Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: July 1, 2013 REVISED: August 9, 2013 ACCEPTED: August 29, 2013 PUBLISHED: September 23, 2013

On two-dimensional integrable models with a cubic or quartic integral of motion

Anton Galajinsky^a and Olaf Lechtenfeld^b

^aLaboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University, 634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation

^bInstitut für Theoretische Physik und Riemann Center for Geometry and Physics, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstrasse 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

E-mail: galajin@tpu.ru, lechtenf@itp.uni-hannover.de

ABSTRACT: Integrable two-dimensional models which possess an integral of motion cubic or quartic in velocities are governed by a single prepotential, which obeys a nonlinear partial differential equation. Taking into account the latter's invariance under continuous rescalings and a dihedral symmetry, we construct new integrable models with a cubic or quartic integral, each of which involves either one or two continuous parameters. A reducible case related to the two-dimensional wave equation is discussed as well. We conjecture a hidden D_{2n} dihedral symmetry for models with an integral of *n*th order in the velocities.

KEYWORDS: Integrable Equations in Physics, Discrete and Finite Symmetries

ARXIV EPRINT: 1306.5238



Contents

1	Introduction and setting of the problem	1
2	Symmetries of the master equations and invariant variables	4
3	Reduced master equation and new integrable models	7
4	Summary and outlook	9

1 Introduction and setting of the problem

The study of two-dimensional integrable models possessing an integral of motion which is cubic or quartic in velocities has a long history. Having been discovered originally within the context of rigid body dynamics [1, 2], such systems were later generalized in a number of ways and have been a topic of active research for the last three decades [3]-[34] (for a review see [14]).

A conventional two-dimensional conservative system is governed by the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{ij}(q) \, \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j} - V(q) \,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $a_{ij}(q)$ is assumed to be an invertible matrix which encodes the geometry of a curved background, and V(q) is a potential. Because $a_{ij}(q)$ and V(q) do not explicitly depend on time, the energy is conserved. Given the potential V(q) and the metric $a_{ij}(q)$, several methods to uncover a second integral of motion have been proposed. In particular, these include the Painlevé analysis, the Lax-pair approach and the separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. A more direct method considers a polynomial I_2 in the velocities, with the coefficients being arbitrary functions of the coordinates, and requires that it be conserved in time. This condition yields a system of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations on the coefficients, which also involve $a_{ij}(q)$ and V(q).

In general, by applying a coordinate transformation and a redefinition of the temporal coordinate, one can reduce the number of coefficients in the polynomial and, thus, simplify the system of partial differential equations. As was demonstrated in [24], one can always choose new coordinates, $q^i = q^i(x, y)$ such that the system in terms of (x, y) is on the zero energy level,¹

$$\ddot{x} = U_x$$
 $\ddot{y} = U_y$, $I_1 \equiv \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 - 2U = 0$. (1.2)

¹Here and in what follows we use the conventions in [24, 27]. The subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the corresponding variable. Throughout the paper we impose time-reversal invariance.

For the case of a conserved polynomial cubic in the velocities, the new variables simplify this integral to

$$I_2 = \dot{x}^3 + J(x, y) \, \dot{x} + K(x, y) \, \dot{y} \,, \tag{1.3}$$

involving only two functions J(x, y) and K(x, y) to be determined. Taking into account the equations of motion and the zero energy condition (1.2),² one can verify that I_2 is conserved in time provided the system of partial differential equations

$$K_x + J_y = 0$$
, $J_x - K_y + 3U_x = 0$, $U_x J + U_y K + 2K_y U = 0$ (1.4)

holds [24].

Without loss of generality one can choose K(x, y) in the form

$$K(x,y) = -E_{xy}(x,y),$$
 (1.5)

where E(x, y) is a function to be determined. Then the leftmost equation in (1.4) implies

$$J(x,y) = E_{xx}(x,y) + \alpha(x), \qquad (1.6)$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is an arbitrary function of x only. As is evident from (1.5) and (1.6), $\alpha(x)$ can always be removed by a redefinition of E(x, y). So in what follows we will ignore it. Substitution of (1.5) and (1.6) into the second equation in (1.4) yields

$$E_{xx} + E_{yy} + 3U = \beta(y),$$
 (1.7)

where $\beta(y)$ is an arbitrary function of y only. Again, one can get rid of $\beta(y)$ by a redefinition of E(x, y), which does not alter the form of K(x, y) or J(x, y) while fixes the potential

$$U = -\frac{1}{3} \left(E_{xx} + E_{yy} \right) \,. \tag{1.8}$$

The rightmost equation in (1.4) then reads [24] (see also a related earlier work [7])

$$E_{xx}(E_{xxx} + E_{xyy}) - E_{xy}(E_{yxx} + E_{yyy}) - 2E_{xyy}(E_{xx} + E_{yy}) = 0.$$
(1.9)

