.1. Also, let us define

$$L_{1,0} := \|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} \& - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega};$$

then, we have

$$L_{1,0} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \|_{\Omega}^{4} + \boldsymbol{M}_{0}$$

where

$$3 |M_{0}| \leq (c_{1}h^{2l} + c_{2}) \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} \right) + (c_{3}h^{2l} + c_{4}) \left(\left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} \right) + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{5} \left(h^{2l} + h^{6l} \right),$$

$$(31)$$

where c_i , $i = 1, \cdot, 4$ are constants depending only on Ω and \mathcal{T}_h .

Proof. First, we should note that $L_{1,0}$ can be written in the following form:

$$L_{1,0} = \|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right) - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right) - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega}.$$
(32)

Then, rearranging the terms of (32) gives rise to

$$L_{1,0} = \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}.$$
(33)

Employing Lemma 4.2 and using the following estimates

$$\|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4}, \qquad \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4},$$

result in the following inequality:

$$L_{1,0} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \|_{\Omega}^{4} - \| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\| \boldsymbol{w}_{h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} - \| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \|_{\Omega}^{4} - \| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\| \boldsymbol{z}_{h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} - \| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \|_{\Omega}^{2} + \| \boldsymbol{w}_{h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\| \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} \|_{\Omega}^{2} + \| \boldsymbol{z}_{h} \|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega}.$$
(34)

In order to simplify (34), we rewrite it as

$$L_{1,0} \ge \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \boldsymbol{M}_{0},$$
(35)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3M}_{0} &:= \left(\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} \end{aligned} \right)$$

$$(36)$$

Finally, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and approximate properties of Π_h and R_h from Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{M}_{0}| &\leq c_{1}h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + c_{2}h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 8 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 8 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{3}h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left(\left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + c_{4}h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 8 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ 8 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{5}h^{2l} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 2c_{6}h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ c_{7}h^{2l} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + c_{8}h^{2l} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 2c_{9}h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ c_{10}h^{2l} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{37}$$

which gives us the desired results.

Lemma 4.4. Let us denote $L_{1,2}$ by

$$L_{1,2} := \|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega};$$

then, under Assumption 4.1, $L_{1,2}$ can be written in the following form:

$$L_{1,2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + L_{1,2,0} + L_{1,2,1},$$
(38)

where $L_{1, 2, 0}$ and $L_{1, 2, 1}$ satisfy the following properties:

$$|L_{1,2,0}| \le c_1 h^{2l} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^4 + c_2 \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_3 h^{4l} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_4 \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^2$$
(39)

and

$$|L_{1,2,1}| \leq (c_1 h^{2l} + c_2) \left(\|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^4 + \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) + (c_3 h^{2l} + c_4) \left(\|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^4 \right) + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_5 h^{6l}.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Proof. Using the definition of $L_{1,2}$ as well as adding and subtracting some terms, one arrives at

$$\begin{split} L_{1,2} &= \|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} \\ &- \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \|\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega}. \end{split}$$

Substituting (26) and (27) from Lemma 4.2 into the above formula gives us

$$3L_{1,2} = \left(\|\mathbf{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|R_{h}\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left(\|\mathbf{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|R_{h}\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\left(R_{h}\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\mathbf{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \right) - \left(\|R_{h}\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\mathbf{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|R_{h}\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left(\|\mathbf{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|R_{h}\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\left(R_{h}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \left(\mathbf{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \right) - \left(\|R_{h}\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \left(\|\mathbf{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|R_{h}\mathbf{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(R_{h}\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W} \right), e_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\mathbf{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|R_{h}\mathbf{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(R_{h}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z} \right), e_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} \right) \\ \geq \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + L_{1,2,0} + L_{1,2,1} \right) \\ = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + L_{1,2,0} + L_{1,2,1},$$
(41)

where

$$3L_{1,2,0} := \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{1,\boldsymbol{h}} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{1,\boldsymbol{h}} \right)_{\Omega} \right) \\ - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{1,\boldsymbol{h}} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{3,\boldsymbol{h}}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{1,\boldsymbol{h}} \right)_{\Omega},$$
(42)

