ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb



Counterpart of the Weyl tensor for Rarita-Schwinger type fields



Friedemann Brandt

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 December 2016 Received in revised form 19 January 2017 Accepted 23 January 2017 Available online 16 February 2017 Editor: M. Cvetič

ABSTRACT

In dimensions larger than 3 a modified field strength for Rarita–Schwinger type fields is constructed whose components are not constrained by the field equations. In supergravity theories the result provides a modified (supercovariant) gravitino field strength related by supersymmetry to the (supercovariantized) Weyl tensor. In various cases, such as for free Rarita–Schwinger type gauge fields and for gravitino fields in several supergravity theories, the modified field strength coincides on-shell with the usual field strength. A corresponding result for first order derivatives of Dirac type spinor fields is also presented.

© 2017 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

This note relates to Rarita–Schwinger type fields in D dimensions with D>3. The components of these fields are denoted by $\psi_m{}^{\underline{\alpha}}$ where $m=1,\ldots,D$ is a vector index and $\underline{\alpha}=\underline{1},\ldots,\underline{2}^{\lfloor D/2 \rfloor}$ is a spinor index, $\lfloor D/2 \rfloor$ being the largest integer $\leq D/2$. The fields are subject to field equations (equations of motion) which read or imply $\partial_m \psi_n \Gamma^{mnr} + \ldots \approx 0$ where, both here and below, ellipses denote terms that may or may not be present (but do not contain derivatives of ψ_m), \approx denotes equality on-shell (i.e. equality whenever the field equations hold), spinor indices have been suppressed (as will be often done below) and $\Gamma^{mnr} = \Gamma^{[m} \Gamma^n \Gamma^r]$ is the totally antisymmetrized product of three gamma–matrices. The field equations thus constrain the usual field strength whose components are

$$T_{mn}^{\underline{\alpha}} = \partial_m \psi_n^{\underline{\alpha}} - \partial_n \psi_m^{\underline{\alpha}} + \dots \tag{1}$$

The main purpose of this note is a suitable definition of a modified field strength for Rarita–Schwinger type fields whose components are not constrained by the field equations. We denote the components of the modified field strength by $W_{mn}^{\underline{\alpha}}$ and define it in terms of the usual field strength according to

$$W_{mn} = \frac{D-3}{D-1} T_{mn} - \frac{2(D-3)}{(D-1)(D-2)} T_{r[m} \Gamma_{n]}^{\ r} - \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} T_{rs} \Gamma^{rs}_{mn},$$
(2)

where $\Gamma^{mn} = \Gamma^{[m}\Gamma^{n]}$ and $\Gamma^{mnrs} = \Gamma^{[m}\Gamma^{n}\Gamma^{r}\Gamma^{s]}$. We shall now comment on this definition.

Rarita-Schwinger type fields are particularly relevant to supergravity theories (see [2] for a review) where they are the gauge fields of supersymmetry transformations and are termed gravitino fields. The supersymmetry transformation of a gravitino field is

$$\delta_{\xi} \psi_m^{\underline{\alpha}} = (D_m \, \xi)^{\underline{\alpha}} + \dots, \tag{3}$$

where ξ is an arbitrary spinor field with components $\xi^{\underline{\alpha}}$ ('gauge parameters'), and $(D_m \xi)^{\underline{\alpha}}$ are the components of a covariant derivative of ξ defined by means of a (supercovariant) spin connection ω_m^{nr} .

$$D_m \xi = \partial_m \xi - \frac{1}{4} \omega_m^{\ nr} \xi \Gamma_{nr} \,. \tag{4}$$