To summarize, the dynamical system (1.2), which admits an integral of motion cubic in the velocities, is governed by the triple

$$J(x,y) = E_{xx}(x,y), \quad K(x,y) = -E_{xy}(x,y), \quad U(x,y) = -\frac{1}{3} \left(E_{xx}(x,y) + E_{yy}(x,y) \right),$$
(1.10)

which is derived from the generating function E(x, y) obeying the master equation (1.9).

Note that in practice, in order to verify that the system (1.2) admits an extra cubic integral of motion (1.3), it suffices to substitute J(x, y), K(x, y) and U(x, y) into (1.4) and to verify that the equations are satisfied identically. As an exercise, one can check that the models exposed below in (3.11) indeed obey the structure equations (1.4). Of course, finding the explicit form of the potential U giving rise to a cubic integral of motion requires

²When computing the derivative of I_2 , a term which involves \dot{y}^2 appears. In that term \dot{y}^2 should be changed by $\dot{y}^2 = 2U - \dot{x}^2$, which then leads to (1.4).

solving the master equation (1.9). This will allow us to construct new two-dimensional integrable models.

A similar situation holds for the case of a polynomial quartic in the velocities,

$$I_2 = \dot{x}^4 + P(x, y) \, \dot{x}^2 + Q(x, y) \, \dot{x} \, \dot{y} + R(x, y) \; . \tag{1.11}$$

For this to be an integral of motion of the system (1.2), the partial differential equations

$$Q_x + P_y = 0, P_x - Q_y + 4U_x = 0, R_y + U_x Q = 0, R_x + U_y Q + 2U_x P + 2Q_y U = 0 (1.12)$$

must be obeyed [27].³ Repeating the same arguments as above, one can verify that (1.12) is equivalent to the chain of relations [27]

$$P(x,y) = F_{xx}(x,y), \qquad Q(x,y) = -F_{xy}(x,y), \qquad U = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{xx} + F_{yy}),$$
$$R(x,y) = -\int_{y_0}^{y} d\tilde{y} (Q U_x)(x,\tilde{y}) - \int_{x_0}^{x} d\tilde{x} (Q U_y + 2P U_x + 2U Q_y)(\tilde{x},y_0), \quad (1.13)$$

which all are derived from the single generating function F(x, y) obeying the master equation

$$(F_{xxxx}F_{xy} - F_{yyyy}F_{yx}) + 3(F_{xxx}F_{xxy} - F_{yyy}F_{yyx}) + 2(F_{xx}F_{xxxy} - F_{yy}F_{yyyx}) = 0.$$
(1.14)

Again, it should be stressed that, given the polynomial (1.11), the partial differential equations (1.12) allow one to directly verify that (1.11) is an integral of motion of the system (1.2), irrespective of any knowledge of the generating function F(x, y). As an example, one may consider the system given below in (3.12) and check that (1.12) holds. Yet, for defining new models possessing a quartic integral of motion we have to find a generating function F solving (1.14).

It is unknown how to solve the master equations (1.9) or (1.14) in full generality. So far, particular solutions have been constructed in a form where the variables are separated [19–21, 27, 30],

$$E(x,y)$$
 or $F(x,y) = H_1(x) + H_2(y) + \Psi(x) \Phi(y)$. (1.15)

This turns out to encompass almost all known models with a cubic or quartic second integral of motion. Our goal in this work is to construct new solutions to (1.9) and (1.14) which are not of the type (1.15) and thus generate new models with a cubic or a quartic integral of motion.