and

$$L_{1,2,1} := \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \right) \\ - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{z}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ + \left(\|\boldsymbol{w}_{h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{W} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{3,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ - \left(\|\boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{R}_{h}\boldsymbol{Z} \right), \boldsymbol{e}_{4,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ - \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}.$$

$$(43)$$

Employing the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and approximate property of R_h from Lemma 4.1, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{1,2,0}| &\leq c_1 h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^2 \|\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^4 + 16 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 \|\boldsymbol{e}_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_2 h^{4l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^4 \|\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 \\ &+ 16 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^4 \|\boldsymbol{e}_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{e}_{1,h}\|_{\Omega}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{44}$$

WILEY | 12511

12512 WILEY

and

$$\begin{split} \|L_{1,2,1}\|_{\Omega}^{2} &\leq c_{1}h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + 16 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{3}h^{4l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{4} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + 16 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{3}h^{2l} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{W}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{4}h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{W}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ c_{5}h^{2l} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + c_{6}h^{2l} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{Z}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{7}h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{Z}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} \\ &+ c_{8}h^{2l} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{45}$$

The combination of (41), (44), and (45) completes the proof.

Theorem 4.1. Let $W(t), Z(t) \in (H^l(\Omega))^2, 1 \le l \le k + 1$; then, L_1 satisfies the following inequality:

$$L_{1} \geq \frac{d}{dt} \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{d}{dt} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \& + L_{1,1} + L_{1,2,0} + L_{1,2,1} + L_{1,3} + \boldsymbol{M}_{0},$$

where

$$L_{1,1} := \|R_h \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \& + \|R_h \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega},$$
$$L_{1,3} := \|R_h \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \& + \|R_h \boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} - \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega},$$

and $L_{1,2,0}$ and $L_{1,2,1}$ are defined in (42) and (43), respectively, and \mathbf{M}_0 can be found in (36). Furthermore, $L_{1,1}$ and $L_{1,3}$ satisfy the following estimates:

$$|L_{1,1}| \le c_1 h^{2l} + c_2 h^{4l} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2, \tag{46}$$

and

$$|L_{1,3}| \le c_1 h^{2l} + c_2 h^{4l} + c_3 h^{6l} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^2.$$
(47)

Proof. Rearranging L_1 gives us

$$L_{1} = \left(e_{3,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Omega} + \left(e_{4,h}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Omega} + L_{1,0} + L_{1,1} + L_{1,2} + L_{1,3} + L_{1,4},$$
(48)

where $L_{1,0}$ and $L_{1,2}$ are defined in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, respectively, and we denote $L_{1,4}$ by

$$L_{1,4} := \left(R_h \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(R_h \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}$$

Considering (48) and Lemmas 4.2–4.4, L_1 satisfies the following estimate:

$$L_{1} \geq \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt} \|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|e_{3,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \|e_{4,h}\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right) + L_{1,1} + L_{1,2,0} + L_{1,2,1} + L_{1,3} + \boldsymbol{M}_{0}.$$
(49)

-WILEY-12513

Adding and subtracting some terms allow us to rewrite $L_{1,1}$ as

$$\begin{split} L_{1,1} &= \left(\left\| R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left(\left\| R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left\| R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(R_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left(R_{h} \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left\| R_{h} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\| \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}. \end{split}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and Equation 18, we conclude the following estimate for $L_{1,1}$:

$$3 |L_{1,1}| \leq ||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} \left(||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + 4 ||W||_{\Omega}^{4} ||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + ||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} \left(||W||_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + ||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} \left(||R_{h}Z - Z||_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + 4 ||W||_{\Omega}^{4} ||R_{h}Z - Z||_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + ||R_{h}W - W||_{\Omega}^{2} \left(||Z||_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \leq c_{1}h^{4l} ||W||_{l,\Omega}^{4} + c_{1}h^{2l} ||W||_{l,\Omega}^{2} ||W||_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{1}h^{2l} ||W||_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{2}h^{2l} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{1}h^{4l} ||W||_{l,\Omega}^{2} ||Z||_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{1}h^{2l} ||Z||_{\Omega}^{2} ||W||_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{1}h^{2l} ||W||_{\Omega}^{2} ||Z||_{\Omega}^{4} + c_{2}h^{2l} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2}.$$
(50)