Accordingly, the (supercovariant) gravitino field strength $T_{mn} = D_m \psi_n - D_n \psi_m + \dots$ transforms under supersymmetry according to

$$\delta_{\xi} T_{mn} = [D_m, D_n] \xi + \dots = -\frac{1}{4} R_{mn}^{rs} \xi \Gamma_{rs} + \dots,$$
 (5)

where $R_{mn}^{rs} = \partial_m \omega_n^{rs} - \partial_n \omega_m^{rs} + \dots$ denote the components of the (supercovariantized) Riemann tensor. This implies that the supersymmetry transformation of the modified gravitino field strength defined according to (2) in terms of the (supercovariant) gravitino field strength is

$$\delta_{\xi} W_{mn} = -\frac{1}{4} C_{mn}^{rs} \xi \Gamma_{rs} + \dots, \qquad (6)$$

where C_{mn}^{rs} are the components of the (supercovariantized) Weyl tensor

$$C_{mn}^{rs} = R_{mn}^{rs} + \frac{2}{D-2} \left(\delta_{[m}^{r} R_{n]}^{s} - \delta_{[m}^{s} R_{n]}^{r} \right) - \frac{2}{(D-1)(D-2)} R \delta_{[m}^{r} \delta_{n]}^{s},$$
(7)

where $R_m{}^n = R_{mr}{}^{rn}$ and $R = R_m{}^m$ denote the (supercovariantized) Ricci tensor and the (supercovariantized) Riemann curvature scalar, respectively. The modified gravitino field strength is thus related

E-mail address: fbrandt@arcor.de.

We use conventions as in [1].

by supersymmetry to the Weyl tensor but not to the Ricci tensor. Notice also that, like the Weyl tensor, the modified field strength (2) vanishes for D = 3.

In order to further discuss the modified field strength (2) we write it as

$$W_{mn}^{\underline{\alpha}} = T_{rs}^{\underline{\beta}} P^{rs}_{mn\beta}^{\underline{\alpha}}, \tag{8}$$

where $P^{rs}_{mn\beta} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ are the entries of the matrix

$$P^{rs}_{mn} = \frac{D-3}{D-1} \delta^{r}_{[m} \delta^{s}_{n]} \mathbb{1} + \frac{D-3}{(D-1)(D-2)} \left(\delta^{r}_{[m} \Gamma_{n]}^{s} - \delta^{s}_{[m} \Gamma_{n]}^{r} \right) - \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} \Gamma^{rs}_{mn},$$
(9)

where 1 is the $2^{\lfloor D/2 \rfloor} \times 2^{\lfloor D/2 \rfloor}$ unit matrix. This implies

$$P_{mn}^{rs} = \frac{D-3}{D-1} \delta_{[m}^{r} \delta_{n]}^{s} \mathbb{1} - \frac{D-3}{(D-1)(D-2)} \left(\delta_{[m}^{r} \Gamma_{n]}^{s} - \delta_{[m}^{s} \Gamma_{n]}^{r} \right) - \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} \Gamma_{mn}^{rs}.$$
(10)

These matrices fulfill the identities

$$P_{mn}^{rs}\Gamma^{mnk} = 0, \quad \Gamma^{mnk}P_{mn}^{rs} = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$P^{rs}_{k\ell}P^{k\ell}_{mn} = P^{rs}_{mn}, \qquad (12)$$

$$P^{rs}_{mn}^{\mathsf{T}} = CP_{mn}^{\mathsf{rs}}C^{-1},\tag{13}$$

where in (13) $P^{rs}_{mn}^{}$ denotes the transpose of P^{rs}_{mn} , and C and C^{-1} denote a charge conjugation matrix and its inverse which relate the gamma matrices to the transpose gamma matrices according to $\Gamma^{m} = -\eta C \Gamma^m C^{-1}$ with $\eta \in \{+1, -1\}$ and are used to raise and lower spinor indices according to

$$W_{mn\underline{\alpha}} = C^{-1}{}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} W_{mn}{}^{\underline{\beta}}, \quad W_{mn}{}^{\underline{\alpha}} = C^{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} W_{mn\beta}. \tag{14}$$