In section 2 we analyze the symmetries of the master equations and uncover the dihedral groups D_6 and D_8 for the cubic and quartic cases, respectively. The dihedral symmetry suggests the use of special invariant variables, which allow one to reduce the master equations (1.9) and (1.14) to nonlinear ordinary differential equations. It will be shown that the reduced master equation for the quartic case is just the derivative of the corresponding equation in the cubic case. In section 3 the reduced master equation for the cubic case is

³Note that, in deriving $(\overline{1.12})$, the zero energy condition was used again to express \dot{y}^2 via \dot{x}^2 and U.

solved in full generality, which also provides a particular solution for the quartic case. The corresponding integrable models are discussed, and the most simple examples are displayed explicitly. The concluding section 4 contains the summary and an outlook.

2 Symmetries of the master equations and invariant variables

Before making some ansatz, it is advisable to investigate the symmetries of the master equations (1.9) and (1.14). Those may reveal adapted coordinate systems in which the analysis simplifies. Obviously, we can independently rescale the functions or both coordinates x and y, but the latter two simultaneously. Hence, with any solution E(x, y) or F(x, y) we immediately have a two-parameter family

$$E^{\lambda,\mu}(x,y) = \lambda E\left(\frac{x}{\mu}, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)$$
 and $F^{\lambda,\mu}(x,y) = \lambda F\left(\frac{x}{\mu}, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2.1)

But there is more: Let us rewrite the master equations in terms of complex coordinates

$$z = x + iy$$
 and $\bar{z} = x - iy$ \Rightarrow $\partial_x = \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}$ and $\partial_y = i(\partial_z - \partial_{\bar{z}})$, (2.2)

which produces

$$\partial_z (E_{zz} E_{z\bar{z}}) + \partial_{\bar{z}} (E_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} E_{\bar{z}z}) = 0$$
 and (2.3)

$$\partial_z \left(2F_{zz}F_{zz\bar{z}} + F_{zzz}F_{z\bar{z}} \right) - \partial_{\bar{z}} \left(2F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}z} + F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}}F_{\bar{z}z} \right) = 0 .$$

$$(2.4)$$

It is apparent that these equations are invariant under

$$z \mapsto e^{i\alpha}z$$
 for $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$, (2.5)

respectively. Together with their invariance under the conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, the symmetry transformations generate the dihedral group D_6 respectively D_8 (the symmetry group of the 6-gon respectively 8-gon), with 12 respectively 16 elements. Below we treat the two cases in turn.

For the cubic situation in the (x, y) coordinates, the D_6 group is generated by

$$(x,y) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(x - \sqrt{3}y, \sqrt{3}x + y)$$
 and $(x,y) \mapsto (-x,y)$. (2.6)

The sign of y may also be flipped independently. As a consequence, every solution E produces 11 other solutions by discrete transformations, some of which may coincide if E is invariant under part of the D_6 group. From these observations, it is clear that

$$E(x,y) = E_1(y)$$
 or $E(x,y) = E_2(\sqrt{3}x+y)$ or $E(x,y) = E_3(\sqrt{3}x-y)$ (2.7)

yield trivial solutions. Let us search for solutions E invariant (possibly up to sign) under part of the D_6 symmetry. To be more precise, we identify D_6 invariant combinations of xand y as improved coordinates and suggest to use them in searching for solutions of the master equation (1.9). These are obtained by multiplying the original coordinates with all their D_6 images, which yields (up to overall coefficients)

$$x^{2}(x-\sqrt{3}y)^{2}(x+\sqrt{3}y)^{2}$$
 and $y^{2}(\sqrt{3}x+y)(\sqrt{3}x-y)^{2}$. (2.8)

Weakening the demand to D_6 invariance only up to a sign, it suffices to take

$$v = x^3 - 3x y^2$$
 and $u = 3x^2 y - y^3$. (2.9)

From (2.7) we see that $E_1(y)$ solves (1.9) while $E_1(x)$ does not. Therefore, we look for solutions which depend only on u,

$$E(x,y) = f(u)$$
. (2.10)

Substitution in (1.9) yields the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$f'f^{(3)} + 3uf''f^{(3)} + 4f''f'' = 0, \qquad (2.11)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to u. Since f appears only via its derivatives, we pass to

$$p(u) = f'(u) \tag{2.12}$$

and bring (2.11) to the form

$$p p'' + 3u p' p'' + 4 p' p' = 0 . (2.13)$$

We note that the potential

$$U(x,y) = -3(x^2 + y^2)^2 p'(u)$$
(2.14)

and the building blocks

$$J(x,y) = -6yp(u) + 36x^2y^2p'(u) \quad \text{and} \quad K(x,y) = 6xp(u) - 18xy(x^2 - y^2)p'(u) \quad (2.15)$$

are constructed directly from the solution p(u). Thus we have demonstrated that solving the nonlinear ordinary differential equation (2.13) will produce two-dimensional integrable models admitting a cubic integral of motion.