Adding and subtracting some terms allow us to rewrite $L_{1,3}$ in the following form:

$$\begin{split} L_{1,3} &= \left(\left\| R_h \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 - \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \left(R_h \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left\| R_h \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 - \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \left(\boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{W}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left\| R_h \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 - \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \left(R_h \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \left(R_h \boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega} + \left(\left\| R_h \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 - \left\| \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \left(\boldsymbol{Z}, \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Omega}. \end{split}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and the approximate property of R_h from Lemma 4.1, the following inequality can be deduced:

$$|L_{1,3}| \leq c_1 h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^4 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^2 + c_2 h^{4l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^4 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_3 h^{4l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^4 \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{l,\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_4 h^{6l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^6 + c_5 h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_6 h^{2l} \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{l,\Omega}^2 \|\boldsymbol{Z}\|_{\Omega}^4 + \frac{1}{16} \left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^2.$$
(51)

Finally, the combination of (49), (50), and (51) completes the proof.

4.2 \mid An upper bound for L_2

Theorem 4.2. Let the conditions of Assumption 4.1 are satisfied; then following estimate for L_2 holds

$$L_{2} \ge c \left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) + L_{2,2},$$
(52)

where

$$\left|L_{2,2}\right| \le c_1 h^{2l} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U\right\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_2 h^{2l} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V\right\|_{l,\Omega}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^2.$$
(53)

Proof. It is easy to see that L_2 can be rewritten in the following form:

$$L_{2} = g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{h} \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} U \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right) + g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} U \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right) + g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{h} \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} V \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right) + g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} V \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{h} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right|^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \right).$$

Let us denote $L_{2,1}$ and $L_{2,2}$ as

$$\begin{split} L_{2,1} &:= g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_h, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h U \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} \right) \\ &+ g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_h \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_h, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h V \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} \right), \\ L_{2,2} &:= g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h U \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} \right) \\ &+ g(t) \left(\left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h V \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_h V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right|^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right)_{\Gamma_0} \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore, L_2 can be written as

$$L_2 = L_{2,1} + L_{2,2}. (54)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\left(\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_{h}\right|^{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_{h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}-\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Pi_{h}U\right|^{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Pi_{h}U,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)\geq c\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2},$$
(55)

and

$$\left(\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{h}\right|^{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{h},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}-\left(\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Pi_{h}V\right|^{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Pi_{h}V,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}\right)\geq c\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}.$$
(56)

From (55) and (56), we get the following estimate for L_2 :

$$L_{2} \ge cg(t) \left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) + L_{2,2}.$$

$$(57)$$

WILEY | 12515

Finally, the Trace theorem and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Equation 15 imply that

$$L_{2,2} \left| \leq g(t) \left(c_9 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_h U - U \right) \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + c_2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right) + g(t) \left(c_9 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_h V - V \right) \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + c_2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right)$$

$$\leq c_{10} h^{2l-1} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U \right\|_{l,\Omega}^2 + \frac{g(t)}{8} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + c_{10} h^{2l-1} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right\|_{l,\Omega}^2 + \frac{g(t)}{8} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_0}^2.$$
(58)

4.3 | Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof. Let us denote $L_{3,1}$ and $L_{3,2}$ by

$$L_{3,1} := \left(g * |u_h|^{\gamma} u_h, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |\Pi_h U|^{\gamma} \Pi_h U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(g * |v_h|^{\gamma} v_h, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |\Pi_h V|^{\gamma} \Pi_h V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0},$$
(59)

and

$$L_{3,2} := \left(g * |\Pi_h U|^{\gamma} \Pi_h U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |U|^{\gamma} U, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} + \left(g * |\Pi_h V|^{\gamma} \Pi_h V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0} - \left(g * |V|^{\gamma} V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\right)_{\Gamma_0}.$$
(60)