The first equation (11) implies that the modified field strength identically fulfills $W_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}=0$, i.e. indeed the components of the modified field strength are not constrained by the field equations. (12) implies that the matrices P^{rs}_{mn} define a projection operation on the field strength as they define an idempotent operation. Hence, this operation projects to linear combinations of components of the usual field strength which are not constrained by the field equations. Owing to (13) the components of the modified field strength with lowered spinor index are related to the usual field strength according to

$$W_{mn\alpha} = P_{mn}^{rs} \alpha^{\beta} T_{rs\beta} \,. \tag{15}$$

The second equation (11) thus implies that the modified field strength with lowered spinor index identically fulfills $\Gamma^{mnr}W_{mn}=0$.

Moreover, the modified field strength coincides with the usual field strength on-shell whenever $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}$ vanishes on-shell:

$$T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{mn} \approx T_{mn} \,. \tag{16}$$

This is obtained from (2) by means of the following implications of $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}\approx 0^2$:

$$T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx 0 \stackrel{\cdot \Gamma_r}{\Rightarrow} T_{mn}\Gamma^{mn} \approx 0 \stackrel{\cdot \Gamma_r}{\Rightarrow} T_{rm}\Gamma^m \approx 0 \stackrel{\cdot \Gamma_s}{\Rightarrow} T_{m[r}\Gamma_s]^m$$
$$\approx -T_{rs}, \qquad (17)$$

$$T_{mn}\Gamma^{mn} \approx 0 \stackrel{\cdot \Gamma_{rs}}{\Rightarrow} T_{mn}\Gamma^{mn}_{rs} - 4T_{m[r}\Gamma_{s]}^{m} - 2T_{rs}$$
$$\approx 0 \stackrel{(17)}{\Rightarrow} T_{mn}\Gamma^{mn}_{rs} \approx -2T_{rs}. \tag{18}$$

In particular, (16) applies to free Rarita–Schwinger type gauge fields satisfying $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}\approx 0$ with $T_{mn}=2\partial_{[m}\psi_{n]}$. Hence, (16) also applies to linearized supergravity theories. Moreover (16) applies to several supergravity theories at the full (nonlinear) level, such as to N=1 pure supergravity in D=4 [4,5] and to supergravity in D=11 [6], where the equations of motion imply $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}\approx 0$ for the usual supercovariant gravitino field strengths T_{mn} . Accordingly, in these cases the modified gravitino field strength fulfills on-shell the same Bianchi identities as the usual gravitino field strength, such as $\mathcal{D}_{[m}W_{nr]}\approx 0$ in N=1, D=4 pure supergravity for the supercovariant derivatives of W_{mn} .

If $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx X^r$ for some X^r , one obtains in place of equations (17) and (18) the following implications:

 $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}\approx X^r$

$$\Rightarrow T_{m[r}\Gamma_{s]}^{m} \approx -T_{rs} - \frac{1}{2(D-2)}X^{m}\Gamma_{mrs} + \frac{D-4}{2(D-2)}X_{[r}\Gamma_{s]}, \quad (19)$$

$$T_{mn}\Gamma^{mn}_{rs} \approx -2T_{rs} - \frac{1}{D-2}X^{m}\Gamma_{mrs} + \frac{2(D-3)}{D-2}X_{[r}\Gamma_{s]}$$
. (20)

Using (19) and (20) in (2) gives in place of (16):

$$T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx X^r \implies W_{mn} \approx T_{mn} + X_{mn}$$
, (21)

$$X_{mn} = \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} X^r \Gamma_{rmn} - \frac{D-3}{(D-1)(D-2)} X_{[m} \Gamma_{n]}$$
 (22)