Before solving (2.13) in full generality, let us repeat the exercise for the quartic case. In the (x, y) coordinates, the basic D_8 actions read

$$(x,y) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x-y,x+y)$$
 and $(x,y) \mapsto (-x,y)$. (2.16)

They generate, in particular, the interchange of x and y and independent sign flips of x or y. Hence, every solution F produces up to 15 other solutions by discrete transformations, some of which may coincide. Note that

$$F(x,y) = F_1(x) + F_3(y)$$
 or $F(x,y) = F_2(x-y) + F_4(x+y)$ (2.17)

yields trivial solutions.⁴

⁴At the end of the paper we comment more on such cases.

Let us look for solutions F invariant (possibly up to sign) under part of the D_8 symmetry. To this end, we identify more symmetric combinations of the variables by multiplying suitable D_8 images of x or y. The simplest possibilities are the so-called parabolic coordinates

$$s = x y$$
 and $t = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2)$, (2.18)

which are invariant under $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$, so that only a D_4 subgroup remains effective. Indeed, the two generators in (2.16) act as

$$(s,t) \mapsto (t,-s)$$
 and $(s,t) \mapsto (-s,t)$. (2.19)

Consider solutions which depend only on one of the parabolic variables, thus being manifestly invariant under a quarter of the original D_8 symmetry. From the $x \leftrightarrow y$ symmetry of (1.14) it does not matter which variable we choose, so we consider the ansatz

$$F(x,y) = f(s),$$
 (2.20)

which is inert under $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ as well. Substitution in (1.14) yields the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$f'f^{(4)} + 3sf''f^{(4)} + 12f''f^{(3)} + 3sf^{(3)}f^{(3)} = 0, \qquad (2.21)$$

where the prime now denotes the derivative with respect to s. It can immediately be integrated once to

$$f'f^{(3)} + 3sf''f^{(3)} + 4f''f'' = C$$
(2.22)

with an integration constant C. Since f appears only via its derivatives, we pass to

$$p(s) = f'(s) \tag{2.23}$$

and bring (2.21) to the form

$$p p'' + 3s p' p'' + 4 p' p' = C . (2.24)$$

We note that the building blocks

$$U(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) p'(s), \qquad P(x,y) = y^2 p'(s), \qquad Q(x,y) = -(1 + s\partial_s)p(s) \quad (2.25)$$

and R(x, y) are constructed directly from the solution p(s). Solving (2.24) will thus provide integrable models with a quartic integral of motion.

It will not have escaped the reader's attention that, for vanishing integration constant C, the reduced master equation (2.24) coincides with the reduced master equation (2.13) for the cubic case! Therefore, the cubic task is contained in the quartic one, and we may again discuss them together from now on, writing s as the variable in both cases.

The reduced master equation (2.24) is still invariant under the following two continuous transformations,

$$\left(\tilde{p}(s), \tilde{C}\right) = \left(\lambda \, p(s/\lambda), C\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\tilde{p}(s), \tilde{C}\right) = \left(\lambda \, p(s), \lambda^2 C\right), \quad (2.26)$$

which yield new solutions \tilde{p} from an old solution p. The first case amounts to a simultaneous rescaling of p and s, keeping p' and C inert, while the second case scales only p but also changes C, relating solutions for different (nonzero) values of the integration constant. Therefore, it suffices to consider C = 0, +1, -1 only. Unfortunately, we failed to solve (2.24) for nonzero C.⁵ So in what follows we restrict ourselves to the case C = 0, which is no loss in the cubic case and keeps both transformations in (2.26) viable.