Then, considering the definition of $L_{3,1}$ and $L_{3,2}$ yields

$$L_3 = L_{3,1} + L_{3,2}. (61)$$

By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} L_{3,1} &|= \left| \int_{0}^{t} g(t-r) \Big(\left(\|u_{h}\|^{\gamma} u_{h} - \|\Pi_{h} U\|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} U \right)(r), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}(t) \Big)_{\Gamma_{0}} dr \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{0}^{t} g(t-r) \Big(\left(\|v_{h}\|^{\gamma} v_{h} - \|\Pi_{h} V\|^{\gamma} \Pi_{h} V \right)(r), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}(t) \Big)_{\Gamma_{0}} dr \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} |g(t-r)| \left(\|g\|_{\infty} \|e_{1,h}(r)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\|g\|_{\infty}} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} |g(t-r)| \left(\|g\|_{\infty} \|e_{2,h}(r)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\|g\|_{\infty}} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(\|g\|_{\infty} \right)^{2} \|e_{1,h}(r)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h}(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(\|g\|_{\infty} \right)^{2} \|e_{2,h}(r)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h}\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$
(62)

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities, Trace theorem, and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{3,2}| &\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(\|g\|_{\infty} \right)^{2} \|(\Pi_{h}U - U)(r)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(\|g\|_{\infty} \right)^{2} \|(\Pi_{h}V - V)(r)\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} \left(c_{11}h^{2l-1} \|U(r)\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(c_{11}h^{2l-1} \|V(r)\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$
(63)

and

$$|L_{4}| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \left(\Pi_{h} U - U \right) \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \left(\Pi_{h} V - V \right) \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

$$\leq c_{14} h^{2l} \left\| \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} U \right\|_{k,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{15} h^{2l} \left\| \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} V \right\|_{k,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2}.$$
(64)

Employing Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and Lemma 2.3 leads to

$$|L_{5}| \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_{h} U - U \right) \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Pi_{h} V - V \right) \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2}$$
$$\leq c_{16} h^{2l} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + c_{17} h^{2l} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V \right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2}, \tag{65}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{6}| &\leq \|U - \Pi_{h}U\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(U - \Pi_{h}U\right)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|V - \Pi_{h}V\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(V - \Pi_{h}V\right)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\ &\leq h^{2l}\left\|U\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + h^{2l}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + h^{2l}\left\|V\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + h^{2l}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(66)

Applying (23) and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 gives rise to

$$3\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+\left(\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}+\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)\right)$$
$$+\left(1+g(t)\right)\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}+\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}\right)+\left\|e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq\left\|e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left|L_{1,1}\right|+\left|L_{1,2,0}\right|+\left|L_{1,2,1}\right|+\left|L_{1,3}\right|$$
$$+\left|\mathbf{M}_{0}\right|+\left|L_{2,2}\right|+\left|L_{3,1}\right|+\left|L_{3,2}\right|+\left|L_{4}\right|+\left|L_{5}\right|+\left|L_{6}\right|.$$
(67)

-WILEY-12517

Employing Lemmas 4.2-4.4 and Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 as well as Equations 62-67 results in

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + \frac{7}{8} \left(\left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) \\
+ (1 + g(t)) \left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} \right) + \left\| e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} \right) + \left\| e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \left\| e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\| e_{2,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + h^{2l} \right\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + h^{2l} \left\| \mathbf{W} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} \\
+ \left\| \mathbf{W} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{2} \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + h^{4l} \left\| \mathbf{Z} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{4} \left\| e_{3,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + h^{2l} \left\| \mathbf{W} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\
+ \left\| \mathbf{W} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + \left\| \mathbf{Z} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{4} + h^{4l} \left\| \mathbf{Z} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{4} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \\
+ \left\| \mathbf{Z} \right\|_{L_{\Omega}}^{4} \left\| e_{4,h} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left\| e_{1,h}(r) \right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \right\|_{\Gamma_{\Omega}}^{2} \right) dr \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left\| \left\| \mathbf{W} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \left\| \mathbf{H} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \left\| \mathbf{H} \right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) dr + h^{2l} \left(\left\| \mathbf{U} \right\|_{\infty,L_{\Omega}}^{2} + \left\| \mathbf{V} \right\|_{\infty,L_{\Omega}}^{2} \right) \right).$$
(68)