which implies $\mathcal{D}_{[m}W_{nr]} \approx \mathcal{D}_{[m}T_{nr]} + \mathcal{D}_{[m}X_{nr]}$, relating the on-shell Bianchi identities for the modified gravitino field strength to those for the usual gravitino field strength. I remark that X_{mn} fulfills $X_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} = -X^r$ (identically). Hence, $\hat{W}_{mn} = W_{mn} - X_{mn}$ fulfills $\hat{W}_{mn} \approx T_{mn}$ and $\hat{W}_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} = X^r$, and may be used in place of W_{mn} as a modified field strength that coincides on-shell with the usual field strength in the case $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx X^r$. Moreover, $\hat{T}_{mn} = T_{mn} + X_{mn}$ fulfills $\hat{T}_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx 0$ if $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr} \approx X^r$, i.e., alternatively one may redefine T_{mn} to \hat{T}_{mn} and use $W_{mn} = \hat{T}_{rs}P^{rs}_{mn}$ which then coincides on-shell with the redefined field strength, i.e., $W_{mn} \approx \hat{T}_{mn}$.

(16) and the identities $W_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}=0$ also show that the matrices P^{rs}_{mn} remove precisely those linear combinations of components from the usual field strength which occur in $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}$. For instance, in N=1 pure supergravity in D=4 the modified gravitino field strength W_{mn} contains precisely those linear combinations of components of T_{mn} which, using van der Waerden notation with $\underline{\alpha}=1,2,\dot{1},\dot{2}$, are denoted by $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}|$ and $\overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}|$ in the chapters XV and XVII of [7] but no linear combination occurring in $T_{mn}\Gamma^{mnr}$. The modified gravitino field strengths are thus particularly useful for the construction and classification of on-shell invariants, counterterms and consistent deformations of supergravity theories.

We end this note with the remark that there is an analog of the modified field strength (2) for first order derivatives of Dirac type spinor fields ψ which are subject to field equations which read or imply $T_m\Gamma^m+\ldots\approx 0$ with $T_m=\partial_m\psi+\ldots$ This analog is defined according to

$$W_{m} = \frac{D-1}{D} T_{m} - \frac{1}{D} T_{n} \Gamma^{n}_{m} = T_{n} P^{n}_{m},$$

$$P^{n}_{m} = \frac{D-1}{D} \delta^{n}_{m} \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{D} \Gamma^{n}_{m}.$$
(23)

The matrices P^n_m fulfill identities analogous to (11)–(13):

$$P^{n}{}_{m}\Gamma^{m} = 0, \quad \Gamma^{m}P_{m}{}^{n} = 0, \quad P^{n}{}_{r}P^{r}{}_{m} = P^{n}{}_{m},$$

 $P^{n}{}_{m}{}^{\top} = CP_{m}{}^{n}C^{-1}.$ (24)

In particular W_m thus identically fulfills $W_m\Gamma^m=0$. Furthermore, analogously to (16), $T_m\Gamma^m\approx 0$ implies $W_m\approx T_m$ because $T_m\Gamma^m\approx 0$ implies $T_n\Gamma^n_m\approx -T_m$. Hence, the matrices P^n_m remove precisely those linear combinations of components from T_m which occur in $T_m\Gamma^m$. For W_m with lowered spinor index one has $W_m=P_m{}^nT_n$ and $\Gamma^mW_m=0$.

 $^{^2}$ See also, e.g., equations (35) of [3].

References

- [1] F. Brandt, Supersymmetry algebra cohomology I: definition and general structure, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 122302, arXiv:0911.2118 [hep-th].
- [2] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Supergravity, Phys. Rep. 68 (1981) 189.
 [3] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, Formulation of 11-dimensional supergravity in superspace, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 61.
- [4] D.Z. Freedman, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, S. Ferrara, Progress toward a theory of supergravity, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 3214.
- [5] S. Deser, B. Zumino, Consistent supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 62 (1976) 335.
- [6] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, J. Scherk, Supergravity theory in 11 dimensions, Phys. Lett. B 76 (1978) 409.
- [7] J. Wess, J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, 2nd ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA, 1992.