3 Reduced master equation and new integrable models

The key to solving

$$p p'' + 3s p' p'' + 4 p' p' = 0 aga{3.1}$$

is the substitution

$$p'(s) = s^{-1}g(p(s)), \qquad (3.2)$$

where g(p) is a function to be determined. This reduces (3.1) to the form

$$0 = (p + 3g(p))\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}p}(p) + g(p) - p = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p}\Big[(3g(p) - p)(g(p) + p)\Big],$$
(3.3)

from which we obtain, with an integration constant written as $\frac{4}{3}\beta^2$ for later convenience,

$$(3g(p) - p)(g(p) + p) = \frac{4}{3}\beta^2 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad g(p) = -\frac{1}{3}\left(p + 2\epsilon\sqrt{p^2 + \beta^2}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ distinguishes the two roots of the quadratic equation. Inserting (3.4) into (3.2), we get an ordinary differential equation which is readily solved by

$$s = \left(p + 2\epsilon\sqrt{p^2 + \beta^2}\right) \left(p + \sqrt{p^2 + \beta^2}\right)^{-2\epsilon}, \qquad (3.5)$$

where an integration constant was absorbed into rescaling s. The scaling freedom (2.26) also allows us to reduce β^2 to ± 1 unless it is zero.

To invert for p(s) at $\beta^2 = \pm 1$, we bring this relation to the form

$$4\epsilon \, s \, p^3 - 3 \, p^2 \pm 6\epsilon \, s \, p + s^2 \mp 4 = 0 \,, \tag{3.6}$$

which shows that the two cases $\epsilon = \pm 1$ differ simply by a sign flip of s. A solution to this cubic equation reads ⁶

$$p(s) = \frac{\epsilon}{4s} \left\{ 1 + (1 \mp 8s^2) \left[1 \pm 20s^2 - 8s^4 + 8\epsilon s(s^2 \pm 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \left[1 \pm 20s^2 - 8s^4 + 8\epsilon s(s^2 \pm 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]^{+\frac{1}{3}} \right\}.$$
(3.7)

The full two-parameter family is obtained by reinstating the scaling variables,

$$p(s) = \epsilon \frac{\lambda \mu}{4s} \left\{ 1 + (\mu^2 \mp 8s^2) \left[\mu^6 \pm 20\mu^4 s^2 - 8\mu^2 s^4 + 8\epsilon\mu^2 s \left(s^2 \pm \mu^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \mu^{-2} \left[\mu^6 \pm 20\mu^4 s^2 - 8\mu^2 s^4 + 8\epsilon\mu^2 s \left(s^2 \pm \mu^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]^{+\frac{1}{3}} \right\} . (3.8)$$

⁵One particular $C \neq 0$ solution gives a Calogero model with additional harmonic potential.

⁶For the upper sign choice, it is the unique real solution. For the lower sign choice, we can always define a real branch of the cubic roots. In the interval $s \in [-1, 1]$, two further real solutions exist.

In order to construct the corresponding integrable models, its suffices to substitute this function into the building blocks (2.14), (2.15) and (2.25) for the cubic and quartic cases, respectively, and to express the variables u and s in terms of x and y via (2.9) and (2.18). The advantage of our models is that they are given in terms of elementary functions (cf. [27]).

Because the resulting models are rather bulky, it is instructive to dwell on the special case of $\beta = 0$. The two possibilities $\epsilon = \pm 1$ yield

$$g(p) = -p \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad p(s) = \lambda s^{-1},$$
 (3.9)

$$g(p) = \frac{1}{3}p \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad p(s) = \lambda s^{\frac{1}{3}},$$
 (3.10)

We remark that for small and for large values of s the function p in (3.8) asymptotes to the first and the second $\beta=0$ solutions, respectively. Let us expose the potential and the higher invariant for $\beta=0$. In the cubic case, one finds

$$U = \frac{3\lambda(x^2 + y^2)^2}{(3x^2y - y^3)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \dot{x}^3 - \frac{6\lambda(3x^2 + y^2)}{(3x^2 - y^2)^2} \dot{x} - \frac{12\lambda xy}{(3x^2 - y^2)^2} \dot{y}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$U = -\frac{\lambda (x^2 + y^2)^2}{(3x^2y - y^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \dot{x}^3 + \frac{6\lambda y^2 (5x^2 - y^2)}{(3x^2y - y^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \dot{x} - \frac{12\lambda xy (2x^2 - y^2)}{(3x^2y - y^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \dot{y}$$

for $\epsilon = +1$ and $\epsilon = -1$, respectively. It is straightforward to verify that the structure functions U, J and K derived from (3.11) obey the equations (1.4), which provides a consistency check for our considerations. The quartic case produces