Now, from Lemma 2, we get

$$3\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\left(\left\|e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)+(1+g(t))\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}+\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}+\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}\right)+\left(\left\|e_{3,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\left\|e_{4,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\lesssim\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+\left(\left\|e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}\right)+\left(\left\|e_{3,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}+\left\|e_{4,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{4}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left\|e_{3,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\left\|e_{4,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}\right)+h^{2l-1}\left(\left\|U\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2}+\left\|V\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2}\right)$$

$$+h^{2l}\left(\left\|W\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2}+\left\|Z\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{2}+\left\|W\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{4}+\left\|Z\right\|_{\infty,l,\Omega}^{4}\right).$$
(69)

Assume X_h and \boldsymbol{M}_h are $(N_1 + 1)$ - and $(N_2 + 1)$ - dimensional spaces, respectively. Also, we assume $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_1}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^{N_2}$ are basis for X_h and \boldsymbol{M}_h , respectively; therefore, from (13), we have

$$(u_{h}|_{t=0}, \varphi_{j})_{\Omega} = (U_{0}, \varphi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{1},$$

$$(w_{h}|_{t=0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} = (\nabla U_{0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{1},$$

$$(w_{h}|_{t=0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} = (\nabla U_{0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{1},$$

$$(v_{h}|_{t=0}, \varphi_{j})_{\Omega} = (V_{0}, \varphi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{1},$$

$$(z_{h}|_{t=0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} = (\nabla V_{0}, \psi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{2}$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{h}\Big|_{t=0}, \varphi_{j}\Big)_{\Omega} = (V_{1}, \varphi_{j})_{\Omega} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{1}.$$

Using the above equations and applying (15)–(18), we find that

$$\left\|e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \left\|U_{0} - \Pi_{h}U_{0}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq c_{29}h^{2l}\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2},\tag{70}$$

$$\|e_{3,h}(0)\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \|\nabla U_{0} - R_{h} \nabla U_{0}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq c_{30} h^{2l} \|\nabla U_{0}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2},$$
(71)

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \le c_{31}h^{2l} \|U_{1}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2},$$
(72)

$$\left\|e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \left\|V_{0} - \Pi_{h}V_{0}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq c_{32}h^{2l}\left\|V_{0}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2},\tag{73}$$

$$\|e_{4,h}(0)\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \|\nabla V_{0} - R_{h} \nabla V_{0}\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq c_{33} h^{2l} \|\nabla V_{0}\|_{l,\Omega}^{2},$$
(74)

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq c_{36}h^{2l} \left\|V_{1}\right\|_{l,\Omega}^{2}.$$
(75)

Also, it is easy to see that

$$\left\|e_{1,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\|e_{1,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \left\|\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \, \mathrm{d}r\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{1,h} \, \mathrm{d}r\right\|_{\Omega}^{2},\tag{76}$$

$$\left\|e_{2,h}\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} - \left\|e_{2,h}(0)\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \left\|\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \,\mathrm{d}r\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{2,h} \,\mathrm{d}r\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}.$$
(77)

Finally, substituting (70)–(75) into (69) and using (76) and (77) completes the proof.