$$U = -\frac{\lambda}{12}(x^2 + y^2)(xy)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \dot{x}^4 + \frac{\lambda}{3}(xy)^{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{y}{x}\dot{x}^2 - \frac{4\lambda}{3}(xy)^{\frac{1}{3}}\dot{x}\dot{y} - \frac{\lambda^2}{36}(xy)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(8 - \frac{y^2}{x^2}\right)$$
(3.12)

for $\epsilon = -1$, while $\epsilon = +1$ yields merely two decoupled conformal particles in one dimension. Again, one readily verifies that the functions U, P, Q and R derived from (3.12) solve (1.12). To the best of our knowledge, the models (3.11) and (3.12) are new. Acting with D_6 respective D_8 transformations on these solutions yields little new besides the obvious possibility of replacing s with t in all quartic-integral solutions.

We conclude with a remark concerning the second form of a trivial ansatz in (2.17). Clearly, $F = F_2 + F_4$ satisfies the two-dimensional wave equation,

$$F_{xx} - F_{yy} = 0, (3.13)$$

which leads to the simplifications

$$P(x,y) = -2U(x,y)$$
 and $R(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}Q(x,y)^2$ (3.14)

and finally to

$$I_2 = -\left(\dot{x}\,\dot{y} - \frac{1}{2}Q(x,y)\right)^2\,.$$
(3.15)

Thus, the quartic integral is the square of a quadratic one and, hence, it is reducible. As an illustration, let us consider the following polynomial of fifth degree,

$$F(x,y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{60} (x+y)^5 + \frac{1}{24} (x+y)^4 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{60} (x-y)^5 - \frac{1}{24} (x-y)^4 \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{60} \left(5x^4 + 10x^4y + 30x^2y^2 + 20x^2y^3 + 5y^4 + 2y^5 \right) .$$
(3.16)

In this case, the potential and the quartic integral of motion read

$$U(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - x^2y - \frac{1}{3}y^3 \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = -\left(\dot{x}\,\dot{y} + x\,y + \frac{1}{3}x^3 + x\,y^2\right)^2, \quad (3.17)$$

which reproduces the model studied in [35].⁷

4 Summary and outlook

In this work we uncovered a dihedral D_6 or D_8 symmetry of the master equation underlying two-dimensional integrable models featuring a second integral of motion which is cubic or quartic in the velocities. We introduced a symmetry-adapted ansatz employing invariant variables, which reduced the master equation to an ordinary differential equation. The latter was then solved by conventional methods. Interestingly, the reduced master equation for the quartic case is just the derivative of the corresponding equation in the cubic case. Our second result is that solutions of the two-dimensional wave equation generate another family of integrable models possessing a quartic invariant which, however, degenerates to the square of a quadratic one and, thus, is reducible. Finally, we conjecture that, quite generally, the master equation governing two-dimensional models with a constant of motion of *n*th order in the velocities enjoys a D_{2n} invariance. We hope to test and apply this idea to the quintic and sextic cases in the future.

Acknowledgments

A.G. is grateful to the Institut für Theoretische Physik at Leibniz Universität Hannover for the hospitality extended to him during the course of this work. The research was supported by the DFG grant LE 838/12-1 and the RFBR grant 13-02-91330.

References

- S.V. Kovalevskaya, Sur le probleme de la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe, Acta Math. 12 (1889) 177.
- [2] D.N. Goryachev, A new case of the integrability of Euler's dynamical equations, Varshav. Univ. Izv. 11 (1916) 3.
- [3] M. Hénon, Integrals of the Toda lattice, Phys. Rev. B 9 (1974) 1921.

⁷Our convention for the potential differs from theirs by a flip of sign.