5 | NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, we validate the theoretical results obtained in Theorem 3.1 by a test problem. The computational geometry $(\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ including the boundaries is shown in Figure 2. In this section, we use the following spaces:

$$\mathbf{M}_h := \{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{M} : \mathbf{v}_h |_T \in RT_1(T), \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}, \\ X_h := \{ v_h \in X : v_h |_T \in P_1(T), \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}.$$

The initial conditions for the model problem (1) are given as

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, y, 0) &= \left(\sin(y) + y^2 (y - 1) - y \sin(y)\right) \times \left(\sin(x) + x^2 (x - 1) - x \sin(x)\right), \\ U_t(x, y, 0) &= -\left(\sin(y) + y^2 (y - 1) - y \sin(y)\right) \times \left(\sin(x) + x^2 (x - 1) - x \sin(x)\right), \\ V(x, y, 0) &= \left(\sin(y) + y^2 (y - 1) - y \sin(y)\right) \times \left(\sin(x) + x^2 (x - 1) - x \sin(x)\right), \\ V_t(x, y, 0) &= -\left(\sin(y) + y^2 (y - 1) - y \sin(y)\right) \times \left(\sin(x) + x^2 (x - 1) - x \sin(x)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the Equations 1a and 1b is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y, t) &= \exp(-t) \left(\left(\sin(x) + x^2 \left(x - 1 \right) - x \sin(x) \right) \left(2 \cos(y) - 6y + \sin(y) - y \sin(y) + 2 \right) + \\ &\quad \exp(-t) \left(\sin(y) + y^2 \left(y - 1 \right) - y \sin(y) \right) \left(2 \cos(x) - 6x + \sin(x) - x \sin(x) + 2 \right) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(0.0046 \exp(-2t) + 1 \right) + \exp(-t) \left(\left(\sin(x) + x^2 \left(x - 1 \right) - x \sin(x) \right) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(\sin(y) + y^2 \left(y - 1 \right) - y \sin(y) \right) \left(\sin(x) + x^2 \left(x - 1 \right) - x \sin(x) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(2 \cos(y) - 6y + \sin(y) - y \sin(y) + 2 \right) - \left(\sin(y) + y^2 \left(y - 1 \right) - y \sin(y) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(2 \cos(x) - 6x + \sin(x) - x \sin(x) + 2 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this example, the boundary Γ consists of Γ_0 and Γ_1 (see Figure 1) such that the homogenous Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions are defined on Γ_1 . For the boundary conditions (1d) and (1e) defined on Γ_0 , we set $g(t) := 0.1 \exp(-t)$, p := 3.5, $\gamma := 2.5$. Finally, the exact solutions of Equation 1 considering the mentioned boundary and initial conditions are given by

$$U(x, y, t) = V(x, y, t) = \exp(-t) \left(\sin(y) + y^2 (y - 1) - y \sin(y) \right) \times \left(\sin(x) + x^2 (x - 1) - x \sin(x) \right).$$



FIGURE 3 The approximation error in L^2 norm for $\Delta t = 0.005$ (left) and $\Delta t = 0.003$ (right) at T = 1 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



FIGURE 4 The approximated error of $||U(T) - u_h(T)||_{\Omega}$ for h = 0.1 (left) and $||V(T) - v_h(T)||_{\Omega}$ for h = 0.01 (right) with $\Delta t = 0.005$ at T = 1 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

In order to discretize in the space, we use the mixed variational formulation given in (13). The time discretization is done by an implicit finite difference (Crank-Nicolson method) scheme. Furthermore, to treat the nonlinearity, a Newton scheme is used. The numerical results for two different time step sizes ($\Delta t = 0.005$ and $\Delta t = 0.003$) are presented in Figure 3. Moreover, for the final time step, the discretization errors ($U(T) - u_h(T)$ and $V(T) - v_h$) with respect to the exact solutions for two specific mesh sizes are illustrated in Figure 4.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M. Parvizi acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy within the Cluster of Excellence PhoenixD (EXC 2122, Project ID 390833453). She is also supported financially by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) Project No. P28367-N35. Furthermore, the authors appreciate the useful comments given by the anonymous reviewers. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This work does not have any conflict of interest.

ORCID

Amirreza Khodadadian[®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2374-0557 M.R. Eslahchi[®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8272-857X

REFERENCES

- 1. Pani AK, Yuan JY. Mixed finite element method for a strongly damped wave equation. *Numer Methods Partial Differ Equ: Int J*. 2001;17(2):105-119.
- 2. Liu Y, Li H, Wang J. Error estimates of H1-Galerkin mixed finite element method for Schrödinger equation. *Appl Math -A J Chin Univ.* 2009;24(1):83-89.
- 3. Liu Y, Wang J, Li H, Gao W, He S. A new splitting H1-Galerkin mixed method for pseudo-hyperbolic equations, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology. *Int J Math Comput Phys Electr Comput Eng.* 2011;5(3):413-418.
- 4. Liu Y, Li H, Wang J, He S. Splitting positive definite mixed element methods for pseudo-hyperbolic equations. *Numer Methods Part Differ Equ.* 2012;28(2):670-688.
- 5. Wang J, Ye X. A weak Galerkin mixed finite element method for second order elliptic problems. Math Comput. 2014;83(289):2101-2126.
- 6. Monk P, Schöberl J, Sinwel A. Hybridizing Raviart-Thomas elements for the Helmholtz equation. *Electromagn*. 2010;30(1-2):149-176.
- 7. Rostand V, Le Roux DY. Raviart-Thomas and Brezzi-Douglas-Marini finite-element approximations of the shallow-water equations. *Int J Numer Methods Fluids*. 2008;57(8):951-976.
- 8. Ainsworth M. A posteriori error estimation for lowest order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite elements. SIAMJ Sci Comput. 2008;30(1):189-204.
- 9. Jenkins EW. Numerical solution of the acoustic wave equation using Raviart-Thomas elements. J Comput Appl Math. 2007;206(1):420-431.
- 10. Glowinski R, Lapin S. Solution of a wave equation by a mixed finite element-fictitious domain method. *Comput Methods Appl Math.* 2004;4(4):431-444.
- 11. Liu Y, Li H, Gao W, He S, Wang J. Splitting positive definite mixed element method for viscoelasticity wave equation. *Front Math China*. 2012;7(4):725-742.
- 12. Parvizi M, Eslahchi MR. A numerical method based on extended Raviart-Thomas (ER-T) mixed finite element method for solving damped Boussinesq equation. *Math Methods Appl Sci.* 2017;40(16):5906-5924.
- 13. Gao L, Liang D, Zhang B. Error estimates for mixed finite element approximations of the viscoelasticity wave equation. *Math Methods Appl Sci.* 2004;27(17):1997-2016.
- 14. Jenkins EW, Riviaere B, Wheeler MF. A priori error estimates for mixed finite element approximations of the acoustic wave equation. *SIAM J Numer Anal.* 2002;40(5):1698-1715.
- 15. He S, Li H, Liu Y. Analysis of mixed finite element methods for fourth-order wave equations. Comput Math Appl. 2013;65(1):1-16.
- 16. Liu Y, Li H. H¹-Galerkin mixed finite element methods for pseudo-hyperbolic equations. Appl Math Comput. 2009;212(2):446-457.
- 17. Bécache E, Joly P, Tsogka C. A new family of mixed finite elements for the linear elastodynamic problem. *SIAM J Numer Anal.* 2002;39(6):2109-2132.
- 18. Bochev PB, Dohrmann CR, Gunzburger MD. Stabilization of low-order mixed finite elements for the Stokes equations. *SIAM J Numer Anal.* 2006;44(1):82-101.
- 19. Bathe K-J. The inf-sup condition and its evaluation for mixed finite element methods. Comput Struct. 2001;79(2):243-252.
- 20. Shi F, Yu J, Li K. A new stabilized mixed finite-element method for poisson equation based on two local gauss integrations for linear element pair. *Int J Comput Math.* 2011;88(11):2293-2305.
- 21. Khodadadian A, Parvizi M, Abbaszadeh M, Dehghan M, Heitzinger C. A multilevel Monte Carlo finite element method for the stochastic Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation. *Comput Mech.* 2019;64(4):937-949.
- 22. Abbaszadeh M, Khodadadian A, Parvizi M, Dehghan M, Heitzinger C. A direct meshless local collocation method for solving stochastic Cahn-Hilliard-Cook and stochastic Swift-Hohenberg equations. *Eng Anal Bound Elem.* 2019;98:253-264.
- 23. Khodadadian A, Noii N, Parvizi M, Abbaszadeh M, Wick T, Heitzinger C. A Bayesian estimation method for variational phase-field fracture problems. *Comput Mech.* 2020;66(4):827-849.
- 24. Khodadadian A, Parvizi M, Heitzinger C. An adaptive multilevel Monte Carlo algorithm for the stochastic drift-diffusion-Poisson system. *Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng.* 2020;368:113163.