- [4] A.S. Fokas and P.A. Lagerstrom, Quadratic and cubic invariants in classical mechanics, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 74 (1980) 325.
- [5] C.R. Holt, Construction of new integrable Hamiltonians in two degrees of freedom, J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982) 1037.
- [6] V.I. Inozemtsev, New integrable classical system with two degrees of freedom, Phys. Lett. A 96 (1983) 447.
- [7] L.S. Hall, A theory of exact and approximate configurational invariants, Physica D 8 (1983) 90.
- [8] B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi and A. Ramani, Integrability of Hamiltonians with third- and fourth-degree polynomial potentials, J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 2289.
- [9] G. Thompson, Polynomial constants of motion in flat space, J. Math. Phys. 25 (1984) 3474.
- [10] M. Wojciechowska and S. Wojciechowski, New integrable potentials of two degrees of freedom, Phys. Lett. A 105 (1984) 11.
- [11] R.S. Kaushal, S.C. Mishra and K.C. Tripathy, Construction of the second constant of motion for two-dimensional classical systems, J. Math. Phys. 26 (1985) 420.
- [12] P.G.L. Leach, Comment on an aspect of a paper by G. Thompson, J. Math. Phys. 27 (1986) 153.
- [13] H.M. Yehia, On the integrability of certain problems in particle and rigid body dynamics, J. Mecan. Theor. Appl. 5 (1986) 55.
- [14] J. Hietarinta, Direct methods for the search of the second invariant, Phys. Rept. 147 (1987) 87 [INSPIRE].
- [15] T. Sen, Integrable potentials with cubic and quartic invariants, Phys. Lett. A 122 (1987) 100.
- [16] T. Sen, A class of integrable potentials, J. Math. Phys. 28 (1987) 2841 [INSPIRE].
- [17] N.W. Evans, On Hamiltonian systems in two degrees of freedom with invariants quartic in the momenta of form $p_1^2 p_2^2 \cdots$, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 600.
- [18] G. Bozis, Two-dimensional integrable potentials with quartic invariants, J. Phys. A 25 (1992) 3329.
- [19] E.N. Selivanova, New families of conservative systems on S² possessing an integral of fourth degree in momenta, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 17 (1999) 201 [dg-ga/9712018].
- [20] E.N. Selivanova, On the case of Goryachev-Chaplygin and new examples of integrable conservative systems on S², Commun. Math. Phys. 207 (1999) 641 [math/9801124].
- [21] K.P. Hadeler and E.N. Selivanova, On the case of Kovalevskaya and new examples of integrable conservative systems on S², Reg. Chaot. Dyn. 4 (1999) 45 [math/9801063].
- [22] H.M. Yehia, New generalizations of all the known integrable problems in rigid-body dynamics, J. Phys. A 32 (1999) 7565.
- [23] M. Karlovini, G. Pucacco, K. Rosquist and L. Samuelsson, A unified treatment of quartic invariants at fixed and arbitrary energy, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 4041.
- [24] H.M. Yehia, On certain two-dimensional conservative mechanical systems with a cubic second integral, J. Phys. A 35 (2002) 9469.

- [25] H.M. Yehia, Kovalevskaya's integrable case: generalizations and related new results, Reg. Chaot. Dyn. 8 (2003) 337.
- [26] K. Nakagawa, A. Maciejewski and M. Przybylska, New integrable Hamiltonian system with first integral quartic in momenta, Phys. Lett. A 343 (2005) 171.
- [27] H.M. Yehia, The master integrable two-dimensional system with a quartic second integral, J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 5807.
- [28] G. Valent, Explicit integrable systems on two dimensional manifolds with a cubic first integral, Commun. Math. Phys. 299 (2010) 631 [arXiv:1002.2033].
- [29] H.M. Yehia and A.A. Elmandouh, New conditional integrable cases of motion of a rigid body with Kovalevskaya's configuration, J. Phys. A 44 (2011) 012001.
- [30] H.M. Yehia, A new 2D integrable system with a quartic second invariant, J. Phys. A 45 (2012) 5209 [arXiv:1208.4829].
- [31] A. Galajinsky, Remark on integrable deformations of the Euler top, arXiv:1211.0760.
- [32] H.M. Yehia and A.A. Elmandouh, A new integrable problem with a quartic integral in the dynamics of a rigid body, J. Phys. A 46 (2013) 142001 [arXiv:1302.7057].
- [33] G. Valent, On a class of integrable systems with a quartic first integral, Reg. Chaot. Dyn. 18 (2013) 394 [arXiv:1304.5859].
- [34] H.M. Yehia and A.M. Hussein, New families of integrable two-dimensional systems with quartic second integrals, arXiv:1308.1442.
- [35] Y. Aizawa and N. Saito, On the stability of isolating integrals. I. Effect of the perturbation in the potential function, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 32 (1972) 1636.