- 25. Parvizi M, Khodadadian A, Eslahchi MR. Analysis of Ciarlet–Raviart mixed finite element methods for solving damped Boussinesq equation. *J Comput Appl Math.* 2020;379:112818.
- 26. Amdouni S, Mansouri K, Renard Y, Arfaoui M, Moakher M. Numerical convergence and stability of mixed formulation with x-fem cut-off. *Eur J Comput Mech.* 2012;21(3-6):160-173.
- 27. Robey TH. The primal mixed finite element method and the LBB condition. Numer Methods Partial Differ Equ. 1992;8(4):357-379.
- 28. Bae JJ. On uniform decay of coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff type subject to memory condition on the boundary. *Nonlinear Anal: Theory, Methods Appl.* 2005;61(3):351-372.
- 29. Park JY, Bae JJ. On coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear boundary damping and memory term. *Appl Math Comput.* 2002;129(1):87-105.
- 30. Ferreira J, Pereira DC, Santos ML. Stability for a coupled system of wave equations of Kirchhoff type with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Electron J Differ Equ.* 2003;85:1-17.
- 31. Parvizi M, Khodadadian A, Eslahchi MR. Fully discretized mixed finite element method for solving coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff-type with nonlinear boundary damping, 2020. submitted for publication; 2021.
- 32. Narasimha R. Non-linear vibration of an elastic string. J Sound Vib. 1968;8(1):134-146.
- 33. Glabisz W. Cellular automata in nonlinear string vibration. Arch Civ Mech Eng. 2010;10(1):27-41.
- 34. Lin XL, Li FS. Global existence and decay estimates for nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with boundary dissipation. *Differ Equ Appl.* 2013;5:297-317.
- 35. Bilbao S. Conservative numerical methods for nonlinear strings. J Acous Soc Am. 2005;118(5):3316-3327.
- 36. Hélie T, Roze D. Sound synthesis of a nonlinear string using volterra series. J Sound Vib. 2008;314(1-2):275-306.
- 37. Brezzi F, Boffi D, Demkowicz L, Durán RG, Falk RS, Fortin M. *Mixed Finite Elements, Compatibility Conditions, and Applications.* Berlin: Springer; 2008.
- 38. Canuto CG, Hussaini MY, Quarteroni A, Zang TA. Spectral Methods: Fundamentals in Single Domains. Berlin: Springer; 2010.
- Houston P, Süli E, Wihler TP. A posteriori error analysis of hp-version discontinuous Galerkin finite-element methods for second-order quasi-linear elliptic PDEs. *IMA J Numer Anal.* 2008;28(2):245-273.
- 40. Liu WB, Barrett JW. Quasi-norm error bounds for the finite element approximation of some degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations and variational inequalities. *ESAIM: Math Model Numer Anal.* 1994;28(6):725-744.
- 41. Johnson C, Thomée V. Error estimates for some mixed finite element methods for parabolic type problems. *ESAIM: Math Model Numer Anal (ESAIM: M2AN).* 1981;15(1):41-78.

How to cite this article: Parvizi M, Khodadadian A, Eslahchi MR. A mixed finite element method for solving coupled wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear boundary damping and memory term. *Math Meth Appl Sci.* 2021;44:12500-12521. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7556